

# EE 601: Statistical Signal Analysis

## Quiz #1

Dt: 22/08/2024

Time: 11:30 am - 1 pm

**Q.1** Consider sample space  $\Omega$  and let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N$  be a partition of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote collection of all possible unions of the sets from partition, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  contains unions of all possible combination of  $k$  sets from the partition, for  $k=0, 1, \dots, N$ . Prove or disprove:  $\mathcal{A}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ .  
For  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ , Find the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}\}$ .

6 + 2 Marks

**Q.2** Consider probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Events  $A$  and  $B$  are independent under  $P$ . Consider another event  $D$  such that  $P(D) > 0$ . Prove or disprove: Events  $A$  &  $B$  are conditionally independent given  $D$ , i.e.,

$$P(A \cap B | D) = P(A | D) \cdot P(B | D).$$

5 Marks

**Q.3** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 < x_2 \text{ \& } x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Show that the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{A}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -field.

7 Marks

## Solutions

①  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

Proof: By definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $\exists A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\exists k$  and  $1 \leq \alpha(1) < \alpha(2) \dots < \alpha(k) \leq N$  s.t.  $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^k A_{\alpha(j)}$ .

Assuming  $A \neq \emptyset$ . If  $A = \emptyset$ , then  $k=0$ .

We need to show:

(a)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$

(b)  $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$

(c) If  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bigcup B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(a) is obvious from def of  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k$ .

(b) Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then as stated above

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^k A_{\alpha(j)}. \quad \text{Now, note that}$$

$$A^c = \bigcup_{i \in \{\alpha(j): j=1, \dots, k\}} A_i.$$

$\Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ , by def<sup>n</sup> of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(c) Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  can have at most  $2^N$  elements.

Hence, it suffices to show that if

$B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Note that we can write

$$B_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_1} A_{\alpha(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_2} A_{\beta(j)}$$

Thus,  $B_1 \cup B_2$  is also union of sets from  $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ .  $\Rightarrow B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  by def<sup>n</sup>.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ .

To see that it is the smallest, observe that any  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$  must have these unions.

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \quad A_1 = \{1, 2\}, \quad A_2 = \{3, 4\}, \quad A_3 = \{5, 6\}.$$

$\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$ .

Thus, from above result, the smallest  $\sigma$ -field can be given as

$$\{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \Omega\}.$$

② Consider prob space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  as described below.

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$

$\mathcal{F}$  = Power set of  $\Omega$

$P$  = Uniform measure, i.e.

$$P(\{\omega\}) = \frac{1}{4} \quad \forall \omega = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let  $A = \{1, 2\}$  and  $B = \{2, 3\}$ .

Note that  $P(A) = P(B) = 1/2$  and

$$P(A \cap B) = P(\{2\}) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

$\Rightarrow$   $A$  and  $B$  are independent under  $P$ .

Consider  $D = \{2, 4\}$ .  $P(D) = 1/2$ .

$$P(A|D) = \frac{P(A \cap D)}{P(D)} = \frac{P(\{2\})}{P(\{2, 4\})} = \frac{1/4}{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$P(B|D) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$\text{Now, } P(A \cap B | D) = \frac{P(A \cap B \cap D)}{P(D)} = \frac{P(\{2\})}{P(\{2,4\})} = 1/2.$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B | D) \neq P(A | D) \cdot P(B | D).$$

Thus, A & B are not conditionally independent given D. Other way to say this is:

Even though A & B are independent under P, they are not independent under  $P|_D$ .

Independence is a property of measure and of events themselves!!!

— x — x — x —

③ Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 < x_2 \text{ and } x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Now for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(-n, x) \in \mathcal{F}$  for integer  $n$ .  
(if  $-n > x$ , then  $(-n, x) = \emptyset$ ).

Now,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, x) \in \mathcal{F}$  ( $\because \mathcal{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -field).

$$\Rightarrow (-\infty, x) \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, consider,  $(-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall n$  integer.

Thus,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

$$\Rightarrow (-\infty, x] \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,  $\{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$  while  $\mathcal{B}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{F} \supseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

But now recall from the tutorial that

$$\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}.$$

and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing

Thus,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}.$$

