<u>A mishmash of random stuff</u> Just another WordPress.com weblog June 29, 2011 / pjcoup

## Building a standalone preprocessor library with clang tools

People are hearing more and more about <u>clang (http://clang.llvm.org)</u> as an alternative compiler for C type languages. One of the positives mentioned for clang (and llvm) is the library/modular code base which can be used to create other compiler/language tools. Recently, folks from Intel introduced the <u>ispc compiler (http://ispc.github.com)</u>, which is a small little compiler which targets writing C-extensions in a language that can target Intel's SSE instructions more naturally than C/C++. Matt Pharr has created a whole <u>list (https://github.com/ispc/ispc/issues? sort=created&direction=desc&state=open)</u> of open items which people can take a look at and contribute to. One mention that caught my eye was integrating a preprocessor rather than shelling out to /usr/bin/cpp. As clang and llvm are already prerequisites for ispc, it seemed that there should be a way to easily crank out a preprocessor from the clang libraries. Right?

To me, it seems mixed. Sure enough, you can create a clang based preprocessor with a minimal amount of code, but the amount of clang infrastructure that this brought in surprised me. The rest of this post will outline how I built the stand-alone preprocessor, and what surprised me. I will happily be corrected if I made things more difficult than needed. And from the outset, I freely admit I am a clang/llvm user, not a clang/llvm internals developer, so my understanding of the internals organization is not up to the clang/llvm internals developers.

First, Fedora has a clang-devel package available, which contains all of the clang headers and libraries you will need for development. If your distribution doesn't have a clang development package available, then follow the instructions from clang's getting started (<a href="http://clang.llvm.org/get\_started.html">http://clang.llvm.org/get\_started.html</a>) page. The clang headers and source are organized in a very straight-forward way. With minimal looking, you'll see include/clang/Frontend/PreprocessorOptions.h, and include/clang/Frontend/PreprocessorOutputOptions.h. Seems like this would be the natural place where the Preprocessor would belong. Grepping, you'll find that there are a couple of promising sounding functions, InitializePreprocessor, and DoPrintPreprocessedInput which take Preprocessor reference arguments in include/clang/Frontend/Utils.h. So where is this Preprocessor? In clang/Lex. The same lib that contains all of clang's C/Objective-C/C++ lexing code. So, it seems to get the preprocessor, we will have to take a reasonable chunk of the rest of the clang insfrastructure. It seems the easiest (although, perhaps not the way with the fewest dependencies) way to construct a preprocessor instance is to construct a full clang::CompilerInstance, and the fill in the necessary parts of infrastructure for file handling, diagnositcs, and, surprisingling, the target (i.e. i486-redhat-linux). Putting it all together, we get the following:

```
void
preprocess( const std::string& infile, const std::string& outf )
 clang::CompilerInstance inst;
 llvm::raw_fd_ostream* myos = inst.createOutputFile( outf );
 inst.createFileManager();
 inst.createDiagnostics(0, NULL);
 clang::TargetOptions& options = inst.getTargetOpts();
 options.Triple = "i486-ubuntu-linux";
 clang::TargetInfo* target = clang::TargetInfo::CreateTargetInfo(inst.getDiagnostics(), options);
 inst.setTarget(target);
 inst.createSourceManager(inst.getFileManager()):
 inst.InitializeSourceManager(infile):
 clang::PreprocessorOptions& opts = inst.getPreprocessorOpts();
 //Push back all of the options...the defs can be "F00=val"
 //opts.addMacroDef( ... );
 inst.createPreprocessor();
 clang::DoPrintPreprocessedInput( inst.getPreprocessor(),
                                  inst.getPreprocessorOutputOpts() );
myos->close();
```

All in all, this isn't too much code to get a preprocessor. The second part of the story is linking in the clang library dependencies. As pointed out in other forums, there is no "clang-config" in the same vein as "llvm-config", telling, among other things, which order to link the libraries on linux. After a little playing around, I finally looked at the clang makefile to see what order clang links its development libraries. Note that clangFrontent is near the start of the list, and clangLex is near the end of the list. There is probably a way to get by with less, but again, this seemed to be the most straightforward way.

And there you go, a preprocessor library built from the clang libraries.

About these ads (http://wordpress.com/about-these-ads/)
Filed under <u>Uncategorized</u>
Blog at WordPress.com. The Paperpunch Theme.

**Follow** 

## Follow "A mishmash of random stuff"

Build a website with WordPress.com %d bloggers like this: