## **Problem Set 1 Solutions**

## 1 Answers

All questions were worth 1 point.

1. Write a query (using the SELECT statement) that will compute times and ids when any sensor's light reading was above 550. Show both the query and the first few lines of the result.

```
SELECT result_time, nodeid
FROM expt_table
WHERE light > 550
```

result_time		nodeid +	light
2004-08-21	06:41:25.088768		555
2004-08-21	06:41:54.299207	2	558
2004-08-21	06:42:23.596589	2	558
2004-08-21	06:42:52.294125	2	554
2004-08-21	06:52:37.536493	2	554
2004-08-21	06:53:06.853934	2	554
2004-08-21	06:53:35.833396	2	558
2004-08-21	06:54:05.198795	2	566
2004-08-21	06:54:34.632188	2	572
2004-08-21	06:55:04.167547	2	577
2004-08-21	06:55:33.071017	2	580
2004-08-21	06:56:01.919605	2	589
2004-08-21	06:56:31.61888	2	595
2004-08-21	06:57:01.299197	2	604
2004-08-21	06:57:29.572791	2	618
2004-08-21	06:57:59.416131	2	627
2004-08-21	06:58:28.323607	2	631
2004-08-21	06:58:57.629101	2	640
2004-08-21	06:59:27.309336	2	645

2. Write a query that will compute the average light reading at sensor 1 between 6 PM and 9 PM (inclusive of 6:00:00 PM and 9:00:00 PM). Show the query and the result.

A basic aggregate query. The "::" operator does typecasting in Postgres — here we use it to convert result\_time, which includes a day and year, to a time type which is just the time of day.

165.7606060606060606

3. Write a single query that computes the average temperature and light reading at every sensor between 6 PM and 9 PM, but exclude any sensors whose maximum voltage was greater than 418 during that time period. Show both the query and the result.

This query required a grouped-aggregate. The "HAVING" clause allows us to select only aggregate records that meet a specific condition. Note that in this case, no sensor had a voltage greater than 418; if you run the query until 9:59:00 PM, you would see that sensor 2 is excluded.

```
SELECT nodeid, AVG(light)
FROM expt_table
WHERE result_time::time >= '6:00:00 PM' AND
     result time::time <= '9:00:00 PM'
GROUP BY nodeid
HAVING MAX(voltage) <= 418
nodeid
               avq
     3 | 271.8764367816091954
     1 | 165.7606060606060606
     2 | 237.2383720930232558
SELECT nodeid, AVG(light)
FROM expt_table
WHERE result_time::time >= '6:00:00 PM' AND
     result_time::time <= '9:59:00 PM'
GROUP BY nodeid
HAVING MAX(voltage) <= 418
nodeid
               avg
_____
     3 | 274.4742489270386266
     1 | 155.5114155251141553
```

4. Write a query that computes the average calibrated temperature readings from sensor 2 during each hour, inclusive, between 6 PM and 9 PM (i.e., your answer should consist of 4 rows of calibrated temperatures.)

A combination of a join between the expt\_table and the calib\_temp table and an aggregate. Note the confusing wording of the question – I intended originally for the answer to consist of 4 rows representing 6-7 PM, 7-8 PM, 8-9 PM, and 9-10 PM, which is what I've shown here. Many of you provided and answer with just three rows, which was also fine.

5. Write a query that computes all the epochs during which the results from sensors 1 and 2 arrived more than 1 second apart. Show the query and the result. Note that you can use the difference (minus) operator on timestamps in Postgres, and that the string '1 second' refers to a period of 1 second.

The easiest way to answer this query is with a "self-join" – a join between two instances of expt\_table. The answer below includes both result\_time and epoch columns so to verify that the answer is correct. Note that you had to find times where node 1's data preceded node 2's and cases where node 2's data preceded node 1's.

result_time	result_time	nodeid	nodeid	epoch	epoch
2004-08-21 00:14:49.434821	+   2004-08-21 00:14:48.414879	1	2	667	667
2004-08-21 01:19:15.565386	2004-08-21 01:19:10.017423	1	2	799	799
2004-08-21 01:40:08.092629	2004-08-21 01:40:13.150497	1	2	842	842
2004-08-21 05:08:47.252727	2004-08-21 05:08:52.365847	1	2	1270	1270
2004-08-21 09:31:08.613878	2004-08-21 09:31:03.811451	1	2	1808	1808
2004-08-21 11:59:16.512238	2004-08-21 11:59:20.991415	1	2	2112	2112
2004-08-21 14:47:28.038216	2004-08-21 14:47:27.001914	1	2	2457	2457
2004-08-21 15:11:20.605471	2004-08-21 15:11:26.253382	1	2	2506	2506
2004-08-21 17:03:29.018229	2004-08-21 17:03:28.01174	1	2	2736	2736
2004-08-21 22:17:01.519419	2004-08-21 22:16:56.49269	1	2	3379	3379

6. Write a query that determines epochs during which one or two of the sensors did not return results. Show your query and the first few results, sorted by epoch number. You may wish to use a nested query – that is, a SELECT statement within the FROM clause of another SELECT statement.

This question didn't really require a nested query – it can be computed with a simple grouped aggregate.

```
SELECT epoch

FROM expt_table

GROUP BY epoch

HAVING COUNT(*) < 3

ORDER BY epoch;
```

ep	0	С	h	
	_	_	_	_
	б	3	9	
	6	5	3	
	б	8	3	
	7	1	2	
	7	1	5	
	7	2	5	
	7	2	7	
	7	2	9	
	7	3	2	
	7	3	4	

7. Write a query that produces a temperature reading for each of the three sensors during any epoch in which any sensor produced a reading. If a sensor is missing a value during a given epoch, your result should report the value of this sensor as the most recent previously reported value. If there is no such value (e.g., the first value for a particular sensor is missing), you should return the special value 'null'. You may wish to read about the CASE and OUTER JOIN SQL statements.

This query is substantially more complicated than the others. The easiest way to answer it is to decompose it into parts and then combine those parts together. First, we can build a sub-result that contains a one entry for every sensor during every epoch when at least one sensor reported using an unrestricted join – in other words, a cross-product:

```
SELECT nodeid, epoch FROM

(SELECT DISTINCT epoch FROM expt_table) AS es,

(SELECT DISTINCT nodeid FROM expt_table) AS ns) AS e2;
```

Then, we can combine this with the list of sensor readings, substituting nulls for any pair of nodeids and epochs in the cross-product that doesn't also appear in the original list of readings. We use an outer join to do this:

```
SELECT e2.nodeid, e2.epoch,
FROM expt_table AS e1
FULL OUTER JOIN
  (SELECT nodeid,epoch FROM
    (SELECT DISTINCT epoch FROM expt_table) AS es,
    (SELECT DISTINCT nodeid FROM expt_table) AS ns) AS e2
ON (e2.nodeid = e1.nodeid and e2.epoch = e1.epoch)
ORDER BY e2.epoch, e2.nodeid;
```

Finally, we need to replace the nulls in this list with the most recent non-null entry for this result. We can use the CASE statement to replace a particular value with the results of a subquery (alternatively, SQL provides the COALESCE statement for the special case of replacing a null value with some other result.)

Putting it all together, we get:

```
SELECT e2.nodeid, e2.epoch,
 (CASE WHEN
   el.temp IS null THEN
       (SELECT temp FROM expt_table
       WHERE nodeid = e2.nodeid AND
       epoch =
           (SELECT max(epoch) FROM expt_table
           WHERE epoch < e2.epoch AND
           nodeid = e2.nodeid)
       )
   ELSE
      e1.temp
  END)
FROM expt_table AS e1
FULL OUTER JOIN
  (SELECT nodeid, epoch FROM
    (SELECT DISTINCT epoch FROM expt_table) AS es,
    (SELECT DISTINCT nodeid FROM expt_table) AS ns) AS e2
 ON (e2.nodeid = e1.nodeid and e2.epoch = e1.epoch)
ORDER BY e2.epoch, e2.nodeid;
nodeid | epoch | temp
-----
     1 | 637 | 505
```

2	637	512
3	637	355
1	638	505
2	638	512
3	638	355
1	639	505
2	639	512
3	639	356
1	640	505
2	640	512
3	640	356
1	641	505
2	641	512
3	641	354
1	642	505
2	642	512
3	642	352
1	643	505
2	643	512
3	643	356
1	644	505
2	644	512
3	644	353
1	645	505
2	645	512
3	645	351
1	646	505
2	646	512
3	646	350

8. Write a query that determines epochs during which all three sensors did not return any results. Note that this is a deceptively hard query to write – you may need to make some assumptions about the frequency of missing epochs.

The trick here was to realize that there's no built-in way to get SQL to build a list of all numbers between 1 and n - if there were, we could simply take the difference between such a list over the range min(epoch) to max(epoch) and the actual list of reported epochs.

Instead, I assumed that there were no missing gaps of size greater than 4, and then built a list of all epochs that appeared UNIONed with the list of all epochs that appeared minus one, UNIONed with the same list minus two, and so on up to four. Then, I removed the list of epochs that acutally appeared (using the EXCEPT statement) to get a list of all missing epochs.

Alternatively, if you were willing to report the number of missing epochs, without specifically enumerating them, you could take the difference between the first missing epoch and the next available epoch.

Reporting answers in this way was suggested by Daniel Abadi.