

Reminders:

- HW 4 due today
- Doodle poll on class time during Ramadan
- Project proposals

Convergence and stability for IBVPs

Last time:

$$u_t = u_{xx}$$

discretized in space:

$$U'(t) = A_h U(t)$$

Where $A_h = \frac{1}{h^2} \text{tridiag}(1, -2, 1)$

Then discretize in
time: (using a 1-step
method)

$$U^{n+1} = R(KA_h)U^n$$

Where $R(z)$ is the stability
function of the method.

For example:

Explicit Euler: $R(z) = 1 + z$

$$\Rightarrow U^{n+1} = (I + KA_h)U^n$$

Implicit trapezoidal method:

$$R(z) = \left(1 - \frac{z}{2}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{z}{2}\right)$$

$$U^{n+1} = \left(I - \frac{1}{2}KA_h\right)^{-1} \left(I + \frac{1}{2}KA_h\right)U^n$$

Let $B_{k,h} = R(KA_h)$

So $U^{n+1} = B_{k,h} U^n \quad (1)$

Let $U^n = \begin{bmatrix} U(x_1, t_n) \\ U(x_2, t_n) \\ \vdots \\ U(x_m, t_n) \end{bmatrix}$

Then $U^{n+1} = B_{k,h} U^n + K \tau^n \quad (2)$

Let $E^n = U^n - u^n$

Subtract (2) from (1):

$$E^{n+1} = B_{k,h} E^n - K \tau^n$$

$$E^n = B_{k,h} E^{n-1} - K \tau^{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow E^{n+1} = (B_{k,h})^2 E^{n-1} - K B_{k,h} \tau^{n-1} - K \tau^n$$

$$E^N = (B_{k,h})^N E^0 - K \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} B_{k,h}^{N-1-j} \tau^j,$$

$$T = KN$$

We want to show that

$$\lim_{\substack{K, h \rightarrow 0 \\ N = \frac{T}{K}}} \|E^N\| = 0 \quad \text{Convergence}$$

We say the discretization is consistent if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|E^0\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{K, h \rightarrow 0} \|\tau\| = 0$$

Usually $E^0 = 0$

We say the method is **Lax-Richtmeyer stable** if

$$\|B_{K, h}^n\| < C(T) \quad \forall n$$

Where C is independent of K and h .

Assuming consistency and stability:

$$E^N = B^N E^0 + k \sum_j B^{N-1-j} \tau_j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \|E^N\| &\leq \|B^N\| \|E^0\| + k \sum_j \|B^{N-1-j}\| \cdot \|\tau_j\| \\ &\leq C(T) \|E^0\| + \underbrace{kN}_{\leq T} C(T) \max_j \|\tau_j\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{k, h \rightarrow 0} \|E^N\| = 0.$$

Examples:

Explicit Euler

$$B_{k,h} = I + kA_h$$

We want $\|B_{k,h}^n\|_2 < C(T)$

A sufficient condition is that all eigenvalues of B have modulus ≤ 1 .

$$\mu_p = 1 + k\lambda_p \quad \text{where } -\frac{4}{k^2} \leq \lambda_p \leq 0$$

So we need

$$-1 - \alpha k \leq 1 + k\lambda_p \leq 1 + \alpha k$$

$$-\frac{2}{K} \leq \lambda_p \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{2}{K} \leq -\frac{4}{h^2}$$

$$\frac{1}{K} \geq \frac{2}{h^2}$$

$$K \leq \frac{h^2}{2}$$

(absolute stability)

$$-\frac{2}{K} - \alpha \leq \lambda_p \leq \alpha$$

$$-\frac{2}{K} - \alpha \leq -\frac{4}{h^2} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{K}$$

$$\geq -\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \Rightarrow K \leq \frac{1}{\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}} = \frac{h^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{h^2 \alpha}{4}} \right)$$

with α fixed, as $h \rightarrow 0$
this gives the same
result.

Trapezoidal method: $R(z) = \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2}$

$$B_{K,h} = (I - \frac{1}{2}KA_h)^{-1} (I + \frac{1}{2}KA_h)$$

We want $\|B_{K,h}^n\|_2 < C(T)$

A sufficient condition is

$$|\mu_p| = \left| \frac{1 + \frac{K\lambda_p}{2}}{1 - \frac{K\lambda_p}{2}} \right| \leq 1$$

Always holds since $K\lambda_p < 0$

No restriction on how $K, h \rightarrow 0$.

A slightly weaker condition
can be imposed to prove L-R
stability:

$$\|B_{k,h}\| \leq 1 + \alpha k$$

Then you can show that:

$$\|B_{k,h}^N\| \leq (1 + \alpha k)^N \leq e^{\alpha T}$$