Runge-Kutta Methods

"stages"
$$Y_{i} = U^{n} + K \stackrel{>}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{\sim}{\sum}}} a_{ij} f(Y_{j}, t_{n} + KC_{j}) \qquad Y_{i} = U^{n} + K \stackrel{>}{\underset{j=1}{\overset{\sim}{\sum}}} b_{j} f(Y_{j}, t_{n} + KC_{j}) \qquad Y_{i} = U^{n} + K f(Y_{i}, t_{n})$$

Rutcher

$$\bigcup^{n+1} = \bigcup^{n} + K \stackrel{\geq}{\geq} b_{j} f(Y_{j}, t_{n} + kc_{j})$$

+f(Y2,tntk)

Gauss-Legendre
$$Y=\sqrt{3}$$
 $\frac{1}{2}-Y$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}-Y$ $\frac{1}{4}-Y$ $\frac{1}{4}+Y$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$

Classes of RK methods:

Fully implicit: A is a full matrix Need to solve sxm equations Diagonally implicit: A is lower triangular Need to solve 5 systems of m triangular No need to solve egns.

Apply a RK method to
$$U(t) = \lambda U$$
 $Y = U^n + K \stackrel{?}{\underset{j=1}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sum}}} \alpha_{ij} \lambda Y_j | E^{i \leq s}$
 $V_i^{m1} = U^n + K \stackrel{?}{\underset{j=1}{\stackrel{\sim}{\sum}}} b_j \lambda Y_j$
 $Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_i \\ Y_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}$

Apply a RK method to
$$U'(t) = \lambda U$$

$$Y = U^n 1 + ZAY$$

$$Y' = U^n + K \stackrel{>}{>} a_{ij} \lambda Y_{i} |_{z \in S}$$

$$V'' = U^n + K \stackrel{>}{>} b_{ij} \lambda Y_{i}$$

$$Y'' = U^n + Zb^{-1} 1$$

$$Y'' = U^n + Zb^{-1} 1$$

$$Y'' = U^n + Zb^{-1} 1 U^n$$

In general, R(z) is a rational function. $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x + x + \cdots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k}$ (I-zA)'= \$\frac{2}{5}2'A' R(z)=1+ = zith TAi1=1+ = zibTAi1 If A is strictly lower triangular, then $A^{S} = 0$

For instance, for RK4 Exact solution of u(t)= lu (0)=1 $U(t)=e^{\lambda t}$ $U(t_n+k)=e^{\lambda(t_n+k-t_n)}U(t_n)$ Compare with: Unti = R(z)Un So $R(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{j-1} z^{j+1} b^{T} A^{j} 1$ (explicit Me want $R(z) \approx e^{z} = \sum_{j=0}^{j-1} z^{j+1} b^{T} A^{j} 1$ (explicit This means $b^{T} A^{j} 1 = j!$ for a method of order P

Is
$$(*)$$
 sufficient to !

have $C = O(K^p)$?

No.

$$\frac{U(t_{n+1}) = U(t_n) + Ku'(t_n) + \frac{K^2}{2}u''(t_n) + \cdots}{Consider \ u:R \to R^m}$$

$$U'_i(t) = \int_i (u)$$

$$U''_i(t) = \sum_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j} \frac{du_j}{dt} = \sum_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u_j} f_j(u)$$

$$= f'f$$

$$U'''(t) = f'f'f + f''(f_jf)$$

$$U'''(t) = C'''(CCC) = C'C + C'$$

$$U''(t) = f'f' + f'(f,f)$$

$$U''(t) = f''(f,f,f) + 3f''(f,f'f) + f'f'(f,f)$$

$$+ f'f'f'f$$

There is a 1-1 map between these terms and rooted trees:

f'' = f''5554 F5(55) F(555) F(5,55)

The RK methods Form a group under Composition.

Error Estimation and Step Size Control How do we choose a Step Size? - Accuracy (we want a Focus
on
Small local error) this for
now — Stability (the errors shouldn't be amplified too much)

I deally we would limit the global error, but this is very costly. In practice we control
the local truncation error. We want to use the largest k such that 7,49 error tolerance

T depends on derivatives, so we should adapt k.

Error Estimation

(i) Richardson extrapolation
a) Take step with size K > Uk
b) Take two steps with size \(\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow U_{k}^{n+1} \)

[Untl-Untl] is an estimate of
the local error:

Embedded Runge-Kutta Pairs

 $(\mathcal{A}(K_b) - \mathcal{A}(K_{b-1}) = \mathcal{A}(K_{b-1})$

 $Y_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} + K \stackrel{\text{Sign}}{=} x_{ij} f(Y_i)$

1)n+1=11+x=b;+(Yi)

Jun = Nu+K = P; f(X) Z=Q(Kb)

 $|U^{n+1}-\hat{U}^{n+1}|=O(K^p)$ — stimate of one-step error

No extra evaluations of f

Step Size adaptation

L=Q(KPH)

Take a step and

estimate the local error by S

2) if 8> & (tolerance)
retake step with smaller
k.

3) if 8<6 accept the step and continue (possibly with