## Stiffness The Step Size K Can be restricted in 2 ways: With explicit methods, usually Kstab ~ 1 L=Lipschitz Constant

Course project proposals: Send to me by e-mail by midnight today. It L>>1, then Kstab will be very small for any explicit method.

Prothero-Robinson Problem  $U(H)=\lambda(u(H)-cos(H))-sin(H)$ U(0)=1 U(t) = cos(t)Solution:  $u(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}(\eta - \cos(t_0)) + \cos(t)$ 

We say this problem is stiff.

- (1) There is a slowly-changing solution, but nearby solutions are rapidly-changing.
  - Implicit methods give an accurate solution with much less work (compared to explicit methods) because Kstab Kacc for explicit methods.

Chemical Reaction

Problem

$$U'(t) = -K_1U_1(t)$$
 $U'_2(t) = K_1U_1(t) - K_2U_2(t)$ 
 $U'_3(t) = K_2U_2(t)$ 
 $A \xrightarrow{K_1} B \xrightarrow{K_2} C$ 
 $U = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $U'(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -K_1 & 0 & 0 \\ K_1 & -K_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U(t)$ 

Absolute stability region:
$$S = \begin{cases} 2C : |R(z)| \leq l \end{cases}$$
A mathod is A-stable
if  $C = S$ 

$$A(\alpha)-stability$$

With a A-Stable method, Kstab = 00 (for a stable problem) 50 we can take K≈Kacc. L-Stability For  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ , the solution of u= lu exhibits arbitrarily fast decay.

Do implicit methods show this behavior?

 $U^{n+1} = R(z)U^n$   $z=k\lambda$ We should have

50 We should have lim |R(z) = 0. z>-00

Backward Euler: U"=U"+kf(U") (R(Z)= 1-Z >0 as Z>-00

We say this method is L-stable. Implicit  $U^{n+1} = U^n + \frac{k}{2} \left( f(U^n) + f(U^{n+1}) \right)$ Trapezoidal  $\left| \frac{1+\frac{z}{2}}{1-\frac{z}{2}} \right| \longrightarrow 1$  $\left| \frac{z}{1-\frac{z}{2}} \right| \longrightarrow 1$ 

Not L-stable.

Good methods for stiff problems.

- Diagonally-implicit Runge-Kutta

\_Backward Differentiation Formulas