1. Write a spectral solver for the homogenized equations we derived in class:

$$\overline{K^{-1}}\overline{p}_t + \overline{u}_x = \delta\alpha \hat{c}^2 \overline{u}_{xx} \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{\rho} \ \overline{u}_t + \overline{p}_x = -\delta \alpha \hat{c}^2 \overline{p}_{xx} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\alpha = \overline{K^{-1} \llbracket \rho \rrbracket}$$

with

$$[\![f]\!](y) = \int_0^y \{f(\xi)\} d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \{f(\xi)\} d\xi d\tau.$$

where  $\{f(y)\} = f(y) - \int_0^1 f$ , and  $\delta$  is the period of the coefficient functions K(x),  $\rho(x)$ . Since these equations are linear, you don't need the pseudospectral approach and you don't need to discretize in time.

- 2. Compare the solution from your spectral solver with the direct solution of the variable-coefficient wave equation. For the latter, you may use the code in the notebook presented in class. How does the agreement between these two change as you:
  - Vary the final time?
  - Vary the length of the spatial period?
  - Vary the "wavelength" of the initial data?
  - Vary the amount of variation in the impedance:  $Z(x) = \sqrt{K(x)\rho(x)}$ ?

## If you're interested, here are some other questions you could consider:

- 3. Work out the next order terms using perturbation theory. Add these to your spectral solver. How much does the agreement between the homogenized system and the variable-coefficient system improve? Can you conjecture the general form of the corrections that will appear at subsequent orders?
- 4. In class, we wrote the homogenized system (without exchanging t-derivatives for x-derivatives) as a set of 4 first-order equations (see the notes). What is the dispersion relation for this system, and how does it differ from the dispersion relation we derived in class?

Write a solver for this system using either operator splitting or an exponential method, and compare the results it gives with those obtained in part (2) above.