

# Exam 1

## Part I: True/False (5 points each; 25 points)

For each of the following mark as true or false.

- a) \_\_\_\_\_  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$  for an  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , where

$$\text{tr}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ii} = \text{the sum of the diagonal elements of } C.$$

This is true. This is just a computation.  $(AB)_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}B_{ki}$ , so

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}B_{ki}$$

and

$$\text{tr}(BA) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n B_{ik}A_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ki}B_{ik} = \text{tr}(AB).$$

- b) \_\_\_\_\_  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B)$  for an  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ .

Interestingly, this is false, as an example can show. In fact, generating any three random  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries from  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  are likely to work. Try this using MATLAB: `round(rand(2))`. The first three matrices I got this way were:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So,  $\text{tr}(AB) = -1$  while  $\text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) = (-1)(0) = 0$ .

- c) \_\_\_\_\_ If  $W$  is a subspace of a vector space  $V$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $V$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  can be restricted to a basis for  $W$ .

This is false. Let  $W = \text{span}\{(1, 1)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 = V$ . The standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can not be restricted to a basis for  $W$ .

- d) \_\_\_\_\_ If  $W$  is a subspace of a vector space  $V$ , then there is a subspace  $U$  so that  $V = W \oplus U$ .

This notation is a little hard to find in your text:  $V = U + W$  means that for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , there is  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  so that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ .  $V = U \oplus W$  means  $V = U + W$  **and**  $U \cap W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , equivalently, for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , there is a **unique**  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  so that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$ .

This is true. Let  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be a basis for  $W$  and extend  $\mathcal{B}_W$  to  $\mathcal{B}_V$  a basis for  $V$ . Then let  $U = \text{span}(\mathcal{B}_V - \mathcal{B}_W)$ . It is clear that  $V = W \oplus U$ .

- e) \_\_\_\_\_ For any  $m \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$B = EA \text{ for some invertible } E \iff \text{NS}(A) = \text{NS}(B).$$

This is true.  $(\Rightarrow)$  is trivial, since if  $B = EA$ , then  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff EA\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff A\mathbf{x} = E^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ .  $(\Leftarrow)$  is discussed below in the "Proofs" section.

## Part II: Definitions and Theorems (5 points each; 25 points)

- a) Define what it means for a set of vectors  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  from a real vector space  $V$  to span  $V$ .

$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  **spans**  $V$  iff for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  is a linear combination of the vectors in  $\mathcal{B}$ , that is  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i$  for some coefficients  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- b) Define what it means for a set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  from a real vector space  $V$  to be linearly independent.

A set of vectors  $\mathcal{B}$  is **linearly independent** iff  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Equivalently, any linear combination of the vectors that gives  $\mathbf{0}$  must be trivial.

There are equivalent definitions, but essentially, this is what you need. You can say something like, for all  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_i \notin \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$

- c) Define what it means for a set of vectors  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  to be a basis for a vector space  $V$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  has must be a linearly independent and span  $V$ .

- d) State the Rank-Nullity Theorem.

If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then  $n = \dim(\text{RS}(A)) + \dim(\text{NS}(A)) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{nullity}(A)$ .

- e) What conditions must be checked to verify that  $W \subseteq V$  is a subspace of a vector space.  $V$

Closure under addition and scalar multiplication must be checked.

## Part III: Computational (15 points each; 45 point)

a) Given that  $A$  is a  $3 \times 4$  matrix and

$$\text{NS}(A) = \text{span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

compute  $\text{rref}(A)$ . Make sure to explain how you arrive at your result. You may use (a) from the “Proofs” part below.

Notation:  $\text{rref}(A)$  means the reduced row echelon form of  $A$ . This is unique, a general echelon form is not unique. From  $\text{rref}(A)$  there is a simple way to read off a basis for  $\text{NS}(A)$ , this exercise asks you to reverse that process.

We know  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff \text{rref}(A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff \mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(A)$ . From what we are given we see  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(A)$  iff

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r + 2s \\ -2r + 3s \\ r \\ s \end{bmatrix}$$

Working backwards from what we usually do we see  $x_4 = s, x_3 = r$ , and so  $x_2 = -2x_3 + 3x_4$  and  $x_1 = x_3 + 2x_4$ . This gives the system

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_3 - 2x_4 &= 0 \\ x_2 + 2x_3 - 3x_4 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  for

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$B$  is  $\text{rref}$  and so by Proofs (a)  $B = \text{rref}(A)$ .

b) For the same (unknown)  $A$  used in (a) for each of  $\text{RS}(A)$  and  $\text{CS}(A)$  find a basis if possible and explain how you know that you have found a basis; if it is not possible to find a basis, then explain why it is not.

$\text{RS}(A)$ : Here we know  $\text{RS}(A) = \text{RS}(\text{rref}(A))$  so the non-zero rows of  $\text{rref}(A)$  form a basis for  $\text{RS}(A)$ .

$\text{CS}(A)$ : You know that the first two columns of  $\text{rref}(A)$  are where the pivots are and so the first two columns of  $A$  would be a basis for  $\text{CS}(A)$ , but you have no way of finding these and you know nothing about  $\text{CS}(A)$  other than  $\dim(\text{CS}(A)) = 2$ . For example,  $\text{rref}(A_1) = \text{rref}(A_2) = B$  for

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & -5 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & -8 \end{bmatrix},$$

but

$$\text{CS}(A_1) = \text{span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right) \text{ and } \text{CS}(A_2) = \text{span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right),$$

and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{CS}(A_1)$  so  $\text{CS}(A_1) \neq \text{CS}(A_2)$ .

- c) Show that the upper-triangular  $n \times n$  matrices form a subspace of all  $n \times n$  matrices and find a basis for this subspace.

Let  $U$  be the collection of upper-triangular  $n \times n$  matrices, that is  $A \in U \iff A(i, j) = 0$  for  $n \geq i > j \geq 1$ .

It is clear that  $A + B \in U$  and  $\alpha A \in U$  for any  $A, B \in U$ . Let  $A, B \in U$ :

$$(A + B)(i, j) = A(i, j) + B(i, j) = 0 + 0 = 0 \text{ for } n \geq i > j \geq 1$$

$$(\alpha A)(i, j) = \alpha A(i, j) = 0 \text{ for } n \geq i > j \geq 1$$

A basis for  $U$  is  $\{E_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$  where  $E_{i,j}(l, m) = \delta_{(i,j),(l,m)}$ . It is clear that if  $A \in U$ , then  $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} A(i, j)E_{i,j}$ .

$$\text{Note: } \delta_{a,b} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

## Part IV: Proofs (15 points each; 60 points)

Provide complete arguments/proofs for the following.

a) Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $3 \times 4$  rref matrices, then

$$A = B \iff \text{NS}(A) = \text{NS}(B).$$

**Note:** the  $3 \times 4$  is a red-herring, this holds for arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrices. If this helps you, then just prove this more general result. Also notice that this actually gives

$$\text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B) \iff \text{NS}(A) = \text{NS}(B)$$

since  $\text{NS}(\text{rref}(A)) = \text{NS}(A)$ , trivially.

$(\Rightarrow)$  is trivial. For  $(\Leftarrow)$  we prove the contrapositive, namely, suppose  $A \neq B$ , then  $\text{NS}(A) \neq \text{NS}(B)$ . To start recall  $\text{RS}(A) = \text{NS}(A)^\perp = \text{NS}(B)^\perp = \text{RS}(B)$ . We also know that if  $A$  is in rref form, then the non-zero rows of  $A$  are a basis for  $\text{RS}(A)$  and hence for  $\text{RS}(B)$ .

Suppose  $A \neq B$  and let  $i$  be the first row on which they differ. Let  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ , this is  $\dim(A)$  and as  $A$  is rref we know this is the number of non-zero rows of  $A$ .

**Claim 1:** The pivots (leading 1's) occur at the same places in  $A$  and  $B$ .

If this fails, let  $i$  be the first row where  $R_i(A) \neq R_i(B)$ , where  $R_i(A) = i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ . We may assume the first 1 of  $R_i(A)$  occurs before the first 1 of  $R_i(B)$ . (Else just swap the roles of  $A$  and  $B$ .) Let  $k$  be the position of the pivot (leading 1) in  $R_i(A)$ . We know  $R_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j R_j(B)$ , then clearly  $c_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, i-1$ . This is because all entries up to  $k-1$  are 0's in  $R_i(A)$  while all of  $R_j(B)$  for  $j < k$  have a leading 1 before  $k$ .

So  $R_i(A) = \sum_{j=k}^r c_j R_j(B)$ , but for  $j \geq k$  we know the first  $k$  entries of  $R_j(B)$  are 0's so it is impossible to get a 1 in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  position.

**Claim 2:**  $R_i(A) = R_i(B)$  for all  $i \leq r$ .

This claim is actually trivial given the first. We know  $R_i(A) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j R_j(B)$ , but  $R_i(A)$  has 0 at all pivot places except for that of  $R_i(B)$ , so we must have  $R_i(A) = c_i R_i(B)$ . Since the leading non-zero element is 1 we have  $c_i = 1$ , so  $R_i(A) = R_i(B)$ .

b) **Prove:** For  $m \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  define  $A \underset{\text{row}}{\sim} B$  to mean that you can get from  $A$  to  $B$  by a series of elementary row operations. Use the  $m \times n$  version of (a), namely:  $\text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B) \iff \text{NS}(A) = \text{NS}(B)$  to show that

$$A \underset{\text{row}}{\sim} B \iff \text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B)$$

**Remark:** Using elementary matrices one can show

$$A \underset{\text{row}}{\sim} B \iff A = EB \text{ for some invertible matrix } E$$

This is done in the text and in my notes. So you get here

$$A \underset{\text{row}}{\sim} B \iff \text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B) \iff A = EB \text{ for some invertible matrix } E$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Clearly if  $A \underset{\text{row}}{\sim} B$ , then  $\text{NS}(A) = \text{NS}(B)$  since elementary row ops generate equivalent systems of equations. (This was the whole point of row operations in the first place!)

( $\Leftarrow$ ), this is really trivial. Do a series of operations to get from  $A$  to  $\text{rref}(A)$  and from  $B$  to  $\text{rref}(B)$ , then just reverse the series from  $B$  to  $\text{rref}(B) = \text{rref}(A)$  to get back to  $B$ . Combining these you get a series of row ops that goes from  $A$  to  $\text{rref}(A)$  and then from  $\text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B)$  back to  $B$ .

c) **Prove:** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbb{R}^n = \text{NS}(A) \oplus \text{RS}(A)$ .

Recall:  $V = U \oplus W$  means  $V = U + W = \{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in W\}$  and  $U \cap W = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

By the rank-nullity theorem if  $\mathcal{B}$  a basis for  $\text{RS}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a basis for  $\text{NS}(A)$ , then  $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$  has size  $n$ .

If we can show that  $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent, then we have that  $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and so  $\text{RS}(A) \oplus \text{NS}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We just need to see that  $\text{RS}(A) \cap \text{NS}(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . The simplest thing here is to note that since  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  for an  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(A)$ , then  $\mathbf{r}_i \perp \mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{r}_i^T$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of  $A$ . So  $\text{RS}(A) \perp \text{NS}(A)$ .

d) **Prove:** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $A^k = \mathbf{0}$  for any  $k$ , then  $A^n = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Proof 1:** To do this show

i) Show  $\text{NS}(A^{m+1}) \supseteq \text{NS}(A^m)$  for all  $m$ .

ii) Show that if  $\text{NS}(A^{m+1}) = \text{NS}(A^m)$ , then  $\text{NS}(A^n) = \text{NS}(A^m)$  for all  $n \geq m$ .

It is clear that  $\text{NS}(A^{m+1}) \supseteq \text{NS}(A^m)$ , since  $A^m\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \implies A(A^m\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{m+1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . So (i) is shown,

For (ii) suppose  $\text{NS}(A^m) = \text{NS}(A^{m+1})$ , then  $A^{m+2}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{m+1}(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \implies A^m(A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{m+1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . So  $\text{NS}(A^{m+2}) \subseteq \text{NS}(A^{m+1})$ , but then  $\text{NS}(A^{m+2}) = \text{NS}(A^{m+1}) = \text{NS}(A^m)$ . Now just keep going to get  $\text{NS}(A^k) = \text{NS}(A^m)$  for all  $k \geq m$ .

This means we have

$$\text{NS}(A^0) \subsetneq \text{NS}(A^1) \subsetneq \text{NS}(A^2) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \text{NS}(A^{m-1}) \subsetneq \text{NS}(A^m) = \text{NS}(A^{m+1}) = \cdots$$

The  $m$  at which  $\text{NS}(A^k) = \text{NS}(A^m)$  for all  $m \geq k$  must itself be  $\leq n$ .

If  $A^k = \mathbf{0}$  for any  $k$ , then  $\text{NS}(A^k) = \mathbb{R}^n$  is maximal and thus  $m \leq k$  and  $\text{NS}(A^m) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $m \leq n$ ,  $\text{NS}(A^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$  and so  $A^n = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Proof 2:** You can use induction. To do this we need to prove something that sounds slightly stronger:

$P_n$  : For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , if  $A^m = \mathbf{0}$  for any  $m > n$ , then  $A^n = \mathbf{0}$ .

**base case: ( $n = 1$ )** If  $A^m = [a]^m = [a^m] = [0]$ , for  $m > 1$ , then  $a = 0$ , so  $A^1 = [a] = [0]$  as needed.

**inductive step:** Suppose  $P_{n-1}$ : For any  $m > n - 1$ ,  $A^m = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{n-1} = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  matrices. We want to prove  $P_n$ .

Assume  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $A^m = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $m > n$ . Notice that  $\ker(A) \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , since if  $\ker(A) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , then  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective and thus  $A^m$  is also injective, so  $\ker(A^m) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . This obviously contradicts  $A^m = \mathbf{0}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \ker(A)$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . So letting  $B = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n]$  we have

$$A = BA'B^{-1}$$

where

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a'_{12} & a'_{13} & \dots & a'_{1n} \\ 0 & & & & \\ \vdots & & \hat{A} & & \\ 0 & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\hat{A}$  is the indicated  $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$  submatrix of  $A'$ .

$A'$  is the matrix of  $L(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$  with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Notice that  $A^m = \mathbf{0}$  means  $L^m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$  and hence  $A'\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ , a finally this means  $A'^m = \mathbf{0}$ .

Notice that  $A'$  has the block form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(A')^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \hat{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

By a second, obvious induction,

$$(A')^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \hat{A}^{k-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume  $\hat{A}'^m = \mathbf{0}$  so  $\hat{A}^m = \mathbf{0}$  and by induction  $\hat{A}^{n-1} = \mathbf{0}$  and thus

$$(A')^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \hat{A}^{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$



**Proof 3:** This is not a proof, I would expect to see, it uses stuff we have not covered. However, it seems some students find this proof and then can't quite carry it out, so I will also indicate the main error.

It is true that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $A$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  an eigenvector for  $\lambda$ , then  $A^k \mathbf{x} = \lambda^k \mathbf{x} = 0 \mathbf{x} = 0$ , so  $\lambda^n = 0$  and hence  $\lambda = 0$ . But this does not mean that  $A = 0$ . In fact, here is a matrix where  $A^n = 0$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

You can think about what  $A$  "does"

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \cdots \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $A^n = 0$ , but  $A^m \neq 0$  for any  $m < n$  and the only eigenvalue is  $\lambda = 0$ .

What you can argue is that if  $p(t) = a_n t^n + \cdots + a_1 t + a_0$  is the characteristic function, then  $p(A) = 0$ , **Cayley-Hamilton theorem**. Since 0 is the only eigenvalue  $p(x) = a_n x^n$ , so we know  $a_n A^n = 0$ , thus  $A^n = 0$ .