Homework 6 Solutions

Ch 18: 17, 30, 33, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42

17. Show in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ that 3 is irreducible, hence prime, since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a PID, and hence UFD, but 2 and 5 are not irreducible.

$$2 = (1-i)(1+i)$$

and

$$5 = (1-2i)(1+2i)$$

Consider $N(z) = |z|^2 = z\bar{z}$. It is clear that $N : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{N}$ and it preserves products $N(z_1 z_2) = N(z_1)N(z_2)$. ($N$ is called a ***norm*** on $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ in *number theory.*) Note $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$.

Assume 3 is reducible, so $3 = (a+bi)(c+di)$, then $N(3) = 9 = (a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2)$, so either $(a^2+b^2) = 3 = (c^2+d^2)$ or $(a^2+b^2) = 9$ and $(c^2+d^2) = 1$. Both options are impossible since if a^2+b^2 is odd, then $(a^2+b^2) \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. (See the claim below.) We have a contradiction, so $3 \neq (a+bi)(c+di)$.

Claim: If $n = a^2 + b^2$ is odd, then $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

Since n is odd, we may assume a^2 is even and b^2 is odd. In htis case, $a = 2a'$ and so $a^2 = 4(a')^2 \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Similarly, $b = 2b' + 1$ and so $b^2 = 4(b')^2 + 4b' + 1 \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Thus $n \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

29. Show that if $p \mid n$, then p is prime in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

If $p \mid a \cdot b$ in $\mathbb{Z}_n$, then $a \cdot b = p \cdot m \bmod n$ so in $\mathbb{Z}$ $n \mid a \cdot b - p \cdot m$, that is $a \cdot b - p \cdot m = n \cdot q$ and so $p \cdot m = a \cdot b - n \cdot q$ and since $p \mid n$ and $p \mid a \cdot b$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. But then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ and hence also in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

So p is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

30. You might think that since all primes are irreducible, we are done from #29. But this was only true in an integral domain. So we must argue the point.

If $p^2 \nmid n$, then n/p and p are relatively prime, so there are s and t such that $sp + t(n/p) = 1$, but then $p = p(sp) + tn$ and thus $p = p(sp) \bmod n$ witnesses that p is reducible since p and sp are zero-divisors, and hence, not units, in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

Conversely, if $p^2 \mid n$ and $p = ab \pmod n$, then $p - ab = mn$ so $p \mid ab$ and hence $p \mid b$ or $p \mid a$. Say $p \mid b$ so that $1 - ab/p = 1 - ab' = m(n/p) = mn'$, in $\mathbb{Z}$. In $\mathbb{Z}$ we have now $1 = ab' + mn'$ and so $1 = \gcd(a, n')$ in particular $p \nmid a$, so $1 = \gcd(a, pn') = \gcd(a, n)$ and so a is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

33. This is a trivial induction. Suppose for all $m < n$ is $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_{m-1}$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some $i < m$. Then if $p \mid a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$ we have $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_{n-2}$ or $p \mid a_{n-1}$. In the latter case, we are done. In the first case, we apply the induction hypothesis to $m = n - 1$.

36. Show that every integral domain with the descending chain condition is a field. First, we may assume $|R|$ is infinite since we already know that any finite integral domain is a field.

If R is not a field, let $r \neq 0$ be a non-unit of R. If $(r^2) = (r)$, then $r = r^2 t$ for some t, but then $r - r^2 t = r(1 - rt) = 0$, so either $r = 0$ or r is a unit. Either is a contradiction. So $(r^2) \subset (r)$. Continuing, we get $(r^3) = (r^2)$ implies $r^2 = r^3 t$ so $r^2(1 - rt) = 0$ and either $r^2 = 0$ or r is a unit. Again, neither can be true so $(r^3) \subset (r^2)$. We can continue thus to get $(r^{n+1}) \subset (r^n)$ for all n. This contradicts the descending chain condition. So it must be that R is a field.

37. Show that R satisfies ACC iff every ideal is finitely generated.

Suppose R satisfies ACC. Fix an ideal I. Take $a_1 \in I$, if $(a_1) \neq I$, then take $a_2 \in I - (a_1)$. If $(a_1, a_2) \neq I$, take $a_3 \in I - (a_1, a_2)$, etc. Since R satisfies ACC, we must reach some k so that $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = I$.

Suppose every ideal is finitely generated. Let $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots$ be proper ideals. Let $I = \bigcup_i I_i$. I is finitely generated so get k such that $(a_1, \dots, a_k) = I$. Take n so that $a_i \in I_n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then $I_n = I$ and we have ACC.

38. It is not true that a subdomain of a Euclidean domain needs be Euclidean as $\mathbb{Z}[x] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x]$ demonstrates. Both are domains, but $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not Euclidean.

41. In $\mathbb{Z}[-7]$, clearly $N(6+2\sqrt{-7}) = 6^2+7\cdot 2^2 = 36+28 = 1+63 = 1^2+3^2\cdot 7 = N(1+3\sqrt{-7})$. Also, if $u \in U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}])$, then $N(u) = 1 = a^2 + 7b^2$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The only option here is $u = \pm 1$, that is $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]) = \{1, -1\}$. Clearly, $6 + 2\sqrt{-7} \neq \pm(1 + 3\sqrt{-7})$ so $6 + 2\sqrt{-7}$ and $1 + 3\sqrt{-7}$ are not associates.

42. Let $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cdots = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $r_i = (1, 1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0, 0, \cdots) \in R$ so that r_i has i many 1's followed by 0's. Clearly $(r_i) \subset (r_{i+1})$, basically,

$$(r_i) = R^i \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \cdots \subset R^{i+1} \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \cdots = (r_{i+1}).$$

Ch 19: 1 – 3, 14 – 16, 20, 22, 24, 25, 36, 37, 43, 44, 47

1. Describe $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$.

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^3 - 5\rangle$ so one description is as the set of all elements $q(x) + \langle x^3 - 5\rangle$, where $q(x) = a_0 + a_1 c + a_2 x^2$ (by Euclidean algorithm). Letting $\alpha = x + \langle x^3 - 5\rangle$, or if you like, let $\sqrt[3]{5} = x + \langle x^3 - 5\rangle$, then the elements of $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^3 - 5\rangle$ are of the form $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2$ so that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) = \{a_0 + a_1(5^{1/3}) + a_2(5^{2/3}) \;\Big|\; a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

Another less useful description is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$ is the smallest field containing $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subfield with a root of $x^3 - 5$.

2. Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. Clearly, $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ so to get equality, we just need $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. Notice, $(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}) = 2+2\sqrt{6}+3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$, then clearly, $\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{2})$ and so $\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}) = 3\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. Thus $3\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{3}-2(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. It is then simple to get $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$.

3. Find the splitting field of $x^3 - 1$. Let $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ be the principle cubic root unity. Then $x^3 - 1$ has roots $1, \omega, \omega^2$ and so $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ is the splitting field.

14. Find all ring automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$.

The automorphisms must take roots of the irreducible polynomial to each other. So for $x^2 - 5$ the roots are $\pm\sqrt{5}$, and thus there are two automorphisms, the identity, and $\sqrt{5} \mapsto -\sqrt{5}$.

For $x^3 - 5$ the roots are $\sqrt[3]{5}\,\omega^m$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$ where $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$. Since any automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$ must send $\sqrt[3]{5}$ to one of $\sqrt[3]{5}\,\omega^m$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$, there is only one possibility. Namely, $\sqrt[3]{5}$ must be fixed, and hence there is only the identity automorphism.

Note This is a different question, than understanding the automorphisms of the splitting field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}, \omega)$, i.e., $\mathrm{Gal}(x^3 - 5)$.

15. Let F be a field of characteristic p and let $f(x) = x^p - a$ show that f either splits or is irreducible over F.

Let α be a root of $f(x)$ in a field $F \subseteq E$ (possibly $E = F$), since E is also of characteristic p we have $\alpha^p - a = 0$ so $a = \alpha^p$ and $f(x) = x^p - \alpha^p = (x - \alpha)^p$. If $\alpha \in F$, then $f(x)$ splits over F.

If $\alpha \notin F$ let $g(x)$ be an irreducible factor of $f(x)$. We know, in E, that $g(x) = (x - \alpha)^k$ for some $1 < k < p$ since $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^p$, but then $g(x) = h(x^p)$ (Theorem 19.6) and so it must be that $k = p$, hence $f(x) = g(x)$, that is, $f(x)$ is irreducible.

16. Suppose β is a zero of $f(x) = x^4 + x + 1$ in some field extension E of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Write $f(x)$ as a product of linear factors in $E[x]$.

We can perform polynomial division:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x^3 + \beta x^2 + \beta^2 x + (1 + \beta^3) \\
x - \beta \,\overline{)\, x^4 + x + 1} \\
\underline{x^4 - \beta x^3} \\
\beta x^3 \\
\underline{\beta x^3 - \beta^2 x^2} \\
\beta^2 x^2 + x \\
\underline{\beta^2 x^2 - \beta^3 x} \\
(1 + \beta^3)x + 1 \\
\underline{(1 + \beta^3)x - \beta(1 + \beta^3)} \\
\beta^4 + \beta + 1 = 0
\end{array}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^3 + \beta x^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^3 + 1 &= x^2(\beta + x) + \beta^2(\beta + x) + 1 \\
&= (x^2 + \beta^2)(x + \beta) + 1 = (x + \beta)^2(x + \beta) + 1 \quad = (x + \beta)^3 + 1 \\
&= (x + \beta)^3 - 1 \\
&= (x + \beta - 1)((x + \beta)^2 + (x + \beta) + 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}(x+\beta)^2+(x+\beta)+1 &= x^2+\beta^2+x+\beta+1 \\ &= x^2+\beta^2+x+\beta^4 \qquad\qquad (\text{since } \beta^4=-(1+\beta)=1+\beta) \\ &= (x+\beta^2)(x+\beta^2+1)\end{aligned}$$

So

$$x^4+x+1=(x-\beta)(x+\beta-1)(x+\beta^2)(x+\beta^2+1)$$

20. Find $p(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ so that $\mathbb{Q}\Big(\sqrt{1+\sqrt{5}}\Big)=\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &= 1+\sqrt{5} \\ x^2-1 &= \sqrt{5} \\ (x^2-1)^2 &= 5 \\ x^4-2x^2-4 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

We cannot use Theorem 17.4 (Eisenstein's Criteria) to see that $p(x)=x^4-2x^2-4$ is irreducible. If $p(x)$ were reducible, then $x^4-2x^2-4=(x^2+ax+b)(x^2+cx+d)$ with $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $ax^3+cx^3=0$ we have $c=-a$ and hence we have $x^4-2x^2-4=(x^2+ax+b)(x^2-ax+d)$. Now we have $adx-abx=0$, so either $a=0$ or $b=d$. $b=d$ is not possible since $b^2\neq -4$ and $a=0$ is also not possible since then $x^4-2x^2-4=(x^2+b)(x^2+d)=x^4+(b+d)x+bd$ with $b+d=-2$ and $bd=-4$, hence $b=-2-d$ and $(-2-d)d=-2d+d^2=-4$ or $d^2-2d+4=0$ for an integer d. With some effort, we have shown that $p(x)$ is irreducible.

22. Suppose $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are relatively prime in $F[x]$ and K is an extension field of F, then $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ remain relatively prime in $K[x]$.

If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are relatively prime in $F[x]$, this means that there are $h(x)$ and $k(x)$ in $F[x]$ so that $h(x)f(x)+k(x)g(x)=1$. (Recall $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are relatively prime if there is $l(x)$ a non-unit with $l(x)\mid f(x),g(x)$.) But since $F[x]$ is a PID, this means that $(f(x))+(g(x))=F[X]$ and this, in turn, means that the desired $h(x)$ and $k(x)$ exist.

But then, $h(x)f(x)+k(x)g(x)=1$ continues to hold in $K[x]$ so $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ remain relatively prime.

24. Describe the elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

$\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^4-2\rangle=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/\langle x^2-\sqrt{2}\rangle=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ and so

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})=\{a+b\sqrt[4]{2}\;\Big|\;a,b\in\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})\}=\{a+b\,2^{1/4}+c\,2^{1/2}+d\,2^{3/2}\;\Big|\;a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{Q}\}$$

25. What can you say about the order of the splitting field of $x^5+x^4+1=(x^2+x+1)(x^3+x+1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$?

Let α be a root of x^2+x+1, that is, $\alpha=x+\langle x^2+x+1\rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/\langle x^2+x+1\rangle$. So

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)=\{a+b\alpha\mid a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_2\}=\{0,1,\alpha,1+\alpha\}$$

and the multiplication table is

	α	$1+\alpha$
α	$1+\alpha$	1
$1+\alpha$	1	α

Here is how you get this, $\alpha^2 = x^2+\langle x^2+x+1\rangle$, $(\alpha+1)^2 = \alpha^2+1$ (Recall that $(a+b)^2 = a^2+b^2$ here.), and $\alpha(1+\alpha) = \alpha^2+\alpha$. First we compute α^2:

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ x^2 \overline{)\, x^2+x+1} \\ \underline{x^2} \\ x+1 \end{array}$$

So $x^2 = x+1 \pmod{x^2+x+1}$ so $\alpha^2 = \alpha+1$. Hence $(\alpha+1)^2 = \alpha^2+1 = \alpha+2 = \alpha$ and $\alpha(\alpha+1) = \alpha^2+\alpha = 2\alpha+1 = 1$.

We know that if $g(x) = x^3+x+1$ factored in $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$, then there must be one linear factor and hence a root in $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$, but we can check that this is not the case.

$$g(\alpha) = \alpha^3+\alpha+1 = \alpha^2\alpha+\alpha^2 = \alpha^2(\alpha+1) = (\alpha+1)^2 = \alpha \neq 0$$

and

$$g(\alpha+1) = (\alpha+1)^3+(\alpha+1)+1 = (\alpha+1)^2(\alpha+1)+\alpha = \alpha(\alpha+1)+\alpha = 1+\alpha \neq 0$$

We already know that $g(0)$ and $g(1)$ are not 0. So $g(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$.

Let β be a root of $g(x)$, that is, $\beta = x+\langle g(x)\rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$. Then $[\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)] = 3$ and hence $|\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta)| = 4^3 = 64$. Notice $[\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2] = [\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)][\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha) : \mathbb{Z}_2] = 3\cdot 2 = 6$ and so $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta) = 2^6 = 64$.

Now $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$ and

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta) = \{a_0+a_1\beta+a_2\beta^2 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)\}$$

whereas

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\beta)(\alpha) = \{a_0+a_1\alpha \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\beta)\}$$

In either case, we have that a typical element of $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha,\beta)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}(a_0+a_1\beta+a_2\beta^2)+(b_0+b_1\beta+b_2\beta^2)\alpha &= a_0+b_0\alpha+a_1\beta+b_1\beta\alpha+a_2\beta^2+b_2\alpha\beta^2 \\ &= c_0+c_1\alpha+c_2\beta+c_3\alpha\beta+c_4\beta^2+c_5\alpha\beta^2\end{aligned}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

We still want to know if x^3+x+1 splits over $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$, there are $64-5 = 59$ elements to check! If we divide x^3+x+1 by $x-\beta$ we get $x^3+x+1 = (x-\beta)(x^2+\beta x+\beta^2+1)$.

We need to see if there are any roots of $x^2+\beta x+\beta^2+1$. Let's check β^2, we have

$$\beta^4+\beta^3+(\beta+1)^2 = (\beta+1)(\beta^3+\beta+1) = 0$$

So $x^2+\beta x+\beta^2+1$ does have a root in $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$ and hence splits there. So $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$ is the splitting field of $x^5+x^4+1 = (x^2+x+1)(x^3+x+1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Here is some **Sage code** to help with such computations. Here is additional documentation for the code.

36. Find the splitting field for $x^4+1=(x^2+x+2)(x^2+2x+2)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_3$.

Let α be a root for x^2+x+2, the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ are of the form $a_0+a_1\alpha$ and these are

$$0, 1, 2, \alpha, 2\alpha, 1+\alpha, 1+2\alpha, 2+\alpha, 2+2\alpha$$

Note that we know that x^2+x+2 splits $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$, since $x^2+x+2=(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)$ by the Euclidean Division Algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$.

Also, note that $\alpha^2=-\alpha-2=2\alpha+1$ with this, we can compute all other multiples. Let's check the status of $g(x)=x^2+2x+2$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha) &= \alpha^2+2\alpha+2=2\alpha+1+2\alpha+2=4\alpha+3=\alpha \\ g(2\alpha) &= (2\alpha)^2+2(2\alpha)+2=4\alpha^2+4\alpha+2=\alpha^2+\alpha+2=0 \end{aligned}$$

So 2α is a root of $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$, and as above $g(x)$ also splits. Thus x^4+1 splits in $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$. So far, we have roots α and 2α. We can do long division:

$$\begin{array}{r} x+(\alpha+1) \\ x-\alpha\,\overline{)\,x^2+x+2} \\ \underline{x^2-\alpha x} \\ (\alpha+1)x+2 \\ \underline{(\alpha+1)x+\alpha(\alpha+1)} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

Since $\alpha(\alpha+1)=\alpha^2+\alpha=-2$. So $x^2+x+2=(x-\alpha)(x+(\alpha+1))$. Now we do this again

$$\begin{array}{r} x+2(\alpha+1) \\ x-2\alpha\,\overline{)\,x^2+2x+2} \\ \underline{x^2-2\alpha x} \\ 2(\alpha+1)x+2 \\ \underline{2(\alpha+1)x+4\alpha(\alpha+1)} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

Since $4\alpha(\alpha+1)=\alpha(\alpha+1)=-2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^4+1 &= (x-\alpha)(x+(\alpha+1))((x-2\alpha)(x+2(\alpha+1)) \\ &= (x^2-\alpha^2)(x^2-(\alpha+1)^2) \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ is the splitting field and α and $\alpha+1$ are the roots, each repeated twice.

Note (25) and (36) indicate the different sorts of situations that can arise in iterated extensions; what happens depends on whether the roots from one extension are already roots of a future extension.

37. This is sort of stated poorly. Obviously, if there is smallest field containing F and $a_1,\ldots,a_n$, then

$$\bigcap\{E \mid F\subseteq E \text{ and } \{a_1,\ldots,a_n\}\subset E\}$$

must be this smallest field, by definition of "smallest":)

The point is that the intersection of fields is a field; this is easy.

43. Let $F = \mathbb{Z}_p(t)$ and $f(x) = x^p - t$. Show that $f(x)$ is irreducible and has multiple roots.

$f'(x) = px^{p-1} = 0$ since F has characteristic p. Thus $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$ do have a common factor in $F[x]$, namely $f(x)$. Thus $f(x)$ has repeated roots.

By exercise (15) above, $f(x)$ is irreducible unless it splits in F. It $f(x)$ splits over F, then $t = \alpha^p = (p(t)/q(t))^p$ for some $p(t), q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[t]$ with $q(t) \neq 0$ and

$$t(a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_n t^n)^p = (b_0 + b_1 t + \cdots + b_m t^m)^p$$

hence $\deg(LHS) = np + 1 = mp = \deg(RHS)$, which absurd. So $f(x)$ is irreducible over F.

44. Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial over a field F. Prove that the number of distinct zeros of $f(x)$ in a splitting field divides $\deg f(x)$.

If the characteristic of F is 0, then there are $\deg(f(x))$ distinct roots. If $\mathrm{char}(F) = p$, then $f(x) = (x-a_1)^m \cdots (x-a_k)^m$ where $km = \deg(f)$. This follows from the corollary to Theorem 19.9.

47. What is the splitting field of $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$? What is the splitting field over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}i)$?

We know that the splitting field of $f(x)$ is $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ where $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. So

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})(\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})(\sqrt{3}i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}i)(\sqrt[3]{2})$$