

## Homework 6 Solutions

### Ch 18: 17, 30, 33, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42

**17.** Show in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  that 3 is irreducible, hence prime, since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID, and hence UFD, but 2 and 5 are not irreducible.

$$2 = (1 - i)(1 + i)$$

and

$$5 = (1 - 2i)(1 + 2i)$$

Suppose  $3 = (a + bi)(c + di)$ , then

$$3\bar{3} = 9 = (a + bi)(c + di)\overline{(a + bi)(c + di)} = (a + bi)\overline{(a + bi)}(c + di)\overline{(c + di)} = (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)$$

But then,  $3 \mid a^2 + b^2$  (or  $3 \mid c^2 + d^2$ ). This is the same as  $a^2 + b^2 = 0 \pmod{3}$  and this in turn is the same as

$$(a \pmod{3})^2 + (b \pmod{3})^2 = 0 \pmod{3}$$

But we can just check the values for  $a \pmod{3}$  and  $b \pmod{3}$ . Using the symmetry that we have here, we can just check the pairs  $(r, s)$  for  $(r, s)$  in  $\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$  the only one satisfying  $r^2 + s^2 = 0$  is for  $r = 0 = s$ . So we must  $3 \mid a, b$  and hence  $3 \mid a + bi$  and so

$$3 = 3(a' + b'i)(c + di)$$

but then  $a' + b'i, c + di \in \{1, -1\}$  (a unit) so 3 is irreducible.

**29.** Show that if  $p \mid n$ , then  $p$  is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

If  $p \mid a \cdot b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , then  $a \cdot b = p \cdot m \pmod{n}$  so in  $\mathbb{Z}$   $n \mid a \cdot b - p \cdot m$ , that is  $a \cdot b - p \cdot m = n \cdot q$  and so  $p \cdot m = a \cdot b - n \cdot q$  and since  $p \mid n$  and  $p \mid a \cdot b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence also in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

So  $p$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**30.** You might think that since all primes are irreducible, we are done from #29. But this was only true in an integral domain. So we must argue the point.

If  $p^2 \nmid n$ , then  $n/p$  and  $p$  are relatively prime, so there are  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $sp + t(n/p) = 1$ , but then  $p = p(sp) + tn$  and thus  $p = p(sp) \pmod{n}$  witnesses that  $p$  is decomposable since  $p$  and  $sp$  are not a units in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Conversely, if  $p^2 \mid n$  and  $p = ab \pmod{n}$ , then  $p - ab = mn$  so  $1 - ab/p = 1 - ab' = m(n/p) = mn'$ , in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We know  $p \mid b$  or  $p \mid a$ . Suppose  $p \mid b$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have now  $1 = ab' + mn'$  and so  $1 = \gcd(a, n') = \gcd(a, n)$  and so  $a$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

**33.** This is a trivial induction. Suppose for all  $m < n$  is  $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_{m-1}$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i < m$ . Then if  $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$  we have  $p \mid a_1 \cdots a_{n-2}$  or  $p \mid a_{n-1}$ . In the latter case, we are done. In the first case, we apply the induction hypothesis to  $m = n - 1$ .

**36.** Show that every integral domain with the descending chain condition is a field. First, we may assume  $|R|$  is infinite since we already know that any finite integral domain is a field.

If  $R$  is not a field, let  $r \neq 0$  be a non-unit of  $R$ . If  $(r^2) = (r)$ , then  $r = r^2 t$  for some  $t$ , but then  $r - r^2 t = r(1 - rt) = 0$ , so either  $r = 0$  or  $r$  is a unit. Either is a contradiction. So  $(r^2) \subset (r)$ . Continuing, we get  $(r^3) = (r^2)$  implies  $r^2 = r^3 t$  so  $r^2(1 - rt) = 0$  and either  $r^2 = 0$  or  $r$  is a unit. Again, neither can be true so  $(r^3) \subset (r^2)$ . We can continue thus to get  $(r^{n+1}) \subset (r^n)$  for all  $n$ . This contradicts the descending chain condition. So it must be that  $R$  is a field.

**37.** Show that  $R$  satisfies ACC iff every ideal is finitely generated.

Suppose  $R$  satisfies ACC. Fix an ideal  $I$ . Take  $a_1 \in I$ , if  $(a_1) \neq I$ , then take  $a_2 \in I - (a_1)$ . If  $(a_1, a_2) \neq I$ , take  $a_3 \in I - (a_1, a_2)$ , etc. Since  $R$  satisfies ACC, we must reach some  $k$  so that  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = I$ .

Suppose every ideal is finitely generated. Let  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots$  be proper ideals. Let  $I = \bigcup_i I_i$ .  $I$  is finitely generated so get  $k$  such that  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) = I$ . Take  $n$  so that  $a_i \in I_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $I_n = I$  and we have ACC.

**38.** It is not true that a subdomain of a Euclidean domain needs be Euclidean as  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x]$  demonstrates. Both are domains, but  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not Euclidean.

**41.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]$ , clearly  $N(6 + 2\sqrt{-7}) = 6^2 + 7 \cdot 2^2 = 36 + 28 = 1 + 63 = 1^2 + 3^2 \cdot 7 = N(1 + 3\sqrt{-7})$ . Also, if  $u \in U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}])$ , then  $N(u) = 1 = a^2 + 7b^2$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The only option here is  $u = \pm 1$ , that is  $U(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}]) = \{1, -1\}$ . Clearly,  $6 + 2\sqrt{-7} \neq \pm(1 + 3\sqrt{-7})$  so  $6 + 2\sqrt{-7}$  and  $1 + 3\sqrt{-7}$  are not associates.

**42.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cdots = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $r_i = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \in R$  so that  $r_i$  has  $i$  many 1's followed by 0's. Clearly  $(r_i) \subset (r_{i+1})$ , basically,

$$(r_i) = R^i \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \cdots \subset R^{i+1} \times \{0\} \times \{0\} \times \cdots = (r_{i+1}).$$

## Ch 19: 1 – 3, 14 – 16, 20, 22, 24, 25, 36, 37, 43, 44, 47

1. Describe  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$ .

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^3 - 5 \rangle$  so one description is as the set of all elements  $q(x) + \langle x^3 - 5 \rangle$ , where  $q(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2$  (by Euclidean algorithm). Letting  $\alpha = x + \langle x^3 - 5 \rangle$ , or if you like, let  $\sqrt[3]{5} = x + \langle x^3 - 5 \rangle$ , then the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^3 - 5 \rangle$  are of the form  $a_0 + a_1\alpha + a_2\alpha^2$  so that

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}) = \{a_0 + a_1(5^{1/3}) + a_2(5^{2/3}) \mid a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

Another less useful description is  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$  is the smallest field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subfield with a root of  $x^3 - 5$ .

**2.** Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . Clearly,  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$  so to get equality, we just need  $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . Notice,  $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 2 + 2\sqrt{6} + 3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ , then clearly,  $\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$  and so  $\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = 3\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . Thus  $3\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{3} - 2(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . It is then simple to get  $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ .

**3.** Find the splitting field of  $x^3 - 1$ . Let  $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$  be the principle cubic root unity. Then  $x^3 - 1$  has roots  $1, \omega, \omega^2$  and so  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  is the splitting field.

**14.** Find all ring automorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  and of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$ .

The automorphisms must take roots of the irreducible polynomial to each other. So for  $x^2 - 5$  the roots are  $\pm\sqrt{5}$ , and thus there are two automorphisms, the identity, and  $\sqrt{5} \mapsto -\sqrt{5}$ .

For  $x^3 - 5$  the roots are  $\sqrt[3]{5}\omega^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2$  where  $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}}$ . Since any automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$  must send  $\sqrt[3]{5}$  to one of  $\sqrt[3]{5}\omega^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, 2$ , there is only one possibility. Namely,  $\sqrt[3]{5}$  must be fixed, and hence there is only the identity automorphism.

**Note** This is a different question, than understanding the automorphisms of the splitting field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5}, \omega)$ , i.e.,  $\text{Gal}(x^3 - 5)$ .

**15.** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $f(x) = x^p - a$  show that  $f$  either splits or is irreducible over  $F$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $f(x)$  in a field  $F \subseteq E$  (possibly  $E = F$ ), since  $E$  is also of characteristic  $p$  we have  $\alpha^p - a = 0$  so  $a = \alpha^p$  and  $f(x) = x^p - \alpha^p = (x - \alpha)^p$ . If  $\alpha \in F$ , then  $f(x)$  splits over  $F$ .

If  $\alpha \notin F$  let  $g(x)$  be an irreducible factor of  $f(x)$ . We know, in  $E$ , that  $g(x) = (x - \alpha)^k$  for some  $1 < k < p$  since  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^p$ , but then  $g(x) = h(x^p)$  (Theorem 19.6) and so it must be that  $k = p$ , hence  $f(x) = g(x)$ , that is,  $f(x)$  is irreducible.

**16.** Suppose  $\beta$  is a zero of  $f(x) = x^4 + x + 1$  in some field extension  $E$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Write  $f(x)$  as a product of linear factors in  $E[x]$ .

We can perform polynomial division:

$$\begin{array}{r}
 x^3 + \beta x^2 + \beta^2 x + (1 + \beta^3) \\
 x - \beta \overline{) x^4 + x + 1} \\
 \underline{x^4 - \beta x^3} \phantom{+ 1} \\
 \beta x^3 \phantom{+ 1} \\
 \underline{\beta x^3 - \beta^2 x^2} \phantom{+ 1} \\
 \beta^2 x^2 + x \phantom{+ 1} \\
 \underline{\beta^2 x^2 - \beta^3 x} \phantom{+ 1} \\
 (1 + \beta^3)x + 1 \\
 \underline{(1 + \beta^3)x - \beta(1 + \beta^3)} \\
 \beta^4 + \beta + 1 = 0
 \end{array}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^3 + \beta x^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^3 + 1 &= x^2(\beta + x) + \beta^2(\beta + x) + 1 \\
 &= (x^2 + \beta^2)(x + \beta) + 1 = (x + \beta)^2(x + \beta) + 1 = (x + \beta)^3 + 1 \\
 &= (x + \beta)^3 - 1 \\
 &= (x + \beta - 1)((x + \beta)^2 + (x + \beta) + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x + \beta)^2 + (x + \beta) + 1 &= x^2 + \beta^2 + x + \beta + 1 \\
 &= x^2 + \beta^2 + x + \beta^4 && (\text{since } \beta^4 = -(1 + \beta) = 1 + \beta) \\
 &= (x + \beta^2)(x + \beta^2 + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$x^4 + x + 1 = (x - \beta)(x + \beta - 1)(x + \beta^2)(x + \beta^2 + 1)$$

**20.** Find  $p(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  so that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{5}}) = \mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^2 &= 1 + \sqrt{5} \\
 x^2 - 1 &= \sqrt{5} \\
 (x^2 - 1)^2 &= 5 \\
 x^4 - 2x^2 - 4 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

We cannot use Theorem 17.4 (Eisenstein's Criteria) to see that  $p(x) = x^4 - 2x^2 - 4$  is irreducible. If  $p(x)$  were reducible, then  $x^4 - 2x^2 - 4 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d)$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $ax^3 + cx^3 = 0$  we have  $c = -a$  and hence we have  $x^4 - 2x^2 - 4 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 - ax + d)$ . Now we have  $adx - abx = 0$ , so either  $a = 0$  or  $b = d$ .  $b = d$  is not possible since  $b^2 \neq -4$  and  $a = 0$  is also not possible since then  $x^4 - 2x^2 - 4 = (x^2 + b)(x^2 + d) = x^4 + (b + d)x + bd$  with  $b + d = -2$  and  $bd = -4$ , hence  $b = -2 - d$  and  $(-2 - d)d = -2d + d^2 = -4$  or  $d^2 - 2d + 4 = 0$  for an integer  $d$ . With some effort, we have shown that  $p(x)$  is irreducible.

**22.** Suppose  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $F[x]$  and  $K$  is an extension field of  $F$ , then  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  remain relatively prime in  $K[x]$ .

If  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are relatively prime in  $F[x]$ , this means that there are  $h(x)$  and  $k(x)$  in  $F[x]$  so that  $h(x)f(x) + k(x)g(x) = 1$ . (Recall  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are relatively prime if there is  $l(x)$  a non-unit with  $l(x) \mid f(x), g(x)$ .) But since  $F[x]$  is a PID, this means that  $(f(x)) + (g(x)) = F[X]$  and this, in turn, means that the desired  $h(x)$  and  $k(x)$  exist.

But then,  $h(x)f(x) + k(x)g(x) = 1$  continues to hold in  $K[x]$  so  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  remain relatively prime.

**24.** Describe the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

$\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^4 - 2 \rangle = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/\langle x^2 - \sqrt{2} \rangle = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  and so

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt[4]{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})\} = \{a + b2^{1/4} + c2^{1/2} + d2^{3/2} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

**25.** What can you say about the order of the splitting field of  $x^5 + x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^3 + x + 1)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ?

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $x^2 + x + 1$ , that is,  $\alpha = x + \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ . So

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha) = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2\} = \{0, 1, \alpha, 1 + \alpha\}$$

and the multiplication table is

	$\alpha$	$1 + \alpha$
$\alpha$	$1 + \alpha$	$1$
$1 + \alpha$	$1$	$\alpha$

Here is how you get this,  $\alpha^2 = x^2 + \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ ,  $(\alpha + 1)^2 = \alpha^2 + 1$  (Recall that  $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$  here.), and  $\alpha(1 + \alpha) = \alpha^2 + \alpha$ . First we compute  $\alpha^2$ :

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ x^2 \overline{) x^2 + x + 1} \\ \underline{x^2} \phantom{+ 1} \\ x + 1 \end{array}$$

So  $x^2 = x + 1 \pmod{x^2 + x + 1}$  so  $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$ . Hence  $(\alpha + 1)^2 = \alpha^2 + 1 = \alpha + 2 = \alpha$  and  $\alpha(\alpha + 1) = \alpha^2 + \alpha = 2\alpha + 1 = 1$ .

We know that if  $g(x) = x^3 + x + 1$  factored in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$ , then there must be one linear factor and hence a root in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$ , but we can check that this is not the case.

$$g(\alpha) = \alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = \alpha^2\alpha + \alpha^2 = \alpha^2(\alpha + 1) = (\alpha + 1)^2 = \alpha \neq 0$$

and

$$g(\alpha + 1) = (\alpha + 1)^3 + (\alpha + 1) + 1 = (\alpha + 1)^2(\alpha + 1) + \alpha = \alpha(\alpha + 1) + \alpha = 1 + \alpha \neq 0$$

We already know that  $g(0)$  and  $g(1)$  are not 0. So  $g(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a root of  $g(x)$ , that is,  $\beta = x + \langle g(x) \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)$ . Then  $[\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)] = 3$  and hence  $|\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta)| = 4^3 = 64$ . Notice  $[\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2] = [\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)][\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha) : \mathbb{Z}_2] = 3 \cdot 2 = 6$  and so  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta) = 2^6 = 64$ .

Now  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$  and

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta) = \{a_0 + a_1\beta + a_2\beta^2 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)\}$$

whereas

$$\mathbb{Z}_2(\beta)(\alpha) = \{a_0 + a_1\alpha \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2(\beta)\}$$

In either case, we have that a typical element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha, \beta)$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0 + a_1\beta + a_2\beta^2) + (b_0 + b_1\beta + b_2\beta^2)\alpha &= a_0 + b_0\alpha + a_1\beta + b_1\beta\alpha + a_2\beta^2 + b_2\alpha\beta^2 \\ &= c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\beta + c_3\alpha\beta + c_4\beta^2 + c_5\alpha\beta^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

We still want to know if  $x^3 + x + 1$  splits over  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$ , there are  $64 - 5 = 59$  elements to check! If we divide  $x^3 + x + 1$  by  $x - \beta$  we get  $x^3 + x + 1 = (x - \beta)(x^2 + \beta x + \beta^2 + 1)$ .

We need to see if there are any roots of  $x^2 + \beta x + \beta^2 + 1$ . Let's check  $\beta^2$ , we have

$$\beta^4 + \beta^3 + (\beta + 1)^2 = (\beta + 1)(\beta^3 + \beta + 1) = 0$$

So  $x^2 + \beta x + \beta^2 + 1$  does have a root in  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$  and hence splits there. So  $\mathbb{Z}_2(\alpha)(\beta)$  is the splitting field of  $x^5 + x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^3 + x + 1)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Here is some [Sage code](#) to help with such computations. Here is [additional documentation](#) for the code.

**36.** Find the splitting field for  $x^4 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 2)(x^2 + 2x + 2)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root for  $x^2 + x + 2$ , the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$  are of the form  $a_0 + a_1\alpha$  and these are

$$0, 1, 2, \alpha, 2\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 1 + 2\alpha, 2 + \alpha, 2 + 2\alpha$$

Note that we know that  $x^2 + x + 2$  splits  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ , since  $x^2 + x + 2 = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta)$  by the Euclidean Division Algorithm in  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ .

Also, note that  $\alpha^2 = -\alpha - 2 = 2\alpha + 1$  with this, we can compute all other multiples. Let's check the status of  $g(x) = x^2 + 2x + 2$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha) &= \alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2 = 2\alpha + 1 + 2\alpha + 2 = 4\alpha + 3 = \alpha \\ g(2\alpha) &= (2\alpha)^2 + 2(2\alpha) + 2 = 4\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha + 2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So  $2\alpha$  is a root of  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ , and as above  $g(x)$  also splits. Thus  $x^4 + 1$  splits in  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$ . So far, we have roots  $\alpha$  and  $2\alpha$ . We can do long division:

$$\begin{array}{r} x + (\alpha + 1) \\ x - \alpha \overline{) x^2 + x + 2} \\ \underline{x^2 - \alpha x} \phantom{+ 2} \\ (\alpha + 1)x + 2 \\ \underline{(\alpha + 1)x + \alpha(\alpha + 1)} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

Since  $\alpha(\alpha + 1) = \alpha^2 + \alpha = -2$ . So  $x^2 + x + 2 = (x - \alpha)(x + (\alpha + 1))$ . Now we do this again

$$\begin{array}{r} x + 2(\alpha + 1) \\ x - 2\alpha \overline{) x^2 + 2x + 2} \\ \underline{x^2 - 2\alpha x} \phantom{+ 2} \\ 2(\alpha + 1)x + 2 \\ \underline{2(\alpha + 1)x + 4\alpha(\alpha + 1)} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

Since  $4\alpha(\alpha + 1) = \alpha(\alpha + 1) = -2$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + 1 &= (x - \alpha)(x + (\alpha + 1))((x - 2\alpha)(x + 2(\alpha + 1))) \\ &= (x^2 - \alpha^2)(x^2 - (\alpha + 1)^2) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\alpha)$  is the splitting field and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha + 1$  are the roots, each repeated twice.

**Note** (25) and (36) indicate the different sorts of situations that can arise in iterated extensions; what happens depends on whether the roots from one extension are already roots of a future extension.

**37.** This is sort of stated poorly. Obviously, if there is smallest field containing  $F$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , then

$$\bigcap \{E \mid F \subseteq E \text{ and } \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset E\}$$

must be this smallest field, by definition of "smallest":)

The point is that the intersection of fields is a field; this is easy.

**43.** Let  $F = \mathbb{Z}_p(t)$  and  $f(x) = x^p - t$ . Show that  $f(x)$  is irreducible and has multiple roots.

$f'(x) = px^{p-1} = 0$  since  $F$  has characteristic  $p$ . Thus  $f(x)$  and  $f'(x)$  do have a common factor in  $F[x]$ , namely  $f(x)$ . Thus  $f(x)$  has repeated roots.

By exercise (15) above,  $f(x)$  is irreducible unless it splits in  $F$ . If  $f(x)$  splits over  $F$ , then  $t = \alpha^p = (p(t)/q(t))^p$  for some  $p(t), q(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[t]$  with  $q(t) \neq 0$  and

$$t(a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_nt^n)^p = (b_0 + b_1t + \cdots + b_mt^m)^p$$

hence  $\deg(LHS) = np + 1 = mp = \deg(RHS)$ , which is absurd. So  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $F$ .

**44.** Let  $f(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial over a field  $F$ . Prove that the number of distinct zeros of  $f(x)$  in a splitting field divides  $\deg f(x)$ .

If the characteristic of  $F$  is 0, then there are  $\deg(f(x))$  distinct roots. If  $\text{char}(F) = p$ , then  $f(x) = (x - a_1)^m \cdots (x - a_k)^m$  where  $km = \deg(f)$ . This follows from the corollary to Theorem 19.9.

**47.** What is the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ ? What is the splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}i)$ ?

We know that the splitting field of  $f(x)$  is  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$  where  $\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . So

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})(\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})(\sqrt{3}i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}i)(\sqrt[3]{2})$$