## Math 571 - Exam 1 (20 points)

## Richard Ketchersid

Question 1 (20 points). For the exam, you need only indicate True or False. No justification is required. If you want to earn back some points, you can supply full justifications for all of the problems. You may earn back 50% of lost points.

(a) False Let  $X=(0,1]\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ . In the induced metric, X is closed and bounded, so X is compact.

The intervals  $(\frac{1}{n}, 1]$  gives an open cover with no subcover.

(b) True A discrete space is compact iff it is finite.

An open cover is just the cover by  $\{x\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . If compact, there is a finite subcover, and hence X is finite. conversely, if X is finite, then any open cover is finite as the entire collection of open sets is finite.

(c) True  $Cl(A \cup B) = Cl(A) \cup Cl(B)$ .

Trivially,  $Cl(A) \cup Cl(B) \subseteq Cl(A \cup B)$ . Let  $x \in Cl(A \cup B)$ . Suppose  $x \notin Cl(A)$ , then there is open O with  $x \in O$  and  $O \cap A = \emptyset$ . But then every open nbhd of x contained in O must intersect B and thus  $x \in Cl(B)$ .

(d) False  $Cl(A \cap B) = Cl(A) \cap Cl(B)$ .

Take A and B dense with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . For example, A could be all binary rationals in (0,1), i.e.,  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{2^{i+1}}$  where  $b_i \in 2$  and some  $b_i \neq 0$  and B could be all ternary rationals, i.e.,  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{3^{i+1}}$  where  $a_i \in 3$  and some  $a_i \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathrm{Cl}(A) \cap \mathrm{Cl}(B) = X \cap X = X$  while  $\mathrm{Cl}(A \cap B) = \mathrm{Cl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

(e) False For X a metric space, to show that a set  $F \subseteq X$  is closed, it is necessary and sufficient to show that every sequence from F has a subsequence that converges to a point in F.

The requirement is that every convergent sequence converges to a point in x, not that every sequence converges. In particular, (0,1) satisfies the mentioned criterion but is not closed.

(f) False For X a metric space, to show that a set  $K \subseteq X$  is compact, it is necessary and sufficient to show that every sequence from K has a subsequence that converges.

Here again, the required condition is that every sequence from K has a convergent subsequence converging to a point in K. The same counter-example as above suffices.

(g) False If A is connected, then  $\partial A$  is connected.

Consider the connected set  $A = [0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\partial A = \{0,1\}$  is not connected.

(h) False Let  $(Y, d_Y)$  be a metric space and  $f: X \to Y$ . Define  $d_f: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  by  $d_f(x, x') = d_Y(f(x), f(x'))$ .  $d_f$  will always give a metric on X for all X, Y, and f.

(symmetry)  $d_X(x, x') = d_X(x', x)$  and (triangle inequality)  $d_X(x, x') \le d_X(x, x'') + d_X(x'', x')$  are both clear. The only issue is the identity of indiscernibles. It is clear that

$$d_X(x, x') = 0 \iff d_Y(f(x), f(x')) = 0 \iff f(x) = f(x').$$

But we need  $f(x) = f(x') \iff x = x'$ , that is, we need f to be 1-1.

(i) False On  $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ ,  $d^*(x,y) = \left|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}\right| = \frac{|x-y|}{|xy|}$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^*$ . In this metric,  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \mid n=1,2,\ldots\right)$  has a limit.

For m > 1,  $d^*(1, \frac{1}{m}) = m - 1$ . This is not bounded so the sequence can't have a limit. Suppose  $\frac{1}{m} \to x$ , then  $d^*(1, x) = d$  and thus  $d^*(1, m) \le d + d^*(m, x)$  so  $d^*(m, x) \ge d^*(1, m) - d = m - d$ .

Perhaps more interesting is that  $(n \mid n = 1, 2, ...)$  is a Cauchy sequence with no limit.

(j) True Let d(x,y) = |x-y| be the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $d^*$  be as in part (i). A little work gives that for  $\delta |x_0| < 1$ , letting  $\delta' = |x_0| \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta |x_0| + 1}\right)$  and  $\delta'' = |x_0| \left(\frac{1}{1 - \delta |x_0|} - 1\right)$  we have that

$$|x - x_0| < \delta' \implies \left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_0} \right| < \delta$$

and

$$\left|\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x_0}\right| < \delta \implies |x - x_0| < \delta''.$$

So  $(\mathbb{R}^*, d^*)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^*, d)$  have the same open sets, and hence the two metrics induce the same topological space.

The given information indicates that  $N_{\delta'}(x_0) \subseteq N_{\delta}^*(x_0)$  and  $N_{\delta}^*(x_0) \subseteq N_{\delta''}(x_0)$ . So in every d-nbhd there is a  $d^*$ -nbhd and vice versa.