## Homework 4 Solutions

Ch 12: 1, 2, 9, 22 - 26, 60, 63

1.  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is finite and non-commutative. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 while 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries from  $2\mathbb{Z}$  would be an example of infinite, non-commutative with no unit.

**2.** Consider  $R = 2\mathbb{Z}_{10} = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$ . 6 is unity since  $(5+1)(2m) = 10m + 2m = 2m \mod 10$  (or by inspection if you prefer). To see that each element is a unit, check  $2 \cdot 8 = 6 \mod 10$ ,  $4 \cdot 4 = 6 \mod 10$ .

**9.** This is sort of a standard type of result you should expect. If  $R = \bigcap R_i$  then we need to show closure under operations, but this is trivial since each  $R_i$  is closed.

**22.** Let  $u, v \in U(R)$ , then  $(u \cdot v) \cdot (v^{-1} \cdot u^{-1}) = (u(vv^{-1})u^{-1}) = u1u^{-1} = uu^{-1} = 1$ , so  $v^{-1}u^{-1} = (uv)^{-1}$  and thus uv is a unit if u and v are such. The rest is even simpler.

**23.** Determine  $U(\mathbb{Z}[i])$  we need (a+bi)(c+di)=1 so (ac-bd)=1 while (ad+bc)=0. The only units are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$  are units. That these are the only units can be seen thus

$$(a+bi)^{-1} = \frac{a-bi}{a^2+b^2}$$

so  $a+bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  iff  $\frac{a}{a^2+b^2}, \frac{b}{a^2+b^2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for this we must have  $a=\pm 1$  and b=0 or  $b=\pm 1$  and a=0.

**24.** Show that  $U(R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n) = U(R_1) \times U(R_2) \times \cdots \times U(R_n)$ .

It would suffice to consider n=2 and use induction. Suppose  $(r,s) \in U(R_1 \times R_2)$  so there is (r',s') such that (r,s)(r',s')=(1,1), but then  $(r,s) \in U(R_1) \times U(R_2)$ . Essentially the same argument works in the other direction.

**25.** Determine  $U(\mathbb{Z}[x])$ . Let  $p = a + p_1(x)x$  and  $q = b + q_1(x)x$  then  $pq = (ab + (aq_1(x) + bp_1(x))x + p_1(x)q_1(x)x^2 = 1$  iff  $(a,b) = \pm (1,1)$ . So  $U(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = U(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**26.** Determine  $U(\mathbb{R}[x])$ . This is like the above, the only  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  with a multiplicative inverse is  $f = a \in \mathbb{R}^* = U(\mathbb{R})$ . So  $U(\mathbb{R}[x]) = U(\mathbb{R})$ .

**60.** Show that  $4x^2 + 6x + 3$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_8[x]$ .

 $(4x^2 + 6x + 3)(2x + 3) = 8x^3 + 12x^2 + 6x + 12x^2 + 18x + 9 = 8x^2 + 24x^2 + 24x + 9 \mod 8 = 1$ 

1

**63.**  $A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z})$  We know  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$  and so if AB = I, then  $\det(A) \det(B) = 1$  and as  $\det(A), \det(B) \in \mathbb{Z}$  it must be that  $\det(A) = \pm 1$ .

## Ch 13: 7, 12, 17, 30, 43, 49, 51, 56, 57, 64

7. Let R be a finite commutative ring with unity. Show that every  $r \in R$  is either a unit or a 0-divisor.

Suppose r is not a zero-divisor. Consider the map  $s \mapsto rs$ . If rs = rs', then rs - rs' = r(s - s') = 0. If  $s \neq s'$  for any  $s, s' \in R$ , then r is a 0-divisor. Else, the map is 1-1 and hence onto, so rs = 1 for some s. (A counting argument.)

Any time you have an integral domain that is not a field you have non-zero-divisor non-unit elements, like 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Note This shows that every finite integral domain is a field!

**12.** In  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  give interpretations for 1/2, -2/3,  $\sqrt{-3}$ , and -1/6.

 $2 \cdot 4 = 1 \mod 7$  so  $4 = 1/2 \mod 7$ .

 $1/3 = 5 \mod 7$  since  $3 \cdot 5 = 15 = 1 \mod 7$  and so  $2/3 = 10 = 3 \mod 7$  and this makes sense as  $3 \cdot 3 = 9 = 2 \mod 7$  and so  $-2/3 = -3 = 4 \mod 7$ .

 $-3 = 4 \mod 7$  so  $2 = \sqrt{-3} \mod 7$ , that is,  $2^2 = 4 = -3 \mod 7$ . What about  $-2 = 5 \mod 7$ ?  $(-2)^2 = 5^2 = 25 = 4 \mod 7$ , do yes, 2 and -2 both satisfy  $x^2 = -3$ .

1/6 = 6 since  $6 \cdot 6 = 1 \mod 7$  and so  $-1/6 = -6 = 1 \mod 7$ .

All pretty strange:)

17. In an integral domain if  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = 0$ , then for some  $i, a_i = 0$ . So if  $r^n = 0$ , then r = 0.

**30.**  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{d}]$  is a field for d an integer. Closure under addition and multiplication are obvious and

$$a + b\sqrt{d} \cdot \frac{a - b\sqrt{d}}{a^2 - b^2 \cdot d} = 1$$

SO

$$(a+b\sqrt{d})^{-1} = \frac{a}{a^2 - b^2 \cdot d} - \frac{b}{a^2 - b^2 \cdot d}\sqrt{d}$$

**43.** Show that  $\mathbb{Z}_7[\sqrt{3}]$  is a field. The additive group part is clear essentially being isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$ .

The multiplication is  $(a + b\sqrt{3})(c + d\sqrt{3}) = (ac + 3bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{3}$ . This will satisfy all the rules except possibly having inverses, so consider

$$1 = (a + b\sqrt{3}) \left( \frac{a - b\sqrt{3}}{(a + b\sqrt{3})(a - b\sqrt{3})} \right)$$

This will be true if  $\mathbb{Z}_7[\sqrt{3}]$  is a field. So the proposed inverse of  $a + b\sqrt{3}$  is

$$\left(\frac{a}{a^2 - 3b^2}\right) - \left(\frac{b}{a^2 - 3b^2}\right)\sqrt{3}$$

For this to work we need that  $a^2 - 3b^2 \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  when a and b are not both 0.

Suppose  $a^2 = 3b^2 \mod 7$ . In this case we would have  $3 = (a/b)^2$ , so we can't have  $a^2 = 3b^2$  unless 3 is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ .

We can just check that  $m^2 \mod 7 \neq 3$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, 6$ .

This indicates what is needed in general,  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\sqrt{k}]$  is a field provided that k is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**49.** Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  belong to a ring with prime characteristic p. First notice  $(x+y)^p = x^p + \binom{p}{1}x^{p-1}y + \binom{p}{2}x^{p-2}y^2 + \cdots + \binom{p}{p-1}xy^{p-1} + y^p$ . All of the middle terms have a factor of p and hence become 0. Thus  $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$ . Now then  $(x+y)^{p^2} = ((x+y)^p)^p = (x^p + y^p)^p = (x^p)^p + (y^p)^p = x^{p^2} + y^{p^2}$ , etc. By induction on m,  $(x+y)^{p^m} = x^{p^m} + y^{p^m}$ .

Now 
$$((x_1 + x_2) + x_3)^{p^m} = (x_1 + x_2)^{p^m} + x_3^{p^m} = x_1^{p^m} + x_2^{p^m} + x_3^{p^m}$$
. So by induction on  $k$ , 
$$(x_1 + \dots + x_k)^{p^m} = x_1^{p^m} + \dots + x_k^{p^m}$$

**Questions** Where did we use p is prime? Where did we use commutativity?

This shows we need the "prime" assumption: In  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  we have  $(1+1)^4 = 2^4 = 0 \neq (1^4+1^4) = 2$ .

What about the commutativity issue? Consider  $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Let  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$x^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

$$y^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x^{2} + y^{2} = I + I = O$$

$$x + y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(x + y)^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I$$

So  $x^2 + y^2 \neq (x + y)^2$ .

**51.** Let F be a finite field of character p (we know p is a prime). What we need to see is the  $|F| = p^m$  for some m. Suppose  $q \mid |F|$  for some  $q \neq p$ , then there is a  $g \in F$  with |g| = q, that is  $qg = g + g + \cdots + g = 0$ , but then  $g \cdot (q \cdot 1) = 0$  and so  $q \cdot 1 = 0$ , but then  $p \mid q$ . So  $|F| = p^m$  for some m.

**56.** Find all solutions to  $x^2 - x + 2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i]$ .

We do have  $x^2 - x + 2 = x^2 + 2x - 1 = (x+1)^2 - 2 = (x+1)^2 + 1$  so  $x = 1 \pm i$ . So x = -1 - i = 2 + 2i and x = -1 + i = 2 + i are the two roots.

**57.** Consider  $x^2 - 5x + 6 = (x - 2)(x - 3) = 0$  Find all solutions in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$ .

 $\mathbb{Z}_7$  is a field so x=2 and x=3 mod 7 is the only solution.

In  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ , notice that (x-2)(x-3)=(x+6)(x+5) so we have

So in  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  we have 2, 3 as roots.

Note that  $x^2-1=(x-1)(x+1)$  has roots 1 and -1=7 mod 8 as indicated in the factorization, but also 3 and 5. So in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$  an  $n^{\text{th}}$ -degree polynomial may have more than n roots.

 $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  so we can solve these separately. In  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  we have  $x^2 - 5x + 6 = x^2 + x = (x)(x+1) = (x)(x-2)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  so x = 0 and x = 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  we have  $x^2 - 5x + 6 = x^2 + 3x + 2 = (x+2)(x+1)$  so x = -2, x = -1, that is x = 2 and x = 3. Thus the solutions are (2,0), (2,2), (3,0), (3,2), these correspond to (2,0), (2,2), (3,0), (3,2),

 $\mathbb{Z}_{14} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$  and in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$   $x^2 - 5x + 6 = x^2 + x = (x)(x+1) = (x)(x-1)$  so we have 0,1 for roots and in  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  we have 2 and 3 so we have (0,2), (0,3), (1,2), and (1,3) which corresponds to 2, 3, 9, 10.

**64.** In a finite field F with |F| = n,  $|F^*| = n - 1$  and  $x^{|F^*|} = 1$  for all  $x \in F^*$ . (Since in any group G,  $g^{|G|} = e$ .)

## Ch 14: 10, 22, 42, 48, 51, 55, 60, 62, 67, 73, 78, 80

- **10.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  show that (2x,3) = (x,3). Clearly,  $2x \in (x,3)$  so  $(2x,3) \subseteq (x,3)$ . Conversely,  $3x \in (2x,3)$  so  $x = 3x 2x \in (2x,3)$ .
- **22.** Let R be a finite commutative ring and I be prime. Then R/I is a finite integral domain and hence a field. We have shown before that any finite integral domain is a field, the reason is simple, let a be a non-zero element of a finite integral domain, then  $ab = ac \iff a(b-c) = 0 \iff b-c = 0 \iff b=c$ , so the map  $c \mapsto ac$  is 1-1 and hence onto. So ac = 1 for some c.
- **42.** Show that  $\mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2+1)$  is a field. Consider  $\phi: \mathbb{R}[x] \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $x \mapsto i$  (or  $x \mapsto -i$ ) and extended uniquely to  $\mathbb{R}[x]$ . Clearly,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism and  $p(x) \in \ker(\phi) \iff p(i) = 0 \iff (x-i) \mid p(x)$ . Since  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] i$  must also be a root, namely, z is a root of p(x) iff  $\bar{z}$  is a root of  $\bar{p}(z)$ , so  $(x-i)(x+i) = x^2+1 \mid p(x)$ . So  $(x^2+1) = \ker(\phi)$ .
- **48.** Let  $I = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} = (2, 2i)$ . So I is clearly an ideal. There will be four classes, I, 1 + I, i + I, (1 + i) + I and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I$  will be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Note  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i$  as an inner direct product and  $I = 2\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}i$  and  $(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i)/(2\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . This can't be a field, but is an integral domain. (Integral domains are closed under products, fields are not.)
- **51.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  show that  $I = \{f(x) \mid f(0) \text{ is even }\} = (x, 2)$ . It is clear that  $f(x) \in I \iff f(x) = p(x) \cdot x + a$  for  $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . This has just two elements, I and 1 + I, and  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . This is a field, so I is maximal, hence prime.
- **55.** In  $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$  let  $I = (x^2 + x + 2)$  find a multiplicative inverse to (2x + 3) + I. We are looking for p(x) so that  $(2x + 3)p(x) = r(x)(x^2 + x + 2) + 1$ . Solved by "guessing"  $(2x + 3)(3x + 1) = 6x^2 + 11x + 3 = (x^2 + x + 2) + 1$ .
- **60.** In a principal ideal domain, show that every prime ideal is maximal. Let (p) be prime, if (p) were not maximal, then, there is J so that  $(p) \subset J \subset R$ . But J = (q) since we are in a principal ideal domain and hence  $q \mid p$ , and so  $p = q \cdot r$ . But then  $p \mid q$  or  $p \mid r$ . Suppose  $p \mid r$ , then  $r = p \cdot d$  and we have  $p = q \cdot r = q \cdot p \cdot d$  so  $p \cdot (1 q \cdot d) = 0$  and thus  $q \cdot d = 1$  and so q is a unit. This is a contradiction since  $(q) \neq R$ . A similar argument works if  $p \mid q$ . In this case, we get r as a unit, so that (p) = (q), again a contradiction.

**62.** Showing that N(A) is an ideal is straightforward. Suppose  $r, s \in N(A)$  so that  $r^n, s^m \in A$ ; let  $k = \max\{m, n\}$ , then  $(r+s)^k = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} r^i s^{k-i}$ . In every term either  $r^i$  or  $s^{k-i}$  will be in A since  $i \geq n$  or  $k-i \geq m$  for all i. So  $(r+s)^k \in A$ . That  $r \cdot s \in N(A)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $s \in N(A)$  is simpler.

Here is even more!

$$N(A) = \bigcap \{J \supset A \mid J \text{ is prime}\}\$$

First notice that for any  $r \in R$  with  $r^n \in A$ , if  $A \subset J$  and J is prime, then  $r^n \in J$  and hence  $r \in J$  (as J is prime). So we have containment  $N(A) \subseteq \bigcap \{J \supset A \mid J \text{ is prime}\}$ .

Now suppose  $r \notin N(A)$ , then we want to find a prime ideal J with  $A \subset J$  and  $r \notin J$ . Look at  $\mathcal{I}$  being the set of all ideals of R such that  $r^n \notin I$  for any n. We can find a maximal such ideal J, we just need to show that J is prime. Suppose  $a \cdot b \in J$  and  $a, b \notin J$ . By maximality, this means that  $r^n \in (a) + J$  and  $r^m \in (b) + J$  so  $r^n = at + s$  and  $r^m = bt' + s'$  for  $t, t' \in R$  and  $s, s' \in J$ . This means  $r^{n+m} = abtt' + ats' + bt's + ss' \in J$  which is a contradiction, so  $a \in J$  or  $b \in J$ .

**67.** First notice that by the polynomial division algorithm  $p(x) = ax + b \mod x^2 + x + 1$  for all  $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ . So the elements of the field are 0, 1, x, and 1 + x here  $x(1+x) + (x^2 + x + 1) = 1 + (x^2 + x + 1)$  so  $x^{-1} = 1 + x$  and we see that  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  is a field.

**73.** Show that if R is a PID, then R/I is a PID for all ideals  $I \subset R$ . Let  $J \subset R/I$  be an ideal, then J = J'/I for  $J' = \{r \in R \mid r+I \in J\}$ . We know J' = (p) in R and so J = (p)/I = (p/I). So R/I is a PID.

**78.** Show that the characteristic of  $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi)$  divides  $a^2 + b^2$ .

Just for fun here is a 3Blue1Brown video discussing Gaussian numbers and Gaussian primes.

To begin with we have Fact  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{a^2+b^2} = \mathbb{Z}/(a^2+b^2) = \mathbb{Z}/(a^2+b^2)\mathbb{Z}$ .

For this see here.

So consider the general case where  $gcd(a, b) \neq 1$ . Notice that in this case there are 0-divisors in R.

First, why is  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi)$  finite? It turns out that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is Euclidean, and hence a PID with the function witnessing that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is Euclidean being the multiplicative norm  $n(z) = z \cdot \bar{z}$ . (See notes where  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is discussed.  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is definitely not a PID since is irreducible and not prime.) For a proof of this see here.

Claim:  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I$  is finite for every (non-trivial) ideal I.

This is because  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/I = \mathbb{Z}[i]/(z)$  for some z and the classes are w + (z) where n(w) < n(z). So if z = a + bi, then w = c + di where  $c^2 + d^2 < a^2 + b^2$  and there are only finitely many such integers (c, d). (Integer lattice points in a circle of radius  $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ .

Now we might just ask what  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi)$  is and this is an interesting topic. (See here and here.)

Back down to Earth and the problem at hand: Let n be the characteristic of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(a+bi)$  so we know  $n \in (a+bi)$  and so n = (a+bi)(c+di).  $\gcd(c,d) = 1$  else we could factor out a common factor and get n' = (a+bi)(a'+d'i) where n' < n contradicting the definition of n.

So there is  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $\alpha c + \beta d = 1$ . We also have ad + bc = 0 and so we get

$$a\alpha c + a\beta d = a$$
  $b\alpha c + b\beta d = b$   
 $\alpha ac - \beta bc = a$   $-\alpha ad + \beta bd = b$ 

So

$$n = ((\alpha a - \beta b)c - (\alpha a - \beta b)di)(c + di) = (\alpha a - \beta b)(c^2 + d^2)$$

On the other hand we know that

$$n^{2} = n\bar{n} = (a+ib)(a-bi)(c+di)(c-di) = (a^{2}+b^{2})(c^{2}+d^{2})$$

So

$$n = \frac{a^2 + b^2}{\alpha a - \beta b}$$

**80.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  and  $I = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} \mid a - b \text{ is even}\}$ . Show that I is maximal.

Consider the map

$$\phi(a+b\sqrt{-5}) = \begin{cases} 1 & a-b \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & a-b \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Check that  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a surjective homomorphism. The main thing is multiplication where we have

$$\phi((a+b\sqrt{-5})(c+d\sqrt{-5})) = \begin{cases} 1 & (ac-5bd) - (ad+bc) \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & (ac-5bd) - (ad+bc) \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$(ac-5bd)-(ad+bc)=(ac+bd)-(ad+bc)-6bd=a(c-d)+b(d-c)-6bd=(a-b)(c-d)-6bd$$

So (ac - 5bd) - (ad + bc) is odd only when (a - b) and (c - d) are odd. This is what we need here.

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a field, I is maximal.

## Ch 15: 12, 14, 26, 31, 34, 38, 40, 44, 46, 50, 65, 67

**12.** The point here is that if  $\phi: m\mathbb{Z} \to n\mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\phi(mk) = \underbrace{\phi(m) + \dots + \phi(m)}_{k \text{ times}} = k\phi(m)$$

so clearly everything is determined by  $\phi(m)$  and if we hope to be onto, then  $\phi(m) = \pm n$  must hold. But then we have

$$\phi(m \cdot (mn)) = mn\phi(m) = mn^2 \neq n(n^2) = n\phi(m^2) = \phi(m^2n)$$

So the map cannot work on products.

**Note:** The following argument does not work. Since  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_m \not\simeq \mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m\mathbb{Z} \not\simeq n\mathbb{Z}$ . For this, we would require that

$$I \simeq J \implies R/I \simeq R/J$$

which is not true, for example, in  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2 \dots]$  we have  $I = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$  and  $J = \langle x_2, x_3, \dots$  so that  $I \simeq J$  by the map  $x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}$ . But  $R/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  while  $R/J \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

It is true in this example that neither of R/I or R/J is finite, so perhaps this short argument might be saved, but I do not see it.

**14.** Show that  $\mathbb{Z}_3[i] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3[x]/(x^2+1)$ . Nothing is special about 3 here except that it is prime, so  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a field.

Define  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3[x] \to \mathbb{Z}_3[i]$  by  $\phi(f(x)) = f(i)$ , this is clearly a ring homomorphism. (This sort of evaluation map is always a homomorphism.) The map is clearly onto as  $\phi(a+bx) = a+bi$ .  $f(x) \in \ker(\phi)$  iff f(i) = 0. Since the coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  we have  $\overline{f(i)} = \overline{f(-i)} = f(-i) = 0$ . this by the division algorithm we have that  $(x-i)(x+i) = x^2+1 \mid f(x)$  since if not  $f(x) = (x^2+1)q(x) + (ax+b)$  so f(i) = b+ia = 0 and so a = b = 0.

**26.** Determine all ring homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

If we insist that  $\phi(1) = 1$ , i.e., that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of unitary rings, then there is just one, namely  $\phi(1) = 1$  and so  $\phi(m) = \phi(m \cdot 1) = m\phi(1) = m$ , so just the identity.

If we allow  $\phi(1) \neq 1$ , then we still have that  $\phi$  is determined by  $\phi(1)$  since  $\phi(m) = \phi(m \cdot 1) = m\phi(1)$ . since  $\phi(1 \cdot 1) = \phi(1)\phi(1) = \phi(1)$  we have  $\phi(1) = k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  satisfying  $k^2 = k$  or k(k-1) = 0. (That is  $\phi(1)$  must be an idempotent element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

We can count the number of idempotents. If  $n = p_1^{m_1} \cdots p_k^{m_l}$ , then

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{m_l}}$$

so any idempotent k can be associated to  $(k_1, \ldots, k_l)$  where each  $k_i$  is idempotent in  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{m_i}}$ , but this means that  $p_i^{m_i} \mid k_i(k_i-1)$  and as  $p_i$  can only divide one of  $k_i$  or  $k_i-1$  we know that either  $k_i = p_i^{m_i}$  or  $k_i = 1$ . Thus there are  $2^l$  many idempotents and so  $2^l$  many homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  where there are l many distinct prime divisors of n.

**31.** Prove that  $R[x]/(x^2)$  is ring isomorphic to  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\}$ .

Let  $\phi(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Preservation of addition is trivial. For multiplication notice

$$f(x)g(x) = (a_0 + a_1x + q(x)x^2)(b_0 + b_1x + r(x)x^2) = a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + s(x)x^2$$

and so

$$\phi(f(x))\phi(g(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0b_0 & a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 \\ 0 & a_0b_0 \end{bmatrix} = \phi(f(x)g(x))$$

We have  $f(x) \in \ker(\phi)$  iff  $f(x) = 0 + 0x + q(x)x^2 \in (x^2)$ , so

$$R[x]/\ker(\phi) = R[x]/(x^2) \simeq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\}$$

**34.** Let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$  be given by  $\phi(m, n) = (m \mod a, n \mod b)$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi$  is a surjective homomorphism.

$$(m,n) \in \ker(\phi) \iff m \mod a = 0 \text{ and } n \mod b = 0 \iff (m,n) \in (a) \times (b)$$

So  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / \ker(\phi) = (\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) / ((a) \times (b)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b$ .

**38.** Let *n* be given in base 10 as,  $n = d_k d_{k-1} \cdots d_1 d_0 = d_k 10^k + d_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \cdots d_1 10 + d_0$  where  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ . Then, since  $10 = -1 \mod 11$ ,

$$n \bmod 11 = d_k (10 \bmod 11)^k + d_{k-1} (10 \bmod 11)^{k-1} + \cdots + d_1 (10 \bmod 11) + d_0$$
$$= (d_k (-1)^k + d_{k-1} (-1)^{k-1} + \cdots + d_1 (-1) + d_0) \bmod 11$$

So

$$11 \mid n \iff 11 \mid d_k(-1)^k + d_{k-1}(-1)^{k-1} + \dots + d_1(-1) + d_0$$

- **40.** Suppose  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a ring homomorphism. Then as discussed above, it must be the case that  $\phi(1)$  completely determines  $\phi$ , and it must be that  $\phi(1)^2 = \phi(1)$  and  $n \mid m\phi(1)$ , since  $\phi(0) = 0$  is required. If  $\phi(1) = 1$ , then we must have  $n \mid m$ .
- **44.** Clearly,  $R[x]/(x) \simeq R$  so (x) is maximal iff R is a field. So (x) is maximal in  $Z_n[x]$  iff  $Z_n$  is a field iff n is prime.
- **46.** Show that if  $\phi: F \to F$  is a field homomorphism, then the prime subfield is fixed by F.

There are two ways to define the prime subfield,  $F_0$ . The official definition is

$$F_0 = \bigcap \{ F' \subseteq F \mid F' \text{ is a subfield} \}$$

Since the intersection of subfields is a subfield, this definitely defines  $F_0$  as the minimal subfield. On the other hand,  $F_0$  is the subfield generated by  $1_F$ , for a field of prime characteristic p, this is just the copy of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  generated from  $1_F$ . For a field of characteristic 0,  $F_0$  is the copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the form  $n_F m_F^{-1}$  where  $m \neq 0$  and  $n_F = 1_F + \cdots + 1_F$ , n-times.

So, according to each definition, there is a proof. The proof using the second definition is trivial, just using the fact that  $\phi(1_F) = 1_F$ .

The proof using the first definition is, perhaps, more interesting. The point is that  $\ker(\phi) = \{0_F\}$ , assuming that  $\ker(\phi) \neq F$ . This is because  $F/(0_F) \simeq F$  is a field, and so  $(0_F) = \{0_F\}$  is a maximal ideal, so there are no non-trivial ideals, and hence every epimorphism is an automorphism. So  $\phi(F_0) = \bigcap \{\phi(F') \mid F' \text{ a subfield of } F\} = \bigcap \{F' \mid F' \text{ a subfield of } F\} = F_0$ . This argument would not work except that  $\phi$  is a bijection and

$$F'$$
 is a subfield of  $F \iff \phi(F')$  is a subfield of  $\phi(F) = F$ 

and

$$F'$$
 is a subfield of  $\phi(F) = F \iff \phi^{-1}(F')$  is a subfield of  $F$ 

**50.** Prove that  $x \mapsto x^p$  is a ring homomorphism in a ring of prime characteristic p. We have already done the hard work

$$(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$$
 (previous exercise)  $(x \cdot y)^p = x^y \cdot y^p$  (trivial)

Now any field epimorphism of F is an isomorphism unless  $\ker(\phi) = F$ , and clearly  $\ker(\phi) \neq F$  for the Frobenius map.

**65.** Let Q be the field of quotients of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and define  $\phi: Q \to \mathbb{Q}[i]$  by  $(a,b) \mapsto a \cdot b^{-1}$ . We can check that this is well-defined and a field homomorphism.

To see that the map is well-defined, suppose (a,b)=(a',b'), that is ab'-a'b=0. Then in  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$  it is also true that ab'=a'b and so  $ab^{-1}=a'b'^{-1}$  so  $\phi((a,b))=\phi((a',b'))$ .

Next we check addition,  $\phi((a,b) + (a'b')) = \phi((ab' + a'b,bb')) = (ab' + a'b)(bb')^{-1} = ab^{-1} + a'b'^{-1} = p\phi((a,b)) + \phi((a',b'))$ . Multiplication is similar.

The map is necessarily 1-1, being a map between fields, so all that is left is seeing that it is onto. Let  $r + si \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ , then r = a/b and s = a'/b' where  $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{Z}$  so  $r + si = (ab' + a'bi)(bb')^{-1} \in \text{Img}(\phi)$ .

**67.** Let D be an integral domain and F the field of quotients. Let E be a field that contains D, then E contains naturally a copy of F.

This is exactly as above, define  $\phi: F \to E$  by  $(a,b) \mapsto ab^{-1}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Img}(\phi)$  is the desired copy.