Math 571 - Homework 4 (05.22)

Richard Ketchersid

Problem 1 (R:3:8). Suppose $\sum_n a_n$ converges and (b_n) is monotonic and bounded, show that $\sum_n a_n b_n$ converges.

Use the partial sum trick. Define $A_i = \sum_{j \leq i} a_j$ and define $A_{-1} = 0$ so that $a_i = A_i - A_{i-1}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i b_i = \sum_{i=m}^{n} (A_i - A_{i-1}) b_i = \sum_{i=m}^{n} A_i b_i - \sum_{i=m}^{n} A_{i-1} b_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{n} A_i b_i - \sum_{i=m}^{n} A_{i-1} b_i = \sum_{i=m}^{n} A_i b_i - \sum_{i=m-1}^{n-1} A_i b_{i+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} A_i (b_i - b_{i+1}) + A_n b_n - A_{m-1} b_m$$

The last bit we can play with:

$$A_n b_n - A_{m-1} b_m = A_n b_n - A_{m-1} b_m + A_n b_m - A_n b_m = A_n (b_n - b_m) + (A_n - A_{m-1}) b_m$$

So we get

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i b_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} |A_i| |b_i - b_{i+1}| + |A_n| |b_n - b_m| + |A_n - A_{m-1}| |b_m|$$

We know $|A_i| < A$ and $|b_i| < B$ for all i for some fixed bounds A and B so

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} \right| \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} A|b_{i} - b_{i+1}| + A|b_{n} - b_{m}| + |A_{n} - A_{m-1}|B$$

$$= A \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} |b_{i} - b_{i+1}| + A|b_{n} - b_{m}| + |A_{n} - A_{m-1}|B$$

$$= A \left| \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} b_{i} - b_{i+1} \right| + A|b_{n} - b_{m}| + |A_{n} - A_{m-1}|B \qquad \text{(since } b_{i} \text{ is monotonic.)}$$

$$= A|b_{n} - b_{m}| + A|b_{n} - b_{m}| + |A_{n} - A_{m-1}|B$$

Since $\{A_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ are Cauchy sequences, it follow that $\{\sum_{i=m}^n a_i b_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and so $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}$ converges.

Problem 2 (R:3:9). Find the radius of convergence of the following power series.

a) $\sum_n n^3 z^n$ $\limsup \frac{(n+1)^3|z|^{n+1}}{n^3|z|^n} = |z|$ so this converges absolutely for |z| < 1, that is, R = 1.

- b) $\sum_{n} \frac{2^{n}}{n!} z^{n}$ $\frac{2^{n+1}|z|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} / \frac{2^{n}|z|^{n}}{n!} = \frac{2^{n+1}}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \cdot |z| = \frac{2}{n+1}|z| \to 0$. So the radius of convergence is $R = \infty$.
- c) $\sum_{n} \frac{2^{n}}{n^{2}} z^{n}$ $\frac{2^{n+1}|z|^{n+1}}{(n+1)^{2}} \Big/ \frac{2^{n}|z|^{n}}{n^{2}} = \frac{2^{n+1}}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{n^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \cdot |z| = 2 \cdot \frac{n^{2}}{(n+1)^{2}} \cdot |z| \to 2|z|.$ This converges absolutely for $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$.
- d) $\sum_{n} \frac{n^3}{3^n} z^n$ $\frac{(n+1)^3 |z|^{n+1}}{3^{n+1}} \bigg/ \frac{n^3 |z|^n}{3^n} = \frac{(n+1)^3}{n^3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot |z| = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{(n+1)^3}{n^3} \cdot |z| \to \frac{1}{3} |z|$. This converges absolutely for |z| < 3.

Problem 3 (R:3:11). Suppose $a_n > 0$, $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, and $\sum_i a_i = \lim_i s_i$ diverges.

a) Show that $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{a_i+1}$ diverges. Let $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{a_i+1}$. Suppose $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{a_i+1}$ does converge, then $\lim_i \frac{a_i}{a_i+1} = 0$ and from this it is easy to see that $\lim_i a_i = 0$, for example, $\lim_i \frac{a_i}{a_i+1} = \lim_i \frac{1}{1+1/a_i} = 0$ so $\lim_i \frac{1}{a_i} = \infty$. This means that $r_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^2}{a_i+1}$ also converges.

Clearly, $a_i - \frac{a_i}{a_i+1} = \frac{a_i^2}{a_i+1}$, so that $s_n - t_n = r_n$ and thus $s_n = t_n + r_n$. But this would mean that $\lim_i s_i = \lim_i (t_i + r_i) = \lim_i t_i + \lim_i r_i$ exists, and is finite. This contradicts the divergence of $\sum_i a_i$.

b) Show that $\frac{a_N}{s_N} + \frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \cdots + \frac{a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}} \ge 1 - \frac{s_N}{s_{N+k}}$ and deduce that $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{s_i}$ diverges.

$$\frac{a_N}{s_N} + \frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}} \ge \frac{a_N}{s_{N+k}} + \frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+k}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+k}}{s_{N+k}}$$
$$= \frac{s_{N+k} - s_N}{s_{N+k}} = 1 - \frac{s_N}{s_{N+k}}$$

Let $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{s_i}$, then we have here that $(t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ fails to be a Cauchy sequence and hence fails to converge. So $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{s_i}$ diverges.

c) Show that $\frac{a_N}{s_N^2} \le \frac{1}{s_{N-1}} - \frac{1}{s_N}$ and deduce that $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{s_i^2}$ converges. $\frac{a_N}{s_N^2} \le \frac{a_N}{s_{N-1}s_N} = \frac{a}{s_{N-1}} - \frac{1}{s_N}$. But then $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{s_i^2} \le \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{s_{i-1}} - \frac{1}{s_i}\right) = \frac{1}{s_1} - \frac{1}{s_N} \to \frac{1}{s_1}$. So $\sum_i \frac{a_i}{s_i^2}$ converges.

Problem 4 (R:3:16(18)*). Fix $\alpha > 1$ and $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$, define

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right)$$

a) Prove that (x_n) decreases monotonically and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \sqrt{\alpha}$.

A little argument and trick shows that $x_n^2 \ge \alpha$. The "trick" is to see that

$$\frac{x_n^2}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{x_n^2} \ge 2$$

For this we need $x_n^4 + \alpha^2 > 2\alpha x_n^2$, but this is just $x_n^4 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha x_n^2 = (x_n^2 - \alpha)^2 \ge 0$.

Now we can use this as follows:

$$x_{n+1}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(x_n^2 + 2\alpha + \frac{\alpha^2}{x_n^2} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{x_n^2}{\alpha} + 2 + \frac{\alpha}{x_n^2} \right) \cdot \alpha \ge \frac{1}{4} (2+2) \cdot \alpha = \alpha$$

Let's see now that $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$, this will use the above:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_n + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{x_n}\right) = \frac{1}{2}x_n + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha}{x_n^2}\right)x_n \le \frac{1}{2}x_n + \frac{1}{2}x_n = x_n$$

We know $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ and by the standard limit laws

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right)$$

Now we have:

$$2\gamma = \gamma + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$$

and hence $\gamma^2 = \alpha$, as desired.

b) Let $\varepsilon_n = x_n - \sqrt{\alpha}$ be the error at the n^{th} term, show that

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{2x_n} < \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

Setting $\beta = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$, gives

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} < \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n}$$

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right) - \sqrt{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{x_n^2 + \alpha - 2x_n \sqrt{\alpha}}{2x_n} = \frac{(\varepsilon_n + \sqrt{\alpha})^2 + \alpha - 2(\varepsilon_n + \sqrt{\alpha})\sqrt{\alpha}}{2x_n}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 + 2\varepsilon_n \sqrt{\alpha} + \alpha + \alpha - 2(\varepsilon_n + \sqrt{\alpha})\sqrt{\alpha}}{2x_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{2x_n}$$

Since $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$ we do have

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} < \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} = \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{\beta} = \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta}\right)^2 < \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{\beta}\right)^{2^2} \dots < \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n-(n-1)}}{\beta}\right)^{2^n} = \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n}$$

c) Choose a number $\alpha > 3$ find a bound for how many terms are need to compute $\sqrt{\alpha}$ correct to 20 decimal places where x_1 is chosen minimally so that $x_1^2 > \alpha$. Prove your answer and do the computation. You might use Python or MATLAB.

This will vary, I'll take $\alpha = 145$ and hence $x_1 = 13$. $\beta = 2\sqrt{145} < 26$ and $\beta > 24$ and $\epsilon_1 = 13 - \sqrt{145} < 1$ and so

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} < \beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n} < (26) \left(\frac{1}{24}\right)^{2^n}$$

So it would suffice to have n such that $(26)(24)^{-2^n} < 10^{-21}$, this is the same as $(1/26)(24)^{2^n} > 10^{21}$, a little playing shows n = 4 suffices, so take n + 1 = 5 terms. Testing with Python get

$$x_1 = 13$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = 0.958$$

 $x_2 = 12.076923076923076923076923076923076923076923$

$$\varepsilon_2 = 0.0353$$

 $x_3 = 12.041646251837334639882410583047525722684958353748$

$$\varepsilon_3 = 0.0000517$$

 $x_4 = 12.041594578903165021043862247214042390984013945153$

$$\varepsilon_4 = 1.11e - 10$$

 $x_5 =$ **12.04159 45787 92295 48012** 8751430185805358673288917241

$$\varepsilon_5 = 5.10e - 22$$

The actual value is:

$$\sqrt{145} = 12.041594578792295480128241030378608052425352405054...$$

d) Replace the recursion above by

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{p-1}{p} x_n + \frac{\alpha}{p} x_n^{-p+1}$$

Discuss the behavior of (x_n) under suitable conditions. Don't bother trying to compute a recursive expression for ε_n in this case, but do prove your claims.

Assume $x_n^p > \alpha$, then

$$x_{n+1} = \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)x_n + \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{x_n^p}\right)x_n \le x_n$$

Now we must show that $x_{n+1} > \alpha^{1/p}$ given that $x_n > \alpha$. A little algebra gives:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha^{1/p}}{p} \left((p-1) \left(\frac{x_n}{\alpha^{1/p}} \right) + \left(\frac{\alpha^{1/p}}{x_n} \right)^{p-1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^{1/p}}{p} \left((p-1)z^{-1} + z^{p-1} \right)$$

It suffices to show that

$$(p-1)z^{-1} + z^{p-1} > p (\dagger)$$

for then we get $x_{n+1} = \alpha^{1/p}(1/p)((p-1)z^{-1} + z^{p-1}) > \alpha^{1/p}$. In order to verify (†) it suffices to show $(p-1) + z^p > zp$ which is equivalent to $z^p - 1 > (z-1)p$ so we may show

$$\frac{z^p - 1}{z - 1} = z^{p-1} + z^{p-1} + \dots + 1 > p \tag{\ddagger}$$

But as z > 1 we have $z^i > 1$ and so we have the sum of p-terms all ≥ 1 and where p-1 of them are > 1, so (‡) holds.

So the series x_n is monotonic decreasing and bounded below by $\alpha^{1/p}$. Let $\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n$ we have

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} x_n + \frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha}{x_n^{p-1}} \right) = \frac{p-1}{p} \gamma + \frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha}{\gamma^{p-1}}$$

This gives $p\gamma^p = (p-1)\gamma^p + \alpha$ which is the same as $\gamma^p = \alpha$.

Problem 5. Recall the bijection, ϕ , of $\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ onto the unit sphere, S^2 , in \mathbb{R}^3 given by $(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{2x}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{2y}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{1-(x^2+y^2)}{1+x^2+y^2}\right)$. This is called the stereographic projection. Let d be the induced metric on \mathbb{R}^2 given by $d((a,b),(c,d)) = \|\phi((a,b)) - \phi((c,d))\|_2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . (The (standard) Euclidean distance between points on the sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 .)

Consider a sequence $((x_i, y_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ from \mathbb{R}^2 so that $\lim_i ||(x_i, y_i)||_2^2 = \infty$.

Explain why this is a Cauchy sequence in (\mathbb{R}^2, d) . Does this sequence have a limit in (\mathbb{R}^2, d) ?

Points (x_i, y_i) whose norm is going toward ∞ get mapped to points on S^2 getting close to the "north pole," i.e., (0,0,1). So $\lim_i \phi(x_i,y_i) = (0,0,1)$ and thus $(\phi(x_i,y_i))$ is a Cauchy sequence in S^2 and thus a Cauchy sequence in (\mathbb{R}^2,d) . This sequence has no limit in (\mathbb{R}^2,d) although in $\mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ the limit would be ∞ .

The actual metric can be calculated: Let (x,y) and (x',y') be two points in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $r=x^r+y^2$ and $r'=(x')^2+(y')^2$ so $\phi(x,y)=\frac{1}{1+r}(2x,2y,1-r)$.

A bit of work gives

$$d(a,b)^{2} = \frac{2\langle a-b,a-b\rangle}{(1+\langle a,a\rangle)(1+\langle b,b\rangle)} = \frac{2(\|a\|_{2}^{2} + \|b\|_{2}^{2} - 2\langle a,b\rangle)}{1+\|a\|_{2}^{2} + \|b\|_{2}^{2} + \|a\|_{2}^{2}\|b\|_{2}^{2}} = 2\frac{\frac{1}{\|b\|_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\|a\|_{2}^{2}} - 2\frac{\langle a,b\rangle}{\|a\|_{2}^{2}\|b\|_{2}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\|a\|_{2}^{2}\|b\|_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\|b\|_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\|a\|_{2}^{2}} + 1}$$

Here $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$. From this it is clear that $d(a, b) \to 0$ as $||a||_2, ||b||_2 \to \infty$.

Problem 6 (R:3:21*). Let E_n be a descending sequence of closed subsets in a complete metric space, i.e., $E_{n+1} \subseteq E_n$. Notice that $\operatorname{diam}(E_{n+1}) \le \operatorname{diam}(E_n)$ so $\operatorname{lim}_n \operatorname{diam}(E_n) = \delta$ exists in $[0,\infty]$. In each of the following cases what are all of the possibilities for $\bigcap_n E_n$.

a)
$$\delta = \infty$$
.

It is easy to produce examples where $\bigcap E_n = \emptyset$, one I like was $E_n = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |i| \ge n\}$. It is also easy to create examples where $\bigcap_n E_n$ is non-empty, in particular let S be any closed set and let $F_n = E_n \cup S$, then F_n is closed, diam $(F_n) = \infty$, and $\bigcap_n F_n = S$. Since $\bigcap_n F_n$ is closed this is all of the possibilities.

b) $0 < \delta < \infty$.

This is just as in the preceding case, in fact the examples from (a) can be directly mapped to examples on [a,b] where $b-a=\delta$. To be more explicit take $E_n=\{a\}\cup\{a+1/m\mid m\geq n\}\cup\{b-1/m\mid m\geq n\}\cup\{b\}$, then set $F_n=E_n\cup S$ for any closed S. We get $\operatorname{diam}(F_n)=\delta$, F_n is closed, $\bigcap_n F_n=S$.

c) $\delta = 0$.

In this case $\bigcap E_n$ has exactly one element. Just take $x_i \in E_i$ for each i, then $i, j > n \implies d(x_i, x_j) < \text{diam}(E_n)$. So (x_i) is a Cauchy sequence. This proves existence.

For uniqueness, suppose $x, y \in \bigcap E_n$. Then $d(x, y) < \text{diam}(E_n)$ for all n, so d(x, y) = 0.

Problem 7 (R:3:23). Let (X,d) be a metric space and $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two Cauchy sequences. Show that $(d(x_i,y_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges.

$$d(x_i, y_i) \le d(x_i, x_j) + d(x_j, y_j) + d(y_j, y_i)$$

So $d(x_i, y_i) - d(x_j, y_j) \le d(x_i, x_j) + d(y_i, y_j)$. Similarly, $d(x_j, y_j) - d(x_i, y_i) \le d(x_i, x_j) + d(y_i, y_j)$, so

$$|d(x_j, y_j) - d(x_i, y_i)| \le d(x_i, x_j) + d(y_i, y_j)$$

But for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is N > 0 so that for all i, j > N, $d(x_i, x_j) < \epsilon/2$ and $d(y_i, y_j) < \epsilon/2$. So for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is N > 0 so that for all i, j > N,

$$|d(x_j, y_j) - d(x_i, y_i)| \le d(x_i, x_j) + d(y_i, y_j) < \epsilon$$

Thus $(d(x_i, y_i))$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} .