

# Math 571 - Homework 1 (05.22)

Richard Ketchersid

**Problem 1** (R:1:2\*). Show that for any positive integer  $n$ , if  $n$  is not a perfect square, then  $\sqrt{n}$  is irrational.

Suppose  $\sqrt{n} = p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are integers with no common factors, i.e.,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Then  $n = p^2/q^2$  so  $nq^2 = p^2$ . But we know that  $\gcd(p^2, q^2) = 1$  and that if  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|bc$ , then  $a|c$ , thus  $p^2|n$ . This means  $n = n'p^2$  and so  $n'q^2 = 1$ , thus  $n' = 1$  hence  $n = p^2$ .

**Problem 2** (R:1:4\*). Let  $E$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered set  $(S, <)$ ; suppose that  $\alpha$  is a lower bound for  $E$  in  $S$  and  $\beta$  is an upper-bound for  $E$  in  $S$ . Show that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Can  $\alpha = \beta$ ? Is this still true if  $E = \emptyset$ ?

As  $E$  is non-empty, let  $s \in E$ , then  $\alpha \leq s \leq \beta$ . It could be that  $E = \{s\}$  and so  $\alpha = s = \beta$ . If  $E = \emptyset$ , then for  $s \in S$ ,  $s$  is both a lower-bound and an upper-bound for  $E$ , thus if  $|S| > 1$  it is possible that  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Problem 3** (R:1:5). Let  $A$  be a non-empty set of real numbers bounded below. Let  $-A = \{-a \mid a \in A\}$ . Show that

$$\inf(A) = -\sup(-A)$$

Let  $\alpha = \inf(A)$ . We have  $\alpha \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$  and thus  $-\alpha \geq -a$  for all  $a \in A$ . So  $-A$  is bounded above by  $-\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\beta$  is any upper-bound for  $-A$ , then, as above,  $-\beta$  is a lower-bound for  $A$  and hence  $-\beta \leq \alpha$ , but then  $-\alpha \leq \beta$ . Thus  $-\alpha = \sup(-A)$ . This yields the desired result.

**Problem 4** (R:1:6). Fix  $b > 1$ .

(a) If  $n, m, p, q$  are integers,  $n, q > 0$ , and  $r = m/n = p/q$ , prove that

$$(b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q}.$$

Explain why it makes sense to define  $b^r = (b^m)^{1/n}$ .

The equality is trivial

$$(b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q} \iff ((b^m)^{1/n})^{nq} = ((b^p)^{1/q})^{nq} \iff b^{mq} = b^{pn} \iff mq = pn$$

Because of this it makes sense to define  $b^r = b^{m/n}$  where  $r = m/n$  for any  $m, n$  such that  $r = m/n$ .

- (b) Prove that  $b^{r+s} = b^r b^s$  if  $r$  and  $s$  are rational.

Let  $r = m/n$  and  $s = p/q$ , then

$$b^{r+s} = b^{(qm+np)/qn} = (b^{qm+np})^{1/nq} = (b^{qm} b^{np})^{1/nq} = b^{qm/nq} b^{np/nq} = b^r b^s$$

- (c) If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $B(x) = \{b^t \mid t \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge t \leq x\}$ . Prove that

$$b^r = \sup(B(r))$$

when  $r$  is rational. Explain why it makes sense to define

$$b^x = \sup(B(x))$$

for every real  $x$ .

Suppose  $r < s$  are rational, then  $b^{s-r} = b^{m/n}$  where  $m/n > 0$ . Clearly,  $b^m > 1$  and if  $a^n = b^m$ , then  $a > 1$  so  $b^{m/n} = b^{s-r} > 1$ , that means  $b^s/b^r > 1$  and so  $b^s > b^r$ .

This implies immediately that  $b^r = \sup(B(r))$ .

We know  $B(x)$  is bounded above for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  since if  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r > x$  we have  $b^r \geq B(x)$ . So  $b^x = \sup(B(x))$  exists.

- (d) Prove that  $b^{x+y} = b^x b^y$  for every real  $x$  and  $y$ .

Let's see that  $B(x)B(y) = B(x+y)$ . Suppose  $b^r = b^s b^t \in B(x)B(y)$ , then  $s \leq x$  and  $t \leq y$  so  $r = s+t \leq x+y$  and  $b^r = b^{s+t} \in B(x+y)$ . Conversely, take  $b^r \in B(x+y)$ , then  $r < x+y$ . Then  $r-y < x$  and we get  $r-y < t < x$ . But  $s = r-t < y$  so  $r = s+t$  with  $r < x$  and  $s < y$ , hence  $b^r = b^s b^t \in B(x)B(y)$ . So  $b^{x+y} = \sup(B(x)B(y))$ .

We need to see that  $\sup(B(x)B(y)) = \sup(B(x)) \sup(B(y))$ . Clearly,  $b^x b^y = \sup(B(x)) \sup(B(y)) \geq B(x)B(y)$  so  $b^x b^y \geq \sup(B(x)B(y)) = \sup(B(x+y)) = b^{x+y}$ .

To finish, show  $\sup(B(x+y)) \leq \sup(B(x)) \sup(B(y))$ . Suppose  $a < b^x b^y$ , then  $a/b^x < b^y$  so there is  $r < y$  with  $a/b^x < b^r$ . Now  $a/b^r < b^x$  so there is  $s < x$  with  $a/b^r < b^s$  so  $a < b^s b^r < \sup(B(x)B(y))$ . Thus  $b^x b^y \leq \sup(B(x)B(y))$ .

**Problem 5** (R:1:8). Show that  $\mathbb{C}$  can not be made into an ordered field.

This is "sort of" trivial. In an ordered field,  $a^2 \geq 0$  for all  $a$ . This is by definition for  $a > 0$  and trivial for  $a = 0$  so we need to see that it holds for  $a < 0$ . If  $a < 0$ , then  $-a > 0$ , this is because  $a > 0 \implies 0 = a + (-a) > 0 + (-a) = -a$ . So  $(-a)(-a) > 0$ , if we can just show  $(-a)(-a) = a^2$ , then we are done.

For this it would be nice to argue  $(-a) = -1(a)$  and so  $(-a)(-a) = (-1)^2 a^2$  and then we just need to see that  $(-1)^2 = 1^2$ . If we knew  $0a = 0$ , then  $(1+(-1))a = 0$  so  $1a + (-1)a = a + (-1)a = 0$  and by the uniqueness of inverses,  $(-1)a = -a$ . This also gives  $(-1)^2 = -1(-1) = -(-1) = 1$ .

So we need  $0a = 0$  and we are done. For this we have  $0a + a = 1a + 1a = (0+1)a = 1a = a$ . So  $0a + a = a$ . adding  $-a$  to both sides gives  $0a = 0$ . Yeah!

**Problem 6** (R:1:14\*). Show that for  $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|w + z|^2 + |w - z|^2 = 2|w|^2 + 2|z|^2.$$

Use this to compute  $|1 + z|^2 + |1 - z|^2$  given that  $|z| = 1$ .

Getting  $|1 + z|^2 + |1 - z|^2 = 2|w| + 2|z| = 2 + 2 = 4$  given that  $|z| = 1$  is trivial by letting  $w = 1$ .

For the main part we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w + z|^2 + |w - z|^2 &= (w + z)\overline{(w + z)} + (w - z)\overline{(w - z)} \\ &= (w + z)(\bar{w} + \bar{z}) + (w - z)(\bar{w} - \bar{z}) \\ &= w\bar{w} + z\bar{w} + w\bar{z} + z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} - z\bar{w} - w\bar{z} + z\bar{z} \\ &= 2|w|^2 + 2|z|^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 7** (R:1:17). Show that for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,

$$\|x + y\|_2^2 + \|x - y\|_2^2 = 2\|x\|_2^2 + 2\|y\|_2^2. \quad (\text{Parallelogram Law})$$

How does this generalize the Pythagorean theorem?

This is proved exactly as in the previous problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_2^2 + \|x - y\|_2^2 &= (x + y)^H(x + y) + (x - y)^H(x - y) \\ &= (x^H + y^H)(x + y) + (x^H - y^H)(x - y) \\ &= x^H x + x^H y + y^H x + y^H y + x^H x - x^H y - y^H x + y^H y \\ &= 2\|x\|_2^2 + 2\|y\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 8** (R:1:18). Show that if  $k \geq 2$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , there is  $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $y \neq 0$  such that  $x \bullet y = 0$ .

If you recall how this goes, drop the  $k \geq 2$  and show that given any non-zero pairwise orthogonal  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l$  ( $l \leq k$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , you can find  $x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k$  so that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  are pairwise orthogonal.

This is basically Gram-Schmidt from linear algebra. For just one vector  $x$ , take  $y$  such that  $y \neq \alpha x$ , then set  $\hat{y} = y - \frac{x \bullet y}{x \bullet x} x$ . So  $x \bullet \hat{y} = x \bullet y - \frac{x \bullet y}{x \bullet x} x \bullet x = x \bullet y - x \bullet y = 0$