

# Math 571 - Homework 5

Richard Ketchersid

**Notation:** For  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $E \subseteq X$  set  $f(X) = \{f(e) \mid e \in E\}$ , this is called the *image* of  $E$  under  $f$ .

**Problem 0.1** (R:4:2\*). Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous. Let  $E \subseteq X$ , show that  $f(\text{Cl}(E)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f(E))$ . By example, show that this containment can be proper, that is  $f(\text{Cl}(E)) \not\subseteq \text{Cl}(f(E))$  can hold.

**Proof 1:** Let  $y \in f(\text{Cl}(E))$ , so  $y = f(x)$  for  $x \in \text{Cl}(E)$ . Let  $O$  be an open nbhd of  $y$  and let  $U$  be an open nbhd of  $x$  so that  $f(U) \subset O$ . Since  $x \in \text{Cl}(E)$  we have  $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $e \in U \cap E$ , then  $f(e) \in f(U) \cap f(E) \subseteq O \cap f(E)$ . So we have shown that for any open nbhd  $O$  of  $y$ ,  $y \cap f(E) \neq \emptyset$ , thus  $y \in \text{Cl}(f(E))$ .

**Proof 2:** Clearly,  $f(E) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f(E))$ , so  $E \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(f(E)))$ , but as  $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(f(E)))$  is closed we have  $\text{Cl}(E) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{Cl}(f(E)))$  and hence  $f(\text{Cl}(E)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f(E))$ .

For the example, consider  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ . So  $f(\mathbb{R}) = (0, 1] \subsetneq \text{Cl}(f(\mathbb{R})) = \text{Cl}((0, 1]) = [0, 1]$ .

**Definition** Let  $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ , the graph of  $f$  is the set  $\text{Graph}(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in E\} \subseteq X \times Y$ .

**Problem 0.2.** Let  $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous where  $Y$  is Hausdorff, show that  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is closed in  $E \times Y$ .

**(Proof 1) Hausdorff Case:** Let  $(x, y) \in E \times Y - \text{Graph}(f)$ . So  $f(x) = y' \neq y$ . Let  $O$  and  $O'$  be open nbhds of  $y$  and  $y'$  respectively so that  $O \cap O' = \emptyset$ . (Here we use the Hausdorff property.) Let  $U$  be an open nbhd of  $x$  so that  $f(U \cap E) \subseteq O'$ . I claim that  $(U \times O) \cap \text{Graph}(f) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in (U \times O) \cap \text{Graph}(f)$ , then  $f(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{y}$ , so  $f(U \cap E) \cap O \neq \emptyset$ , contradicting  $f(U \cap E) \subseteq O'$  and  $O' \cap O = \emptyset$ .

**(Proof 2) Metric Case:** Suppose  $((x_i, f(x_i)))$  is a convergent sequence in  $E \times Y$ , that is  $((x_i, f(x_i))) \rightarrow (x, y)$ . In particular,  $x_i \rightarrow x \in E$  and as  $f$  is sequentially continuous  $f(x_i) \rightarrow f(x)$ , thus  $y = f(x)$  and we see  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is sequentially closed, hence closed.

**Problem 0.3** (R:4:6). Suppose  $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  and  $E$  is compact. Suppose further that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff (or metric if you prefer). Show that  $f$  is continuous on  $E$  iff  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is compact.

**Hint:** You may use the fact that if  $K$  and  $H$  are compact, then  $K \times H$  is compact and that if  $K$  is compact and  $C \subseteq K$  is closed, then  $C$  is compact. (Both of these are in notes and book.)

**(Proof 1) Hausdorff Case:** Assume  $f$  is continuous, then  $f(E) \subset Y$  is compact and  $\text{Graph}(f) \subset E \times f(E)$  is closed, hence  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is a closed subset of the compact set  $E \times f(E)$  and hence compact.

So now, suppose  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is compact. Consider the map  $F : E \rightarrow \text{Graph}(f)$  given by  $F(x) = (x, f(x))$ .

**Claim:**  $F$  is continuous iff  $f$  is continuous.

**Proof of Claim:** Suppose  $F$  is continuous, then  $f(x) = (\pi_2 \circ F)(x)$  where  $\pi_2(x, y) = y$  (projection in the second coordinate).  $\pi_2 : E \times f(E) \rightarrow f(E)$  is continuous and so  $f = \pi_2 \circ F$  is a composition of continuous functions and is continuous.

Now suppose  $f$  is continuous, then for  $U \times V \subset E \times f(E)$  open,  $(x, y) \in F^{-1}(U \times V) \iff y = f(x) \wedge x \in U \wedge y \in V \iff x \in U \cap f^{-1}(V)$ . So  $F^{-1}(U \times V) = U \cap f^{-1}(V)$ , which is open in  $E$ . (Claim)  $\square$

So we need only show now that  $F$  is continuous. Since  $F^{-1}$  is the projection map  $(x, f(x)) \mapsto x$ , it is continuous. Since  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is compact and  $X$  is Hausdorff,  $F^{-1}$  is a closed map, and hence  $F = (F^{-1})^{-1}$  is continuous. (See here.)

**(Proof 2) Metric Case:** Suppose  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is compact, hence sequentially compact. Suppose  $x_i \in E$  and  $x_i \rightarrow x \in E$ . Consider  $((x_i, y_i))$  in  $\text{Graph}(f)$  we know there is a convergent subsequence  $((x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}))_i \rightarrow (x, y) \in \text{Graph}(f)$ . But then  $\lim_i x_{n_i} = \lim_i x_i = x$  and  $y = f(x)$  and  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow y$ , so  $f(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow y$ .

Suppose  $y_i \not\rightarrow y$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , then there is  $y' \neq y$  and subsequence  $((x_{m_i}, y_{m_i}))_i \rightarrow (x, y') \in \text{Graph}(f)$ . But then  $f(x) = y = y'$  which is a contradiction. so  $y_i \rightarrow y$ , that is  $f(x_i) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Thus  $f$  is sequentially continuous and hence continuous.

The other direction is easier. Suppose  $f$  is continuous and  $((x_i, y_i))$  is a sequence from  $\text{Graph}(f)$ . then  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x \in E$  for some subsequence  $x_{n_i}$  since  $E$  is sequentially compact. But then  $f(x_{n_i}) \rightarrow f(x)$  and so  $((x_{n_i}, y_{n_i}))_i \rightarrow (x, y) = (x, f(x)) \in \text{Graph}(f)$ . So  $\text{Graph}(f)$  is sequentially compact, hence compact.

**Problem 0.4.** Let  $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  where both  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric spaces with  $Y$  complete. suppose  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $E$ , show that there is a unique continuous extension  $\hat{f} : \text{Cl}(E) \rightarrow Y$ . Moreover,  $\hat{f}$  remains uniformly continuous.

**Existence:** Let  $x \in \text{Cl}(E) - E$  so that  $x$  is a limit point of  $E$ , then  $x = \lim_i x_i$  for  $(x_i)$  a sequence from  $E$ . Since  $(x_i)$  is a Cauchy sequence and  $f$  is uniformly continuous,  $(f(x_i))$  is Cauchy and thus has a limit  $y$ . To see that  $x \mapsto y$  defines an extension of  $f$  we must see that  $y$  is independent of the particular sequence  $(x_i)$  chosen and that  $y = f(x)$  for  $x \in E$ . The second follows from the first trivially, since letting  $x_i = x$  for all  $i$ ,  $(x_i)$  is a Cauchy sequence converging to  $x$ . Suppose  $(x'_i)$  is another sequence from  $E$  with  $\lim_i x'_i = x$ . Then the sequence  $(z_i)$  where  $z_{2i} = x_i$  and  $z_{2i+1} = x'_i$  is a sequence from  $E$  converging to  $x$  and clearly  $(f(x_i))$  and  $(f(x'_i))$  are both Cauchy subsequences of the Cauchy sequence  $(f(z_i))$ , thus all of these must have the same limit  $y$ .

To see that  $\hat{f}$  is uniform continuous, let  $\epsilon > 0$  take  $\delta$  that witnesses uniform continuity on  $E$ , so for all  $x, x' \in E$ ,  $d^X(x, x') < \delta \implies d^Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon/2$ . Suppose  $x, x' \in \text{Cl}(E)$

and  $d^X(x, x') < \delta$ . Take  $u, u' \in E$  with  $d^Y(f(u), \hat{f}(x)) < \epsilon/4$ ,  $d^Y(f(u'), \hat{f}(x')) < \epsilon/4$ , and  $d^X(u, u') < \delta$ , then  $d^Y(\hat{f}(x), \hat{f}(x')) \leq d^Y(\hat{f}(x), f(u)) + d^Y(f(u), f(u')) + d^Y(f(u'), \hat{f}(x')) < \epsilon$ .

**Uniqueness:** Suppose  $g : \text{Cl}(E) \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and  $f = g|_E$ , then we must show that  $g = \hat{f}$ . This is trivial since if  $x \in E$  there is nothing to do. If  $x \notin E$ , then  $x = \lim_i x_i$  for  $x_i \in E$ , so  $g(x) = \lim_i g(x_i) = \lim_i f(x_i) = \hat{f}(x)$ .

**Definition:** A set  $E \subseteq X$  has the *Bolzano-Weierstrass property* iff every sequence in  $X$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Problem 0.5.** Show that if  $E \subseteq X$  has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property, then

a)  $\text{Cl}(E)$  also has Bolzano-Weierstrass property.

Let  $x_i \in \text{Cl}(E)$ , then for each  $i$  there is  $x'_i \in E$  so that  $d^X(x_i, x'_i) < 1/i$ . Then  $x'_i$  has a convergent subsequence  $(x'_{n_i})$  and it is clear that  $(x_{n_i})$  also converges (to the same limit).

b) If  $X$  is metric, then  $E$  is bounded.

If  $E$  is unbounded, then it is simple to choose a sequence  $x_i \in E$  so that  $d^X(x_i, x_j) > 1$  for all  $i, j$ . But then this sequence has no convergent subsequence.

c) For  $X$  metric  $E$  has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property iff  $\text{Cl}(E)$  is compact.

$\text{Cl}(E)$  is sequentially compact, hence compact.

**Problem 0.6** (R:4:8\*). Let  $f : E \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$  be uniformly continuous on  $E$  where  $E$  has the Bolzano-Weierstrass property and  $Y$  is complete. Show that  $f$  is bounded on  $E$ , that is  $f(E)$  is bounded in  $Y$ .

**Proof 1:** From problem 4 we can extend  $f$  to  $\hat{f} : \text{Cl}(E) \rightarrow Y$  and from problem 5,  $\text{Cl}(E)$  is compact. So  $\hat{f}(\text{Cl}(E))$  is compact hence bounded in  $Y$  and so  $f(E)$  is bounded.

**Proof 2:** (You don't actually need Problem 5 or the stuff about compactness.) Suppose  $f(E)$  is unbounded. Then get  $x_i \in E$  so that  $d^Y(x_i, x_j) \geq 1$ . By assumption there is a convergent and hence Cauchy subsequence of  $(x_i)$ , say  $(x_{n_i})$ . By uniform continuity of  $f$ ,  $(f(x_{n_i}))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ . But this is a contradiction.

**Problem 0.7** (R:4:19). Show that if  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the intermediate value theorem and  $f^{-1}(r) = \{x \mid f(x) = r\}$  is closed for  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $f$  is continuous. (See the text for a hint.  $\mathbb{Q}$  here could be replaced by any dense set.)

Suppose  $f$  fails to be continuous at  $x$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , there is some  $x' \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$  so that  $f(x') \notin (f(x) - \epsilon, f(x) + \epsilon)$ . We can then choose a sequence  $x_i \rightarrow x$  so that for all  $i$ ,  $f(x_i) \notin N_\epsilon(f(x))$ . We may assume WLOG  $f(x_i) \leq f(x) - \epsilon < f(x)$  for all  $i$  since either infinitely many of the  $x_i$  satisfy this or else  $f(x) < f(x) + \epsilon \leq f(x_i)$  and the proof would be the same in each case. Fix  $r \in (f(x) - \epsilon, f(x)) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . So we can get  $t_i \in N_{|x_i - x|}(x)$  so that  $f(t_i) = r$  using the IVT property. But then it is clear that  $t_i \rightarrow x$  and as  $t_i \in f^{-1}(r)$  for all  $i$  we have  $x \in f^{-1}(r)$ . But then  $f(x) = r$  and this is a contradiction.