## Math 571 - Homework 7 (05.22)

## Richard Ketchersid

**Problem 1** (R:5:26). Suppose f(x) is diff'ble on [a,b], f(a)=0, and there is a fixed A such that  $|f'(x)| \leq A|f(x)|$  for all x in [a,b]. Show that f(x)=0 on [a,b].

**Proof 1:** Let  $Z = \{x \in [a,b] \mid \forall y \leq x (f(y)=0)\}$ . If Z = [a,b], then we are done. Else let  $c \in [a,b] - Z$ , then there is  $y \leq c$  with  $f(y) \neq 0$ . Say f(y) > 0, then  $f(y) > \delta > 0$  for some  $\delta$  and we get an open nbhd of y, say,  $N_r(y)$  so that  $f(N_r(y)) \subseteq (\delta, \infty)$ . This shows  $c \in (y,b]$  and  $(y,b] \cap Z = \emptyset$ . So c is in an open nbhd of [a,b] disjoint from Z. We have shown that for  $c \notin Z$ , there is an open nbhd of c disjoint from Z, thus Z is closed.

Let  $z^* = \sup(Z) < b$  and choose  $c > z^*$  so that  $c - z^* < 1/A$ . Say |f(c)| = M look at  $C = \{c \mid |f(c)| \ge M\}$ , this set is closed and clearly  $c \ge c^* = \inf(C) > z^*$  and  $|f(c^*)| = M$ . Assume  $f(c^*) = M$ , alternatively,  $f(c^*) = -M$  and the same argument works with the obvious modifications.

By MVT there is  $d \in (z^*, c^*)$  so that

$$f(c^*) - f(z^*) = f(c^*) - 0 = M = f'(d)(c^* - z^*) \le A(c^* - z^*)f(d) < f(d)$$

But this means we have  $d \in (z^*, c^*)$  with f(d) > M contradicting the choice of  $c^*$ . What leads to this contradiction? It was the assumption that  $Z \neq [a, b]$ , we conclude that Z = [a, b].

**Proof 2:** (As hint in text using a student solution.) Fix N so that A(b-1)/N < 1 and let  $x_i = a + i(b-a)/N$ . So  $x_0 = a$  and  $x_n = b$ . Suppose f is 0 on  $[x_0, x_i]$  we will see that f must then be 0 on  $[x_0, x_{i+1}]$ .

Let  $M_0 = \sup(f([x_i, x_{i+1}]))$  and  $M_1 = \sup(f'([x_i, x_{i+1}]))$ . Notice that  $M_1 \le AM_0$  by assumption. We know for  $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$  that  $f(x) - f(x_i) = f(x) = f'(c)(x_{i+1} - x_i)$  by MVT. So  $f(x) \le M_1(x_{i+1} - x_i) \le AM_0(x_{i+1} - x_i)$ , but this means  $M_0 \le M_0A(x_{i+1} - x_i)$  and this is non-sense as  $M_0A(x_{i+1} - x_i) < M_0$  unless  $M_0 = 0$ . So  $M_0 = 0$  and thus f is 0 on  $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ .

**Problem 2** (R:5:27). Let  $\phi: [a,b] \times [\alpha,\beta] \to \mathbb{R}$ . A solution to the initial-value problem (IVP)

$$y' = \phi(x, y), \quad y(a) = c \text{ for } a \le c \le b$$

is a function  $f:[a,b] \to [\alpha,\beta]$  satisfying

$$f(a) = c$$
,  $f'(x) = \phi(x, f(x))$  for all  $a \le x \le b$ 

Show that if there is a constant  $A \geq 0$  so that

$$|\phi(x, y_1) - \phi(x, y_2)| \le A|y_1 - y_2|$$
 for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ 

then there is at most one solution to any such IVP.

Suppose  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two such solutions, then note that by assumption

$$|f_1'(x) - f_2'(x)| = |\phi(x, f_1(x)) - \phi(x, f_2(x))| \le A|f_1(x) - f_2(x)| \text{ for } x \in [a, b]$$

Letting  $h(x) = f_1(x) - f_2(x)$  we have h(a) = 0, h is diff'ble on [a, b], and  $|h'(x)| \le A|h(x)|$  for  $x \in [a, b]$ . Thus by Problem 1, h = 0 on [a, b] and thus  $f_1 = f_2$ .

The book points out an example y(0)=0 and  $y'=y^{1/2}$  on [0,1]. Note that this fails the hypotheses since there is no  $A\geq 0$  with  $|\sqrt{y}|< A|y|$  on [0,1], in particular,  $\lim_{y\to 0^+}\frac{\sqrt{y}}{y}=\infty$ . The book gives two solutions y=0 and  $y=x^2/4$ . To find all solutions note

$$\frac{y'}{y^{1/2}} = 1$$

$$y^{-1/2}\frac{dy}{dx} = 1$$

$$y^{-1/2}dy = dx$$

$$\int y^{-1/2}dy = \int dx$$

$$\frac{y^{1/2}}{1/2} + d = x + c \qquad (d \text{ and } c \text{ arbitrary constants})$$

$$y^{1/2} = \frac{x}{2} + C \qquad (C \text{ an arbitrary constant})$$

$$y = \frac{x^2}{4} + 2Cx + C^2$$

If y(0) = 0, then  $C^2 = 0$  so C = 0 and thus the to given solutions are all.

**Problem 3.** Show that the following are equivalent for a bounded function f on [a,b]:

- i)  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ , i.e., f is Riemann integrable,
- ii) For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$||P|| < \delta \implies U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$$

First show (i) implies (ii). Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We have a partition P so that  $U(f,P) - L(f,P) < \epsilon/2$ . Take  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\Delta x_i > 2\delta$  for all i and so that  $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{12MN}$  where  $M = \sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in [a,b]\}$  and N = |P|.

Let P' be a partition with  $\|P'\| < \delta$  and let  $P'' = P \cup P'$ , then  $L(P') \le L(P'') \le U(P'') \le U(P')$  and  $L(P) \le L(P'') \le U(P'') \le U(P)$ . So  $U(P'') - L(P'') \le U(P) - L(P) < \epsilon/2$ . We want to show that  $U(P') - U(P'') < \epsilon/4$  and  $L(P'') - L(P') < \epsilon/4$ , then

$$U(P') - L(P') = (U(P'') + (U(P') - U(P''))) - (L(P'') - (L(P'') - L(P')))$$

$$< (U(P'') + \epsilon/4) - (L(P'') - \epsilon/4) = (U(P'') - L(P'')) + \epsilon/2$$

$$< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

All that needs be proved here is

$$U(P') - U(P'') < \epsilon/4, \qquad L(P'') - L(P') < \epsilon/4$$

Let  $P' = a = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m = b$  and  $P = a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  there is  $y_{k_i}$  so that  $x_i \in [y_{k_i-1}, y_{k_i}]$ . If  $x_i \in \{y_{k_i-1}, y_{k_i}\}$ , then adding  $x_i$  to P' adds nothing new, so in the worst case  $x_i \in (y_{k_i-1}, y_{k_i})$ . Let us assume this always occurs (since this is the worst case). In this case we have

$$U(P') - U(P'') = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sup(f([y_{k_i-1}, y_{k_i}])(y_{k_i} - y_{k_i-1})$$
$$- (\sup(f([y_{k_i-1}, x_i])(x_i - y_{k_i-1}) + \sup(f([x_i, y_{k_i}])(y_{k_i} - x_i))$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} 3M \|P'\| = 3(N-1)M \|P\| < \epsilon/4$$

The other direction (ii) implies (i) is trivial since all that is required for  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  is that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is P so that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$ .

**Problem 4** (R:6:1). Suppose  $\alpha : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is monotonic increasing and continuous at  $x_0 \in [a,b]$ . consider  $f:[a,b] \to 0,1$  given by  $f(x_0)=1$  and f(x)=0 for  $x \neq x_0$ . Show that  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  and  $\int_a^b f d\alpha = 0$ .

Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\alpha$  is continuous at  $x_0$  take  $\delta$  so that  $\alpha(N_\delta(x_0)) \subseteq N_{\epsilon/2}(\alpha(x_0))$ . Let  $P = y_0 = a < y_1 < y_2 < y_3 = b$  where  $[y_1, y_2] \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , so that  $\Delta \alpha_2 = \alpha(y_2) - \alpha(y_1) < \epsilon$ . Then  $M_i^{f,P} = m_i^{f,P}$  for  $i \neq 2$  and  $M_2^{f,P} = \sup(f([y_1, y_2])) = 1$  while  $m_i^{f,P} = \inf(f([y_1, y_2])) = 0$  so that

$$U(f,P) - L(F,P) = (1-0)\Delta\alpha_2 < \epsilon$$

**Problem 5** (R:6:2). Suppose  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  is continuous,  $f\geq 0$ , and  $\int_a^b f\,dx=0$ , then f=0.

Note that where Rudin asks you to compare with (1) there might be the thought that these do not compare since (1) is about  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  while (2) is about  $\mathcal{R}$ , but taking  $\alpha = \mathrm{id}$  in (1) allows you to make the comparison.

This is really almost trivial. If  $f \neq 0$ , then f(x) > 0 for some  $x \in [a, b]$ , but then  $f(x) > \delta > 0$  and so there is an open nbhd of x,  $N_{\delta}(x) = (x - \delta, x + \delta)$  so that  $f((x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap [a, b]) \subset (\delta, \infty)$ . Say  $(c, d) \subseteq (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ , then clearly  $\int_a^b f \, dx \geq \delta(d - c) > 0$ .

The difference in the example from R:6:1 and R:6:2 is clearly that in R:6:1 the function is not continuous. In fact  $\int_a^b f \, dx = 0$  whenever  $\{x \in [a,b] \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$  has **measure 0**. A set Z has measure 0 whenever

$$0 = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_i - a_i) \mid Z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i) \right\}$$

**Problem 6** (R:6:3). Define  $\beta_i : [-1,1] \to [0,1]$  by  $\beta_i = 0$  for x < 0 and  $\beta_i = 1$  for x > 0, then  $\beta_1(0) = 0$ ,  $\beta_2(0) = 1$ , and  $\beta_3(0) = 1/2$ . In particular  $\beta_i$  has a simple discontinuity at 0 with  $\beta_1(0-) = \beta_1(0) = 0$  (continuous from the left),  $\beta_2(0+) = \beta_2(0) = 1$  (continuous from the right), while  $\beta_3$  is neither continuous from the left or right. Let  $f : [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. show that

- i)  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$  iff f(0+) = f(0), that is, f is continuous from the right at 0.
- ii)  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_2)$  iff f(0-) = f(0), that is, f is continuous from the right at 0.
- iii)  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_3)$  iff f is continuous at 0.

These are all very similar. It suffices to consider partitions that include 0 so that  $P = -1 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots x_n = 1$  an where  $x_k = 0$ . For  $\beta_i$  we have

$$(\Delta \beta_i)_k = \beta_i(0) - \beta_i(x_{k-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & i = 1\\ 1 & i = 2\\ 1/2 & i = 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\Delta \beta_i)_{k+1} = \beta_i(k+1) - \beta_i(0) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = 1\\ 0 & i = 2\\ 1/2 & i = 3 \end{cases}$$

All other  $(\Delta \beta_i)_i = 0$  and thus we see

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) = (M_k - m_k)(\Delta \beta_i)_k + (M_{k+1} - m_{k+1})(\Delta \beta_i)_{k+1}$$

$$= \begin{cases} M_{k+1} - m_{k+1} & i = 1\\ M_k - m_k & i = 2\\ 1/2((M_k - m_k) + (M_{k+1} - m_{k+1}) & i = 3 \end{cases}$$

Now  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_i)$  iff for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a P so that

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) < \epsilon \iff$$

$$\begin{cases} M_{k+1} - m_{k+1} < \epsilon & i = 1 \\ M_k - m_k < \epsilon & i = 2 \\ 1/2 \left( (M_k - m_k) + (M_{k+1} - m_{k+1}) < \epsilon & i = 3 \end{cases}$$

Take the i=1 case, this says that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $x_{k+1} = h > 0$  so that  $\sup(f([0,h]) - \inf(f([0,h])) < \epsilon$  which says exactly that f(0+) = f(0). Similarly for i=2 and i=3.

**Problem 7** (R:6:6). Let  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and continuous off of the Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$ . Show that  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Recall the construction of the Cantor set.  $C_0 = [0,1]$   $C_1 = [0,1] - (1/3,2/3)$  (removing middle third).  $C_2 = C_1 - (1,9) - (7/9,8/9)$ , again remove middle thirds from what was left.

Notice the lengths of what is removed: 1/3, 1/3 + 2/9, 1/3 + 2/9 + 4/27, etc. Consider

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^i}{3^{i+1}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^i = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{1-2/3}\right) = 1$$

We can cover  $C_i$  by  $2^i$  many disjoint intervals of length  $(1/3)^i + \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcap C_i$  we see that  $\mathcal{C}$  has measure 0 as defined above.

Suppose f is continuous off of a measure 0 set  $Z \subset [a,b]$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an open cover of Z by intervals  $(a_i,b_i)$  so that  $\sum_i (b_i-a_i) < \epsilon$ . For each  $x \notin Z$  take  $\delta_x$  so that  $f(N_{\delta_x}(x)) \subset$ 

 $N_{\epsilon/2}(f(x))$ . As [a,b] is compact we can find a finite subcover  $\{(u_i,v_i) \mid i=1,\ldots,n\}$  so that  $u_1 < a < u_2 < v_1 < u_3 < v_2 < \cdots u_n < v_{n-1} < b < v_n$  where each  $(u_i,v_i)$  is from our cover of Z or else is one of the  $N_{\delta_r}(x)$ .

Use  $x_0 = a$ ,  $x_i = (u_{i+1} + v_i)/2$  for i < n, and  $x_n = b$  as the partition:  $P = a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < b = x_n$ . Let M be a bound on |f| on [a, b]. Let  $T = \{i \mid (x_{i-1}, x_i) \subseteq (a_j, b_j) \text{ for some } j\}$ . Then we have

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \sum_{i \in T} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i + \sum_{i \notin T} (M_i - m_i) \Delta x_i$$
$$< \sum_{i \in T} 2M \Delta x_i + \sum_{i \notin T} \epsilon \Delta x_i$$
$$\leq 2M\epsilon + \epsilon(b - a) = \epsilon(2M + (b - a))$$

**Problem 8** (R:6:10). See text. This is mostly done in the notes.

In particular whenever  $p_i \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ , then  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} \le \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i$ . In particular, if  $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = 1$ , then

$$uv = (u^p)^{1/p} (v^q)^{1/q} \le \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}$$

This basically completes (a). For (b) notice

$$fg \le \frac{f^p}{p} + \frac{g^q}{q}$$

so

$$\int_{a}^{b} fg \, d\alpha \le \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f^{p}}{p} \, d\alpha + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{g^{q}}{q} \, d\alpha = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

For (c) the proof is exactly as for Hölder's inequality in the notes already mentioned above. Define  $||f||_p = \left(\int_a^b |f|^p \, d\alpha\right)^{1/p}$  provided that  $|f|^p \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ . Let  $L^p(\alpha)$  be all those bounded  $f[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $||f||_p < \infty$ . The spaces of function  $L^p(\alpha)$  are normed vector spaces with norm  $||\cdot||_p$ . We want to see if  $f \in L^p(\alpha)$  and  $g \in L^q(\alpha)$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  we have  $fg \in L^1(\alpha)$  and

$$||fg||_1 \le ||f||_p ||g||_q \tag{\dagger}$$

We can replace f with  $\hat{f} = \frac{f}{\|f\|_p}$  and g with  $\hat{g} = \frac{g}{\|g\|_q}$ , then we have  $\|\hat{f}\|_p = 1 = \|\hat{q}\|_q$  and from above

$$\|\hat{f}\hat{g}\|_{1} \le 1 = \frac{\|\hat{f}\|_{p}^{p}}{p} + \frac{\|\hat{g}\|_{q}^{q}}{q}$$

But from this we have

$$\left\| \frac{f}{\|f\|_p} \frac{g}{\|g\|_q} \right\| = \frac{1}{\|f\|_p} \frac{1}{\|g\|_q} \|fg\|_1 \le 1$$

From this (†) follows immediately.

**Problem 9** (Functions with only countable many discontinuities are integrable.). Let f be bounded on [a, b] with at most countable many discontinuities on [a, b]. Let  $\alpha : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is monotonic increasing and  $\alpha$  is continuous at every discontinuity of f. Show that  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .

Hint: Fix an enumeration  $S = \{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of the discontinuities of f. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\epsilon_i > 0$  so that  $\sum_i \epsilon_i \leq \epsilon$ . Since  $\alpha$  is continuous at  $s_i$  fix  $\delta_i$  so that  $\alpha(N_{\delta_i}(s_i)) \subset N_{\epsilon_i}(\alpha(s_i))$ . For  $x \notin S$ , fix  $\delta_x$  so that  $f(N_{\delta_x}(x)) \subset N_{\epsilon}(f(x))$ . Now  $\mathcal{O} = \{N_{\delta_i}(s_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{N_{\delta_x}(x) \mid x \notin S\}$  is an open cover of [a, b]. Apply compactness to get a finite subcover and then do something *similar* (not the same) as in the proof of 6.10.

**Proof 1:** Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\epsilon_i > 0$  so that  $\sum_i \epsilon_i < \epsilon$ . Let  $S = \{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the discontinuities of f. Since  $\alpha$  is continuous at  $s_i$  let  $\delta_i$  be so that  $\alpha(N_{\delta_i}(s_i)) \subset N_{\epsilon_i}(\alpha(s_i))$ . For  $x \notin S$  let  $\delta_x$  be chosen so that  $f(N_{\delta_x})(x) \subseteq N_{\epsilon}(f(x))$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{N_{\delta_i}(s_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{N_{\delta_x}(x) \mid x \notin S\}$  be the associated open cover of [a,b]. Let  $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq$  be a finite subcover of [a,b]. Novice that  $\mathcal{O}'$  consists of intervals  $(u_i,v_i)$  and we may assume that  $a=u_0 < u_1 < v_0 < u_2 < v_1 < u_3 < v_2 \cdots$  (a "chain"). Thus we define  $x_0=a < x_1=(u_1+v_0)/2 < x_2=(u_2+v_1)/2 < x_{n-1}=(u_{n-1}+v_{n-2})/2 < x_n=v_n=b$ . Thus  $[x_{i-1},x_i] \subset N_{\delta_j}(s_j)$  for some j or  $[x_{i-1},x_i] \subset N_{\delta_x}(x)$  for some  $x \notin S$ .

Let  $T = \{i \mid [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subset N_{\delta_i}(s_i) \text{ for some } s_i \in S\}$ . Then letting  $f(x) \leq M$  and  $\alpha(b) - \alpha(a) = N$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_{i} - m_{i}|(\alpha(x_{i}) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) = \sum_{i \in T} |M_{i} - m_{i}|(\alpha(x_{i}) - \alpha(x_{i-1})) + \sum_{i \notin T} |M_{i} - m_{i}|(\alpha(x_{i}) - \alpha(x_{i-1}))$$

$$< \sum_{i \in T} 2M\epsilon_{i} + \sum_{i \notin T} \epsilon \alpha(x_{i}) - \alpha(x_{i-1})$$

$$\leq 2M\epsilon + N\epsilon = \epsilon(2M + N)$$

**Proof 2:** (This proof was attempted by everyone in one of my classes. I thought I would write it out correctly so that everyone in that class could see what should have been presented.)

Start like the above. Since  $\alpha$  is continuous at  $s_i$  pick  $(a_i, b_i)$  satisfying:

- $(a_i, b_i) \cap (a_j, b_j) = \emptyset$ . (mutually disjoint)
- $s_i \in (a_i, b_i)$ .
- $\alpha((a_i, b_i)) \subseteq S_{\epsilon/2}(s_i)$  so that if  $t, t' \in (a_i, b_i)$ , then  $|\alpha(t') \alpha(t)| < \epsilon_i$ . Where  $\sum_i \epsilon_i = \epsilon_i$  and  $\epsilon_i$  will be chosen at the end.

Let  $K = [a, b] - \bigcup_i (b_i, a_i)$ . K is closed and bounded, hence compact. Since f is continuous on K it is uniformly continuous and thus we can pick  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

 $\mathcal{O} = \{(x - \delta/2, x + \delta/2) \mid x \in K\} \cup \{(a_i, b_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an open cover of [a, b] and hence has a finite subcover  $\mathcal{O}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}' = \{(u_i, v_i) \mid i < m\}$  we may assume that for no  $i \neq j$  do we have  $(u_i, v_i) \subset (u_j, v_j)$ , as we could just toss out  $(u_i, v_i)$  in this case. So  $u_0 < a < u_1 < v_0 < u_2 < v_1 < \cdots < u_{m-1} < v_{m-2} < b < v_{m-1}$ . For  $i = 1, \ldots, m-2$  let  $y_i = (u_i + v_{i-1})/2$  and set  $y_0 = a$  and  $y_{m-1} = b$  and let  $P = \{y_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . Then we know for each  $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$  that either  $[u_{i-1}, u_i] \subset (a_j, b_j)$  for some j or else  $[u_{i-1}, u_i] \subset (x - \delta/2, x + \delta/2)$  for some  $x \in K$ .

Let  $A = \{i \mid [u_{i-1}, u_i] \subset (a_{j_i}, b_{j_i}) \text{ for some } j_i\}$ , then for  $i \in A$  we have  $\Delta \alpha_i = \alpha(u_i) - \alpha(u_{i-1}) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_{i-1}) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) < \beta(u_i) - \alpha(u_i) - \alpha(u_i)$ 

 $\epsilon_{j_i}$  and for  $i \notin A$ ,  $|M_i^{f,P} - m_i^{f,P}| < \epsilon$ . Thus

$$U(f, P, \alpha) - L(f, P, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |M_i^{f, P} - m_i^{f, P}| \Delta \alpha_i$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in A} |M_i^{f, P} - m_i^{f, P}| \epsilon_{j_i} + \sum_{i \notin A} \epsilon \Delta \alpha_i \leq 2M\epsilon + \epsilon(\alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$$

where  $M = \sup |f(x)|x \in [a, b]$ .

Since M and  $\alpha(b) - \alpha(a)$  are fixed constants we can make the  $\epsilon(2M + \alpha(b) - \alpha(a))$  arbitrarily small. Thus  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .

**Problem 10** (An integrable function with uncountable many discontinuities.). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the Cantor set and f be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathcal{C} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathcal{C} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ , namely,  $\int_0^1 f \, dx = 0$ . That f has uncountably many points of discontinuity is clear since each point of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a discontinuity of f and  $\mathcal{C}$  is perfect, hence uncountable.

**Proof 1:** The argument from Problem 7 works here. Basically, that argument showed that if g = f off of a measure zero set, then  $f \in \mathcal{R} \iff g \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\int_a^b f \, dx = \int_a^b g \, dx$ . So here take g = 0 on [0, 1].

**Proof 2:** (From a student.) Let  $P_i$  be the partition that breaks [0,1] into  $3^i$  many pieces. Let  $C_i$  be the i<sup>th</sup> approximation of the Cantor set, so  $C_0 = [0,1]$ ,  $C_1 = [0,1/3] \cup [2/3,1]$ , etc. In the picture, the black part represents the closed sets  $C_i$ .



Notice that on each of these sections  $M_j - m_j = 1$  since there are always points outside  $\mathcal{C}$  in any interval, yet the endpoints are always in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The same argument holds for the orange segments. In  $P_i$ ,  $2^i$  pieces of the partition are black. The orange segments satisfy a recurrence, namely, if there are  $a_i$  in  $P_i$ , then there are  $2 \cdot a_i + 2^i$  in  $P_{i+1}$  since each black gives one orange and each orange splits into two orange. So  $a_{i+1} = 2a_i + 2^i$ . You can check that  $a_i = i2^{i-1}$ . In particular,  $(0)(2^{-1}) = 0$  and  $(i+1)2^i = i2^i + 2^i = 2(i2^{i-1}) + 2^i = 2a_i + 2^i$  as required. So there are  $i2^{i-1} + 2^i = (i+2)2^{i-1}$  many elements of the partition where  $M_i - m_i = 1$  so that

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) = \frac{(i+2)}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{i-1} \to 0 \text{ as } i \to \infty$$

The following is for a future class, but it came up here so I wanted to record it. Let  $\alpha: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and say  $Z \subseteq [a,b]$  has  $\alpha$ -measure 0 iff for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $(a_i,b_i)$  so that  $Z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_i,b_i)$ 

and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_i) < \epsilon$ . The argument above works for  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha$ -measure zero replacing measure 0.

Notice that if Z has  $\alpha$ -measure zero and  $z \in Z$ , then  $\alpha$  is continuous at z. To see this let  $\epsilon > 0$  and take  $\{(a_i,b_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covering Z with  $\sum_i \alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_i) < \epsilon$ . Then  $z \in (a_i,b_i)$  and clearly  $\alpha((a_i,b_i)) \subset N_{\epsilon}(\alpha(z))$ , since  $\alpha(b_i) - \alpha(a_i) < \epsilon$ . So if Z is the set of discontinuities of f, then  $\alpha$  must be continuous at each  $z \in Z$ .

**Problem 11.** Show that if  $f[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $Z = \{x \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}$  is countable  $\alpha$  is continuous at each in Z, then Z has  $\alpha$ -measure zero.

**Problem 12** (Generalization of Problem 7). Show that if  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is bounded and  $\alpha:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is monotonic increasing with f discontinuous on a set Z of  $\alpha$ -measure zero with  $\alpha$  continuous at each point in Z, then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ .

**Problem 13.** Let  $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and let  $Z = \{z \mid g(z) \neq f(z)\}$ . If Z has  $\alpha$ -measure zero show that

- i)  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha) \iff g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$
- ii) If  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ , then  $\int_a^b f \, d\alpha = \int_a^b g \, d\alpha$