Exam 1

This exam covers Topics 1 - 3, Topic 4 will not be covered here.

Part I: True/False (5 points each; 25 points)

For each of the following mark as true or false.

a) ____ If A and B are $n \times n$ lower triangular matrices, then AB is also lower triangular.

This is true and in fact the diagonal is the product of the diagonals.

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ 0 & b_{22} & b_{23} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} & \cdots & d_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22}b_{22} & d_{23} & \cdots & d_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn}b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

b) _____ If W is a subspace of a vector space V and \mathcal{B}_W is a basis for W, then there is a unique subspace U so that $V = W \oplus U$ and a basis \mathcal{B}_U for U so that $\mathcal{B}_V = \mathcal{B}_W + \mathcal{B}_U$ is a basis for V.

This is false, there may be many, infinitely many such U. Just take \mathbb{R}^2 and $W = \text{span}\{(0,1)\}$, the y-axis, then $U = \text{span}\{(a,b)\}$, the line containing (a,b). The only condition is that W and U are not the same line, that is $a \neq 0$.

c) _____ If W is a subspace of a vector space V and \mathcal{B} is a basis for V, then B can be restricted to a basis for W.

This is not true. Let $W = \text{span}\{(1,1)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 = V$. The standard basis for \mathbb{R}^2 can not be restricted to a basis for W.

d) Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{C} , then $\det(\bar{A}) = \det(A)$, where $\bar{A}_{i,j} = \overline{A_{i,j}}$. Here, $\bar{z} = a - ib$ when z - a + ib, the complex conjugate of z.

1

This is true and can be seen in several ways. Induction using expansion along a row or column works. For n = 1, this is trivial, $\det[\alpha] = \det[\bar{\alpha}]$. If A is $(n+1) \times (n+1)$

and we know the proposition holds for $n \times n$ matrices, then

$$\det(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \det(\bar{M}_{i,j})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \overline{\det(M_{i,j})}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} \det(M_{i,j})$$

$$= \overline{\det(A)}$$
(induction hypothesis)

e) _____ For $n \times n$ matrices A and B, define $A \otimes B = AB - BA$. The operator \otimes is not associative or commutative.

This is true. Failure of commutativity is trivial since $A \otimes B = -(B \otimes A)$, this is anti-commutative.

$$A \otimes (B \otimes C) = A(B \otimes C) - (B \otimes C)A$$
$$= A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A$$
$$= ABC - ACB - BCA + CBA$$

while

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C = (A \otimes B)C - C(A \otimes B)$$
$$= (AB - BA)C - C(AB - BA)$$
$$= ABC - BAC - CAB + CBA$$

So

$$A \otimes (B \otimes C) - (A \otimes B) \otimes C = -ACB - BCA - (-BAC - CAB)$$
$$= (CA - AC)B - B(CA - AC)$$
$$= (C \otimes A) \otimes B$$

which in general is not $\mathbf{0}$.

Notice $A \otimes (B \otimes C) = -(B \otimes C) \otimes A$ so we have

$$-(B \otimes C) \otimes A - (A \otimes B) \otimes C = (C \otimes A) \otimes B$$

or equivalently

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C + (B \otimes C) \otimes A + (C \otimes A) \otimes B = 0$$

This is an important identity in quantum mechanics called the Jacobi identity.

Part II: Definitions and Theorems (5 points each; 25 points)

a) Define what it means for a set of vectors $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ from a real vector space V to span V.

 $\{\boldsymbol{v}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{v}_n\}$ spans V iff for all $\boldsymbol{v}\in V$, \boldsymbol{v} is a linear combination of the vectors in \mathcal{B} , that is $\boldsymbol{v}=\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_i\boldsymbol{v}_i$ for some coefficients $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$.

b) Define what it means for a set of vectors $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ from a real vector space V to be linearly independent.

A set of vectors \mathcal{B} is **linearly independent** iff $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$, then $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i. Equivalently, any linear combination of the vectors that gives $\mathbf{0}$ must be trivial.

There are equivalent definitions, but essentially, this is what you need. You can say something like, for all $i, v_i \notin \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$

c) Define what it means for a set of vectors $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ to be a basis for a vector space V.

 \mathcal{B} has must be a linearly independent and span V.

d) State the Rank-Nullity Theorem.

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then $n = \dim(RS(A)) + \dim(NS(A)) = \operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{nullity}(A)$.

e) If B arises from a matrix A by elementary row operations, what is the relationship between NS(A) and NS(B)?

$$NS(A) = NS(B)$$

This is because $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \iff B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, i.e., the systems of equations are equivalent. So $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \iff B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and thus $\mathbf{x} \in NS(A) \iff \mathbf{x} \in NS(B)$.

Part III: Computational (15 points each; 45 point)

a) Use row ops to find an echelon form of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & -2 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Make sure to write out your steps and indicate the row ops at each step.

$$A \xrightarrow[R_3 - R_1 \to R_3]{R_3 - R_1 \to R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[R_3 - R_2 \to R_3]{R_3 - R_2 \to R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

b) Use the echelon matrix found above to find a basis for RS(A), NS(A), and CS(A). Give a brief reason for your choice.

Without a justification, you might just have a lucky guess and I will not accept this. Your justification can be short and use facts from the text or from the notes that I have provided.

A basis for RS(A) is given by $\{(1, 2, 2, -2, 2), (0, 0, -3, 2, 1)\}.$

Justification: Take the non-zero rows of the echelon form.

A basis for CS(A) is given by columns 1 and 3 of A, that is, $\{(1,2,1),(2,1,-1)\}$

Justification: These correspond to the pivot columns and we know this is a basis.

For NS(A) we perform back substitution, letting $x_2 = r$, $x_4 = s$, and $x_5 = t$, so

$$-3x_3 = -2s - t$$

SO

$$x_3 = (2/3)s + (1/3)t$$

$$x_1 = -2r - 2x_3 + 2s - 2t$$

$$= -2r - 2((2/3)s + (1/3)t) + 2s - 2t$$

$$= -2r + 2/3s - 8/3t$$

So a typical element of NS(A) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2r + (2/3)s - (8/3)t \\ r \\ (2/3)s + (1/3)t \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 2/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -8/3 \\ 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A basis is

$$\{(-2,1,0,0,0),(2/3,0,2/3,1,0),(-8/3,0,1/3,0,1)\}$$

c) Show that skew-symmetric 3×3 matrices form as subspace of all 3×3 matrices and find a basis for this subspace.

These matrices look like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So a basis is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

If you think about it the symmetric matrices have a similar basis of size 9, so $M^{3\times3} = \text{Sym}^{3\times3} \oplus \text{skewSym}^{3\times3}$ and the basis for both are mutually orthogonal.

Part IV: Proofs (20 points each; 60 points) - Choose three!

Provide complete arguments/proofs for three of the following. If you try more than three, I will just grade the first three, so pick three your best three! If you want to ask me about these, please do.

- a) A is invertible iff there exists a matrix B so that AB = BA = I. It is simple to show that:
 - (i) If A is invertible and AB = I, then BA = I as well and B is the unique such matrix.
 - (ii) If A is invertible and BA = I, then AB = I as well and B is the unique such matrix.

This shows that if A is invertible, then there is a unique matrix B such that AB = I or BA = I. Call this unique matrix A^{-1} .

The goal here is to show that the assumption "A is invertible" is not needed in (i) or (ii).

Prove: Let A and B be square matrices with AB = I. Show that A is invertible and hence $B = A^{-1}$.

You may refer to Theorem 1.5.2 or Theorem 2.2.2, but be clear and complete in your argument.

Proof 1: Show that $NS(B) = \{0\}$ and hence B is invertible and from above $A = B^{-1}$, but then clearly A is invertible too.

Clearly,
$$x \in NS(B) \implies x \in NS(AB) = NS(I) = \{0\}$$
, so

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq NS(B) \subseteq NS(AB) = \{\mathbf{0}\}\$$

so $NS(B) = \{0\}$. So B is invertible and AB = I, so $A = B^{-1}$.

Proof 2: det(AB) = det(A) det(B) = 1, so $det(A) \neq 0$, hence A is invertible.

b) **Prove:** $NS(A) = NS(A^T A)$ for any matrix A.

You have actually done this already in the homework, but you may also use the easy fact that $x^T x > 0$ for $x \neq 0$.

As in (a), clearly, $NS(A) \subseteq NS(A^TA)$, we just need the other direction.

Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(A^T A)$, then $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{x}^T (A^T A \mathbf{x}) = 0$. But $\mathbf{x}^T (A^T A \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}^T A^T)(A \mathbf{x}) = (A \mathbf{x})^T (A \mathbf{x})$. As mentioned, is $(A \mathbf{x})^T (A \mathbf{x}) = 0$ iff $A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. So $\mathbf{x} \in \text{NS}(A)$ as needed.

c) **Prove:** If A and B are $m \times n$ matrices such that $A\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then A = B.

The hypothesis is equivalent to (A - B)x = 0 for all x and the conclusion is equivalent to A - B = 0.

It suffices to prove:

If
$$Ax = 0$$
 for all x , then $A = 0$.

This is simple, say $A = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{a}_1 & \boldsymbol{a}_2 & \cdots & \boldsymbol{a}_n \end{bmatrix}$ (the columns of A). Then $A\boldsymbol{e}_j = \boldsymbol{a}_j = \boldsymbol{0}$. But then $\boldsymbol{a}_j(i) = A_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. So $A = \boldsymbol{0}$ (the all 0 matrix).

d) **Prove:** If A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $A^k = \mathbf{0}$ for any k, then $A^n = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof 1: To do this show

- i) Show $NS(A^{m+1}) \supseteq NS(A^m)$ for all m.
- ii) Show that if $NS(A^{m+1}) = NS(A^m)$, then $NS(A^n) = NS(A^m)$ for all $n \ge m$.

It is clear that $NS(A^{m+1}) \supseteq NS(A^m)$, since $A^m x = 0 \implies A(A^m x) = 0 \implies A^{m+1} x = 0$. So (i) is shown,

For (ii) suppose $NS(A^m) = NS(A^{m+1})$, then $A^{m+2}x = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{m+1}(Ax) = \mathbf{0} \implies A^m(Ax) = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{m+1}x = \mathbf{0}$. So $NS(A^{m+2}) \subseteq NS(A^{m+1})$, but then $NS(A^{m+2}) = NS(A^{m+1}) = NS(A^m)$. Now just keep going to get $NS(A^k) = NS(A^m)$ for all $k \ge m$.

This means we have

$$NS(A^0) \subsetneq NS(A^1) \subsetneq NS(A^2) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq NS(A^{m-1}) \subsetneq NS(A^m) = NS(A^{m+1}) = \cdots$$

The m at which $NS(A^k) = NS(A^m)$ for all $m \ge k$ must itself be $\le n$.

If $A^k = \mathbf{0}$ for any k, then $NS(A^k) = \mathbb{R}^n$ is maximal and thus $m \le k$ and $NS(A^m) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $m \le n$, $NS(A^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$ and so $A^n = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof 2: You can use induction. To do this we need to prove something that sounds slightly stronger:

 P_n : For any $n \times n$ matrix A, if $A^m = \mathbf{0}$ for any m > n, then $A^n = 0$.

base case: (n = 1) If $A^m = [a]^m = [a^m] = [0]$, for m>1, then a = 0, so $A^1 = [a] = [0]$ as needed.

inductive step: Suppose P_{n-1} : For any m > n-1, $A^m = \mathbf{0} \implies A^{n-1} = \mathbf{0}$ for all $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. We want to prove P_n .

Assume A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $A^m = 0$ for some m > n. Notice that $\ker(A) \neq \{0\}$, since if $\ker(A) = \{0\}$, then $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective and thus A^m is also injective, so $\ker(A^m) = \{0\}$. This obviously contradicts $A^m = \mathbf{0}$.

Let $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \ker(A)$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . So letting $B = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_n]$ we have

$$A = BA'B^{-1}$$

where

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a'_{12} & a'_{13} & \cdots & a'_{1n} \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & \hat{A} & & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

where \hat{A} is the indicated $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of A'.

A' is the matrix of $L(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} . Notice that $A^m = \mathbf{0}$ means $L^m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ for all \mathbf{x} and hence $A'\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ for all \mathbf{x} , a finally this means $A'^m = \mathbf{0}$.

Notice that A' has the block form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(A')^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{b}^T \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{b}^T \hat{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

By a second, obvious induction,

$$(A')^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \hat{A}^{k-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume $\hat{A}^{m} = \mathbf{0}$ so $\hat{A}^{m} = \mathbf{0}$ and by induction $\hat{A}^{n-1} = \mathbf{0}$ and thus

$$(A')^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{b}^T \hat{A}^{n-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{A}^n \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$