CS 487/687 Introduction to Symbolic Computation

Keven Qiu Instructor: Armin Jamshidpey

Contents

1	Bas	sic Algebraic Domains	3
	1.1	Mathematical Domains	3
	1.2	Integers, Rationals, and Polynomials	4
	1.3	Basic Algebraic Operations with Cost	6
2	Pol	ynomial and Integer Multiplication	8
	2.1	Karatsuba's Algorithm	9
	2.2	Evaluation	10
	2.3	Toom's Algorithm	11
	2.4	Fast Fourier Transform	12
	2.5	Multivariate Polynomials	15
3	Ext	ended Euclidean Algorithm	16
	3.1	Greatest Common Divisor	16
	3.2	Euclid's Algorithm	17
	3.3	Extended Euclidean Algorithm	18
4	Div	rision with Remainder Using Newton Iteration	21
	4.1	Newton Iteration	22
	4.2	Iteration for the Inverse	22
5	Chi	nese Remainder Theorem	24
	5.1	Complexity	25

6	Modular Composition							
	6.1	Fast Exponentiation	27					
	6.2	Shanks' Babystep-Giantstep Algortihm	28					
	6.3	Modular Composition	29					
7	Line	early Recurrent Sequences	30					
	7.1	Rational Reconstruction	30					
8	Spa	rse Linear Systems	33					
	8.1	Polynomials of Matrices	33					
	8.2	Linear Recurrence for Matrices	34					
	8.3	Finding the Minimal Polynomial	35					
	8.4	Solving Systems	35					
9	Matrix Multiplication							
	9.1	Matrix Multiplication	37					
		9.1.1 Pre-Strassen	38					
		9.1.2 Strassen	38					
	9.2	Rectangular Matrices	39					
	9.3	Polynomial Notation	40					
10	Fast	Evaluation/Interpolation	42					
	10.1	Evaluation and Interpolation of Polynomials	42					
		10.1.1 Evaluation	42					
		10.1.2 Lagrange Interpolation	43					
11	Ree	d-Solomon Codes	45					
	11.1	Finite Fields and Reed-Solomon Codes	45					
		11.1.1 Zech Logarithms	46					
	11.2	Sparse Interpolation	46					
	11.3	Reed-Solomon Codes	48					

Basic Algebraic Domains

1.1 Mathematical Domains

Most algorithms for polynomials, matrices, etc. come from

- Integers
- Rational numbers
- Integers modulo n (n is often a prime or a power of a prime)
- Algebraic extensions $(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{3}}))$
- Complex numbers

Definition: Ring

A set with an operation + and an operation \times where

- a + 0 = 0 + a = a
- a + (-a) = 0
- $\bullet \quad a+b=b+a$
- (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)• a(bc) = (ab)c
- a(b+c) = ab + ac

Definition: Commutative Ring

A ring where ab = ba.

Definition: Ring with Unit

A ring with a special element 1 such that $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a$.

1.2 Integers, Rationals, and Polynomials

Assume that the machine architecture has 64 bits. Therefore, integers are represented exactly in $[0, 2^{64} - 1]$. For larger integers, we can use an array of word-size numbers.

Any integer a can be expressed as

$$a = (-1)^s \sum_{i=0}^n a_i B^i$$

where $B = 2^{64}, s \in \{0, 1\}, 0 \le a_i \le B - 1$.

If $0 \le n + 1 < 2^{63}$, then a can be encoded as an array

$$[s \cdot 2^{63} + n + 1, a_0, \dots, a_n]$$

of 64 bit words.

Polynomials can be represented in dense (arrays) or sparse (linked lists) forms. Multivariate polynomials are typically sparse.

Definition: Field

A ring \mathbb{F} with addition and multiplication such that every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse.

Some examples of fields include rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, \mathbb{Z}_p , \mathbb{F}_q (finite field of size $q = p^k$), \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} .

Given a base ring R, we can construct a polynomial ring R[x] by adding a new free variable x to R. Elements will have the form $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_dx^d$, $a_i \in R$. Equality is defined by their coefficients.

Definition: Greatest Common Divisor

The greatest common divisor of $a, b \in R$, denoted gcd(a, b) is an element $c \in R$ such that c divides both a and b and if r divides both a and b, then r divides c.

gcd's do not always exist as it depends on the ring, and even if it does exist, it is not clear that an algorithm exists.

Definition: Unit

 $u \in R$ is a unit if there is $v \in R$ such that uv = 1.

Definition: Associates

 $a, b \in R$ are associates if a = ub with $u \in R$ a unit.

3 and -3 are associates in \mathbb{Z} , 3 and 9 are associates in \mathbb{Z}_{12} .

Definition: Irreducible

A non-unit element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ is irreducible if a = bc implies one of b, c is a unit.

Definition: Zero Divisor

An element $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that there is a non-zero $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a \cdot b = 0$.

Definition: Integral Domain

A ring R having no zero divisor.

Definition: Euclidean Domain

An integral domain R with a Euclidean function $|\cdot|: R \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that for all $a, b \in R$ with $b \neq 0$, there exists $q, r \in R$ such that

$$a = qb + r, |r| < |b|$$

E.g. \mathbb{Z} is a Euclidean domain with Euclidean function absolute value, units are ± 1 and irreducibles are prime integers.

E.g. $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is a Euclidean domain with Euclidean function degree, units are constant polynomials, and irreducibles are polynomials that do not factor.

E.g. $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean domain with Euclidean function $|a+bi|=a^2+b^2$, units are $\pm 1, \pm i$.

E.g. $\mathbb{R}[x]$ is not a Euclidean domain when R is not a field, units are constants which are units in R.

Measuring cost in rings:

• \mathbb{Z} : The bit complexity of the integer is

$$\log a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0\\ 1 + \lfloor \log |a| \rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- \mathbb{Q} : The complexity of a/b is the total bit complexity of a and b.
- \mathbb{F}_q : The complexity is bit complexity $\log q$.

•

1.3 Basic Algebraic Operations with Cost

Addition over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Input: two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg(a) = m$, $\deg(b) = n$.

Output: c = a + b.

 $c_i = a_i + b_i$ for $0 \le i \le \max(m, n)$ and the running time is O(m + n).

Multiplication over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Input: two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Output: $a \cdot b$.

 $c_k = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i b_{k-i}$. Compute all (m+1)(n+1) multiplications of $a_i b_j$ and add the mn summands so running time is O(mn).

Addition and Multiplication Over $R = \mathbb{Z}$

Input: two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Output: a + b and $a \cdot b$.

Use bit representation of a, b. For addition, the running time is $O(\log a + \log b)$. For multiplication, there are $\lceil \log b \rceil$ additions of multiples of a, so running time is $O(\log a \cdot \log b)$.

So over \mathbb{Z} we count bit operations and over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ we count operations in \mathbb{Z} .

Division with Remainder over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

Input: two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with b nonzero and leading coefficient of b (LC(b)) is unit in \mathbb{Z} .

Output: $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $\deg(r) < \deg(b)$ and a = qb + r.

Start with r = a, q = 0. While $\deg(r) \ge \deg(b)$, do $q = q + \frac{LC(r)}{LC(b)}x^{\deg(r) - \deg(b)}$ and $r = r - \frac{LC(r)}{LC(b)}x^{\deg(r) - \deg(b)} \cdot b$. We perform at most $\deg(a) - \deg(b) + 1$ subtractions to r so total time is $(\deg(a) - \deg(b) + 1)(\deg(b) + 1)$.

Division with Remainder over \mathbb{Z}

Input: two elements $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, with b nonzero.

Output: $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that |r| < |b| and a = qb + r.

Start with r = a, q = 0. While $|r| \ge |b|$, do q = q + 1 and r = r - b. We perform $\lfloor a/b \rfloor$ subtractions to r, total time is $\frac{a \log b}{b}$.

Instead of subtracting a/b times, we can find the biggest multiple of b in r. $q = q + 2^{\log r - \log b}$, $r = r - 2^{\log r - \log b} \cdot b$. The total running time is $\log q \cdot \log b$.

gcd(a,b)

Over ring \mathbb{Z} , the upper bound is $\log a \cdot \log b$ and over ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, the upper bound is $(\deg(a)+1)(\deg(b)+1)$.

Polynomial and Integer Multiplication

Theorem (Master)

Suppose that $a \ge 1, b > 1$. Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^y)$$

Denote $x = \log_b a$, then

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta(n^y \log n) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta(n^y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$$

Polynomial Multiplication

Input: Two polynomials $F = f_0 + f_1 x + \dots + f_{n-1} x^{n-1}$, $G = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_{n-1} x^{n-1}$. **Output**: Product $H = FG = h_0 + \dots h_{2n-2} x^{2n-2}$ with $h_0 = f_0 g_0, \dots, h_i = \sum_{j+k=i} f_j g_k, \dots, h_{2n-2} = f_{n-1} g_{n-1}$.

Multiplication is a central problem. There are algorithms for gcd, factorization, root-finding, evaluation, interpolation, Chinese remaindering, linear algebra, polynomial system solving that rely on polynomial multiplication and their complexity can be expressed using multiplication.

Proposition

On can multiply polynomials with n terms using

- Naive algorithm with $O(n^2)$ operations.
- Karatsuba's algorithm with $O(n^{\log_2 3}) = O(n^{1.59})$ operations.
- Toom's algorithm with $O(n^{\log_3 5}) = O(n^{1.47})$ operations.
- Fast Fourier Transform with $O(n \log n)$ operations for nice cases and $O(n \log n \log \log n)$ operations in general.

Polynomials:

$$(3x^{2} + 2x + 1)(6x^{2} + 5x + 4)$$

$$= (3 \cdot 6)x^{4} + (3 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 6)x^{3} + (3 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 6)x^{2} + (2 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 5)x + (1 \cdot 4)$$

$$= 18x^{4} + 27x^{3} + 28x^{2} + 13x + 4$$

Integers:

$$321 \times 654 = (3 \cdot 10^{2} + 2 \cdot 10 + 1) \times (6 \cdot 10^{2} + 5 \cdot 10 + 4)$$

$$= 18 \cdot 10^{4} + 27 \cdot 10^{3} + 28 \cdot 10^{2} + 13 \cdot 10 + 4$$

$$= 2 \cdot 10^{5} + 9 \cdot 10^{3} + 9 \cdot 10^{2} + 3 \cdot 10 + 4$$

$$= 209934$$

There are similarities, but the carrying for the integer case is seemingly harder.

2.1 Karatsuba's Algorithm

A divide-and-conquer algorithm. Let $F = f_0 + f_1x$, $G = g_0 + g_1x$. Instead of computing 4 multiplications, we compute 3: f_0g_0 , f_1g_1 , $f_0g_1 + f_1g_0 = (f_0 + f_1)(g_0 + g_1) - f_0g_0 - f_1g_1$.

Suppose now that F, G have n terms with $n=2^s$ and let

$$F = F_0 + F_1 x^{n/2}, G = G_0 + G_1 x^{n/2}$$

so F_0, F_1, G_0, G_1 have n/2 terms. Then

$$H = FG = F_0G_0 + (F_0G_1 + F_1G_0)x^{n/2} + F_1G_1x^n$$

Algorithm 1 Karatsuba's Algorithm

- 1: if n = 1 then
- 2: **return** $h = f_0 g_0$
- 3: Compute recursively $F_0G_0, F_1G_1, (F_0 + F_1)(G_0 + G_1)$.
- 4: Deduce $F_0G_1 + F_1G_0 = (F_0 + F_1)(G_0 + G_1) F_0G_0 F_1G_1$.
- 5: **return** H

2.2 Evaluation

Definition: Polynomial Evaluation

Assume R is a ring. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, find an algorithm that, on input $\alpha, a_0, \ldots, a_n \in R$, computes $f(\alpha) \in R$, where

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in R[x]$$

$$P(\infty) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{P(x)}{x^{\deg(P)}}$$

Definition: Horner's Evaluation

Rewrite the polynomial as

$$f(\alpha) = (\cdots((a_n\alpha + a_{n-1})\alpha + a_{n-2})\alpha + \cdots)\alpha + a_0$$

The cost the algorithm using Horner's rule is n multiplications and n additions as opposed to 2n-1 multiplications and n additions for the naive method.

Theorem (Uniqueness of an Interpolating Polynomial)

For any set $\{(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})\}$ pairs such that all x_i 's are distinct, there is a unique polynomial P(x) of degree n-1 such that $y_i = P(x_i)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.

Under assumptions of the previous theorem, we can find P(x) in quadratic time, using Lagrange interpolation:

$$L_{i} = \frac{\prod_{j \neq i} (x - x_{j})}{\prod_{j \neq i} (x_{i} - x_{j})}, P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_{i} L_{i}$$

Application of Evaluation/Interpolation: We want to share a secret between n parties such that 1. together they can discover the secret, 2. no proper subset of the parties can discover the secret.

Construct the scheme:

1. Assume the secret is $s \in \mathbb{F}_p$ where p is a large prime.

- 2. Choose f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} and $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p$.
- 3. Set $f(x) = s + f_1 x + \dots + f_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$.
- 4. Given $(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i))$ to player i.
- 5. Together they can construct the unique polynomial f and s.

2.3 Toom's Algorithm

The idea behind Karatsuba's trick: Evaluation

$$f_0 = F(0)$$
 $g_0 = G(0)$
 $f_0 + f_1 = F(1)$ $g_0 + g_1 = G(1)$
 $f_1 = F(\infty)$ $g_1 = G(\infty)$

Multiplication

$$H(0) = F(0)G(0)$$

$$H(1) = F(1)G(1)$$

$$H(\infty) = F(\infty)G(\infty)$$

Interpolation

$$H = H(0) + (H(1) - H(0) - H(\infty))x + H(\infty)x^{2}$$

Now we work with polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Let $F = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2$ and $G = g_0 + g_1 x + g_2 x^2$ and

$$H = FG = h_0 + h_1 x + h_2 x^2 + h_3 x^3 + h_4 x^4$$

To get H we still need evaluation, multiplication, and interpolation. Now we need 5 values because H has 5 unknown coefficients.

Evaluation

$$F(0) = f_0$$

$$F(1) = f_0 + f_1 + f_2$$

$$F(-1) = f_0 - f_1 + f_2$$

$$F(2) = f_0 + 2f_1 + 4f_2$$

$$F(\infty) = f_2$$

$$G(0) = g_0$$

$$G(1) = g_0 + g_1 + g_2$$

$$G(-1) = g_0 - g_1 + g_2$$

$$G(2) = g_0 + 2g_1 + 4g_2$$

$$G(\infty) = g_2$$

Multiplication:

$$H(0) = F(0)G(0), \dots, H(\infty) = F(\infty)G(\infty)$$

Interpolation:

$$H(0) = h_0$$

$$H(-1) = h_0 - h_1 + h_2 - h_3 + h_4$$

$$H(1) = h_0 + h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4$$

$$H(2) = h_0 + 2h_1 + 4h_2 + 8h_3 + 16h_4$$

$$H(\infty) = h_4$$

Linear system of 5 equations in 5 unknowns.

Analysis: At each step we divide n by 3, do 5 recursive calls, and the extra operations count is ℓn for some ℓ . The recurrence is

$$T(n) = 5T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \ell n$$

Master theorem:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_3 5})$$

The constant is $\approx \ell$.

Algorithm 2 Generalized Toom's Algorithm

1: Write input F, G as

2: $F = F_0 + F_1 x^{n/k} + \dots + F_{k-1} x^{(k-1)n/k}$

3: $G = G_0 + G_1 x^{n/k} + \dots + G_{k-1} x^{(k-1)n/k}$

4: **return** $H = FG = H_0 + H_1 x^{n/k} + \dots + H_{2k-2} x^{(2k-2)n/k}$

Analysis: At each step, we divide n by k, do 2k-1 recursive calls, and the extra operations count is ℓn . Master theorem gives $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_k(2k-1)})$.

2.4 Fast Fourier Transform

Evaluation and interpolation are expensive in general. FFT gives an $O(n \log n)$ evaluation and interpolation, and so an $O(n \log n)$ multiplication.

Definition: nth Root of Unity

A complex number z such that $z^n = 1$.

Definition: Primitive nth Root of Unity

A complex number z such that z is an nth root of unity and $z^k \neq 1$ for 0 < k < n.

 $z_n = e^{2i\pi/n}$ is a primitive *n*th root of unity.

Proposition

The nth roots of unity are the powers

$$z_n^0 = 1, z_n, z_n^2, \dots, z_n^{n-1}$$

Proposition

If m = n/2, then $z_m = z_n^2$.

Proposition

 $gcd(n,k) = 1 \implies z_n^k$ is a primitive *n*th root of unity.

Consider the *n*th roots of unity z_n^0, \ldots, z_n^{n-1} , then the DFT by

$$F = f_0 + \dots + f_{n-1}x^{n-1} \mapsto (F(z_n^0), \dots, F(z_n^{n-1}))$$

is the Discrete Fourier Transform of order n.

Definition: Discrete Fourier Transform

$$f_{\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_k z_n^{\ell k}$$

Definition: Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform

$$F_{\ell} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k z_n^{-\ell k}$$

Fast Fourier Transform can solve this in $O(n \log n)$. This is a divide-and-conquer algorithm.

With m = n/2, squaring sends all nth roots of unity to mth roots, i.e. z_n^i and $z_n^{i+m} = -z_n^i$ have the same square.

Any polynomial $F = f_0 + f_1 x + \cdots + f_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ can be written as $F = F_{even}(x^2) + x F_{odd}(x^2)$ with $\deg(F_{even}) < n/2$ and $\deg(F_{odd}) < n/2$.

E.g. $F = 28 + 11x + 34x^2 - 55x^3$. $F_{even}(x^2) = 28 + 34x^2$, $F_{odd}(x^2) = 11 - 55x^2$, so $F_{even} = 28 + 34x$ and $F_{odd} = 11 - 55x$. We only need to evaluate at $z_n^0, \ldots, z_n^{n/2-1}$.

Decomposition and Evaluation: Given $u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ to evaluate $F(u_0), \ldots, F(u_{n-1})$, evaluate $v_i = F_{even}(u_i^2), v_i' = F_{odd}(u_i^2)$ and deduce $F(u_i) = v_i + u_i v_i'$. If we choose u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1} poorly, we have to evaluate two polynomials of degree < n/2 at n points. For FFT, we choose the u_i as the roots of unity.

The cost F(n) of the FFT algorithm satisfies

•
$$F(1) = 0$$

Algorithm 3 Fast Fourier Transform FFT(F, n)

- 1: **if** n = 1 **then**
- 2: **return** f_0
- 3: $V = FFT(F_{even}, n/2), V = [v_0, \dots, v_{n/2-1}]$
- 4: $V' = FFT(F_{odd}, n/2), V = [v'_0, \dots, v'_{n/2-1}]$
- 5: **return** $[V[i \mod n/2] + z_n^i V'[i \mod n/2] : 0 \le i < n]$
 - F(n) = 2F(n/2) + cn

so $F(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$.

Inverse Fourier Transform: Given n, take z_n to be a primitive nth root of unity and let

$$V(z_n) = V(1, z_n, \dots, z_n^{n-1})$$

where V is the Vandermonde matrix. Recall

$$\begin{bmatrix} F(1) \\ \vdots \\ F(z_n^{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = V(z_n) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma

$$V(z_n) \cdot V(z_n^{-1}) = n \cdot I_n$$

Proof. $c = V(z_n) \cdot V(z_n^{-1})$. $c_{ij} = [1, z_n^{i-1}, \dots, z_n^{(i-1)(n-1)}][1, z_n^{-(j-1)}, \dots, z^{-(j-1)(n-1)}]^T$.

If i = j, then $c_{ij} = n$. If $i \neq j$, $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z_n^{(i-j)k} = \frac{(z_n^{i-j})^k - 1}{z_n^{i-j} - 1} = 0$.

Proposition

Performing the inverse DFT in size n is done by performing a DFT at $z_n^0, z_n^{-1}, \ldots, z_n^{-(n-1)}$ and dividing the results by n.

This new DFT is tile same as before: $z_n^{-i} = z_n^{n-i}$ so the outputs are shuffled. Inverse DFT is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

FFT Multiplication

To multiply two polynomials $F, G \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ of degrees < m:

- 1. Find $n = 2^k$ such that H = FG has degree $\langle m. (n \leq cm) \rangle$
- 2. Compute DFT(F, n) and DFT(G, n). $(O(n \log n))$
- 3. Multiply the values to get DFT(H, n). (O(n))
- 4. Recover H by inverse DFT. $(O(n \log n))$

Cost is $O(n \log n) = O(m \log m)$.

2.5 Multivariate Polynomials

Degree is not the proper measure anymore and the shape of the set of monomials becomes more important.

One useful trick, Kronecker substitution, works for any multivariate polynomials, good for polynomials $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with $\deg(F, x_1) < d_1, \ldots, \deg(F, x_n) < d_n$ and reduces to univariate polynomial multiplication.

Kronecker's substitution on example:

$$F = (1 + 3x_1 + 4x_1^2) + (22 + x_1 - x_1^2)x_2 + (-3 - 3x_1 + 2x_1^2)x_2^2 = F_0(x_1) + F_1(x_1)x_2 + F_2(x_1)x_2^2$$

$$G = (-2 + x_1 + x_1^2) + (4 + x_1 + 3x_1^2)x_2 + (3 - x_1 + x_1^2)x_2^2 = G_0(x_1) + G_1(x_1)x_2 + G_2(x_1)x_2^2$$
Then

$$H = F_0 G_0 + (F_0 G_1 + F_1 G_0) x_2 + (F_0 G_2 + F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_0) x_2^2 + (F_1 G_2 + F_2 G_1) x_2^3 + F_2 G_2 x_2^4$$

Since all $F_i(x_1)G_i(x_1)$ have degree at most 4, we can replace x_2 by x_1^5 , then we have

$$H = F_0 G_0 + (F_0 G_1 + F_1 G_0) x_1^5 + (F_0 G_2 + F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_0) x_1^{10} + (F_1 G_2 + F_2 G_1) x_1^{15} + F_2 G_2 x_1^{20}$$

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

3.1 Greatest Common Divisor

Let $a, b \in R$ where R is a Euclidean domain. A greatest common divisor of a and B is a polynomial g such that g divides a, g divides b, and if c divides both a and b, then c divides g.

If c and d are GCD's of a and b, then $c = \ell d$ for some unit $\ell \neq 0$. The GCD is the one that is normalized (polynomials with leading coefficient 1).

Proposition

- gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, a)
- gcd(a, 0) = normalized(a)
- gcd(a, c) = 1 if c is a nonzero unit.

Let $a, b \in R$ with R a Euclidean domain. If a = bq + r, then we write $r = a \mod b$ and $q = a \operatorname{div} b$.

Proposition

For all $a, b \in R$,

$$gcd(a, b) = gcd(a, b \mod a) = gcd(b, a \mod b)$$

Proof. Let $r = b \mod a$. Then r = b - aq. Let $g = \gcd(a, b)$ and $h = \gcd(a, r)$. g divides a and b, so g divides r. This implies g divides h by property of the GCD for h. h divides a and r, so h divides b. Thus, h divides g.

3.2 Euclid's Algorithm

Algorithm 4 gcd(a, b) Euclid's Algorithm

```
1: if deg(a) < deg(b) then
2: return gcd(b, a)
3: else
4: if b = 0 then
5: return normalized(a)
6: else
7: return gcd(b, a \mod b)
```

Towards the iterative algorithm: Let $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$, $|a| = \deg(a)$. We rewrite $a_0 = a, a_1 = b$ and assume $\deg(a_0) \ge \deg(a_1)$, otherwise swap.

- $gcd(a_0, a_1) = gcd(a_1, a_2)$ where $a_1 = a_0 \mod a_1$.
- $gcd(a_1, a_2) = gcd(a_2, a_3)$ where $a_3 = a_1 \mod a_2$.
- $gcd(a_i, a_{i+1}) = gcd(a_{i+1}, a_{i+2})$ where $a_{i+2} = a_i \mod a_{i+1}$.
- $gcd(a_N, 0) = a_N/leading coefficient(a_N)$.

Algorithm 5 $gcd(a_0, a_1)$ Iterative Euclid's Algorithm

```
1: i = 1

2: while a_i \neq 0 do

3: a_{i+1} = a_{i-1} \mod a_i

4: i + +

5: return a_{i-1}/leading coefficient(a_{i-1})
```

E.g. Over
$$\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$$
, let $a_0=1+2x+x^2+x^3+2x^4, a_1=1+2x+x^2+x^3$.
$$a_0=1+2x+x^2+x^3+2x^4$$

$$a_1=1+2x+x^2+x^3$$

$$a_2=2+2x+x^2$$

$$a_3=2x$$

$$a_4=2$$

$$a_5=0$$

Proposition

Given a and b, one can compute $g = \gcd(a, b)$, as well as Bezout coefficients u, v such that

$$au + bv = q$$

where deg(u) < deg(b), deg(v) < deg(a).

a, b are coprime if gcd(a, b) = 1, so au + bv = 1.

E.g. Computing with complex numbers. Complex multiplication is multiplication modulo $1 + x^2$. Complex inversion is extended gcd with $1 + x^2$.

Suppose z = a + bi. Compute $G = \gcd(a + bx, 1 + x^2)$ and the Bezout coefficients U(x), V(x). $G = 1, \deg(U) < 2, \deg(V) < 1$, so $U = u_0 + u_1x$ and $V = v_0$. Then $(u_0 + u_1x)(a + bx) + v_0(1 + x^2) = 1$. Evaluating at x = i gives $(u_0 + u_1i)(a + bi) = 1$.

General example: Suppose that $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is irreducible. Then for $a \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, either p divides a and so $\gcd(a, p) = p$ or $\gcd(a, p) = 1$.

Define $\mathbb{F}[x]/p$ by the set of all polynomials of degree less than $\deg(p)$ with addition and multiplication defined modulo p. Now we have inversion modulo p; for $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F}[x]/p$, $\gcd(a,p)=1$. So there exists u,v with au+pv=1, so au=1 in $\mathbb{F}[x]/p$.

3.3 Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Getting the quotients, we replace the step $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1} \mod a_i$ by $q_i = a_{i-1}$ div a_i and $a_{i+1} = a_{i-1} - q_i a_i$. Additionally to a_i , we also compute u_i and v_i with

$$u_0 = 1, u_1 = 0, u_{i+1} = u_{i-1} - q_i u_i$$

$$v_0 = 0, v_1 = 1, v_{i+1} = v_{i-1} - q_i v_i$$

Proposition

For $0 \le i \le n$, we have $a_0u_i + a_1v_i = a_i$.

Proof. By induction starting with i = 0 and 1.

For the final step i = N, we have $a_0 u_N + a_1 v_N = a_N$.

E.g. gcd(91, 63). $28 = 91 \mod 63, 1 = 91 \div 63$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}}_{Q_1} \begin{pmatrix} 91 \\ 63 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 63 \\ 28 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $7 = 63 \mod 28, 2 = 63 \div 28$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}}_{Q_2} \begin{pmatrix} 63 \\ 28 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 28 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $0 = 28 \mod 7, 4 = 28 \div 7$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}}_{Q_3} \begin{pmatrix} 28\\ 7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Algorithm 6 Extended Euclidean Algorithm gcd(a, b)

1: Let
$$a_0 = a$$
 and $a_1 = b$ and $R_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

2: **for** $i = 1, 2, \dots$ **do**

3: Compute q_i and q_{i+1} such that $a_{i-1} = q_i a_i + a_{i+1}$ where $|a_{i+1}| < |a_i|$

4:
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i-1} \\ a_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i \\ a_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

5: Let $R_i := Q_i R_{i-1}$

6: Stop at smallest $i = \ell$ such that $a_{\ell+1} = 0$.

$$Q_3Q_2Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 9 & -13 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 9 & -13 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 91 \\ 63 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ so gcd is 7.

Because $|a_1| > \cdots > |a_{\ell}| > 0$ and $a_{\ell+1} = 0$,

$$R_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = Q_{\ell} Q_{\ell-1} \dots Q_2 Q_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{\ell} & t_{\ell} \\ s_{\ell+1} & t_{\ell+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\ell} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so $s_{\ell}a_0 + t_{\ell}a_1 = a_{\ell}$.

Claim: a_{ℓ} is a GCD of a_0 and a_1 .

Proof. Need to show that

- (i) $a_{\ell} \div a_0$ and $a_{\ell} \div a_1$.
- (ii) If $d \div a_0$ and $d \div a_1$, then $d \div a_\ell$ for all $d \in R$.

For part (i), observe that each Q_i is invertible over R

$$Q_i^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_i & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & -q_i \end{pmatrix}$$

This implies that each R_i is invertible over R:

$$R_i^{-1} = Q_1^{-1} Q_2^{-1} \dots Q_i^{-1}$$

and in particular

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ r_3 & r_4 \end{pmatrix}}_{R_{\ell}^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} a_{\ell} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This shows (i) and (ii).

Cost analysis: Consider $R = \mathbb{F}[x]$ and assume $\deg(a_0) \ge \deg(a_1)$. $\ell \le \deg(a_1)$ since $-\infty = \deg(a_{\ell+1}) < \deg(a_{\ell}) < \cdots < \deg(a_1)$. Division with remainder of a_{i-1} by a_i costs $c(\deg(a_i) + 1)(\deg(a_i) + 1)$ operations from \mathbb{F} for some constant c.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \deg(q_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\deg(a_{i-1}) - \deg(a_i)) = \deg(a_0) - \deg(a_\ell) \le \deg(a_0)$$

The total cost is at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c(\deg(a_i) + 1)(\deg(q_i) + 1) \le c(\deg(a_1) + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\deg(q_i) + 1) \qquad (\deg(a_i) \le \deg(a_1))$$

$$\le c(\deg(a_1) + 1)(\deg(a_0) + \ell)$$

$$\le c(\deg(a_1) + 1)(\deg(a_0) + \deg(a_1))$$

$$= O(\deg(a_0) \deg(a_1))$$

Division with Remainder Using Newton Iteration

Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $b = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$, $a_n, b_m \neq 0$, $m \leq n$ and $b_m = 1$. We wish to find $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfying a = qb + r with r = 0 or $\deg(r) < \deg(b)$.

Definition: Reversal of Polynomial

Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$, then the reversal of a is

$$rev_n(a) = y^n a\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) = a_n + a_{n-1}y + a_{n-2}y^2 + \dots + a_1y^{n-1} + a_0y^n$$

Substitute $\frac{1}{y}$ for the variable x in the expression a(x) = q(x) + b(x) + r(x) and multiply both sides by y^n to get

$$y^{n}a\left(\frac{1}{y}\right) = \left(y^{n-m}q\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\right)\left(y^{m}b\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\right) + y^{n-m+1}\left(y^{m-1}r\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)\right)$$

or equivalently,

$$rev_n(a) = rev_{n-m}(q) \cdot rev_m(b) + y^{n-m+1} rev_{m-1}(r)$$

Suppose we could compute $\operatorname{rev}_m(b)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}[[y]]$, then we could compute q and r as follows:

$$\operatorname{rev}_n(a) \equiv \operatorname{rev}_{n-m}(q) \cdot \operatorname{rev}_m(b) \pmod{y^{n-m+1}}$$

and

$$rev_n(a) \cdot rev_m(b)^{-1} \equiv rev_{n-m}(q) \pmod{y^{n-m+1}}$$

We then have $q = rev_{n-m}(rev_{n-m}(q))$ and r = a - qb.

Conclusion: If $rev_m(b)^{-1}$ exists and we can compute it in O(M(n)), then we can do division in O(M(n)), where M(d) is the cost of polynomial multiplication in degree d.

4.1 Newton Iteration

Newton's iteration is a way to compute approximate solutions to various problems. To compute a solution of P(z) = 0, we use the iteration $x_0 = \text{random and } x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{P(x_i)}{P'(x_i)}$.

E.g. Computing $\sqrt{2}$. Take $P(x) = x^2 - 2$, so P'(x) = 2x. Newton's iteration is $x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{x_i^2 - 2}{2x_i}$.

Definition: Power Series

A formal sum of the form

$$A = \sum_{i \ge 0} a_i x^i$$

Computationally, we handle truncated power series

$$A \mod x^d = \sum_{i < d} a_i x^i$$

Addition of power series is done term-by-term. Multiplication is done using the same formulas as polynomials. The set of all power series with coefficients in \mathbb{F} is denoted by $\mathbb{F}[[x]]$ and it is a ring with above operations.

Algorithmically, you only represent truncations and the algorithms are the same as polynomials, O(n) for addition and M(n) for multiplication.

Many functional relations have solutions that are power series, but not polynomials. For example, the inverse. Let P(x) = -x + 1, there is no polynomial Q(x) such that PQ = 1, but there is a power series $Q = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots$.

Proposition

For any power series P, with constant coefficients $P \neq 0$, there exists a unique power series Q with PQ = 1.

There is an $O(n^2)$ algorithm to compute $Q_n = Q \mod x^n$ so that $PQ_n \mod x^n = 1$, meaning

$$PQ_n = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots + 0x^{n-1} + r_n x^n + \dots$$

4.2 Iteration for the Inverse

Given $g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, find $h \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ of degree less than k satisfying $hg \equiv 1 \pmod{x^k}$.

We need to find a zero of a function $\Phi : \mathbb{F}[[y]] \to \mathbb{F}[[y]]$, namely

$$\Phi(X) = \frac{1}{X} - g$$

since $\Phi(\tilde{g}) = 0$ where $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{F}[[y]]$ is such that $\tilde{g} \cdot g = 1$. Clearly

$$\Phi'(X) = -\frac{1}{X^2}$$

and our Newton iteration step is

$$h_{i+1} = h_i - \frac{\frac{1}{h_i} - g}{-1/h_i^2} = 2h_i - gh_i^2$$

Theorem

Let $g, h_0, h_1, \dots \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ with $h_0 = 1$ and

$$h_{i+1} \equiv 2h_i - gh_i^2 \pmod{x^{2^{i+1}}}$$

for all i. Assume also that $g_0 = 1$, then for all i

$$gh_i \equiv 1 \pmod{x^{2^i}}$$

Input: $g = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_n x^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Output: $u \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfying $1 - gu \equiv 0 \mod x^k$

 $h_0 = 1, r = \lceil \log_2 k \rceil$

for i = 0, ..., r - 1 do

 $h_{i+1} = (2h_i - gh_i^2) \text{ rem } x^{2^i}$

return h_r

Theorem

The algorithm Inverse PolyMod uses $\mathcal{O}(M(n))$ field operations to correctly compute the inverse.

Corollary

For polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}[x]$, division with remainder requires O(M(n)) field operations.

Chinese Remainder Theorem

When solving a system of linear equations, we can use integer arithmetic, but the intermediate numbers are big. We can use Cramer's rule to get numbers that are smaller.

Let $x = x_1/d$, $y = y_1/d$, $z = z_1/d$ be the solutions from the determinants from Cramer's rule. For a given domain \mathbb{Z}_p , we need not calculate these determinants. Rather we find the modular solutions $x \pmod{p}$, $y \pmod{p}$, $z \pmod{p}$, $d \pmod{p}$ via efficient Gaussian elimination and use $x_1 \equiv x \cdot d \pmod{p}$ and similarly for the other variables.

E.g. Working over \mathbb{Z}_7 , we have the system

$$x + 2y - 3z = 1$$
$$x - 3z = -2$$
$$3x - z = -1$$

Gaussian elimination gives $x \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, y \equiv -2 \pmod{7}, z \equiv -2 \pmod{7}, d \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$. Doing this for $\mathbb{Z}_{11}, \mathbb{Z}_{13}, \mathbb{Z}_{17}, \mathbb{Z}_{19}$, we get the modular representations for x_1 and d as

$$x_1 = (2, -5, -2, 5, 9), d \equiv (-2, 1, 4, -2, -8)$$

So
$$x_1 = -44280, d = -7380$$
 and $x = \frac{-44280}{-7380} = 6$.

When do we stop evaluating over the prime fields? For linear systems Ax = b, we have Hadamard's bound

$$|\det(a_{ij})| \le \prod_i \sqrt{\sum_j a_{ij}^2}$$

For the example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & 44 & 74 \\ 15 & 14 & -10 \\ -25 & -28 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hadamard is $\approx 6\sqrt{206719254} = 86266.40796$, so the determinant is about 5 digits.

Some modular reductions: \mathbb{Z} reduced to many \mathbb{Z}_p , $\mathbb{F}[x]$ reduced to many \mathbb{F} via evaluation.

Let R be the Euclidean domain and $m_1, \ldots, m_s \in R$ be pairwise coprime. Let $m = m_1 \ldots m_s$.

Theorem Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$R/(m) \simeq R/(m_1) \times \cdots \times R/(m_s)$$

For example, when $R = \mathbb{Z}$, m = 15, $m_1 = 3$, $m_2 = 5$, so $\mathbb{Z}_{15} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ with homomorphisms $a \pmod{15} \to (a \pmod{3}, a \pmod{5})$, $(x \pmod{3}, y \pmod{5}) \to 10x + 6y \pmod{15}$.

E.g. $\mathbb{Z}_{459119} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{17} \times \mathbb{Z}_{239} \times \mathbb{Z}_{113}$. The first direction is $a \pmod{459119} \to (a \pmod{17}, a \pmod{239}, a \pmod{113})$, so $37312 \pmod{459119} \to (5, 42, 108)$.

The other direction is $(a, b, c) \rightarrow 378098a + 357306b + 182835c \pmod{459119}$. An example is

$$378098 \cdot 5 + 357306 \cdot 42 + 182835 \cdot 108 \pmod{459119} \rightarrow 37312$$

Proof. (Chinese Remainder Theorem) One homomorphism is easy:

$$a \pmod{m} \to (a \pmod{m_1}, \dots, a \pmod{m_s})$$

For the other homomorphism, we need to find elements $L_i \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $L_i \equiv \delta_{ij} \pmod{m_j}$. Then the homomorphism is

$$(u_1 \pmod{m_1}, \dots, u_s \pmod{m_s}) \to u_1 L_1 + \dots + u_s L_s \pmod{m}$$

Since the m_i 's are pairwise coprime, $gcd(m_i, m/m_i) = 1$. So there exists $s_i, t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$s_i m_i + t_i (m/m_i) = 1$$

Then $L_i = t_i \cdot m/m_i$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} L_i = 0 \pmod{m_j} & \text{when } i \neq j \\ L_i = 1 \pmod{m_i} \end{cases}$$

5.1 Complexity

To compute the first homomorphism, we need to compute $a \pmod{m_i}$ for each m_i , which takes $O(\log m \cdot \log m_i)$.

For the second homomorphism, the input is $(u_1, \ldots, u_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_s}$ and the output is $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $a = u_i \pmod{m_i}$. It is enough to just compute L_i 's like previously. So, we compute m by computing m_1m_2 , then $m_1m_2m_3$, until we compute m, we have

$$c \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{s} \log(m_1 \dots m_{i-1}) \cdot \log m_i \le c \log(m) \cdot \sum_{i=2}^{s} \log m_i \le c (\log m)^2$$

Computing each m/m_i by division algorithm in $O(\log m \log m_i)$.

Now we have computed $m, m/m_1, \ldots, m/m_s$ in time $O(\log^2 m)$ operations. Now we compute the interpolators L_i 's. We know that $L_i = t_i \cdot m/m_i$ where $s_i m_i + t_i m/m_i = 1$. So we need the extended Euclidean algorithm to compute (s_i, t_i) . We know the cost is $O(\log(m/m_i) \cdot \log(m_i))$ which gives total running time of $O(\log^2 m)$. So both homomorphisms can be computed with $O(\log^2 m)$ operations.

Modular Composition

6.1 Fast Exponentiation

Fast Exponentiation

Input: $a \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$ where R is a ring.

Output: $a^n \in R$

The naive way is to do this using n mulitplications. We can do better

Algorithm 7 RepeatedSquaring

1: Compute the binary representation of n:

$$n = 2^k + n_{k-1}2^{k-1} + \dots + n_1 \cdot 2 + n_0$$

with $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

2: **for** i = 0 to k **do** 3: $a_i \leftarrow a^{2^i}$

4: Compute $a^n = a^{2k+n_{k-1}2^{k-1}+\cdots+n_1\cdot 2+n_0} = a_k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i^{n_i}$.

Modular Inverse

Input: $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Output: $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proposition

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$(a+b)^p = a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$$

and more generally

$$(a+b)^{p^i} = a^{p^i} + b^{p^i} \pmod{p}$$

Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and if $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Application (Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange): A trusted party chooses and publishes a large prime p and $g \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$.

- Alice chooses a secret integer a and computes $A \equiv g^a \pmod{p}$.
- Bob chooses a secret integer b and computes $B \equiv g^b \pmod{p}$.
- Alice sends A to Bob.
- Bob sends B to Alice.
- Alice computes $B^a \pmod{p}$ and Bob computes $A^b \pmod{p}$.

Then $B^a \equiv A^b \pmod{p}$.

6.2 Shanks' Babystep-Giantstep Algortihm

Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)

Given $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, find x such that $g^x \equiv h \pmod{p}$.

Observation: Let $n = \lceil \sqrt{p-1} \rceil$. We have $g^x = g^{nq+r}$ for some $0 \le r < n$. Then

$$g^x = h \implies g^r = hg^{-nq}$$

- 1: Create list $[g^0, g^1, g^2, \dots, g^n]$ (babysteps).
- 2: Create list $[h, h \cdot g^{-n}, h \cdot g^{-2n}, \dots, h \cdot g^{-n^2}]$. (giantsteps)
- 3: Find a match between the two lists, say $g^i = hg^{-jn}$.
- 4: **return** x = jn + i

6.3 Modular Composition

Modular Composition Problem

Input: $f, g, h \in R[x]$ with $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f) = n$, R is a ring and f is monic. Output: $g(h) \pmod{f}$

The idea is to follow the Babystep-Giantstep idea:

- Let $m = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$.
- Similar to what we have seen, we can write

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g_i x^{mi}$$

with $g_i \in R[x]$ of degree less than m.

• For i < m, let

$$g_i = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_{ij} x^j$$

with $g_{ij} \in R$.

• Then

$$g_i(h) \pmod{f} = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_{ij} \cdot (h^j \pmod{f})}_{g_i(h)}$$

\lceil Coefficients of $g_0 \rceil$		Γ 1 -	1	Coefficients of r ₀
Coefficients of g_1		Coefficients of $h \mod f$		Coefficients of r_1
:	•	;	= '	:
Coefficients of g_{m-1}	-	Coefficients of $h^{m-1} \mod f$	٠	Coefficients of r_{m-1}

- 1: **Input**: $f, g, h \in R[x]$ with $\deg(g), \deg(h) < \deg(f) = n$ where f is monic.
- 2: Output: $g(h) \pmod{f} \in R[x]$.
- 3: $m \leftarrow \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$
- 4: $g = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i x^{mi}$ with $g_i \in R[x]$ of degree less than m
- 5: Compute r_i 's by forming matrices in image and multiply them
- 6: **return** $b = \sum_{0 \le i \le m} r_i(h^m)^i$ using Horner's Rule

The cost of matrix multiplication is currently $O(n^{2.37})$ by Coppersmith-Winograd.

Linearly Recurrent Sequences

7.1 Rational Reconstruction

With Newton iteration, given polynomials N(x) and D(x), we can expand

$$S(x) = \frac{N(x)}{D(x)} = s_0 + s_1 x + s_2 x^2 + \cdots$$

Assuming you know sufficiently many terms, can we recover N(x)/D(x).

Suppose that

- $deg(N) \le n$ and $deg(D) \le d$.
- We know s_0, \ldots, s_{n+d} .

$$S = \frac{N}{D} \pmod{x^{n+d+1}} \implies DS = N \pmod{x^{n+d+1}} \implies Rx^{n+d+1} + DS = N.$$

We run the extended Euclidean algorithm with input $A_0 = x^{n+d+1}$ and $A_1 = s_0 + \cdots + s_{n+d}x^{n+d}$.

- 1. For i = 0, let $U_0 = 1$, $V_0 = 0$.
- 2. For i = 1, let $U_1 = 0, V_1 = 1$.
- 3. For $i \geq 2$
 - $Q_i = A_{i-1} \operatorname{div} A_i$
 - $\bullet \quad A_{i+1} = A_{i-1} Q_i A_i$
 - $U_{i+1} = U_{i-1} Q_i U_i$
 - $\bullet \quad V_{i+1} = V_{i-1} Q_i V_i$

At each step, we maintain the invariant

$$U_i x^{n+d+1} + V_i (s_0 + s_1 x + \dots + s_{n+d} x^{n+d}) = A_i$$

The sequence of degrees of A_i decrease. The sequence of degrees of V_i increase.

Since
$$V_{i+1} = V_{i-1} - Q_i V_i$$
, $\deg(V_2) = \deg(Q_1)$ and $\deg(V_3) = \deg(Q_1) + \deg(Q_2)$, and $\deg(V_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \deg(Q_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\deg(A_{j-1}) - \deg(A_j)) = \deg(A_0) - \deg(A_{i-1}) = n + d + 1 - (n+1) \le d$.

Proposition

Let i be the first index with $\deg(A_i) \leq n$. Then $\deg(V_i) = n + d + 1 - \deg(A_{i-1}) \leq d$. Hence $A_i/V_i = N/D$.

E.g. Find the next term:

$$U:1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1\\ V:0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13\\ W:12,134,222,21,-3898,-40039,-347154,-2929918,-24657854$$

The next terms are 1, 21, and -207605083. They satisfy the following linear recurrences

$$U_{n+1} = U_n$$

$$V_{n+2} = V_{n+1} + V_n$$

$$W_{n+4} = 12W_{n+3} - 33W_{n+2} + 22W_{n+1} + 19W_n$$

Definition: Generating Series

Given a sequence (s_0, s_1, \dots) , we can construct the generating series

$$S = \sum_{i>0} s_i x^i$$

E.g. Let $s_n = 2^n$, i.e. $s_0 = 1$ and $s_{n+1} = 2s_n$. Then the generating series

$$S = \sum_{i} 2^{i} x^{i} = \frac{1}{1 - 2x}$$

Proposition

The generating series $S = \frac{N(x)}{D(x)}$ is rational with $D(x) = 1 + a_{k-1}x + \cdots + a_1x^{k-1} + a_0x^k$ and $\deg(N) < \deg(D)$ if and only if the sequence $(s_n)_{n \ge 0}$ satisfies the recurrence

$$s_{n+k} + a_{k-1}s_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_1s_{n+1} + a_0s_n = 0, a_0 \neq 0$$

Basically we have a rational series if and only if recurrence with constant coefficients.

Check with recurrences of order 2:

$$s_0 = \alpha, s_1 = \beta, s_{n+2} + as_{n+1} + bs_n = 0$$

and $S = \sum_{i \geq 0} s_i x^i$. Multiply the relation by x^{n+2} :

$$s_{n+2}x^{n+2} + as_{n+1}x^{n+2} + bs_nx^{n+2} = 0$$

And sum for $n \geq 0$:

$$S - (\alpha + \beta x) + ax(S - \alpha) + bx^2 S = 0$$

Rearranging

$$S = \frac{\alpha + (\beta + \alpha a)x}{1 + ax + bx^2}$$

Consequence: Suppose that you know a sequence $(s_t)_{t\geq 0}$ satisfies a recurrence of order k:

- Set n = k 1, d = k.
- Need s_0, \ldots, s_{n+d} up to s_{2k-1} .
- Apply the Extended Euclidean Algorithm to

$$A_0 = x^{2k}, A_1 = s_0 + \dots + s_{2k-1}x^{2k-1}$$

• Stop at the first i with $deg(A_i) \le k - 1$.

E.g. Fibonacci Numbers $(s_n)_{n\geq 0}=(1,1,2,3,5,8,...)$ and suppose we know it satisfies a recurrence of order k=2. We apply the XGCD algorithm to $A_0=x^4$ and $A_1=1+x+2x^2+3x^3$. So we find

i	A_i	U_i	V_i
0	x^4	?	0
1	$1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3$?	1
2	$\frac{1}{9}(2-x-x^2)$?	$\frac{1}{9}(2-3x)$
3	_9	?	$9(-1+x+x^2)$

$$1 + x + 2x^{2} + 3x^{3} + 5x^{4} + \dots = \frac{-9}{9(-1 + x + x^{2})} = \frac{1}{1 - x - x^{2}}$$

and the recurrence

$$s_{n+2} - s_{n+1} s_n = 0$$

Sparse Linear Systems

Dense matrices have mostly entries nonzero and usually not big. Gauss' algorithm takes $O(n^3)$. Sparse matrices have mostly zero entries and can be very big. Iterative algorithms aim at $O(n^2)$.

Matrix-vector product takes $O(n^2)$, which is optimal in general. Matrix-matrix product takes $O(n^3)$ naively.

Special case: When A is very sparse, only O(1) nonzero entry per row. Then 1 vector product in O(1) operations. 1 matrix-vector product Ab in O(n) operations. 1 matrix-matrix product AB in $O(n^2)$ operations.

8.1 Polynomials of Matrices

Definition: Polynomial of A

Given a univariate polynomial $P = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_d x^d$ and a matrix A,

$$P(A) = p_0 I + p_1 A + \dots + p_d A^d$$

Proposition

For any matrix A of size n, there exists one (or more) polynomial(s) P of degree at most n such that P(A) = 0.

Definition: Minimal Polynomial of A

The monic polynomial P of smallest degree such that P(A) = 0, written m_A .

Diagonal matrices: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix}$, then $m_A = x - c$.

E.g. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. $A^0 = I$, $A^1 = A$, $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 10 \\ 15 & 22 \end{bmatrix}$. We look for $m_A = p_0 + p_1 x + x^2$ so that $p_0 A^0 + p_1 A + A^2 = 0$. This matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_0 + p_1 + 7 & 2p_1 + 10 \\ 3p_1 + 15 & p_0 + 4p_1 + 22 \end{bmatrix}$$

This gives $p_0 = -2$, $p_1 = -5$ and $m_A = -2 - 5x + x^2$.

8.2 Linear Recurrence for Matrices

Definition: Linear Recurrence with Constant Coefficients

Let $m_A = p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_{d-1} x^{d-1} + x^d$ be the minimal polynomial of A. Then, the sequence I, A, A^2, \dots satisfies

$$p_0I + p_1A + \dots + A^d = 0$$

$$p_0A + p_1A^2 + \dots + A^{d+1} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$p_0A^m + p_1A^{m+1} + \dots + A^{d+m} = 0$$

Given a matrix A of size n, choose (at random) two vectors

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix}, v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then define

$$a_0 = uA^0v, a_1 = uA^1v, \dots, a_i = uA^iv, \dots$$

E.g. With
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$, we get $a_0 = -3, a_1 = -13, a_2 = -71, a_3 = -381, a_4 = -2047, a_5 = -10997$

Starting from

$$p_0I + p_1A + \dots + A^d = 0$$

we can multiply on the left by u and on the right by v, and get 0. For any choice u, v,

$$p_0 a_0 + p_1 a_1 + \dots + a_d = 0$$

More generally, we can pre-multiply by A^m

$$p_0 A^m + p_1 A^{m+1} + \dots + A^{m+d} = 0$$

and multiply left by u and right by v to get

$$p_0 a_m + p_1 a_{m+1} + \cdots + a_{m+d} = 0$$

The sequence $(a_m)_{m\geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence with constant coefficients.

8.3 Finding the Minimal Polynomial

- 1: Compute 2n values a_0, \ldots, a_{2n-1} .
- 2: Find the recurrence for (a_m) .
- 3: Hope it is the minimal polynomial of A.

Proposition

For most choices of u and v, we find m_A . In unlucky situations, we may find a factor only.

Precisely, there is a polynomial $\Delta(U_1,\ldots,U_n,V_1,\ldots,V_n)$ such that if

$$\Delta(u_1,\ldots,u_n,v_1,\ldots,v_n)\neq 0$$

we are good.

E.g. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and any v, we are unlucky.

8.4 Solving Systems

Let $m_A = p_0 + p_1 x + \cdots + p_{d-1} x^{d-1} + x^d$ and assume that $p_0 \neq 0$. Given a vector c, we can use m_A to solve the system Ab = c.

Proposition

Define

$$b = -\frac{1}{p_0}(p_1I + \dots + p_{d-1}A^{d-2} + A^{d-1})c$$

Then Ab = c.

Complexity:

- 1. Computing $a_i = uA^iv$ up to i = 2n 1 includes computing v, Av, A^v, \ldots and deducing uv, uAv, uA^2v, \ldots Total is O(n) matrix-vector products by $A, O(n^2)$ other operations.
- 2. Computing m_A is $O(n^2)$ by Euclid's algorithm.
- 3. Computing b includes computing c, Ac, A^2c, \ldots , and deducing $p_1c + p_2Ac + \cdots$. Total is O(n) matrix-vector products by A and $O(n^2)$ other operations.

The total cost is O(n) matrix-vector products by A and $O(n^2)$ other operations.

When A is dense, a matrix-vector product by A takes $O(n^2)$ operations, so the total is $O(n^3)$. When A is sparse, and has O(1) nonzero entries per row, a matrix-vector product takes O(n) time, so total is $O(n^2)$. E.g. Factor an integer N.

Basic idea: $A^2 \mod N = B^2 \mod N \Leftrightarrow N$ divides (A+B)(A-B), hope that $\gcd(N,A+B)$ and $\gcd(N,A-B)$ are not trivial. Take N=2183 and suppose we have guessed that $96002478^2 \mod N = 21^2 \mod N$. Then we get the gcds $\gcd(96002478+21,N)=59$ and $\gcd(96002478-21,N)=37$.

Chapter 9

Matrix Multiplication

9.1 Matrix Multiplication

Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \dots & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} & \dots & b_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{n,1} & \dots & b_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$, then
$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & a_{1,1}b_{1,j} + \dots + a_{1,n}b_{n,j} & \dots \\ & \dots & & \\ \dots & a_{n,1}b_{1,j} + \dots + a_{n,n}b_{n,j} & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm 8 NaiveProduct(A, B)

for
$$i = 1, ..., n$$
 do
for $j = 1, ..., n$ do
 $c_{ij} = 0$
for $k = 1, ..., n$ do
 $c_{ij} = c_{ij} + a_{i,k}b_{k,j}$

Total of n^3 multiplications, $n^3 - n^2$ additions.

Theorem (Multiplication of Matrices)

 $O(n^3)$ for naive algorithm, $O(n^{\log_2 7})$ for Strassen's algorithm, $O(n^{2.38})$ using Coopersmith and Winograd's algorithm.

We let ω be the exponent of the runtime $O(n^{\omega})$ for matrix multiplication. Inverse of matrices can be done in $O(n^{\omega})$.

9.1.1 Pre-Strassen

Winograd's algorithm for dot-product: $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}^T$,

$$(a_1 + b_2)(a_2 + b_1) - a_1a_2 - b_2b_1 = a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$$

$$(a_3 + b_4)(a_4 + b_3) - a_3a_4 - b_4b_3 = a_3b_3 + a_4b_4$$

. . .

n/2 multiplications depending on (A, B). n/2 depending only on A, n/2 depending only on B.

Winograd's algorithm for matrix product does a dot product between all rows A_i and all columns B_j . $n^2 \cdot n/2$ multiplications depending on pairs (A_i, B_j) . $n \cdot n/2$ depending on A_i 's, $n \cdot n/2$ depending on B_j 's. Total is $\frac{1}{2}n^3 + n^2$.

We cannot make this into a recursive algorithm if the entries are matrices since $a_2b_2 \neq b_2a_2$ in general for matrices.

9.1.2 Strassen

Find an improvement to the 2×2 case. We can compute 7 linear combinations, multiply pairwise and recombine.

$$q_{1} = (a_{1,1} - a_{1,2})b_{2,2}$$

$$q_{2} = (a_{2,1} - a_{2,2})b_{1,1}$$

$$q_{3} = a_{2,2}(b_{1,1} + b_{2,1})$$

$$q_{4} = a_{1,1}(b_{1,2} + b_{2,2})$$

$$q_{5} = (a_{1,1} + a_{2,2})(b_{2,2} - b_{1,1})$$

$$q_{6} = (a_{1,1} + a_{2,1})(b_{1,1} - b_{1,2})$$

$$q_{7} = (a_{1,2} + a_{2,2})(b_{2,1} - b_{2,2})$$

So the entries are

$$c_{1,1} = q_1 - q_3 - q_5 + q_7$$

$$c_{1,2} = q_4 - q_1$$

$$c_{2,1} = q_2 + q_3$$

$$c_{2,2} = -q_2 - q_4 + q_5 + q_6$$

Now let A and B have size $n \times n$ with $n = 2^k$. We can break them into blocks:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where each $A_{i,j}$, $B_{i,j}$ have size $n/2 \times n/2$. The formulas for the 2×2 case still work. There are 7 products in size n/2 and $O(n^2)$ extra operations (from O(1) additions/subtractions of matrices of size n/2).

Complexity: Let MM(n) be the cost of matrix multiplication of size n. Then we have

$$MM(n) \le 7MM(n/2) + \lambda n^2$$

SO

$$M(n) \le C n^{\frac{\log 7}{\log 2}} \le C n^{2.81}$$

Proof. Master theorem.

More generally, if you find an algorithm that does k multiplications in size n, then you can take $\omega = \log k / \log n$.

9.2 Rectangular Matrices

Definition: Minimum Number of Multiplications

Let $\langle n, m, p \rangle$ be the minimal number of multiplications it takes to multiply matrices of size $(n, m) \times (m, p)$.

 $\langle 2,2,2\rangle = 7.$ $\langle 3,3,3\rangle$ we do not know, but it is $\leq 23.$

Proposition

If $\langle n, n, n \rangle \leq k$, then we can take

$$\omega = \frac{\log k}{\log n}$$

Proposition

If $\langle m, n, p \rangle \leq k$, then we can take

$$\omega = \frac{3\log k}{\log(nmp)}$$

Proof. (Block Matrices) Show $\langle mm', nn', pp' \rangle \leq \langle m, n, p \rangle \langle m', n', p' \rangle$.

Suppose we have to multiply A of size (mm', nn') by B of size (nn', pp'). We can decompose them into blocks of size (m', n') in A and size (n', p') in B. So then A has size (m, n) of these (m', n') blocks and B has size (n, p) of these (n', p') blocks. The product is C with each block of size (m', p').

To compute AB, we apply the algorithm in size (m, n, p) so $\langle m, n, p \rangle$ products of blocks. Then each of the products is done on blocks of size (m', n', p'), so it costs $\langle m', n', p' \rangle$ products in the base field. So the total number of multiplications is $\langle m, n, p \rangle \langle m', n', p' \rangle$.

(Permutations) Show $\langle m, n, p \rangle = \langle n, p, m \rangle = \langle p, m, n \rangle$.

Proposition: $B^T A^T = (AB)^T$. The consequence of this is $\langle m, n, p \rangle = \langle p, n, m \rangle$.

(Conclusion)

$$\langle mnp, mnp, mnp \rangle \le \langle m, n, p \rangle \langle np, mp, mn \rangle \le \langle m, n, p \rangle \langle n.p.m \rangle \langle p, m, n \rangle \le k^3$$
 so $\omega = \frac{\log k^3}{\log(mnp)} = \frac{3 \log k}{\log(mnp)}$.

9.3 Polynomial Notation

We want to describe the multiplication

$$(a_0 + a_1 X)(b_0 + b_1 X) = a_0 b_0 + (a_1 b_0 + a_0 b_1) X + a_1 b_1 X^2 = C_0 + C_1 X + C_2 X^2$$

We can describe this operation using a polynomial in variables $(A_0, A_1), (B_0, B_1), (C_0, C_1, C_2)$:

$$P = A_0 B_0 C_0 + A_0 B_1 C_1 + A_1 B_0 C_1 + A_1 B_1 C_2$$

Polynomial notation for Karatsuba: Recall Karatsuba

$$(a_0 + a_1 x)(b_0 + b_1 x) = a_0 b_0 + ((a_0 + a_1)(b_0 + b_1) - a_0 b_0 - a_1 b_1)x + a_1 b_1 x^2$$

So the polynomial notation is

$$P = A_0 B_0 (C_0 - C_1) + (A_0 + A_1)(B_0 + B_1)C_1 + A_1 B_1 (C_2 - C_1)$$

We compute A_0B_0 , add result to C_0 and subtract from C_1 . Compute $(A_0 + A_1)(B_0 + B_1)$, add result to C_1 . Compute A_1B_1 , add result to C_2 and subtract from C_1 .

Polynomial notation for 2×2 matrices:

$$P_{mat2} = A_{1,1}B_{1,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,2}B_{2,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,1}B_{1,2}C_{1,2} + A_{2,1}B_{1,1}C_{2,1} + A_{2,2}B_{2,1}C_{2,1} + A_{2,1}B_{1,2}C_{2,2} + A_{2,2}B_{2,2}C_{2,2}$$

Compute $A_{1,1}B_{1,1}$ and add it to $C_{1,1}$, compute $A_{1,2}B_{2,1}$ and add it to $C_{1,1}$, etc.

Polynomial notation for Strassen's Algorithm:

$$P_{mat2} = (A_{1,1} - A_{1,2})B_{2,2}(C_{1,1} - C_{1,2}) + (A_{2,1} - A_{2,2})B_{1,1}C_{2,1} + A_{2,2}(B_{1,1} + B_{2,1})(-C_{1,1} + C_{2,1}) + A_{1,1}(B_{1,2} + B_{2,2})(C_{1,2} - C_{2,2}) + \dots$$

Compute $(A_{1,1} - A_{1,2})B_{2,2}$ and add it to $C_{1,1}$, subtract it from $C_{1,2}$, etc.

Polynomial notation for $(1,2) \times (2,3)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & B_{1,3} \\ B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & B_{2,3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1,1} & C_{1,2} & C_{1,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

The polynomial notation is

$$P_{mat123} = A_{1,1}B_{1,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,2}B_{2,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,1}B_{1,2}C_{1,2} + A_{1,2}B_{2,2}C_{1,2} + A_{1,1}B_{1,3}C_{1,3} + A_{1,2}B_{2,3}C_{1,3}$$

Polynomial notation for $(2,3) \times (3,1)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,1} \\ B_{2,1} \\ B_{3,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1,1} \\ C_{2,1} \end{bmatrix}$$

The polynomial notation is

$$P_{mat231} = A_{1,1}B_{1,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,2}B_{2,1}C_{1,1} + A_{1,3}B_{3,1}C_{1,1} + A_{2,1}B_{1,1}C_{2,1} + A_{2,2}B_{2,1}C_{2,1} + A_{2,3}B_{3,1}C_{2,1}$$

As we see, up to replacing $A_{i,j}$ by $C_{j,i}$, $B_{i,j}$ by $A_{i,j}$ by $A_{i,j}$, and $C_{i,j}$ by $B_{j,i}$, P_{mat123} and P_{mat231} are the same polynomials, so an algorithm for P_{mat231} gives an algorithm for P_{mat123} , i.e. $\langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.

Chapter 10

Fast Evaluation/Interpolation

10.1 Evaluation and Interpolation of Polynomials

10.1.1 Evaluation

Fast Evaluation

Given n points a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} in a field \mathbb{F} , F in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ of degree less than n, what is the complexity of computing $F(a_0), \ldots, F(a_{n-1})$.

Naive algorithm: Compute each $F(a_i)$, the cost is $O(n \times n) = O(n^2)$.

Quasi-linear algorithm: Can solve in $O(n \log n)$ if n is a power of 2 and a_i 's are roots of unity of order n by FFT. This is $O(M(n) \log n)$ in general.

Proposition (Key Property 1)

$$F(a) = F \mod (x - a).$$

Proposition (Key Property 2)

Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be such that B divides C. Then

$$A \mod B = (A \mod C) \mod B$$

Proof. C = DB by assumption, so if we write

$$A = QC + R, R = A \mod C$$

we get

$$A = QDB + R$$

So $A \mod B = 0 + R \mod B$.

Divide-and-conquer algorithm: With n = 4,

- Compute $F_0 = F \mod (x a_0)(x a_1)$
 - Compute $F_{00} = F_0 \mod (x a_0) = F(a_0)$
 - Compute $F_{01} = F_0 \mod (x a_1) = F(a_1)$
- Compute $F_1 = F \mod (x a_2)(x a_3)$
 - Compute $F_{10} = F_1 \mod (x a_2) = F(a_2)$
 - Compute $F_{11} = F_1 \mod (x a_3) = F(a_3)$

Definition: Subproduct Tree

A tree \mathcal{T} of polynomials built bottom-up by its factors.

There are $\log n$ levels. Building the tree takes

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + M(n) \implies T(n) \in O(M(n) \log n)$$

Algorithm 9 Evaluate(F, \mathcal{T})

- 1: $F = F \mod \operatorname{root}(\mathcal{T})$
- 2: **if** \mathcal{T} is a leaf **then**
- 3: return F
- 4: **return** Evaluate(F, left(\mathcal{T})), Evaluate(F, right(\mathcal{T}))

Runtime:

$$E(n) = 2E(n/2) + O(M(n)) \implies E(n) \in O(M(n) \log n)$$

10.1.2 Lagrange Interpolation

Interpolation

Given pairwise distinct points a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} in a field \mathbb{F} and values v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} , there is a unique polynomial P of degree less than n such that

$$P(a_i) = v_i$$

This is given by

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} -i = 0^{n-1} v_i \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x - a_j}{a_i - a_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\underbrace{\frac{v_i}{\prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)}}_{u_i} \prod_{j \neq i} (x - a_j) \right)$$

Given v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} , we first compute

$$u_i = \frac{v_i}{\prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)}$$

If we define

$$M = (x - a_0) \dots (x - a_{n-1})$$

The formal derivative is

$$M' = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{M}{(x - a_i)}$$

We have

$$u_i = \frac{v_i}{\prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)} = \frac{v_i}{M'(a_i)}$$

Runtime: $O(M(n) \log n)$.

Going up the tree: With n = 4,

$$P = u_0(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3) + u_1(x - a_0)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)$$

$$+ u_2(x - a_0)(x - a_1)(x - a_3) + u_3(x - a_0)(x - a_1)(x - a_2)$$

$$= (u_0(x - a_1) + u_1(x - a_0))(x - a_2)(x - a_3)$$

$$+ (u_2(x - a_3) + u_3(x - a_2))(x - a_0)(x - a_1)$$

Runtime: $O(M(n) \log n)$.

Algorithm 10 Combine $(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}, \mathcal{T})$

- 1: **if** \mathcal{T} is a leaf **then**
- 2: return u_0
- 3: $F_0 = \text{Combine}(u_0, \dots, u_{n/2-1}, \text{left}(\mathcal{T}))$
- 4: $F_1 = \text{Combine}(u_{n/2}, \dots, u_{n-1}, \text{ right}(\mathcal{T}))$
- 5: **return** $F_0 \times \operatorname{root}(\operatorname{right}(\mathcal{T})) + F_1 \times \operatorname{root}(\operatorname{left}(\mathcal{T}))$

Chapter 11

Reed-Solomon Codes

11.1 Finite Fields and Reed-Solomon Codes

Error-correcting codes allow to detect and correct errors in digital messages. Our messages will be made of symbols from a finite alphabet.

E.g. Our alphabet can be the hexadecimal digits, message we send is m and we receive r.

We can view the problem in an algebraic context. Reed-Solomon codes are defined in terms of polynomials over finite fields. Encoding is the evaluation of polynomials and error correction is rational reconstruction.

Recall rings and fields (any elements $x \neq 0$ can be inverted). Some field examples $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ with operations mod 2). $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ are not fields.

Complex Numbers: $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2+1)$. A complex number can be seen as a polynomial a+bx with operations done modulo x^2+1 .

Binary Fields: Take an irreducible polynomial P of degree d in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^d} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/P$$

is a field, with 2^d elements.

Claim

For any d, there exists an irreducible polynomial of degree d over \mathbb{F}_2 .

For \mathbb{F}_4 , the polynomial $P = x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is irreducible.

Proof. The polynomials of degree 1 are x and x + 1 and they do not divide P.

Corollary

 $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/P$ is a field.

The elements are 0, 1, x, x + 1. Can look at multiplication table and see every nonzero polynomial has an element that it can multiply by to get 1.

 $Q = x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is not irreducible, since $x^2 + 1 = (x+1)^2$. Thus, $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/Q$ is not a field. (Can look at multiplication table for 0, 1, x, x + 1.

Proposition

- One addition in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} takes d operations in \mathbb{F}_2 .
- One multiplication in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} takes O(M(d)) operations in \mathbb{F}_2 using Fast Euclidean division.
- One inversion in \mathbb{F}_{2^d} takes $O(M(d) \log d)$ operations in \mathbb{F}_2 using Fast xGCD.

For small fields, say 2^{16} elements, you can use a table look-up instead.

11.1.1 Zech Logarithms

In any finite field \mathbb{F} of size q, there is at least one element α such that

$$F - \{0\} = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{q-2}\}\$$

in particular $\alpha^{q-1} = 1$.

E.g.
$$\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, x, x+1\}, q = 4, \alpha = x. \ \alpha^0 = 1, \alpha^1 = x, \alpha^2 = x+1.$$

So we can represent a nonzero element α^i using its logarithm i.

Multiplication: $\alpha^i \alpha^j = \alpha^{i+j \mod (q-1)}$.

Addition: $\alpha^i + \alpha^j = \alpha^i (1 + \alpha^{j-i})$, so we store z_0, \dots, z_{q-2} such that

$$1 + \alpha^n = \alpha^{z_n}$$

11.2 Sparse Interpolation

Points (a_i) and values (v_i) , but now assume

- P has at most s terms.
- Do not know their degrees.

We can still find it, if

• There is α such that for all i

$$a_i = \alpha^i$$

• We change the bound to s/2 terms, say

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i x^{m_i}, \ell \le s/2$$

• All m_i are less than the order of α = the smallest integer e > 0 such that $\alpha^e = 1$.

We write

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i x^{m_i}$$

all c_i nonzero and $\ell \leq s/2$. Then

$$S := \sum_{k \ge 0} P(\alpha^k) x^k = \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i (\alpha^k)^{m_i} x^k$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sum_{k \ge 0} (\alpha^{m_i})^k x^k$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{c_i}{1 - \alpha^{m_i} x}$$

Step 1 (Rational Reconstruction): We can rewrite S as

$$S = \frac{N(x)}{D(x)} = \frac{\sum_{i} c_i \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - \alpha_j^m x)}{\prod_{i} (1 - \alpha_i^m x)}$$

By assumption, all α^{m_i} are pairwise distinct, so that N(x) and D(x) have no common factor. Their degrees are at most $\ell-1$ and ℓ .

In this case, rational reconstruction applied to

$$P(1), P(\alpha), \dots, P(\alpha^{s-1})$$

gives us N(x) and D(x).

Given

$$N(x) = \sum_{i} c_{i} \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - \alpha^{m_{j}} x)$$

and

$$D(x) = \prod_{i} (1 - \alpha^{m_i} x)$$

we deduce

$$\tilde{N}(x) = \sum_{i} c_i \prod_{j \neq i} (x - \alpha^{m_j}), \tilde{D}(x) = \prod_{i} (x - \alpha^{m_i})$$

Explicitly,

$$\tilde{N}(x)=x^{\ell-1}N(1/x), \tilde{D}(x)=x^{\ell}D(1/x)$$

Step 2 (Finding the m_i 's):

Option 1: assume we know M is not too large such that $m_i \leq M$ for all i. Then, compute

$$\tilde{D}(1), \tilde{D}(\alpha), \dots, \tilde{D}(\alpha^M)$$

and record the entries for which we find a zero.

Option 2: Compute all the roots of $\tilde{D}(x)$, say r_1, \ldots, r_ℓ . Then for all i, we have to find m_i such that

$$\alpha^{m_i} = r_i$$

11.3 Reed-Solomon Codes

Definition: Reed-Solomon RS(n,k)

The alphabet is a binary field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{2^d}$, the message to encode is a polynomial P of degree < k, and the encoded message is a polynomial PG for some G of degree n - k.

There are k symbols before encoding and n symbols after encoding. We add n-k symbols.

We write t = (n - k)/2 to say we will be able to fix t errors. DVDs use RS(208, 192) over \mathbb{F}_{256} .

Choosing G: We take

$$G = (x-1)(x-a)\dots(x-a^{n-k-1})$$

where a is chosen such that all powers are pairwise distinct.

Do not take a=0 or a=1. We want that $1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{n-1}$ are pairwise distinct.

Transmission Errors: We may not receive S, but another polynomial R of degree < n:

$$R = S + E = PG + E$$

with

- R = received polynomial (known)
- S = PG = message (unknown)
- E = error (unknown)

Key Result

If there are at most t errors, we can reconstruct S from R.

Step 1 (Get Values of E): We compute

$$R(a^i), i = 0, \dots, n - k - 1$$

Because

$$G(a^i) = 0, i = 0, \dots, n - k - 1$$

and R = PG + E gives us

$$E(a^i), i = 0, \dots, n - k - 1$$

Step 2 (Get E):

1. Polynomial E has the form

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} c_i x^{m_i}$$

for some $\ell \leq (n-k)/2$. The m_i 's are all less than n.

2. We know the values of E at $1, a, \ldots, a^{n-k-1}$.

3. By assumption, $1, a, \ldots, a^{n-1}$ are all pairwise distinct.

We can reconstruct E by sparse interpolation. Knowing E gives us PG = R - E and thus P.

Example: RS(8,4) over \mathbb{F}_{16} .

The alphabet is $\mathbb{F}_{16} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/(z^4+z+1)$. So the symbols are

Our messages have length 4, so they are polynomials

$$u_0 + u_1 x + u_2 x^2 + u_3 x^3$$

with all u_i 's in \mathbb{F}_{16} . We add 8-4=4 symbols.

We choose $a = \alpha$. It is such that $\{a^0, \dots, a^{14}\}$ are all pairwise distinct.

Polynomial G is

$$G = (x-1)(x-\alpha)(x-\alpha^2)(x-\alpha^3) = \alpha^6 + x + \alpha^4 x^2 + \alpha^{12} x^3 + x^4$$

Example (Corrupted message): Input is 4 symbols written as a polynomial

$$P = \alpha^6 + \alpha^8 x + \alpha^{14} x^2 + \alpha^{12} x^3$$

Encoding S = PG:

$$S = \alpha^{12} + \alpha^8 x + \alpha^2 \mathbf{x}^2 + \alpha^5 x^3 + \alpha^{13} x^4 + \alpha^{14} \mathbf{x}^5 + \alpha^4 x^6 + \alpha^{12} x^7$$

Received:

$$R = \alpha^{12} + \alpha^8 x + \alpha^5 \mathbf{x^2} + \alpha^5 x^3 + \alpha^{13} x^4 + \alpha^7 \mathbf{x^5} + \alpha^4 x^6 + \alpha^{12} x^7$$

1. Compute $R(1), R(\alpha), R(\alpha^2), R(\alpha^3)$:

$$R(1) = 0, R(\alpha) = \alpha^2, R(\alpha^2) = \alpha^3, R(\alpha^3) = \alpha^{14}$$

2. Their generating series is

$$0 + \alpha^2 x + \alpha^3 x^2 + \alpha^{14} x^3 = \frac{\alpha^2 x}{1 + \alpha x + \alpha^7 x^2} \mod x^4$$

3. Revert and find the roots of denominator

$$D(x) = 1 + \alpha x + \alpha^7 x^2$$

SO

$$\tilde{D}(x) = x^2 + \alpha x + \alpha^7 = (x - \alpha^2)(x - \alpha^5)$$

Finding E, then S, then P: We know that errors happened at coefficients of x^2 and x^5 :

$$E = c_0 x^2 + c_1 x^5$$

The numerator is $N(x) = \alpha^2 x$, so $\tilde{N}(x) = \alpha^2$. We have

$$c_0 = \frac{\tilde{N}(\alpha^2)}{\tilde{D}'(\alpha^2)} = \alpha, c_1 = \frac{\tilde{N}(\alpha^5)}{\tilde{D}'(\alpha^5)} = \alpha$$

so $E = \alpha x^2 + \alpha x^5$. Finally,

$$R - E = \alpha^{12} + \alpha^8 x + (\alpha^5 - \alpha) x^2 + \alpha^5 x^3 + \alpha^{13} x^4 + (\alpha^7 - \alpha) x^5 + \alpha^4 x^6 + \alpha^{12} x^7$$
$$= \alpha^{12} + \alpha^8 x + \alpha^2 x^2 + \alpha^5 x^3 + \alpha^{13} x^4 + \alpha^{14} x^5 + \alpha^4 x^6 + \alpha^{12} x^7 = S$$

and

$$P = S/G = \alpha^6 + \alpha^8 x + \alpha^{14} x^2 + \alpha^{12} x^3$$