Print Slides 7/27/19, 12:16 AM

# **Hypothesis Testing**

We've tested whether a coefficient in our population model was equal to zero.

- Gave conditions under which coefficients had normal sampling distribution
- Used *t*-distribution for hypothesis test
- Used simple *t*-test to see if each coefficient is different than zero
  - Automatically provided by R in regression output

Specification can be used to test a wide variety of hypotheses.

about:blank Page 1 of 4

## **Testing If Parameters Are Different**

- $log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 jc + \beta_2 univ + \beta_3 exper + u$ 
  - jc = years at two-year junior college
  - *univ* = years at four-year university
- Example: modeling wage as function of years spent at a two-year junior college and a four-year university
- Are the two coefficients different?
- Test  $H_0: \beta_1 \beta_2 = 0$  against  $H_0: \beta_1 \beta_2 \neq 0$

## **Setting Up Test Statistic**

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2}{se(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)}$$

- Estimating standard error, so statistic has *t*-distribution.
- R won't give us this statistic if we run the linear regression.
- We can't compute *t*-statistic we want from output in R.
- What's the standard error of the difference in the denominator?

$$se\left(\hat{\beta}_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{2}\right) = \sqrt{\hat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{2})} = \sqrt{\hat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{1}) + \hat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{2}) - 2\hat{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2})}$$

• R tells us variance of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  but not covariance.

Print Slides 7/27/19, 12:16 AM

#### **An Alternate Method**

- Change the variables:
  - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{Define} \ \ \theta_1 = \beta_1 \ \beta_2$
  - Then  $H_0: \beta_1 \beta_2 = 0$  is equivalent to  $H_0: \theta_1 = 0$
- Rewrite population model:

$$\log(wage) = \beta_0 + (\theta_1 + \beta_2)jc + \beta_2 univ + \beta_3 exper + u$$
$$= \beta_0 + \theta_1 jc + \beta_2 (jc + univ) + \beta_3 exper + u$$

- New variable that represents total years in any college: jc + univ
  - Create this variable in our data table, then estimate regression.
  - $\circ$  R estimates  $\theta_1$  and tests whether it's statistically significant.
  - $\circ$  If  $\theta_1$  is statistically significant, reject original null hypothesis.

## **OLS Regression Results**

$$\hat{\log}(wage) = 1.472 - .0102jc + .0769totcoll + .0049exper$$
(.021) (.0069) (.0023) (.0002)

$$n = 6,763, R^2 = .222$$
  
 $t = -.0102/.0069 = -1.48$   
 $p = Pr( |t| > 1.48) = 0.139$ 

- Coefficient for jc is less than twice its standard error, so not significant.
- p = 0.139, so we cannot reject hypothesis that the effects of either type of college are equal.

about:blank Page 3 of 4

This method can be generalized to test any linear combination of parameters.	

7/27/19, 12:16 AM

Print Slides

about:blank Page 4 of 4