

## Three Key Threats to External Validity

**External validity:** How far the given study/experiment generalizes to similar groups, individuals, etc.

1. Setting:
  - What is the physical and social context of the experiment?
2. Population:
  - Is there something specific about the sample that interacts with the treatment?
3. History:
  - Is there something about the time that interacts with the treatment?

## Ecological Validity

- Ecological validity relates to the study's approximation of real-life situations.
- It is very difficult to attain a high level of ecological validity in laboratory studies.

## The Validity Trade-Off: Truth and Myth

Internal validity  $\longleftrightarrow$  External validity

- Balance is important between the types of validity.
- That said, internal validity is usually the more important factor.

## Experiments in the Field

- Some experiments can be conducted in a real-world setting while maintaining random assignment and manipulation of treatments.
- Example: Milliman study (1986) of music tempo and restaurant customer behavior
  - Does music tempo affect how restaurant patrons behave?
  - Subjects were tested but not brought into a laboratory setting.

## Pros and Cons of Experiments

- Pros
  - Give researchers tight control over independent factors
  - Allow researchers to test key relationships with as few confounding factors as possible
  - Allow for direct causal testing
- Cons
  - Often yield a small  $N$  (in lab studies), which is enough for statistical purposes but not ideal for generalizing
  - Give up large amounts of external validity in favor of internal validity (and vice versa)
  - Require a large amount of planning, training, and time