Print Slides 7/28/19, 1:47 PM

When to Include Interactions and Higher Powers

Interested in inference or prediction?

- If inference, look to guiding theory.
 - Theoretical reason to expect interaction effect?
 - Interested in measuring interaction effect or average effect of one variable?
 - What hypotheses would be most useful to test?
 - Can audience understand the interaction coefficients?
- If prediction, look to data to see if adding terms improves models.
 - Statistical tests can help.

Specification Tests

- With specific interaction or higher power terms, we can directly test if they improve fit of model.
 - Run F-test between model including terms and restricted model without them.
- Regression specification error test (RESET): general way to see if model may be missing interaction and higher-order terms.

about:blank Page 1 of 2

Print Slides 7/28/19, 1:47 PM

RESET

- Instead of testing potential terms directly, use residuals in regression to stand in for unexplained factors.
 - Run regression with restricted model, compute residuals, \hat{v} .
 - Put square and cube of residuals into model as new variables; test if model fit is improved using *F*-test.

• $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \delta_1 \hat{y} + \delta_3 \hat{y} + \text{error}$

- If *F*-test significant, then evidence exists that higher order or interaction terms have been omitted.
- Statistical tests—we must evaluate results in context, consider sample size.
- Another concern is wide datasets with over a dozen variables.
 - Some interaction terms can appear significant due to chance.

about:blank Page 2 of 2