

Agenda

1. Intro to binary hypothesis testing (Neyman Pearson formulation)

- Example
- Likelihood ratio test
- Neyman Pearson lemma

2. MAP hypothesis test

- Guided derivation

Obs. Y comes up w/ "good" decision rule $r(Y)$ to decide
btwn 2 hypotheses H_0, H_1
null hypothesis alt.

What is good?

$$r: R \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

2 Types of errors \leftarrow decision rule

Type I error : False Positive	$r(y) = 1 \mid X=0$
Type II error : False negative	$r(y) = 0 \mid X=1$

'Good' decision rule: (under NP)
minimizes Prob. of Type II error with a constraint on type I

Ex: good doctor

\Rightarrow decision rule r on whether a patient has cancer or doesn't have cancer or H_0

obs: symptom of patient (Y)

$$\begin{aligned} r(y) &\rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \rightarrow H_0 \\ 1 & \rightarrow H_1 \end{cases} \\ \text{type 1:} & \text{ patient actually doesn't have cancer, } r(y) = 1 \\ \text{type 2:} & X=1, r(y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

NP Lemma

Q: What decision rule is optimal?

① Likelihood ratio test

$$L(y) = \frac{P(Y|X=y)}{P(Y|X=y_0)}$$

$$r(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(y) > \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } L(y) < \lambda \\ \text{Bern}(y) & \text{if } L(y) = \lambda \end{cases}$$

optimal decision rule
opt. r

to find λ s.t. $L(y) \geq \lambda$

what is λ ? y ?

$$\Pr(r(Y)=1 \mid X=0) = \beta$$

$$\Pr(\text{type I error}) = \beta$$

'false true' equal to bound

1. Hypothesis Testing for Bernoulli Random Variables

Assume that

- If $X=0$, then $Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/4)$
- If $X=1$, then $Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(3/4)$

Using the Neyman-Pearson formulation of hypothesis testing, find the optimal randomized decision rule $r: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with respect to the criterion

$$\min_{\text{randomized } r: \{0, 1\} \rightarrow [0, 1]} \Pr(r(Y)=0 \mid X=1)$$

s.t. $\Pr(r(Y)=1 \mid X=0) \leq \beta$

where $\beta \in [0, 1]$ is a given upper bound on the false positive probability.

$$\begin{aligned} L(y) &= \frac{P(Y|X=y)}{P(Y|X=y_0)} = \frac{\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^y \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1-y}}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^y \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1-y}} \xrightarrow{\text{sanity check}} Y \sim \text{Bern}(\frac{3}{4}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{by definition}} \frac{P(Y|X=y)}{P(Y|X=y_0)} = \frac{\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^y \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1-y}}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^y \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1-y}} = \frac{3^y \cdot \frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{4} \cdot 3^{1-y}} = 3^{2y-1} \end{aligned}$$

$y = 0 \text{ either 0 or 1}$

$$L(y) = 3^{2y-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(0) &= 3^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \\ L(1) &= 3^1 = 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r(y) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(y) > \lambda \\ \text{Bern}(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$L(y) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } y=1 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } y=0 \end{cases}$

opt. decision rule: $r(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(y) > \lambda \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$r(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L(y) > \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } L(y) \leq \lambda \\ \text{Bern}(y) & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$\Pr(r(Y)=1 | X=0) = \beta \quad (\text{equals to upper bound})$

$\Pr(L(Y) > \lambda) \cup (L(Y) = \lambda \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) = I$

$\Pr(L(Y) > \lambda) + \Pr(L(Y) = \lambda \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) \mid X=0$

$\Pr(L(Y) > \frac{1}{2} | X=0) + \Pr(L(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) \mid X=0$

$= \Pr(L(Y) > \frac{1}{2} | X=0) = \Pr(L(Y) = 3 | X=0) = \Pr(Y=1 | X=0)$

if $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we're looking for λ, γ s.t. $\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = \beta$
 $\Pr(L(Y) > \lambda) = \Pr(L(Y) = 3) = \Pr(Y=1)$

if $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, results in opt. decision rule

if $\beta = 3$, want $\Pr(+1 \text{ err}) = \beta$, check what γ 's we can 'hit' by tuning γ

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta &= \Pr(L(Y) > 3) + \Pr(L(Y) = 3 \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) \mid X=0 \\
 &= \Pr(\text{Bern}(y) = 1 \mid X=0, L(Y=3)) \\
 &= \Pr(L(Y) = 3 \mid X=0) \\
 &= \gamma \times \Pr(Y=1 \mid X=0)_{\text{Bern}(\frac{1}{4})} \\
 &= \gamma \times \frac{1}{4} \quad \gamma \in (0, 1] \Rightarrow \text{Bern} = \beta \\
 \Pr(+1 \text{ err}) &= \Pr(L(Y) > 3) \cup \Pr(L(Y) = 3 \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) \mid X=0 \\
 &\quad \text{if } \beta \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1) \Rightarrow (\lambda = \frac{1}{3}), \gamma \text{ you calculate}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda &= \frac{1}{3} \\
 \Pr(+1 \text{ err}) &= \Pr(L(Y) > 3) \cup \Pr(L(Y) = 3 \wedge \text{Bern}(y) = 1) \mid X=0 \\
 &= \Pr(L(Y) = 3)
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Gaussians and the MSE

Suppose you draw n i.i.d. data points $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$, where n is a positive integer and the true relationship is $Y = WX + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$. (That is, Y has a linear dependence on X , with additive Gaussian noise.) Show that finding the MLE estimate of W given the data points $\{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is equivalent to minimizing the cost function

$$J(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - wx_i)^2$$