

自動機與形式語言 Homework 5

Class 02 B03902086 李鈺昇

(1)

First, recall the computable function that appears in the solution to HW4:

Function-All-or-Nothing	
Input:	A TM description $\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor$ and a word w
Task:	Output another TM description $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor$ such that: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If \mathcal{M} accepts w, \mathcal{M}_w accepts every word.• If \mathcal{M} does not accept w, \mathcal{M}_w does not accept any word.

Let $\text{Accept-Epsilon} = \{\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } \epsilon\}$. Now I show $\text{HALT} = \{\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor \$w \mid \mathcal{M} \text{ accepts } w\} \leq_T \text{Accept-Epsilon}$ by giving the following algorithm:

On input $\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor \w of HALT,

- Compute $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor$ with $\text{Function-All-or-Nothing}(\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor, w)$.
- Suppose \mathcal{N} decides Accept-Epsilon . Check whether $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor \in \text{Accept-Epsilon}$ by running \mathcal{N} on $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor$. Output **True** if so, otherwise output **False**.

The correctness of this reduction is clear as follows:

- If $\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor \$w \in \text{HALT}$, \mathcal{M} accepts w . Thus $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor$ accepts every word, including ϵ . So $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor \in \text{Accept-Epsilon}$.
- If $\lfloor \mathcal{M} \rfloor \$w \notin \text{HALT}$, \mathcal{M} does not accept w . Thus $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor$ does not accept any word, which means it does not accept ϵ . So $\lfloor \mathcal{M}_w \rfloor \notin \text{Accept-Epsilon}$.

Since HALT is undecidable and $\text{HALT} \leq_T \text{Accept-Epsilon}$, we know Accept-Epsilon is undecidable.

(2)

Suppose $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{NP}$. By definition, there are NTMs $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ such that \mathcal{M}_1 decides L_1 in $O(n^{k_1})$ time, and \mathcal{M}_2 decides L_2 in $O(n^{k_2})$ time. Construct NTMs $\mathcal{M}_\cup, \mathcal{M}_\cap$ as follows:

- \mathcal{M}_\cup = “On input w , run \mathcal{M}_1 on w and \mathcal{M}_2 on w . If at least one of them accept, accept; otherwise, reject.”
- \mathcal{M}_\cap = “On input w , run \mathcal{M}_1 on w and \mathcal{M}_2 on w . If both of them accept, accept; otherwise, reject.”

It's obvious that \mathcal{M}_\cup decides $L_1 \cup L_2$ and \mathcal{M}_\cap decides $L_1 \cap L_2$.

Since \mathcal{M}_\cup and \mathcal{M}_\cap both call \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 exactly once, we know \mathcal{M}_\cup and \mathcal{M}_\cap both run in $O(n^{k_1}) + O(n^{k_2}) = O(n^k)$ time, where $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$.

It then follows that $L_1 \cup L_2, L_1 \cap L_2 \in \mathbf{NP}$.

(3)

By definition of $\mathbf{coNP} = \{L \mid \Sigma^* - L \in \mathbf{NP}\}$, if $\Sigma^* - L \in \mathbf{coNP}$, then $L = \Sigma^* - (\Sigma^* - L) \in \mathbf{NP}$.

The second argument (if $L \in \mathbf{coNP}$ then $\Sigma^* - L \in \mathbf{NP}$) is immediate by definition.

(4)

Suppose $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$.

Now for any $L \in \mathbf{coNP}$, we have $\Sigma^* - L \in \mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$, which implies $L = \Sigma^* - (\Sigma^* - L) \in \mathbf{NP}$.

So $\mathbf{coNP} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$, hence $\mathbf{NP} = \mathbf{coNP}$.

(5)

Suppose $\mathbf{SAT} \in \mathbf{coNP}$. Then $\Sigma^* - \mathbf{SAT} \in \mathbf{NP}$.

Let \mathcal{M} be the polynomial-time NTM that decides $\Sigma^* - \mathbf{SAT}$.

Now for any $L \in \mathbf{NP}$, we have $L \leq_p \mathbf{SAT}$, since \mathbf{SAT} is \mathbf{NP} -hard. So there is a polynomial-time computable function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that

$$w \in L \Leftrightarrow f(w) \in \mathbf{SAT}. \quad (1)$$

Clearly (1) equivalent to

$$w \in \Sigma^* - L \Leftrightarrow f(w) \in \Sigma^* - \mathbf{SAT}, \quad (2)$$

which implies $\Sigma^* - L \leq_p \Sigma^* - \mathbf{SAT}$.

Now construct the NTM $\mathcal{N} = \text{"On input } w, \text{ output the result of } \mathcal{M} \text{ on } f(w)\text{"}$ which decides $\Sigma^* - L$ by (2). We see that \mathcal{N} runs in polynomial time since \mathcal{M} and f both run in polynomial time.

Therefore $\Sigma^* - L \in \mathbf{NP}$, or $L \in \mathbf{coNP}$.

The above shows $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$, and hence $\mathbf{NP} = \mathbf{coNP}$ by Problem (4).