# **Floating Point Numbers**

**Real Numbers**: pi = 3.14159265... e = 2.71828...

**Scientific Notation:** has a single digit to the left of the decimal point.

A number in Scientific Notation with no leading 0s is called a Normalised Number: 1.0 × 10-8

Not in **normalised** form:  $0.1 \times 10^{-7}$  or  $10.0 \times 10^{-9}$ 

Can also represent binary numbers in scientific notation: 1.0 × 2<sup>-3</sup>

Computer arithmetic that supports such numbers is called Floating Point.

The form is 1.xxxx... × 2<sup>yy...</sup>

### Using normalised scientific notation

- 1. Simplifies the exchange of data that includes floating-point numbers
- 2. Simplifies the arithmetic algorithms to know that the numbers will always be in this form
- 3. Increases the accuracy of the numbers that can be stored in a word, since each unnecessary leading 0 is replaced by another significant digit to the right of the decimal point

# Representation of Floating-Point numbers

$$-1^S \times M \times 2^E$$

Bit No	Size	Field Name		
31	1 bit	Sign (S) Exponent (E)		
23-30	8 bits			
0-22	23 bits	Mantissa (M)		

A **Single-Precision** floating-point number occupies 32-bits, so there is a compromise between the size of the mantissa and the size of the exponent.

These chosen sizes provide a range of approx:

$$\pm 10^{-38} \dots 10^{38}$$

Overflow

The exponent is too large to be represented in the Exponent field

Underflow

The number is too *small* to be represented in the Exponent field

To reduce the chances of underflow/overflow, can use 64-bit **Double-Precision** arithmetic

63	1 bit	Sign (S)	
52-62	11 bits	Exponent (E)	
0-51	52 bits	Mantissa (M)	

providing a range of approx

$$\pm 10^{-308} \dots 10^{308}$$

These formats are called ...

### **IEEE 754 Floating-Point Standard**

Since the mantissa is always 1.xxxxxxxxx in the normalised form, no need to represent the leading 1. So, effectively:

- Single Precision: mantissa ===> 1 bit + 23 bits
- **Double Precision:** mantissa ===> 1 bit + 52 bits

Since zero (0.0) has no leading 1, to distinguish it from others, it is given the reserved bitpattern all 0s for the exponent so that hardware won't attach a leading 1 to it. Thus:

- Zero (0.0) = 0000...0000
- Other numbers =  $-1^S \times (1 + Mantissa) \times 2^E$

If we number the mantissa bits from left to right m1, m2, m3, ...

mantissa = 
$$m1 \times 2^{-1} + m2 \times 2^{-2} + m3 \times 2^{-3} + ...$$

Negative exponents *could* pose a problem in comparisons.

For example (with two's complement):

Sign Exponent				Mantissa			
1.0	×	2 <sup>-1</sup>	0	11111111	0000000	00000000	00000000
1.0	×	2 <sup>+1</sup>	0	00000001	0000000	00000000	00000000

With this representation, the first exponent shows a "larger" binary number, making direct comparison more difficult.

To avoid this, **Biased Notation** is used for exponents.

If the real exponent of a number is X then it is represented as (X + bias)

IEEE single-precision uses a bias of 127. Therefore, an exponent of

```
-1 is represented as -1 + 127 = 126 = 01111110<sub>2</sub>
0 is represented as 0 + 127 = 127 = 01111111<sub>2</sub>
+1 is represented as +1 + 127 = 128 = 10000000<sub>2</sub>
+5 is represented as +5 + 127 = 132 = 10000100<sub>2</sub>
```

So the actual exponent is found by subtracting the bias from the stored exponent. Therefore, given S, E, and M fields, an IEEE floating-point number has the value:

$$-1^{S} \times (1.0 + 0.M) \times 2^{E-bias}$$

(Remember: it is (1.0 + 0.M) because, with normalised form, only the *fractional* part of the mantissa needs to be stored)

# **Floating Point Addition**

Add the following two decimal numbers in scientific notation:

$$8.70 \times 10^{-1}$$
 with  $9.95 \times 10^{1}$ 

 Rewrite the smaller number such that its exponent matches with the exponent of the larger number.

$$8.70 \times 10^{-1} = 0.087 \times 10^{1}$$

2. Add the mantissas

$$9.95 + 0.087 = 10.037$$
 and write the sum  $10.037 \times 10^{1}$ 

3. Put the result in Normalised Form

$$10.037 \times 10^1 = 1.0037 \times 10^2$$
 (shift mantissa, adjust exponent)

check for overflow/underflow of the exponent after normalisation

4. Round the result

If the mantissa does not fit in the space reserved for it, it has to be rounded off.

For Example: If only 4 digits are allowed for mantissa

$$1.0037 \times 10^2 ===> 1.004 \times 10^2$$

(only have a *hidden* bit with *binary* floating point numbers)

#### **Example addition in binary**

Perform 0.5 + (-0.4375)

$$0.5 = 0.1 \times 2^{0} = 1.000 \times 2^{-1}$$
 (normalised)

$$-0.4375 = -0.0111 \times 2^{0} = -1.110 \times 2^{-2}$$
 (normalised)

 Rewrite the smaller number such that its exponent matches with the exponent of the larger number.

$$-1.110 \times 2^{-2} = -0.1110 \times 2^{-1}$$

2. Add the mantissas:

$$1.000 \times 2^{-1} + -0.1110 \times 2^{-1} = 0.001 \times 2^{-1}$$

3. Normalise the sum, checking for overflow/underflow:

$$0.001 \times 2^{-1} = 1.000 \times 2^{-4}$$

4. Round the sum:

The sum fits in 4 bits so rounding is not required

Check: 1.000  $\times$  2<sup>-4</sup> = 0.0625 which is equal to 0.5 - 0.4375

Correct!

# **Floating Point Multiplication**

Multiply the following two numbers in scientific notation by hand:

$$1.110 \times 10^{10} \times 9.200 \times 10^{-5}$$

1. Add the exponents to find

New Exponent = 
$$10 + (-5) = 5$$

If we add *biased* exponents, bias will be added twice. Therefore we need to subtract it once to compensate:

$$(10 + 127) + (-5 + 127) = 259$$

2. Multiply the mantissas

$$1.110 \times 9.200 = 10.212000$$

Can only keep three digits to the right of the decimal point, so the result is

$$10.212 \times 10^5$$

3. Normalise the result

$$1.0212 \times 10^6$$

4. Round it

$$1.021 \times 10^6$$

# **Example multiplication in binary:**

$$1.000 \times 2^{-1} \times -1.110 \times 2^{-2}$$

1. Add the biased exponents

$$(-1 + 127) + (-2 + 127) - 127 = 124 ===> (-3 + 127)$$

2. Multiply the mantissas

3. Normalise (already normalised)

At this step check for overflow/underflow by making sure that

- 4. Round the result (no change)
- 5. Adjust the sign.

Since the original signs are different, the result will be negative

$$-1.110 \times 2^{-3}$$

# **Further Reading**

IEEE-754 References and Conversion and another Converter

### [Index]

last updated: 2-Dec-04 Ian Harries <ih@doc.ic.ac.uk>