Corruption and Parking Violations

Akkineni, Hanna, Thorp September 26, 2016

```
setwd("C:/Users/kevin/OneDrive/School/MIDS/W203 - Statistics for Data Science/Lab 1/W203_lab1_corruption
#library(car)
#library(grid)
#library(ggplot2)
library(knitr)
library(kableExtra)
load("Corrupt.Rdata")
## Correct Data Problems
#Fix majoritymulsim where value = -1, should be 0
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$majoritymuslim == -1 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$majoritymuslim), "majoritymuslim"] = 0
# Add missing counties. Reference: https://www.worldatlas.com/aatlas/ctycodes.htm
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "ARE", "country"] = "UNITED ARAB EMIRATES"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "CAF", "country"] = "CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "CAN", "country"] = "CANADA"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "COL", "country"] = "COLUMBIA"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "ECU", "country"] = "ECUADOR"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "JAM", "country"] = "JAMAICA"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "LVA", "country"] = "LATVIA"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "NOR", "country"] = "NORWAY"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "PAN", "country"] = "PANAMA"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "SWE", "country"] = "SWEDEN"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$wbcode == "TUR", "country"] = "TURKEY"
# Create named regions variable using region
FMcorrupt$region_name = NA
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 1 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Caribbean"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 2 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "South America"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 3 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Europe"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 4 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Asia" # "South Asia"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 5 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Oceania"
FMcorrupt$region == 6 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Africa"
FMcorrupt[FMcorrupt$region == 7 & ! is.na(FMcorrupt$region), "region_name"] = "Middle East" # "Western !
FMcorrupt$region_name = factor(FMcorrupt$region_name)
# Remove 66 rows that do not have relevant data to the key analyses
corrupt = subset(FMcorrupt, !is.na(violations) & !is.na(mission) & !is.na(staff) )
# split data in to pre and post, before and after enforcement changes
cor_pre = subset(corrupt, prepost == "pre")
cor_pos = subset(corrupt, prepost == "pos")
# Merge both the above to one line with pre and pos appeneded to variable names (prepos removed)
```

```
cor_oneline = merge(cor_pre, cor_pos, by = "wbcode", suffixes = c(".pre", ".pos"))
# Grab only the variables that are needed.
cor_oneline = cor_oneline[, c("wbcode", "violations.pre", "violations.pos", "fines.pre", "fines.pos",
                               "mission.pre", "staff.pre", "spouse.pre", "gov_wage_gdp.pre", "pctmuslim."
                               "cars_total.pre", "cars_mission.pre", "pop1998.pre", "gdppcus1998.pre", "
                               "r_africa.pre", "r_middleeast.pre", "r_europe.pre", "r_southamerica.pre",
                               "country.pre", "distUNplz.pre",
                               "region.pre", "region_name.pre"
# Remove suffix where not needed.
colnames(cor_oneline) = c("wbcode", "violations.pre", "violations.pos", "fines.pre", "fines.pre",
                               "mission", "staff", "spouse", "gov_wage_gdp", "pctmuslim", "majoritymuslim"
                               "cars_total", "cars_mission", "pop1998", "gdppcus1998", "ecaid", "milaid"
                               "r_africa", "r_middleeast", "r_europe", "r_southamerica", "r_asia",
                               "country", "distUNplz", "region", "region_name"
# Rename FMcorrupt to ensure we don't use it accidentally
cor_nas = FMcorrupt
remove(FMcorrupt)
```

Introduction

Research Question

Prior to 2002 diplomats at UN missions were exampt from parking violations and fines in New York City, by virtue of their diplomatic immunity. There was wide variation in diplomats' willingness to adhere to local parking laws. This analysis attempts to understand whether the variation in adherance to local parking law was related to cultural norms in the diplomats' home countries. For the period prior to 2002, we examine the relationship between perceptions of corruption in that country and that countries' diplomats' willingness to incur parking violations. In 2002 NYC parking enforcement acquired the right to confiscate license plates from vehicles belonging to foreign diplomats if they had accumulated unpaid parking violations, thus making payment an function of both cultral norms and legal enforcment. This had a notable compressing effect on parking law adherence.

Question: Does an index of perceived corruption in the diplomats' home country have explanatory power for a given diplomatic mission's compliance with local parking regulations?

Description of Dataset

Our data set has a total of 364 observations. Of the 364, 66 observations contain only economic data leaving NA for our dependent variable, violations. Considering the countries that are among these 66 and the variables for which they have valid data, we suspect these rows result from a merge of economic data with the violations data ($econmic \cup violations$). As such, we believe these 66 countries represent a data artefact from that data merge. As these observations do not contain valid values for key variables, we remove them from our dataset. Of note, those 66 observations appear to contain many which do not even have a mission or staff in New York City, and as such are not relevant for this study on diplomatic parking violations in New York City. Given these considerations, we feel comfortable that we are not biasing the results of the

study by remiving these observations. With those 66 rows removed, we're left with 298 observations (two observations for each of 149 countries where corruption data exists.) Each country has one observation from prior to the 2002 regulation change and one observation from after. Only the 'violations' and 'fines' variables differ between the two observations for a given country, while other variables remain constant.

Univariate Analysis of Key Variables

Key Variables:

- violations
- corruption
- staff
- trade (maybe?)

There are 66 observations that contain only economic data leaving NA for our dependent variable, violations. Considering the countries that are among these 66 we suspect these rows result from a merge of economic data with the violations data ($econmic \cup violations$) and the economic data set had countries that did not have embasies in Manhattan. Removing these superfluous observations results in the intersection of the two data sets ($economic \cap violations$), which is what we desire. With those 66 rows removed, we're left with 298 observations (two observations for each of 149 countries where corruption data exists.)

- show plots and summary data for key variables
 - Summary table
 - Frequency Distribution

Use CSV version of Google Sheet 'Variable Description for Introduction': https://docs.google.com/sprevariable_description = read.csv("Lab 1 - Variable Descriptions for Introduction.csv", header = TRUE, set #summary(variable_description)

kable(variable_description, "latex", longtable = TRUE, booktabs = TRUE, caption = "Data Set Variables")
kable_styling(full_width = TRUE, latex_options = c("HOLD_position", "striped", "repeat_header"), row_

Table 1: Data Set Variables

Variable	Description	Observations	Alterations
wbcode	Three Letter Country code		
violations.pre	The number of violations accumulated before enforcement changes.	Values have 6 decimal places, we don't quite understand why, however the instructions we were given with the data set suggest these values should be integer, so we will treat it as the sum.	
violations.pos	The number of violations accumulated after enforcement changes.	Values have 6 decimal places, we don't quite understand why, however the instructions we were given with the data set suggest these values should be integer, so we will treat it as the sum.	

Table 1: Data Set Variables (continued)

Variable	Description	Observations	Alterations
fines.pre	Summed cost of violations.pre adjusted for inflation.		
fines.pos	Summed cost of violations.pos adjusted for inflation.		
mission	If country has mission in NYC	All values are true.	
staff	# of employees		
spouse	# of spouses		
gov_wage_gdp	D	2	
pctmuslim	Percent of country that identifies as Muslim	2 countries have NA's: Bosnia-Herzegovina and Zaire	
majoritymuslim	Is country majority Muslim	This variable had some values of -1 which occured if and only if pctmuslim was 0.	We replaced all -1's with -0's.
trade	Total trade with the US in 1998 USD	2 countries have NA's: Bosnia-Herzegovina and Zaire, 1 country shows 0 Libia	
cars_total	The number of cars owned by both mission and the employees.	Total of 1455, 10 NA's	
cars_personal	Cars owned by staff and spouses of mission	Total of 740, 10 NA's	
cars_mission	Cars owned by mission	Total of 715, 10 NA's	
pop1998	Country's population in 1998		
gdppcus1998	GDP Percent in USD 1998(?)		
ecaid	US Economic Assistance grants and loans		
milaid	Military Aid		
region	7 Geographic regions labelled 1-7		
region_name	7 Geographic regions named		We created this variable using region variable above
corruption	Country corruption index	Min. = -2.58299 (Least corrupt), Max. = 1.58281 (Most corrupt)	
totaid	milaid + ecaid	,	
r_africa	Boolean if part of continent. Some countries belong to none, and some others		
r middleeast	have NA's.		
r_europe	11		

Table 1: Data Set Variables (continued)

Variable	Description	Observations	Alterations
r _southamerica	"		
r_asia	11		
country	country name	Some missing values, though wbcode are available.	Missing values were filled using wbcode variable.
$\operatorname{distUNplz}$			

x[numeric_columns] <- round(x[numeric_columns], digits)</pre>

х

}

```
remove(variable_description)

# This is assuming staff is better than cars, staff+spouse, total_cars. Though I don't know if that's

### Probably makes sense tomove this above
round_df <- function(x, digits) {
    # round all numeric variables
    # x: data frame
    # digits: number of digits to round
    numeric_columns <- sapply(x, mode) == 'numeric'</pre>
```

summary_table_output = cor_oneline[, c("country", "staff", "violations.pre", "violations.pos", "corrupt summary_table_output\$mean_violations_per_staff.pre = summary_table_output\$violations.pre/summary_table_summary_table_output\$mean_violations_per_staff.pos = summary_table_output\$violations.pos/summary_table_

tmp_rounded = round_df(summary_table_output[, c("country", "mean_violations_per_staff.pre", "mean_violat
tmp_rounded = tmp_rounded[order(tmp_rounded\$mean_violations_per_staff.pre, decreasing = TRUE),]
#TODO Sort, Round
kable(tmp_rounded[1:20,],

```
"latex", longtable = TRUE, booktabs = TRUE,
  caption = "Top 20 Countries by Parking Violations (Key Variables)",
  col.names = c("Country", "Mean Violations per Staff Before 2002 Change", "Mean Violations per Sta
kable_styling(full_width = TRUE, latex_options = c("HOLD_position", "striped", "repeat_header"), row_
```

Table 2: Top 20 Countries by Parking Violations (Key Variables)

	Country	Mean Violations per Staff Before 2002 Change	Mean Violations per Staff After 2002 Change	Corruption Index
77	KUWAIT	249.36	0.15	-1.07
41	EGYPT	141.37	0.33	0.25
129	CHAD	125.89	0.00	0.84
119	SUDAN	120.58	0.37	0.75
14	BULGARIA	119.03	1.64	0.50
93	MOZAMBIQUE	112.13	0.07	0.77
2	ALBANIA	85.54	1.85	0.92
1	ANGOLA	82.71	1.71	1.05
120	SENEGAL	80.21	0.21	0.45

Table 2: Top 20 Countries by Parking Violations (Key Variables) (continued)

	Country	Mean Violations per Staff Before 2002 Change	Mean Violations per Staff After 2002 Change	Corruption Index
107	PAKISTAN	70.29	1.21	0.76
27	IVORY COAST	67.96	0.46	0.35
148	ZAMBIA	61.17	0.15	0.56
86	MOROCCO	60.77	0.40	0.10
45	ETHIOPIA	60.44	0.62	0.25
100	NIGERIA	59.40	0.44	1.01
128	SYRIA	53.32	1.36	0.58
11	BENIN	50.41	6.50	0.76
149	ZIMBABWE	46.15	0.86	0.13
28	CAMEROON	44.11	2.86	1.11
145	MONTENEGRO & SERBIA	38.52	0.05	0.97

remove(summary_table_output, tmp_rounded)

Analysis of Key Relationships

Analysis of Secondary Effects

- show that pctmuslim doesn't have much relevance
- analyze trade relationship
- analyze gov_wage_gdp (particular after, when fines are being paid)
 - Countries with higher violations + higher corruption index might be low gov_wage_gdp (might also mean small governemnt, so population might also be a factor)
- does region have any meaningful relationship to violations?

Conclusion

Some poople used to be jerks. But now they're not. Enforcing fines works on Jerks. Canadian's are not jerks (bias: author: Hanna, is a Canadian)