1. (a) For the electric field,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mu_0 k s}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} & s \leq R \\ -\frac{\mu_0 k R^2}{2s} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} & s \geq R \end{cases}$$

For the magnetic field,

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} \mu_0 k t \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} & s \leq R \\ 0 & s \geq R \end{cases}$$

(b) The charge distribution can be found using

$$-\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} = \nabla^2 \hat{V} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}$$

 $\rho=0$  as there's no  $\phi$  dependence on the  $\phi$  component

From Ampere's law,

$$\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$
$$= 0?$$

These can't both be zero, so I'm guessing there's an arithmetic mistake somewhere?

2. (a) The magnetic field is

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A} = 0$$

The electric field is

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}$$
$$= \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \,\hat{\mathbf{r}}$$

By Gauss' law,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$$
$$\rho = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} (4\pi\delta)\epsilon_0$$
$$= q\delta$$

The current can be found using Ampere's law,

$$\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$
$$= 0$$

(b) Using  $\lambda = -(1/4\pi\epsilon_0)(qt/r)$ ,

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \lambda$$

$$= -\frac{qt}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) = 0$$

$$V' = V - \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$$

$$= \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$

- 3. (i) Lorenz gauge due to the t dependence.
  - (ii) Could be both?
  - (iii) Neither? This can't be the Coulomb gauge as  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} \neq 0$  and it can't be in the Lorenz gauge as it can't satisfy (10.12).
- 4. We can divide this problem to two parts: the semicircle and the ends. For the semicircle, the distance *ĕ* is constant and we can just integrate along the swept angle,

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{I(t_r)}{\imath} \, d\vec{\ell}'$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 I_0}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos\phi \,\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin\phi \,\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 I_0}{2\pi} \,\hat{\mathbf{x}}$$

For the two lines, the point only "sees" up to ct and is multiplied twice as the lines are symmetric, so the vector field is

$$\mathbf{A} = 2 \times \frac{\mu_0 I_0}{4\pi} \,\hat{\mathbf{x}} \int_R^{ct} x^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{\mu_0 I_0}{2\pi} \ln(ct/R) \,\hat{\mathbf{x}}$$

The total vector field is then

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \le 0\\ \frac{\mu_0 I_0}{2\pi} \left( \ln(ct/R) + \frac{1}{R} \right) \hat{\mathbf{x}} & t > 0? \end{cases}$$

(I'm not sure if it's only non-zero after t=0 or if it would be after t=R/c.)

5. Starting from (10.36),  $\dot{\mathbf{J}} = 0$  and

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r'},t)}{\mathbf{\imath}^2} \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} + \frac{\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r'},t_r)}{c\,\mathbf{\imath}} \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} \right] d\tau'$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{c\rho(\mathbf{r'},t)}{c\,\mathbf{\imath}^2} \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} + \frac{\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r'},t_r)\,\mathbf{\imath}}{c\,\mathbf{\imath}^2} \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} \right] d\tau'$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{c\rho(\mathbf{r'},t) + \dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r'},t_r)\,\mathbf{\imath}}{c\,\mathbf{\imath}^2} \right] \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d\tau'$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r'},t) + (t_r - t)\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r'},t_r)\,\mathbf{\imath}}{\mathbf{\imath}^2} \right] \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d\tau'$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r'},t) + (t - t_r)\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r'},t_r)\,\mathbf{\imath}}{\mathbf{\imath}^2} \right] \, \hat{\mathbf{\imath}} d\tau'$$

By the  $\rho$  given in the problem,

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \left[ \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}',t)}{\boldsymbol{z}^2} - \frac{t_r \dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r}',t_r)}{\boldsymbol{z}^2} \right] \, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau'$$

Not really sure what to do with that extra  $t_r$  term here...