- 1. (a) For ${}^{15}_{7}$ N₈, we have 8 neutrons (magic, non-contributing) and 7 protons (unfilled 1 p_{1/2}). The ground state spin is $\frac{1}{2}$ with odd parity, 1/2.
 - (b) For ${}^{17}_{8}\text{O}_{9}$, the protons are magic and the 9 neutrons lead to an unfilled 1 d_{5/2} shell. This means we have $\boxed{5/2+}$ spin and parity.
 - (c) For $^{39}_{19}$ K₂₀, the 19 protons in the unfilled 1 d_{3/2} shell lead to 3/2+ spin and parity.
 - (d) For ${}^{207}_{82}$ Pb₁₂₅, the 125 neutrons lead to an unfilled 1 i_{13/2} shell, with $\boxed{13/2+}$ spin and parity.
 - (e) An electron from the $3 p_{1/2}$ shell could've jumped up to fill the $1 i_{13/2}$ shell, leading to a vacancy in the $3 p_{1/2}$ shell.
- 2. (a) From conservation of energy,

$$2E_m = E_M$$

$$2\gamma m = M$$

$$\implies M = 2(1 - (3/5)^2)^{-1/2} m$$

$$= 2.5m.$$

(b) Similarly, by conservation of energy again,

$$2\gamma m = M$$

$$\gamma = M/2m$$

$$1 - v^2/c^2 = M/2m$$

$$v/c = \sqrt{1 - (M/2m)}.$$

(c) For a 4-vector $\mathbb{P} = (E/c, p)$, its dot product is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}^2 &= \mathbb{P} \cdot \mathbb{P} \\ &= \frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2 = m^2 c^2. \end{split}$$

Without the c's,

$$\mathbb{P}^2 = E^2 - p^2 = m^2.$$

(d) For different vectors \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 ,

$$\mathbb{P}_1 \cdot \mathbb{P}_2 = \frac{E_1 E_2}{c^2} - p_1 p_2$$
$$\implies = E_1 E_2 - p_1 p_2.$$

3. For moving particle m_1 with p_1 and stationary particle m_2 , we can begin deriving the CM momenta by considering a frame where m_1 and m_2 have equal momentum p. In this frame moving at velocity v_c , the momentum of each particle will be

$$p_c = m_1(v_1 - v_c) = m_2 v_c.$$

$$\implies p_1 = m_1 v_1 = (m_1 + m_2) v_c.$$

$$\implies v_c = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} v_1.$$

The CM momentum is

$$p_c = m_2 v_c = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} v_1$$
$$= \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} p_1.$$

4. To begin by deriving the CM momentum relativistically, we can consider the same system as Problem 3, with a frame moving at v_c (with Lorentz factor γ_c). In this frame, we can use the Lorentz transformation to find the CM momenta p,

$$p = \gamma_c(p_1 - v_c E_1)$$
 (particle 1)

$$-p = \gamma_c(p_2 - v_c E_2) = \gamma_c(0 - v_c m_2 c^2)$$
 (particle 2)

$$\implies p = \gamma_c v - c m_2$$

$$\implies v_c = p/\gamma_c m.$$

Substituting v_c in, the CM momentum is

$$p = \gamma_c \left(p_1 - \frac{p}{\gamma_c m_2} E_1 \right) gamma_c p_1 - \frac{E_1}{m_2} p$$

$$= \frac{\gamma_c}{1 + E_1/m_2} p_1$$

$$p = \frac{\gamma_c m_2}{E_1 + m_2} p_1.$$

5. From Problem 3, the CM momentum is given by

$$p_c = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} p_1.$$

For both particles, the total kinetic energy is given by

$$T_c = T_{c1} + T_{c2}$$

$$= \frac{p_c^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_c^2}{2m_2} = \frac{p_c^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}\right) = \frac{p_c^2}{2} \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_1 m_2}$$

$$= \frac{m_2^2}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \frac{(m_1 + m_2)}{m_1 m_2} \frac{p_1^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{m_2}{2m_1(m_1 + m_2)} p_1^2.$$

In terms of $T_1 = p_1^2 / 2m_1$,

$$T_c = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} T_1.$$

6. (a) For the two particles, their 4-momenta are

$$\mathbb{P}_1=(E_1,p_1)$$
 $\mathbb{P}_2=(m_2,0).$ (at rest in lab frame)

The total 4-momentum is

$$\mathbb{P}_{\text{tot}} = \mathbb{P}_1 + \mathbb{P}_2$$

Squaring this and using stuff from Problem 2,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{\text{tot}}^2 &= (\mathbb{P}_1 + \mathbb{P}_2)^2 = \mathbb{P}_1^2 + \mathbb{P}_2^2 + 2\mathbb{P}_1 \cdot \mathbb{P}_2 \\ &= m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2\mathbb{P}_1 \cdot \mathbb{P}_2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + (E_1, p_1) \cdot (m_2, 0) \\ &= m_1^2 + m_2^2 + E_1 m_2. \end{split}$$

Since
$$\mathbb{P}^2_{\text{tot}} = E_c^2$$
, as $\mathbb{P}_{\text{tot}} = (E_c, 0)$,

$$E_c^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + E_1 m_2.$$

(b) I don't really understand this problem, so I might be way off here. In the CM frame, the total energy is given by the masses and total kinetic energy,

$$E_c = m_1 + m_2 + T_c.$$

We can square this to fit the stuff from (a),

$$E_c^2 = (m_1 + m_2 + T_c)^2 = (m_1 + m_2)^2 + T_c^2 + 2(m_1 + m_2)T_c$$

= $m_1^2 + m_2^2 + E_1 m_2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + (m_1 + T_1)m_2$.

Here's the part that I'm not seeing (where is this coming from?):

$$T_c^2 = 2T_c(m_1 + m_2)$$

The condition when we can neglect T_c^2 is given by

$$T_c \ll 2(m_1 + m_2).$$