1. Starting from the radial Schrodinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left( R'' + \frac{2}{r} R \right) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} R - \frac{\ell^2}{r} R(r) = ER$$

Letting the constants go to 1,

$$\frac{1}{2}R'' + \frac{1}{2}R' + \left[\frac{1}{r} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2r^2}\right]R = -ER$$

For  $R(r) = e^{-r}$  and  $\ell = 0$  and E = -1/2, the differential equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}R(r) - \frac{1}{r}R(r) + \frac{1}{r}R(r) = \frac{R(r)}{2}$$

...which is true.

2. (a) The Rydberg constant is  $207 \times$  larger, so the wavelength is

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{207 \times R_{\infty}} = 440.2 \,\mathrm{pm}$$

This is in the x-ray to gamma ray region.

(b) Using the reduced mass,

$$R_{\mu} = \left(\frac{m_{\mu}m_p}{m_{\mu} + m_p}\right) \frac{e^4}{8\epsilon_0^2 h^3 c}$$
$$\lambda = R^{-1} = 481.9 \,\mathrm{pm}$$

It's pretty close to the infinite nuclear mass approximation.

3. (a) Using  $R_{\mu}$  from Problem 2, we can equate the energy to the Coulomb potential as

$$E = R_{\mu}hc\frac{Z^2}{n^2} = \frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$
$$r = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 RZhc} = 6.89 \, \mathrm{fm}$$

- (b) For muonic hydrogen, Z=1 and then from the equation used in (a), the radius is  $564 \,\mathrm{fm}$ .
- 4. (a) The energy levels are given by

$$E_n = -\frac{\mu e^4}{8h^2\epsilon_0^2} \frac{1}{n^2} = -\frac{-6.8 \,\text{eV}}{n^2}$$

For n = 1, 2, 3,

$$E_1 = -6.8 \,\text{eV}$$
  
 $E_2 = -1.7 \,\text{eV}$   
 $E_3 = -0.75 \,\text{eV}$ 

(b) For the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  lines,

$$E_{\alpha} = E_2 - E_1 = 5.1 \,\text{eV}$$
$$\lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{hc}{E_2 - E_1} = 243 \,\text{nm}$$
$$\lambda_{\beta} = \frac{hc}{E_3 - E_1} = 204 \,\text{nm}$$

- 5. (a) The core electrons shield (p 218) the nuclear charge completely.
  - (b) We can equate the energy to the Coulomb potential,

$$\frac{Ry}{2000^2} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$$
$$r = \frac{e^2 2000^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 Ry}$$
$$= 427 \,\mu\text{m}$$

The H atom is  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  m, so this is much larger.

(c) From Wikipedia,

$$mvr = n\hbar$$
$$v = 1158 \,\mathrm{m \cdot s^{-1}}$$

- (d) For  $n=1, v=2\times 10^6\,\mathrm{m/s}$ , which makes sense as the electron is much closer in orbit.
- 6. (a) For the inner 2s electron, the energy is  $E_{2s}=Ry\frac{Z^2}{n^2}=Ry$ . For the outer 4p electron, the effective charge is 1 and the energy is  $E_{4p}=Ry/16$ . Adding these, the energy is

$$E_{2s4p} = 1 + 13.61 \,\text{eV}\left(1 + \frac{1}{16}\right) = 14.5 \,\text{eV}$$

The ground state of He (from Wikipedia) is  $-79\,\mathrm{eV}$ , so the change in energy is  $\Delta E = 64.5\,\mathrm{eV}$ , corresponding to  $\lambda = 19\,\mathrm{nm}$ .

(b) Doing this classically, we can take the energy from (a) and set it to  $mv^2/2$ ,

$$\Delta E = \frac{mv^2}{2}$$

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta E}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 64.5 \text{ eV}}{0.510 \text{ MeV}}}$$

$$= 4.8 \times 10^6 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$$

- 7. From the L shell, the electron drops of  $L \to K$  corresponding to the  $K_{\alpha}$  line  $3.69 \, \mathrm{keV}$ . Similarly for the M and N shells, the energies are  $0.341 \, \mathrm{keV}$  and  $0.024 \, \mathrm{keV}$  respectively.
- 8. The emitted electron is roughly  $300\,\mathrm{eV}$ , which is roughly the  $L_{\alpha}$  line, which makes sense.
- 9. I can't get the generalized way to work out, so I'm just going to give an example with the 2p3s state. Under LS coupling, we get four terms:  $^{1}P_{1}$ ,  $^{3}P_{0}$ ,  $^{3}P_{1}$ ,  $^{3}P_{2}$ . Under jj coupling, there's four terms as well: for  $j_{1}=j_{2}=1/2$ , we get two states j=0,1. For  $j_{1}=3/2, j_{2}=1/2$ , we get two other states, j=1,2.

- 10. (a) The combined L=1 and S=1, so J goes from 0,1,2, and the terms are  ${}^{1}P_{1},\,{}^{3}P_{0},\,{}^{3}P_{1},\,{}^{3}P_{2}$ .
  - (b) For  $j_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $j_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , we can couple these with  $J = j_1 + j_2$  to  $|j_1 j_2|$ . For  $j_1 = j_2 = 1/2$ , we get two states j = 0, 1. For  $j_1 = 3/2, j_2 = 1/2$ , we get two other states, j = 1, 2.
  - (c) I think this can be explained by the Paschen-Back effect, except instead of an external field, it's related to the moment from the nucleus?