Ethical Theory Exam — Review Guide

I. Aristotle: Virtue Ethics

(Based on John Bowin, "Aristotle's Virtue Ethics")

1. The Ethical Turn

- Aristotle's ethics continues Socrates' and Plato's focus on how to live well, emphasizing character over individual actions.
- Virtue ethics asks: "What kind of person should I be?" rather than "What should I do?"

2. The Highest Good – Eudaimonia

- Every action aims at some good; the highest good (summum bonum) is eudaimonia
 usually translated as flourishing or living well, not mere happiness.
- It is **final** (chosen for its own sake) and **self-sufficient** (lacking nothing).
- Eudaimonia is an activity, not a state it consists in living in accordance with virtue over a complete life.

3. The Function Argument

- Each thing has a function (ergon); the human function is rational activity.
- Therefore, the good human life is **excellent rational activity**, i.e. activity in accordance with **virtue** (aretē).
- Virtue = excellence in performing one's function well.

4. The Doctrine of the Mean

 Moral virtue is a mean between extremes of excess and deficiency (e.g., courage = mean between cowardice and recklessness). The mean is relative to us, found by reason, as a person of practical wisdom (phronēsis) would determine.

5. Phronēsis (Practical Wisdom)

- Intellectual virtue that enables us to **deliberate well** about what is good and right in particular situations.
- Distinguishes acting rightly because one knows why from merely following rules.

6. Social and Political Dimensions

- Humans are **political animals**: flourishing occurs within communities.
- The good life requires friendship, justice, and participation in shared rational activity.

II. Kant: The Groundwork of Morals and Korsgaard's Interpretation

(Based on the Groundwork Introduction and Christine Korsgaard, "The Right to Lie")

1. The Aim of Kant's Moral Philosophy

- To identify and justify the supreme principle of morality the categorical imperative (CI).
- Morality must be a priori, based on reason, not on experience, feelings, or consequences.

2. The Good Will

- The only thing good without qualification is a good will.
- A good will acts from duty, not from inclination or desire for reward.

3. Acting From Duty vs. Acting In Accordance With Duty

• From duty: motivated by respect for the moral law itself.

• In accordance with duty: doing the right thing, but for other reasons (e.g., self-interest or sympathy).

4. The Categorical Imperative (CI)

- Categorical = unconditional command; holds regardless of one's desires.
- **Hypothetical imperatives** (e.g., "If you want X, do Y") are conditional.

Formulations of the CI

1. Formula of Universal Law:

- "Act only on that maxim which you can at the same time will to be a universal law."
- Tests consistency and universality of moral rules.

2. Formula of Humanity:

- "Act so that you treat humanity, whether in your own person or that of another, always as an end and never merely as a means."
- Grounds morality in **respect for rational autonomy**.

3. Formula of the Kingdom of Ends:

 Envisions a community of rational beings who legislate moral law for themselves and each other.

5. Duties of Right vs. Duties of Virtue

- **Duties of Right (juridical duties):** can be externally coerced (e.g., not stealing, keeping contracts).
- **Duties of Virtue (ethical duties):** depend on inner motivation; cannot be externally forced (e.g., beneficence, self-perfection).

6. Grounding Morality in Reason

- Experience is empirical, hence contingent and variable; cannot yield universal or necessary laws.
- Morality must rest on pure practical reason, binding on all rational beings.

7. Korsgaard's "The Right to Lie"

- The Dilemma: Should you lie to the murderer at the door to save a life?
- Kant says lying is always wrong it undermines the moral law and treats others merely as means.

• Korsgaard's Analysis:

- The Formula of Universal Law might permit the lie (since it could be universalized under those conditions).
- But the Formula of Humanity forbids it deception denies the other's rational agency.
- Korsgaard argues Kant's rigorism stems from an ideal of moral relations based on mutual respect, not cold legalism.
- Yet, she suggests morality also needs principles for dealing with evil —
 recognizing that perfect moral relations can break down in a non-ideal world.

III. Critiques of Virtue Ethics

(Based on Johansson & Svensson, "Objections to Virtue Ethics")

- 1. Two Aims of Ethical Theory
- Theoretical aim: Provide a criterion of rightness (what makes actions right).
- **Practical aim:** Offer *guidance* for moral decision-making.

2. Hursthouse's Criterion

 An action is right iff it's what a virtuous person would characteristically do in the circumstances.

3. Objection: "Circumstances No Virtuous Person Could Face"

- What if one's situation results from past vice (e.g., promises made deceitfully)?
- If no virtuous person could be in such circumstances, the "what would a virtuous person do?" test becomes meaningless.

4. Objection: "The Wrong Right-Maker"

- Even if right actions are what virtuous people would do, *that's not what makes them right*.
- Their rightness must come from **the concrete moral features of the act** (e.g., relieving pain, showing justice).
- Therefore, virtue ethics may describe moral psychology better than it explains moral justification.

5. Possible Replies

- Virtue ethicists can argue their theory provides a **model for deliberation**, not a rival "criterion of rightness."
- It focuses on **moral perception and character formation**—how good agents recognize what is right.

VI. Study Strategies

- 1. **Memorize key definitions:** *eudaimonia, phronēsis, categorical imperative, autonomy, duty of virtue, duty of right.*
- 2. Practice contrasting Aristotle and Kant:

- \circ Virtue ethics \rightarrow teleological and character-based.
- Kantian ethics → deontological and law-based.
- 3. **Review Korsgaard's case of the murderer:** understand both Kant's view and her reinterpretation.
- 4. **Know the objections to virtue ethics:** especially "circumstances" and "wrong right-maker."
- 5. **Prepare one-sentence rationales** for each multiple-choice question—explain *why* the correct answer fits the text.

6. For short answers:

- Focus on explaining key concepts clearly (virtue → function argument; duty → moral law).
- Show you understand how each author builds or critiques a moral framework.