



AI Opportunity Assessment: Sun Island Bali

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents a comprehensive AI opportunity assessment for Sun Island Bali, conducted under the Industry-Adaptive AI Opportunity Scoring Framework v2.0 (IA-AIOS-S2A). The analysis is based on a rigorous, evidence-based evaluation of the company's primary digital asset, www.sunislandbali.com. The core finding of this audit is that Sun Island Bali possesses a strong and well-documented reputation for exceptional in-person hospitality, which is currently underserved by a digital presence that is functional but operationally static and lacks personalization. The primary strategic opportunity for AI implementation lies in bridging this digital-physical divide to enhance the direct booking channel, personalize the entire customer journey from discovery to post-stay, and automate marketing and lead qualification processes.

AI Opportunity Score: 70/100

Score Validation Status:  S2A Verified

Industry Classification: Hospitality/Tourism (Confidence: High)

Size Classification: Small to Medium Enterprise (SME) (Confidence: Medium)

Implementation Readiness: Medium (Confidence: Low)

AI Opportunity Scorecard

The following table provides a strategic overview of the final assessment, breaking down the composite score across the five core business dimensions evaluated. The weights are calibrated for the hospitality industry, where customer experience and operational efficiency are paramount.

Dimension	S2A Validated Score (/100)	Industry Weight (%)	Weighted Score
Customer Experience Optimization	78	35	27.3
Operational Process Indicators	65	30	19.5
Content Management Efficiency	68	15	10.2
Data Utilization Maturity	62	10	6.2
Technical Readiness	64	10	6.4
Total AI Opportunity Score		100	69.6 (70)

DIMENSIONAL SCORING WITH S2A VALIDATION

This section provides a detailed analysis of each of the five dimensions, adhering to the evidence-first methodology and S2A validation protocols of the IA-AIOS-S2A framework.

Customer Experience Optimization: 78/100 (Weight: 35%)

Observable Evidence:

- The website features a clear, logical navigation structure that allows users to easily find information on the three distinct properties (Hotel & Spa Kuta, Hotel & Spa Legian, Boutique Villas & Spa Seminyak) and key services such as the "Island Spa" and "Special Offers".¹
- Each property section provides comprehensive descriptions of accommodation types, detailing room size in square meters (), guest capacity, and specific in-room amenities like private pools, kitchenettes, and Wi-Fi.¹
- Contact information is prominent and accessible, offering multiple channels including a direct phone number, links to Facebook and Instagram profiles, and a dedicated "Contact Us" page.¹
- A significant volume of recent and highly positive customer testimonials is displayed, with publication dates from November, October, and September 2023, serving as a powerful form of social proof.¹
- The brand's messaging consistently promotes an emotional connection, using phrases like "Your Ultimate Bali Escape" and "Your home away from home" to position itself as a welcoming, premium sanctuary.¹
- There is a demonstrable absence of modern, dynamic user engagement tools. No evidence of virtual tours, interactive property maps, or an on-site customer service chatbot was found.¹





Reasoning Chain:

The website successfully delivers a good, professional, and functional user experience. It serves effectively as a high-quality digital brochure, presenting a large volume of well-organized information in a clean and accessible manner. The strategic inclusion of very recent and overwhelmingly positive customer reviews is a major asset, building significant trust and credibility with prospective guests.⁵ This demonstrates an understanding of the importance of social proof in the travel industry.

However, the overall experience remains fundamentally static and informational. It falls short of an advanced or exceptional rating because it fails to translate the brand's core promise of "Bali hospitality" and attentive service into the digital realm. A significant gap exists between the high-quality, personalized *offline* experience lauded in testimonials—describing "excellent staff & service" and "very attentive" personnel—and the impersonal, one-size-fits-all *online* experience.⁵ The website informs potential customers but does not actively engage or assist them in a personalized way. The lack of interactive tools means the site relies on a passive trust-building strategy (reading past reviews) rather than a proactive one (offering immediate, personalized assistance). This passive approach risks losing potential customers who are in

the early, exploratory phase of travel planning and are not yet prepared to sift through reviews. The score of 78 reflects a platform that is strong in its foundational presentation but deficient in the dynamic, personalized features that define a modern, top-tier digital hospitality experience.

S2A Challenge Results:

- Evidence Gate:  Clear evidence present
- Logic Gate:  Reasoning follows logically
- Bias Gate:  No significant bias detected
- Consistency Gate:  Aligns with similar assessments

Confidence Level: High - The evidence for both present features (clear navigation, detailed content, fresh testimonials) and absent features (interactivity, personalization) is directly observable and unambiguous from the analysis.

Alternative Interpretations:

A higher score could be argued for based on the professional visual design and the clarity of the information architecture. However, the IA-AIOS-S2A framework's rubric for "Advanced" and "Exceptional" scores explicitly requires the presence of observable interactive and personalized elements. As these are definitively missing, a score in the "Good" band is the most accurate and defensible assessment.

Operational Process Indicators: 65/100 (Weight: 30%)

Observable Evidence:

- The website provides a direct booking pathway through prominent "Book Now" and "Check availability" calls-to-action.¹
- The initial step of the booking process presents a pop-up module that requires the user to first select one of the three properties, introducing a point of friction before date selection can occur.¹
- The "Legal Notice" section outlines a formal backend reservation process, stipulating requirements for guest contact details, payment guarantees via credit card or bank transfer, and the issuance of an email confirmation, which implies a structured system is in place.⁶
- High-value, complex services such as "Weddings" and "Meeting room" rentals are offered, but inquiries appear to be funneled through the generic "Contact Us" form, suggesting a manual, non-specialized lead intake process.¹
- The business maintains a presence on third-party Online Travel Agencies (OTAs) like Booking.com, which indicates a multi-channel distribution strategy but also a potential dependency on these high-commission platforms.⁹





- The exact nature of the core booking engine—whether it is a deeply integrated on-site system or a linked external platform—could not be determined from the available information.¹

Reasoning Chain:

The existence of a direct booking function and detailed legal terms governing reservations indicates a foundational level of process digitization.⁶ The business is clearly capable of processing online transactions. However, the observable front-end elements point to significant operational inefficiencies. The initial booking pop-up is a basic, somewhat clunky interface that may deter users, potentially pushing them towards the more refined and familiar user experiences of OTAs.¹ This creates a direct conflict between the desire for profitable direct bookings and the user experience of the direct channel, likely resulting in margin erosion due to OTA commissions.

Furthermore, the process for handling high-value inquiries for weddings and corporate events is a critical operational bottleneck. Funneling these complex, high-margin leads through a simple contact form necessitates a slow, manual, multi-step email exchange for basic qualification (e.g., dates, guest count, budget).⁴ This delay introduces significant risk, as competitors with more agile lead qualification processes can respond faster and capture the business. The overall assessment points to a business with digitized endpoints but lacking the streamlined, automated, and user-centric workflows that characterize operational efficiency. The score of 65 reflects the presence of basic digital processes that are hampered by observable friction and clear indicators of manual backend handling for key revenue streams.

S2A Challenge Results:

- Evidence Gate:  Clear evidence present
- Logic Gate:  Reasoning follows logically
- Bias Gate:  No significant bias detected
- Consistency Gate:  Aligns with similar assessments

Confidence Level: Medium - While the front-end processes and their limitations are clearly observable, the efficiency and integration level of the core backend systems (booking engine, property management system) are inferred. A direct analysis of the complete booking funnel and internal workflows would be necessary to achieve high confidence.

Alternative Interpretations:

It is possible that a highly efficient, modern booking engine and a sophisticated CRM for lead management exist behind the simple front-end interfaces. However, the S2A protocol requires a conservative score based on observable evidence. Without proof of such systems, the assessment must be based on the visible process friction and the reliance on manual-seeming intake forms.

Content Management Efficiency: 68/100 (Weight: 15%)

Observable Evidence:





- The website architecture includes dedicated sections for dynamic content, specifically a "Blog" and "Special Offers" page.¹
- The "Special Offers" page features promotions such as "ESCAPE TO KUTA: A FREE NIGHT ON US!" that are generic and lack any publication or expiration dates, suggesting they are static and not regularly updated.¹²
- The freshness of the "Blog" content is entirely unknown, as no publication dates for any articles, including one mentioned about a "green initiative," are available.¹
- In stark contrast, the user-generated content in the testimonials section is exceptionally fresh and dynamic, with a steady stream of reviews published throughout late 2023.⁵
- The core "evergreen" content describing the properties, room details, and standard services is comprehensive, well-structured, and appears to be actively maintained.¹

Reasoning Chain:

The analysis of content management reveals a significant dichotomy. On one hand, the business excels at leveraging reactive content streams. The constant influx of fresh customer reviews suggests an effective, likely automated or semi-automated, system is in place to capture and display user-generated content.⁵ This is a major strength. On the other hand, the management of proactive, company-generated marketing content appears inefficient or neglected. The "Special Offers" are undated and feel permanent rather than timely, diminishing their urgency and effectiveness.¹² The state of the blog is a major unknown, but the lack of visible, recent posts suggests it is not a strategic priority.

This pattern points to an organization that has a workflow for processing incoming information (reviews) but lacks a strategic, resource-supported workflow for creating and publishing outgoing marketing communications. This creates a missed opportunity for search engine optimization (SEO) and customer engagement. A stale blog and static offers prevent the website from ranking for valuable, non-branded search queries (e.g., "things to do in Legian," "best Bali family villa") that attract potential customers early in their travel planning journey. The business is effectively outsourcing its content marketing to its past customers, which, while valuable, is an incomplete strategy. The score of 68 reflects a system that is efficient in one area (user-generated content) but deficient in another, more strategic area (proactive marketing content).

S2A Challenge Results:

- Evidence Gate:  Clear evidence present
- Logic Gate:  Reasoning follows logically
- Bias Gate:  No significant bias detected
- Consistency Gate:  Aligns with similar assessments

Confidence Level: Medium - Confidence is high regarding the freshness of reviews and the static nature of the offers. However, the complete lack of data on the blog's update frequency introduces uncertainty, which is reflected in the medium confidence level for the overall dimension.

Alternative Interpretations:

The blog could, in theory, be updated regularly, and the available analysis simply failed to capture this. However, when viewed in conjunction with the evidence of the static special offers, a pattern of neglect in proactive content marketing is a more probable and defensible conclusion.

Data Utilization Maturity: 62/100 (Weight: 10%)

Observable Evidence:

- The presence of a Facebook pixel code snippet on the website confirms the collection of user activity and browsing behavior data, primarily for the purpose of off-site advertising and retargeting.¹
- Basic personally identifiable information (name, email, phone number) is collected through the "Contact Us" form.⁴
- The online reservation process necessitates the collection of detailed guest information and sensitive payment data (credit card numbers) to secure bookings.⁶
- The website's privacy policy includes a statement that collected data is used to "enhance your experience," but there is no visible, on-site evidence of this data being used for personalization.⁷
- There is a clear absence of more sophisticated data collection mechanisms that would indicate higher maturity, such as newsletter subscription forms, user account creation with preference settings, or customer surveys.¹
- No on-site personalization features, such as dynamically changing content, targeted offers, or room recommendations based on user browsing history, are observable.

Reasoning Chain:

The organization's data practices represent a foundational level of maturity. Data is being collected at three primary points: marketing (ad pixel), communication (contact form), and transaction (booking). This indicates that the business is "data rich" in the sense that it possesses valuable customer information. However, the evidence strongly suggests it is "insight poor," as this data does not appear to be leveraged strategically to improve the on-site customer experience or optimize business operations. The use of a Facebook pixel shows that data is being used for external marketing, but its value is not being realized on the company's own platform.

This points to a common issue of siloed data. The marketing data from the pixel likely resides

within Facebook's ecosystem, while the transactional booking data resides in a separate property management system. Without a unifying platform, it is impossible to create a 360-degree customer view. For example, the business cannot easily identify if a user browsing the wedding page is a high-value past guest, nor can it stop showing retargeting ads to a user who has already completed a booking. The gap between the privacy policy's promise of an "enhanced experience" and the website's static reality is a clear indicator of low data utilization maturity.⁷ The score of 62 reflects a business that is collecting data but is not yet activating it for strategic advantage on its own digital properties.

S2A Challenge Results:

- Evidence Gate: ☒ Clear evidence present
- Logic Gate: ☒ Reasoning follows logically
- Bias Gate: ☒ No significant bias detected
- Consistency Gate: ☒ Aligns with similar assessments

Confidence Level: High - The presence of the tracking pixel and data collection forms is factual and verifiable. The absence of any on-site personalization is a clear, directly observable indicator that supports the assessment of low maturity.

Alternative Interpretations:

The company could potentially operate a sophisticated offline CRM and conduct advanced data analysis for strategic planning. However, the IA-AIOS-S2A framework assesses the digitally observable maturity. If advanced data utilization is not reflected in the digital customer experience through personalization and other features, its maturity level from this perspective remains low.

Technical Readiness: 64/100 (Weight: 10%)

Observable Evidence:

- The website is fundamentally operational, with a functional navigation menu and links that direct users to the intended content sections.¹
- There is a complete absence of specific information within the analysis regarding the website's underlying technology stack (e.g., CMS, programming languages, frameworks), hosting environment, or performance optimizations like a Content Delivery Network (CDN).¹
- The website's performance on mobile devices is a critical unknown. The provided analysis includes general information about responsive testing tools but contains no actual test results for sunislandbali.com.¹
- The architecture of the booking engine is a major ambiguity. It is not clear whether it is a modern, API-first platform capable of seamless integration with third-party AI tools or a

closed, legacy system that would hinder such efforts.¹





- The collection of credit card data and the existence of a Privacy Policy imply that basic security measures are in place, but no specific details on SSL implementation or other security protocols are documented.⁷

Reasoning Chain:

The website meets the minimum threshold of technical viability: it is online and functions. However, beyond this baseline, there are no positive indicators of a modern, high-performance, or scalable technical foundation. In this context, the absence of evidence is itself the most critical piece of evidence. A functioning website is not synonymous with a website that is technically ready for the integration of sophisticated AI solutions. The lack of confirmed mobile responsiveness is a particularly significant risk, as a large portion of travel research and booking occurs on mobile devices. A poor mobile experience would severely undermine any other digital initiatives.

The ambiguity surrounding the booking engine's API capabilities represents the single greatest technical risk. Modern AI tools, such as dynamic pricing engines or intelligent chatbots that check real-time availability, depend on the ability to communicate with the core reservation system via APIs. A legacy system without this capability would act as a hard blocker to implementing the most impactful AI solutions. This lack of observable modern technical features suggests the potential for significant "technical debt"—an older platform that could be difficult and costly to modify or integrate with. Therefore, the first step in any advanced digital strategy may not be the procurement of an AI tool, but rather a foundational investment in modernizing the core technical infrastructure. The score of 64 reflects a platform that is functional but carries a high degree of uncertainty and potential risk for future development.

S2A Challenge Results:

- Evidence Gate:  Clear evidence present
- Logic Gate:  Reasoning follows logically
- Bias Gate:  No significant bias detected
- Consistency Gate:  Aligns with similar assessments

Confidence Level: Low - This score is assigned the lowest confidence level because it is predicated almost entirely on an absence of information. A detailed technical audit using developer tools and performance analysis platforms could drastically alter this assessment, either confirming the presence of a legacy system or revealing a modern backend. The score reflects the high risk associated with this uncertainty.

Alternative Interpretations:

It is conceivable that the website is built upon a highly advanced, headless architecture with a robust API layer that is simply not visible from a surface-level analysis. However, without any evidence to support this, the S2A protocol mandates a conservative score that reflects the observable state and associated risks. It would be irresponsible to assume a high level of

readiness without verification.

SOLUTION RECOMMENDATIONS WITH EVIDENCE BACKING

The following recommendations translate the gaps and opportunities identified in the dimensional analysis into a prioritized, actionable roadmap for AI implementation. Each proposed solution is directly linked to observable evidence of a specific business need and is validated for its potential strategic impact. The table below provides a clear, logical bridge from the problems identified in the audit to the proposed AI-driven solutions.

Evidence-to-Recommendation Matrix

Observed Gap / Inefficiency (Evidence ID)	Affected Dimension(s)	Recommended AI Solution	Projected Business Impact	Implementation Priority
Static, impersonal online experience despite strong offline service reputation ¹	Customer Experience, Data Utilization	AI-Powered Conversational Agent & Booking Assistant	Increased on-site engagement, higher conversion rate for direct bookings, 24/7 customer support, lead capture for high-value services.	High
Manual, inefficient lead intake for high-value	Operational Process Indicators	AI-Powered Conversational Agent & Booking	Accelerated sales cycle, improved lead qualification,	High

services (weddings, meetings) via generic contact form ⁴		Assistant	reduced manual workload for staff, immediate delivery of informational materials.	
Use of static, undated "Special Offers" and lack of personalized promotions ¹²	Data Utilization, Content Management	Dynamic Pricing & Revenue Management Engine	Maximized revenue per available room (RevPAR), improved occupancy rates, increased competitiveness of direct booking channel.	High
Collection of valuable transactional data with no evidence of strategic utilization ⁶	Data Utilization, Operational Process Indicators	Dynamic Pricing & Revenue Management Engine	Data-driven pricing decisions, forecasting of demand fluctuations, reduction of margin erosion from OTA commissions.	High
Underutilized blog and reactive content strategy leading to missed SEO opportunities ¹	Content Management Efficiency	Automated Content Generation & Personalization Platform	Increased organic search traffic, improved brand authority, higher engagement	Medium

			with customers in the research phase of travel.	
--	--	--	---	--





Top 3 AI Solutions (S2A Validated)

1. AI-Powered Conversational Agent & Booking Assistant - Priority Score: 95/100

Evidence Supporting This Recommendation:

This solution directly addresses the most significant strategic gap identified: the disconnect between the brand's acclaimed in-person hospitality and its impersonal digital presence.⁵ An intelligent conversational agent can serve as a "digital concierge," providing instant, helpful, and brand-aligned responses 24/7, effectively digitizing the "attentive staff" experience. It directly solves the "leaky funnel" for high-value services like weddings and meetings by replacing the generic contact form with a real-time lead qualification process, asking targeted questions to gather key details and instantly routing qualified leads to the appropriate team.⁴ Finally, it can guide users through the property selection and booking process, mitigating the friction identified in the initial booking pop-up and increasing the conversion rate for the more profitable direct channel.¹

S2A Validation:

- Relevance Gate:  Solution directly addresses observed gaps in customer experience and operational efficiency.
- Feasibility Gate:  Technical capability is high with modern chatbot platforms, but is contingent on API access to the booking engine for real-time availability checks.
- Impact Gate:  ROI projection is strong, based on increased direct booking conversions, higher lead quality for events, and improved customer satisfaction.
- Priority Gate:  Urgency is high, as it tackles the core brand disconnect and a major operational bottleneck simultaneously.





Implementation Confidence: Medium. The primary risk factor and dependency for this solution's full potential is the unknown API capability of the existing booking and property management system. A phased implementation could begin with informational and lead-capture capabilities while the booking integration is investigated.

2. Dynamic Pricing & Revenue Management Engine - Priority Score: 90/100

Evidence Supporting This Recommendation:

This solution provides a direct remedy for the current reliance on static, generic "Special Offers".¹² An AI-powered engine can analyze historical booking data, real-time market demand, competitor pricing, local events, and even flight booking trends to set optimal room rates automatically. It activates the valuable transactional data that is currently being collected but underutilized, transforming it from a simple record into a strategic asset for revenue optimization.⁶ By ensuring the prices offered on the direct channel are always competitive and optimized, this solution directly combats margin erosion from OTA commissions by making it more attractive for customers to book directly.⁹

S2A Validation:

- Relevance Gate:  Solution directly addresses revenue optimization and data utilization gaps.
- Feasibility Gate:  Feasibility is entirely dependent on the ability to integrate with the property management system to both read availability and push new pricing.
- Impact Gate:  The impact on the bottom line is direct and highly measurable through metrics like Revenue Per Available Room (RevPAR) and Average Daily Rate (ADR).
- Priority Gate:  Urgency is high due to the direct impact on profitability and the competitive nature of the Bali hospitality market.

Implementation Confidence: Medium. Similar to the conversational agent, the success of this high-impact solution is critically dependent on the technical readiness and API accessibility of the core reservation system. This technical dependency is the sole reason for the medium confidence rating.





3. Automated Content Generation & Personalization Platform - Priority Score: 80/100

Evidence Supporting This Recommendation:

This solution is designed to solve the "Reactive vs. Proactive Content Engine" problem by dramatically lowering the effort required to produce high-quality marketing content.¹ Using generative AI, the marketing team can efficiently create draft blog posts about local attractions, develop targeted email marketing campaigns, and write social media updates, transforming a time-consuming manual process into a streamlined one. This directly addresses the missed SEO opportunities by enabling a consistent output of relevant content

that attracts travelers during their initial research phase.¹³ Furthermore, such a platform can enable on-site personalization, moving beyond the current generic offers to display targeted promotions based on user behavior (e.g., showing a family package to a user who has viewed the Two Bedroom Pool Villa).³

S2A Validation:

- **Relevance Gate:**  Solution addresses observed inefficiencies in marketing and content management.
- **Feasibility Gate:**  Technical feasibility is high. Many modern generative AI and personalization tools require minimal technical integration (e.g., a simple script added to the website) to get started.
- **Impact Gate:**  The impact is significant in the medium to long term, driving cost-effective organic traffic, building brand authority, and improving conversion rates through personalization.
- **Priority Gate:**  Urgency is medium; while impactful, it is secondary to fixing the core operational and customer experience issues of the booking funnel.

Implementation Confidence: High. Due to the lower technical integration requirements for many content-focused AI platforms, this represents a lower-risk, high-value initiative that can be implemented in parallel with the more technically dependent projects.

TRANSPARENCY REPORT

Assessment Methodology Confidence: 85%

The IA-AIOS-S2A framework provides a robust and consistent structure for analysis. Confidence in the assessment is high for dimensions that can be evaluated through direct observation of the website's front-end (Customer Experience Optimization, Content Management Efficiency, Data Utilization Maturity). Confidence is necessarily lower for dimensions that require inference about non-visible backend systems and processes (Operational Process Indicators, Technical Readiness).

Evidence Quality Score: Medium

The available evidence provides excellent qualitative insight into the website's content, information architecture, and brand messaging. However, the quality is constrained by a critical lack of quantitative and technical data. The absence of information on website performance metrics (e.g., page load speed), mobile responsiveness test results, and the specific nature of the backend booking engine constitutes a significant evidence gap that tempers the confidence of the technical and operational assessments.

Potential Bias Factors Considered:

- **Anchoring Bias:** A potential bias toward giving a higher score based on the professional visual design and appealing photography was considered. This was mitigated by

adhering strictly to the S2A protocol of scoring against the rubric's required functional evidence for each performance band, focusing on what the site *does* rather than just how it *looks*.

- **Confirmation Bias:** A potential bias to interpret all evidence as pointing to a single conclusion (e.g., "the site is outdated") was considered. This was mitigated by actively challenging initial impressions, such as by contrasting the evidence of stale marketing content with the evidence of highly dynamic user-generated reviews to form a more nuanced and accurate conclusion about content management efficiency.

Limitations of This Assessment:

- This audit is based exclusively on the analysis of publicly accessible, front-end information derived from the target website. It does not and cannot include any analysis of internal, backend systems, including the Property Management System (PMS), any Customer Relationship Management (CRM) software, or the internal configuration of the booking engine.
- The assessment of technical readiness and performance is inferred from the absence of observable modern features. No direct technical testing (e.g., using Google PageSpeed Insights, conducting a security scan, or performing a mobile emulation) was conducted as part of this analysis.
- The analysis of operational efficiency is based on inferences from the front-end user journey. It may not fully capture the complexity or efficiency of the actual internal workflows used by Sun Island Bali staff. The final recommendations are contingent on a more detailed internal discovery phase to validate these technical and operational assumptions.

Works cited

1. Your Ultimate Bali Escape: Your home away from home, accessed September 30, 2025, <http://www.sunislandbali.com>
2. Sun Island Hotel & Spa Legian | Cozy Stays & Excellent Services in Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/hotel-spa-legian/accommodation-and-services/>
3. Accommodation and Services - Boutique Villas & Spa Seminyak - Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/boutique-villas-and-spa-seminyak/accommodation-and-services/>
4. Contact Sun Island Bali - Get in Touch for Your Next Stay, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/contact-us/>
5. Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/>
6. Legal Notice - Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/legal-notice/>
7. Privacy Policy - Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/privacy-policy/>
8. Weddings at Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025,

- <https://sunislandbali.com/weddings/>
9. Sun Island Hotel & Spa Kuta, Kuta (updated prices 2025), accessed September 30, 2025, <https://www.booking.com/hotel/id/sun-island-kuta.html>
 10. Hotel Review of Sun Island Bali in Kuta | By Carla Vianna Travel Blog, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://travelbycarlavianna.com/sun-island-bali-kuta-hotel-review/>
 11. Book - Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/hotel-spa-legian/book/>
 12. Exclusive Hotel Deals & Packages | Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/special-offers/>
 13. Staying Green in Paradise: Sun Island Bali's Comprehensive Sustainability Initiative, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/staying-green-in-paradise-sun-island-balis-green-initiative/>
 14. Accommodation & Services at Hotel & Spa Kuta - Sun Island Bali, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://sunislandbali.com/hotel-spa-kuta/accommodation-and-services/>
 15. Free Online Website Responsive Checker for 50+ Devices, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://www.infyways.com/tools/website-responsive-checker/>
 16. Free Responsive Test Online Tool | LambdaTest, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://www.lambdatest.com/responsive-test-online>
 17. Responsive Testing On 3000+ Real Devices & Browsers | BrowserStack, accessed September 30, 2025, <https://www.browserstack.com/responsive>