

# Fantasy Baseball Draft Tool

## How Player Values Are Calculated

Kevin Kuruc

February 2026

# Outline

- 1 The Core Idea
- 2 Marginal Value
- 3 Worked Example: José Ramírez
- 4 Relief Pitcher Valuation
- 5 Comparing Projection Systems
- 6 Low-PA Players
- 7 Key Takeaways

# What Are We Trying to Do?

**Goal:** Rank players by how much they help you win H2H category matchups.

**League format:** 14-category H2H weekly

- Hitting: R, HR, RBI, SB, SO, TB, OBP
- Pitching: W, SV, K, HLD, ERA, WHIP, QS

**Key insight:** Not all stats are created equal. A steal is worth more than a strikeout because steals are *tighter*—there's less variance week-to-week.

# The Win Probability Formula

Each week, your team produces some total in each category. So does your opponent.

If we assume weekly totals are normally distributed:

$$P(\text{win category}) = \Phi \left( \frac{\mu_{\text{you}} - \mu_{\text{opponent}}}{\sigma \cdot \sqrt{2}} \right)$$

Where:

- $\Phi$  = the bell curve CDF (converts z-scores to probabilities)
- $\sigma$  = how much that category varies week-to-week
- The  $\sqrt{2}$  accounts for both teams having variance

# Why Does Standard Deviation Matter?

**Tight categories** (low SD) are more predictable.

A small edge goes a long way.

**Wide categories** (high SD) are noisy.

Even a big edge might not matter—luck dominates.

Category	SD	1/SD	Interpretation
SB	2.57	0.39	High leverage
SV	1.54	0.65	High leverage
K (pitching)	11.79	0.08	Low leverage
TB	15.94	0.06	Low leverage

# What Is Marginal Value?

**Marginal value** = how much a player improves your expected wins  
*compared to a replacement-level player.*

Think of it this way:

- ① Start with your current roster
- ② Calculate your win probability in each category
- ③ Add a player to an empty slot
- ④ Recalculate win probabilities
- ⑤ Marginal value = new total – old total

If your roster is empty, you're comparing to a team of 9 replacement-level hitters.

# What Is Replacement Level?

**Replacement level** = the production you could get for free (waiver wire).

We estimate this by:

- ① Ranking all projected hitters
- ② Taking players ranked 155–175 (just beyond draft pool)
- ③ Averaging their per-PA production

**Replacement hitter (600 PA):**

R	HR	RBI	SO	TB	SB	OBP
73	20	72	134	224	9	.320

## Setup: Empty Roster

Imagine you have no players drafted yet.

Your 9 hitter slots are filled with replacement-level production:

Category	Your Team (weekly)	League Avg
R	26.3	29.0
HR	7.2	8.0
RBI	25.9	27.9
SO	48.2	50.1
TB	80.6	88.9
SB	3.2	4.7
OBP	.320	.320

You're below average in most categories, but slightly better in SO (fewer strikeouts is good).

# Win Probabilities: Replacement Team

Using the formula, here's your chance of winning each category:

Category	Diff vs Avg	P(win)
R	-2.7	37.7%
HR	-0.8	42.2%
RBI	-1.9	41.9%
SO	+1.9	57.0%
TB	-8.2	35.8%
SB	-1.5	34.0%
OBP	0	50.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.99 / 7</b>	

A replacement-level team expects to win about 3 of 7 hitting categories.

# Enter José Ramírez

## Ramírez's projected line:

679 PA, 98 R, 30 HR, 94 RBI, 78 SO, 300 TB, 34 SB, .348 OBP

## Weekly contribution vs replacement:

Stat	Ramírez	Replacement	Diff
R/wk	3.92	2.92	+1.00
HR/wk	1.20	0.80	+0.40
RBI/wk	3.76	2.88	+0.88
SO/wk	3.12	5.36	-2.24
TB/wk	12.00	8.96	+3.04
SB/wk	1.36	0.36	+1.00
OBP	.348	.320	.028

Ramírez is better than replacement in every category.

# New Win Probabilities

With Ramírez replacing one replacement hitter:

Category	Before	After	Gain
R	37.7%	42.2%	+4.5%
HR	42.2%	46.0%	+3.8%
RBI	41.9%	45.6%	+3.6%
SO	57.0%	65.2%	+8.1%
TB	35.8%	40.9%	+5.1%
SB	34.0%	44.5%	+10.5%
OBP	50.0%	52.2%	+2.2%
<b>Total</b>	2.99	3.36	<b>+0.378</b>

**Ramírez's marginal value: 0.378**

He adds 0.378 expected category wins per week.

# Why Do SB and SO Dominate?

Ramírez's biggest gains:

- **SB: +10.5%** from just 1 extra steal/week
- **SO: +8.1%** from 2.24 fewer strikeouts/week

Compare to TB: +5.1% from +3.04 TB/week.

## The math:

- SB:  $1.00 \div 2.57 = 0.39$  standard deviations
- TB:  $3.04 \div 15.94 = 0.19$  standard deviations

One steal moves the needle **twice as much** as three total bases, because steals have a tighter distribution.

# The Saves vs Holds Tradeoff

Elite closers get saves but sacrifice holds.

**Replacement RP (per week):**

Stat	Replacement
SV/wk	0.12
HLD/wk	0.85
K/wk	2.69

Replacement RPs are **middle relievers**—they get holds, not saves.  
An elite closer gives up 0.75 holds/week to gain 1.35 saves/week.  
Is that trade worth it?

# Saves Have High Leverage

Category	SD	1/SD
SV	1.54	<b>0.65</b>
HLD	1.64	0.61
K	11.79	0.08

Saves and holds have similar SDs, but closers gain more saves than they lose in holds.

**Net effect:** Elite closers are valuable because the save gain (+1.35/wk) exceeds the hold loss (-0.75/wk) in absolute terms.

# Why ERA/WHIP Don't Matter Much for RPs

ERA and WHIP are **innings-weighted**.

A typical team pitches 40 IP/week:

- $5 \text{ SP} \times 6.5 \text{ IP} = 32.5 \text{ IP}$
- $3 \text{ RP} \times 2.5 \text{ IP} = 7.5 \text{ IP}$

A reliever contributes **6%** of team innings.

Even if an elite RP has much better ERA than replacement (3.06 vs 3.50), the team ERA only improves by  $\approx 0.02$  points.

**Conclusion:** RPs earn their value through saves, holds, and strikeouts—not ERA/WHIP.

# Three Projection Systems

The tool supports three projection sources:

- ① **Depth Charts** – FanGraphs composite (default for playing time)
- ② **The Bat** – Tom Tango's system
- ③ **The BatX** – Extended version of The Bat

All three use:

- Same playing time (normalized to Depth Charts)
- Same replacement level
- Same weekly SDs

**Only difference:** Skill estimates (HR rate, SB rate, K%, OBP, etc.)

# PA Normalization

Different systems project different playing time.

**Problem:** We want to compare skill, not PT estimates.

**Solution:** Scale all systems to use Depth Charts PA.

Example:

- The Bat projects Player X at 500 PA, 25 HR
- Depth Charts projects Player X at 600 PA
- Normalized: 600 PA, 30 HR (scaled up by  $600/500$ )

Rate stats (OBP, K%) stay unchanged—they reflect skill, not volume.

# The Problem with Part-Time Players

A player projected for 400 PA will have lower counting stats than a 600 PA player, even if they're equally skilled per-PA.

**Solution:** Supplement everyone to 600 PA with replacement-level production.

**Example:** Player with 400 PA, 60 runs, .350 OBP

- Gap: 200 PA at replacement level
- Replacement runs:  $200 \times 0.121 = 24$
- Total runs:  $60 + 24 = 84$
- Blended OBP:  $(400 \times .350 + 200 \times .320) / 600 = .340$

This answers: "What would this player produce over a full roster slot?"

# Summary

## **1. Marginal value measures wins added vs replacement.**

Not raw stats—wins.

## **2. Tight categories (low SD) have high leverage.**

SB, SV, HLD matter more per unit than TB, K.

## **3. Replacement level is the baseline.**

Players ranked 155-175 define what's "free."

## **4. Rate stats are diluted across rosters.**

OBP is shared by 9 hitters. ERA/WHIP are innings-weighted.

## **5. Elite closers win the saves/holds tradeoff.**

They give up holds but gain more in saves.

# Category Leverage Reference

Category	SD	Mean	1/SD
<b>Hitting</b>			
SB	2.57	4.74	0.39
HR	2.93	8.02	0.34
R	6.03	28.96	0.17
RBI	6.72	27.86	0.15
SO	7.45	50.11	0.13
TB	15.94	88.87	0.06
<b>Pitching</b>			
SV	1.54	2.27	0.65
HLD	1.64	2.30	0.61
L	1.83	3.08	0.55
K	11.79	50.90	0.08

Higher 1/SD = more leverage per unit.