Democracy's Instrumental Value

Introduction to Political Theory

Department of Political Economy

King's College London

I. Democracy as Power

II. Instrumentalism Defended

III. Minimalism Defended



"Democracy is the theory that the common people know what they want, and deserve to get it good and hard."

E

H. L. Mencken



Democracy

A political system where power is regulated through some sort of collective procedure that gives each individual one vote over decisions or to elect decisionmakers

politatar.

What, if anything, is valuable about democracy?

Is electoral representation compatible with democracy? If so, what principles should guide representation?

What is the role of leadership in a democracy?

Question

Are democratic decisions legitimate because they were made through a democratic procedure?

Or should we judge those decisions according to substantive standards that are external to the procedure?

Obergefell vs Hodges (2015)

"The dynamic of our constitutional system is that individuals need not await legislative action before asserting a fundamental right....Their hope is not to be condemned to live in loneliness, excluded from one of civilization's oldest institutions. They ask for equal dignity in the eyes of the law. The Constitution grants them that right."

Judicial Review

There are basic rights or standards of justice on the basis of which judges may override democratic decisions

Species of Democratic Instrumentalism

More broadly, democracy is only justified if it tends to produce good outcomes over time

What is a democratic procedure?

All vote on a law, winning side gets enforced

Winners are thus *imposing their* desires/interests/beliefs on the losers

Democracy implies power over other people

Power over other people stands in need of special justification because it contradicts our basic self-governance and autonomy





"The right to a democratic say...is a right to political power—a right to set coercive rules that significantly limit how other people will live their lives...My position is that there is no such basic moral right, because one does not have a basic moral right to exercise significant power over the lives of other people." Arneson, 46

Defenses of Right to a Democratic Say

All rights imply coercion of others, democracy is no different

Response: Morally significant matters of degree, coercion of others is inherent to exercise of democratic rights

Defenses of Right to a Democratic Say

We can't agree about who is the most competent, so elevating one individual or group will always be disrespectful

Response: Respect should be judged objectively, not subjectively

Defenses of Right to a Democratic Say

Given reasonable disagreement about what constitutes a good outcome/justice, only fair procedures can resolve disagreements

Response: Collapses into "radical" skepticism about justice as such

Defenses of Right to a Democratic Say

Democracy realizes a principle of *public* recognition of equality

Response: Any system that is seen to equally further moral interests bestows public recognition of equality

Conclusion

Exercising power over others can only be justified by the results it brings about, however defined

For Arneson, the only justification is that it helps realize an ideal of *justice*

Some Assumptions

"The instrumentalist as I conceive her is a realist about morality but can and should be a fallibist about our present moral knowledge. There is moral truth, but our current epistemic access to it is uncertain, shaky."

Some Assumptions

Reasonable pluralism about the good is compatible with moral realism about justice



Some Assumptions

"the appropriate respect for an agent's rational agency capacity is shown by recognizing it for what it is."

Arneson, 52



Some Assumptions

We should always try to move from the unjust "real world" towards the just "ideal world" through whatever means are best, as people will eventually feel respected in the ideal world

POLL STAR

Instrumentalism: Democracy is good if it "works"

Minimalism: What "works" about democracy is the selection of political leaders through electoral competition

Dominant definition within empirical political science

Democracy means the competitive struggle for leadership and power between political parties

Instrumentalism and minimalism are often conflated, but *they are distinct*

First: Claim about the justification of democracy

Second: Claim about the preferable institutional arrangements of democracy

But they are also complementary

Most minimalist arguments are instrumental arguments. They are arguments about the conditions under which democratic institutions produce good outcomes.

"Schumpeterian" Democracy

"The democratic method is that institutional arrangement for arriving at political decisions in which individuals acquire the power to decide by means of a competitive struggle for the people's vote." pg. 269

Rational Ignorance

"Normally, the great political questions take their place in the psychic economy of the typical citizen with those leisure-hour interests that have not attained the rank of hobbies, and with the subjects of irresponsible conversation. These things seem so far off; they are not at all like a business proposition; dangers may not materialize at all and if they should they may not prove so very serious; one feels oneself to be moving in a fictitious world." pg. 261

Rational Ignorance: You will only gain knowledge if you have an *immediate* interest in that knowledge, and especially if you have something to lose by not having it

All political systems require expertise and responsible action to produce good outcomes

Democracy contributes to good outcomes by introducing *competition* into the selection of leaders

Competition provides incentives for individuals and groups to gain requisite knowledge and ability

Some Problems

How do we determine the bar for citizen competence?

Where we set the bar depends a great deal on how we understand the role of citizens in a democracy

Some Problems

What is the voters' role?

Policy details or deciding on the values that select among competing options – i.e., more general steering of the political process

Some Problems

Is instrumentalism true?

If we *reject* instrumentalism, then we either need to defend minimalism on *other* grounds or reject minimalism