### Liberalism

Introduction to Political Theory
Department of Political Economy
King's College London

II. Pluralism, Liberty, Rights

III. Negative vs. Positive Liberty

"The ideas of economists and political philosophers, both when they are right and when they are wrong, are more powerful than is commonly understood. Practical men, who believe themselves to be quite exempt from any intellectual influence, are usually the slaves of some defunct economist. Madmen in authority, who hear voices in the air, are distilling their frenzy from some academic scribbler of a few years back."

John Maynard Keynes

Political ideologies are traditions of thinking and networks of ideas and vocabularies that evolve over time

While diverse, usually a set of core ideas that important thinkers and actors have emphasized

Liberalism: Personal Liberty

Republicanism: Political Freedom

Socialism: Equality

Conservatism: Tradition

Collectors vs. Pirates

Collectors: Understand these ideas as they have evolved through time

Pirates: Raid these traditions for ideas that could be put to use today



### CONSERVATISM LIBERALISM SOCIALISM





BEYOND IT!

Three Tenets of Liberalism:

1. Pluralism

2. Liberty

3. Rights

Empirical Starting Point

Modern societies are characterized by persistent, ineradicable disagreement about politics

Both about what ends political activity should realize and what means are permissible

Stronger vs. Weaker Version

Weaker: Could, in principle, be one set of true political values, but inaccessible given human fallibility

Stronger: Values themselves tragically conflict and there is no possible integration (Berlin's view)

Given pluralism, the state ought not enforce any uniform moral or political creed

Rather, political arrangements should prioritize *liberty*, which enables everyone to pursue *their own chosen ends* 

Some challenges regarding liberty:

Should there be some additional principle of equal distribution of liberty?

What if there is disagreement about the value of liberty versus, say, equality?

How to define the relevant sort of freedom and so harmful constraints?

Rights as primary institutional medium for realizing liberty

Given its unique status, the state is the primary standing threat to liberty (although there can be other threats as well)

Unique status: Monopoly on the use of violence to coerce

"For...the liberal tradition..., no society is free unless it is governed by at any rate two interrelated principles: first, that no power, but only rights, can be regarded as absolute, so that all men, whatever power governs them, have an absolute right to refuse to behave inhumanly; and, second, that there are frontiers, not artificially drawn, within which men should be inviolable."

Institutional focus on *courts* and the *rule of* 

Democratic self-government as a necessary evil

Market society as protecting pluralism and private liberty

Some challenges regarding rights:

Once we get beyond anodyne generalities, how do we balance different rights against each other?

Should *property* be considered an inviolable right, regardless of extent?



Berlin, "Two Concepts of Liberty" (1958)

If liberty is the central concept of liberalism, then a defense of liberalism requires both a) a definition of liberty and b) an account of the political institutional implications of that account

Negative Liberty: *Absence* of *External*Constraint

Positive Liberty: *Presence* of *Internal* Self-Control or Self-Mastery

(Berlin is *defending* negative liberty and *critiquing* positive liberty)

The Case for Positive Liberty

Does an addiction reduce your freedom?

Having choices only matters if we can view ourselves as rational agents with self-control

Berlin's Critique of Positive Liberty

The implicit idea of a divided self is politically dangerous

Divided self: higher, rational self and lower, appetitive self

Key political question: who decides what counts as an addiction?

Berlin's Pandora Box: Once we accept that *political authority* must help realize *self-control* or *self-mastery*, then it must try to determine your *true* or *genuine* desires

Berlin's Two Examples

Ideology

Authenticity

If who you are is shaped by social power/social relationships, then your desires are not your own

But how to determine your true interests?

Orthodox Marxism: Your class interests

Being a master of yourself also could mean being true to who you are

And if who you are is in part determined by your cultural and social environment, then you could become more free by being forced to be true to who you really are

#### Berlin's Alternative

"If I am prevented by others from doing what I could otherwise do, I am to that degree unfree; and if this area is contracted by other men beyond a certain minimum, I can be described as being coerced, or, it may be, enslaved."

Ambiguity in Formulation

Is it enough to have the option you *actually* desire available?

Or do you need a range of genuine options?

"The definition of negative liberty as the ability to do what one wishes...will not do. If I find I am able to do little or nothing at all, I need only contract or extinguish my wishes, and I am made free."

pg. 186

#### Two Problems:

1. Does Berin's Critique Apply to Negative Liberty?

2. Benevolent Masters

If it's not enough to just have whatever option you happen to prefer unhindered, then how many options do you need? How do you determine that?

Possible to determine without some positive ideal of, i.e., well-being or rational agency?

If it's not enough to just have whatever option you happen to prefer unhindered, then how many options do you need? How do you determine that?

Possible to determine without some positive ideal of, i.e., well-being or rational agency?

It seems that Berlin's worry about positive liberty also could apply to negative liberty

Berlin admits that: no *logical* connection between positive liberty and things like tyranny and idea of divided self

But historical *tendency* towards such connections

Negative freedom focuses on the existence of options at a point in time

How secure do your options need to be over time?

What if you have all the necessary options open, but they could be taken away at any moment?

Berlin does not broach the problem of who has power over you, even liberty-allowing power

"Freedom in this sense is not, at any rate logically, connected with democracy or self-government." pg. 177