

Problem Set2

Kaiqu Liang; Zirun Hong; Jitian Zheng

February 6, 2018

Question1

(a)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume $n > 15$

Then we have $n^2 > 225, n - 10 > 5$

Therefore $n^2(n - 10) > 225 \times 5 = 1125$

Then $n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 > 1128$

Hence $n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

I can conclude that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 5 \Rightarrow n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

(b)

Disprove the statement $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 15 \wedge n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

We prove the negation: $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 15 \vee n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$

Let $n = 10$

Then we have $n \leq 15$

Therefore $n \leq 15 \vee n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$ is True

I can conclude that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 5 \wedge n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$ is False.

Question2

(a)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume $n < m$

Since $m > n \geq 0$

We have $m > 0$

Therefore $\frac{n}{m} < 1, -\frac{n}{m} > -1$

By the definition of Ceiling,

$\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \geq -\frac{n}{m} > -1$ (*)

Since $m > n \geq 0$,

We have $0 \geq -\frac{n}{m}$

Since $-\frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$,

By the Fact 1 of ceiling function,

$$0 \geq \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \quad (\star)$$

From $(*)$ and (\star) , We have $-1 < \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \leq 0$

Since $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$,

We have $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil = 0$

$$\text{Then } \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil = \lceil n(\frac{m-1-m}{m}) \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} - n \rceil = 0$$

Since $\frac{n(m-1)}{m} \in \mathbb{R}, -n \in \mathbb{Z}$

By the Fact2 of Ceiling,

$$\left\lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} + (-n) \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} \right\rceil - n = 0,$$

$$\text{Therefore } \left\lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} \right\rceil = n$$

$$\text{Therefore } \left\lceil n \frac{(m-1)}{m} \right\rceil = n$$

(b)

Translate the statement into predicate logic:

WTS: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{nextFifty}(n) \geq n \wedge \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, ((m \geq n \wedge 50 \mid m) \Rightarrow m \geq \text{nextFifty}(n))$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$

By the definition of function nextFifty,

$$\text{nextFifty}(n) = 50 \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$$

By the definition of Ceiling,

$$\text{we have } \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \geq \frac{n}{50}$$

$$\text{Then } \text{nextFifty}(n) \geq 50 \times \frac{n}{50} = n$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume $m \geq n$ and $50 \mid m$ which is equivalent to assume $m \geq n$ and $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 50k = m$

Then we get $50k \geq n, 0 \geq \frac{n}{50} - k$

Since $0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{n}{50} - k \in \mathbb{R}$

By Fact1 of Ceiling,

$$0 \geq \lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \rceil$$

Since $\frac{n}{50} \in \mathbb{R}, -k \in \mathbb{Z}$

By Fact2 of Ceiling,

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - k$$

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - \frac{m}{50} \leq 0$$

$$\text{Therefore } 50 \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \leq m$$

$$\text{Hence } m \geq \text{nextFifty}(n)$$

I've proven that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{nextFifty}(n) \geq n \wedge \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, ((m \geq n \wedge 50 \mid m) \Rightarrow m \geq \text{nextFifty}(n))$

Question3

(a)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume $n \leq 2300$

WTS : $[49 \mid n \Rightarrow 50(\text{nextFifty}-n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)] \wedge [50(\text{nextFifty}(n)-n) = \text{nextFifty}(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n]$

I first want to prove $49 \mid n \Rightarrow [50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)]$ (*)

Assume $49 \mid n$,

By definition of divides, we have $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Since $n \leq 2300$, Then we have $n < 2450$

Therefore we get $k = \frac{n}{49} < 50$

By conclusion of 2(a),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{49k}{50} \right\rceil &= k \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil &= k \\ 49 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil &= n \\ 50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n &= \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil \\ 50(nextFifty(n) - n) &= nextFifty(n) \end{aligned}$$

I have shown that $49 \mid n \Rightarrow 50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)$

Then I want to prove $50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n$ (★)

Assume $50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)$

Let $k = \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$

Since $50(50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n) = 50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$

$49 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil = n$

$n = 49k$

I 've shown that $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Therefore I 've proven $50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n$

By (*) and (★), I can get $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49 \mid n \iff 50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n))$

(b)

We have the negation:

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [49 \mid n \wedge 50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)] \vee [[50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)] \wedge 49 \nmid n]$

Let $n = 4900$

WTS: $[\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n] \wedge [50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)]$

Take $k = 100$

Then $49k = 49 \times 100 = 4900 = n$

I have shown that $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Then $50(nextFifty(n) - n) = 50(50 \left\lceil \frac{4900}{50} \right\rceil - 4900)$

$= 50 \times (4900 - 4900) = 0$

However, $nextFifty(n) = 50 \left\lceil \frac{4900}{50} \right\rceil = 4900$

$50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)$

I have shown that $50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)$

Since I have shown that $[49 \mid n \wedge 50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)]$ is True

I have $[49 \mid n \wedge 50(nextFifty(n) - n) \neq nextFifty(n)] \vee [[50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)] \wedge 49 \nmid n]$ is True

I have proven the negation is True.

Therefore, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 49 \mid n \iff 50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)$ is False.

Question4

(a)

$\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \leq k$.

(b)

Define $Bounded(f) : " \exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \leq k."$, where $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Let function $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Assume f_1 and f_2 are bounded.

By the definition of Bounded,

$\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1$

$\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2$

WTS: $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k$

Let $k = k_1 + k_2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$

Then $(f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k_1 + k_2 = k$

Therefore $(f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k$

I have shown that for all functions $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, if f_1 and f_2 are bounded, then $f_1 + f_2$ is bounded.

(c)

I want to prove $\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, Bounded(f_1 + f_2) \Rightarrow Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$ is True

Let $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Assume $f_1 + f_2$ is bounded which is equivalent to assume $Bounded(f_1 + f_2)$

By definition of Bounded,

$\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k$

First I want to show: $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1$ (*)

Take $k_1 = k$. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k, f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0$

We have $f_1(x) \leq k - f_2(x) \leq k = k_1$

Therefore $f_1(x) \leq k_1$

Second I want to show: $\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2$ (★)

Take $k_2 = k$. Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k, f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0$

We have $f_2(x) \leq k - f_1(x) \leq k = k_2$

Therefore $f_2(x) \leq k_2$

Hence by (*) and (★), I can get $Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$

I can conclude that $\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, Bounded(f_1 + f_2) \Rightarrow Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$