

# Problem Set2

Kaiqu Liang; Zirun Hong; Jitian Zheng

February 6, 2018

## Question1

(a)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume  $n > 15$

Then we have  $n^2 > 225, n - 10 > 5$

Therefore  $n^2(n - 10) > 225 \times 5 = 1125$

Then  $n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 > 1128$

Hence  $n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

I can conclude that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 5 \Rightarrow n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

(b)

Disprove the statement  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 15 \wedge n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$

We prove the negation:  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 15 \vee n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$

Let  $n = 10$

Then we have  $n \leq 15$

Therefore  $n \leq 15 \vee n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 < 165$  is True

I can conclude that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 5 \wedge n^3 - 10n^2 + 3 \geq 165$  is False.

## Question2

(a)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume  $n < m$

Since  $m > n \geq 0$

We have  $m > 0$

Therefore  $\frac{n}{m} < 1, -\frac{n}{m} > -1$

By the definition of Ceiling,

$\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \geq -\frac{n}{m} > -1$  (\*)

Since  $m > n \geq 0$ ,

We have  $0 \geq -\frac{n}{m}$

Since  $-\frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

By the Fact 1 of ceiling function,

$$0 \geq \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \quad (\star)$$

From  $(*)$  and  $(\star)$ , We have  $-1 < \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \leq 0$

Since  $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

We have  $\lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil = 0$

$$\text{Then } \lceil -\frac{n}{m} \rceil = \lceil n(\frac{m-1-m}{m}) \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} - n \rceil = 0$$

Since  $\frac{n(m-1)}{m} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $-n \in \mathbb{Z}$

By the Fact2 of Ceiling,

$$\lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} + (-n) \rceil = \lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} \rceil - n = 0,$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lceil \frac{n(m-1)}{m} \rceil = n$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lceil n \frac{(m-1)}{m} \rceil = n$$

(b)

Translate the statement into predicate logic:

WTS:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, nextFifty(n) \geq n \wedge \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, ((m \geq n \wedge 50 \mid m) \Rightarrow m \geq nextFifty(n))$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

By the definition of function nextFifty,

$$nextFifty(n) = 50 \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil$$

By the definition of Ceiling,

we have  $\lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \geq \frac{n}{50}$

$$\text{Then } nextFifty(n) \geq 50 \times \frac{n}{50} = n$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume  $m \geq n$  and  $50 \mid m$  which is equivalent to assume  $m \geq n$  and  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 50k = m$

Then we get  $50k \geq n, 0 \geq \frac{n}{50} - k$

Since  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{n}{50} - k \in \mathbb{R}$

By Fact1 of Ceiling,

$$0 \geq \lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \rceil$$

Since  $\frac{n}{50} \in \mathbb{R}, -k \in \mathbb{Z}$

By Fact2 of Ceiling,

$$\begin{aligned} \lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \rceil &= \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - k \\ \lceil \frac{n}{50} - k \rceil &= \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil - \frac{m}{50} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } 50 \lceil \frac{n}{50} \rceil \leq m$$

Hence  $m \geq nextFifty(n)$

I 've proven that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, nextFifty(n) \geq n \wedge \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, ((m \geq n \wedge 50 \mid m) \Rightarrow m \geq nextFifty(n))$

## Question3

(a)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume  $n \leq 2300$

WTS:  $[49 \mid n \Rightarrow 50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n)] \wedge [50(nextFifty(n) - n) = nextFifty(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n]$

I first want to prove  $49 \mid n \Rightarrow [50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)] (*)$

Assume  $49 \mid n$ ,

By definition of divides, we have  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Since  $n \leq 2300$ , Then we have  $n < 2450$

Therefore we get  $k = \frac{n}{49} < 50$

By conclusion of 2(a),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{49k}{50} \right\rceil &= k \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil &= k \\ 49 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil &= n \\ 50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n &= \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil \\ 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) &= \text{nextFifty}(n) \end{aligned}$$

I have shown that  $49 \mid n \Rightarrow 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)$

Then I want to prove  $50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n (\star)$

Assume  $50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)$

Let  $k = \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$

Since  $50(50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil - n) = 50 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil$

$49 \left\lceil \frac{n}{50} \right\rceil = n$

$n = 49k$

I've shown that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Therefore I've proven  $50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n) \Rightarrow 49 \mid n$

By (\*) and ( $\star$ ), I can get  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \leq 2300 \Rightarrow (49 \mid n \iff 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n))$

(b)

We have the negation:

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, [49 \mid n \wedge 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)] \vee [[50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)] \wedge 49 \nmid n]$

Let  $n = 4900$

WTS:  $[\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n] \wedge [50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)]$

Take  $k = 100$

Then  $49k = 49 \times 100 = 4900 = n$

I have shown that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 49k = n$

Then  $50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = 50(50 \left\lceil \frac{4900}{50} \right\rceil - 4900)$

$= 50 \times (4900 - 4900) = 0$

However,  $\text{nextFifty}(n) = 50 \left\lceil \frac{4900}{50} \right\rceil = 4900$

$50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)$

I have shown that  $50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)$

Since I have shown that  $[49 \mid n \wedge 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)]$  is True

I have  $[49 \mid n \wedge 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) \neq \text{nextFifty}(n)] \vee [[50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)] \wedge 49 \nmid n]$  is True

I have proven the negation is True.

Therefore,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 49 \mid n \iff 50(\text{nextFifty}(n) - n) = \text{nextFifty}(n)$  is False.

## Question4

(a)

$\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \leq k.$

(b)

Define  $Bounded(f) : \text{"} \exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \leq k. \text{"}$ , where  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Let function  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Assume  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded.

By the definition of Bounded,

$\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1$

$\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2$

WTS:  $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k$

Let  $k = k_1 + k_2$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$

Then  $(f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k_1 + k_2 = k$

Therefore  $(f_1 + f_2)(x) \leq k$

I have shown that for all functions  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ , if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are bounded, then  $f_1 + f_2$  is bounded.

(c)

I want to prove  $\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, Bounded(f_1 + f_2) \Rightarrow Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$  is True

Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$

Assume  $f_1 + f_2$  is bounded which is equivalent to assume  $Bounded(f_1 + f_2)$

By definition of Bounded,

$\exists k \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k$

First I want to show:  $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_1(x) \leq k_1$  (\*)

Take  $k_1 = k$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k, f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0$

We have  $f_1(x) \leq k - f_2(x) \leq k = k_1$

Therefore  $f_1(x) \leq k_1$

Second I want to show:  $\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, f_2(x) \leq k_2$  (★)

Take  $k_2 = k$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \leq k, f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0$

We have  $f_2(x) \leq k - f_1(x) \leq k = k_2$

Therefore  $f_2(x) \leq k_2$

Hence by (\*) and (★), I can get  $Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$

I can conclude that  $\forall f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, Bounded(f_1 + f_2) \Rightarrow Bounded(f_1) \wedge Bounded(f_2)$