

CSC336 A4

Kaiqu Liang

December 5, 2018

Problem 1

(a)

MatLab Program:

```
function question1a
n = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5];
x = 1;
y = x - sqrt(2);
fprintf("n%14s%25s\n", "x(n)", "x(n) - sqrt(2)");
for i = 0:5
    fprintf("%d%20.15f%20.15f\n", i, x, y);
    x = x - (x^2 - 2) / (2 * x);
    y = x - sqrt(2);
end
```

Program Output:

```
>> question1a
n          x(n)      x(n) - sqrt(2)
0    1.0000000000000000   -0.414213562373095
1    1.5000000000000000    0.085786437626905
2    1.4166666666666667    0.002453104293572
3    1.414215686274510    0.000002123901415
4    1.414213562374690    0.000000000001595
5    1.414213562373095    0.0000000000000000
```

(b)

MatLab Program:

```
function question1b
x_n = 1;
x_m = 2;
y = x_n - sqrt(2);
f = @(x) x^2 - 2;
fprintf("n%14s%25s\n", "x(n)", "x(n) - sqrt(2)");
fprintf("%d%20.15f%20.15f\n", 0, x_n, y);
fprintf("%d%20.15f%20.15f\n", 1, x_m, x_m - sqrt(2));
for n = 2 : 7
    new_x = x_m - f(x_m) * (x_m - x_n) / (f(x_m) - f(x_n));
    y = new_x - sqrt(2);
    fprintf("%d%20.15f%20.15f\n", n, new_x, y);
    x_n = x_m;
    x_m = new_x;
end
```

Program Output:

```
>> question1b
n          x(n)      x(n) - sqrt(2)
0    1.0000000000000000   -0.414213562373095
1    2.0000000000000000    0.585786437626905
2    1.3333333333333333   -0.080880229039762
3    1.4000000000000000   -0.014213562373095
4    1.414634146341463   0.000420583968368
5    1.414211438474870   -0.000002123898225
6    1.414213562057320   -0.000000000315775
7    1.414213562373095   0.0000000000000000
```

Problem 2

(a)

$$(1) \quad g_1(x) = \frac{x^2 + 2}{3}$$

$$g'_1(x) = \frac{2}{3}x$$

$$|g'_1(2)| = \left| \frac{2}{3} \times 2 \right| = \left| \frac{4}{3} \right| > 1$$

Hence, fixed-point iteration diverges

$$(2) \quad g_2(x) = \sqrt{3x - 2}$$

$$g'_2(x) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3x - 2}}$$

$$|g'_2(2)| = \left| \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \times 2 - 2}} \right| = \frac{3}{4} < 1$$

Hence, fixed-point iteration converges linearly.

$$(3) \quad g_3(x) = 3 - \frac{2}{x}$$

$$g'_3(x) = \frac{2}{x^2}$$

$$|g'_3(2)| = \frac{2}{2^2} = \frac{1}{2} < 1$$

Hence, fixed-point iteration converges linearly.

$$(4) \quad g_4(x) = \frac{x^2 - 2}{2x - 3}$$

$$g'_4(x) = \frac{2x \cdot (2x - 3) - 2(x^2 - 2)}{(2x - 3)^2} = \frac{2x^2 - 6x + 4}{(2x - 3)^2}$$

$$|g'_4(2)| = \left| \frac{2 \times 2^2 - 6 \times 2 + 4}{(2^2 - 3)^2} \right| = |8 - 12 + 4| = 0$$

$$g''_4(x) = \frac{(4x - 6) \cdot (2x - 3)^2 - (2x^2 - 6x + 4) \cdot 4(2x - 3)}{(2x - 3)^4}$$

$$g''_4(2) = 2 \times 1^2 - 0 = 2 \neq 0$$

Hence, fixed-point iteration converges quadratically.

(b)

MatLab Program:

```
function question2b
g1 = @(x) (x^2 + 2) / 3;
g2 = @(x) sqrt(3 * x - 2);
g3 = @(x) 3 - 2 / x;
g4 = @(x) (x ^ 2 - 2) / (2 * x - 3);
fprintf("Table for g1(x):\n");
verify_linear_convergence(g1);
fprintf("Table for g2(x):\n");
verify_linear_convergence(g2);
fprintf("Table for g3(x):\n");
verify_linear_convergence(g3);
fprintf("Table for g4(x):\n");
verify_quadratic_convergence(g4);
end

function verify_linear_convergence(g)
x = 2.3;
error = 2 - x;
fprintf("k%17s%32s\n", "e(k)", "|e(k+1)| / |e(k)|");
for k = 0: 10
    x = g(x);
    new_error = 2 - x;
    ratio = abs(new_error) / abs(error);
    if k ~= 10
        fprintf("%d%24.10d%24.10d\n", k, error, ratio);
    else
        fprintf("%d%23.10d%24.10d\n", k, error, ratio);
    end
    error = new_error;
end
fprintf("\n");
end

function verify_quadratic_convergence(g)
x = 2.3;
error = 2 - x;
fprintf("k%17s%32s%26s\n", "e(k)", "|e(k+1)| / |e(k)|", "|e(k+1)| / |e(k)|^2");
for k = 0: 10
    x = g(x);
    new_error = 2 - x;
    ratio1 = abs(new_error) / abs(error);
    ratio2 = abs(new_error) / (abs(error))^2;
    if k ~= 10
        fprintf("%d%24.10d%24.10d%24.10d\n", k, error, ratio1, ratio2);
    else
        fprintf("%d%23.10d%24.10d%24.10d\n", k, error, ratio1, ratio2);
    end
    error = new_error;
end
fprintf("\n");
end
```

Program Output:

Explanation: By the table for $g_1(x)$, we can see that when $k > 6$, $\frac{|e_{k+1}|}{|e_k|}$ increases very fast as k grows and the rate of increase looks strange. Therefore the fixed-point iteration is very likely to diverge.

By the table for $g_2(x)$, we can see that as k increases, $\frac{|e_{k+1}|}{|e_k|}$ is relatively stable and the ratio becomes closer to 0.75. Therefore the fixed-point iteration is very likely to converge linearly with constant $C = 0.75$.

By the table for $g_3(x)$, we can see that as k increases, $\frac{|e_{k+1}|}{|e_k|}$ is relatively stable and the ratio becomes closer to 0.5. Therefore the fixed-point iteration is very likely to converge linearly with constant $C = 0.5$.

By the table for $g_4(x)$, we can see that as k increases, $\frac{|e_{k+1}|}{|e_k|^2}$ is relatively stable and the ratio becomes closer to 1. Therefore the fixed-point iteration is very likely to converge quadratically.

Problem 3

(a)

MatLab Program:

```
function question3
R = 0.082054;
a = 3.592;
b = 0.04267;
T = 300;
fprintf("%3s%23s\n", "p", "v Waals", "v ideal gas law");
for i = 0:2
    p = 10^i;
    f = @(v) (p + a / v^2) * (v - b) - R * T;
    v_ideal = R * T / p;
    v = fzero(f, v_ideal);
    fprintf("%3.15f%23.15f\n", p, v, v_ideal);
end
```

Program output:

```
>> question3
      p           v Waals       v ideal gas law
      1        24.512588128441500   24.616199999999999
     10       2.354495580702039   2.461620000000000
    100      0.079510827813453   0.246162000000000
```

Problem 4

(a)

We know $f(x) = \frac{1}{x} - b$, therefore $f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}$

If I apply Newton's method to (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= r_0 - \frac{f(r_0)}{f'(r_0)} \\ &= r_0 - \frac{\frac{1}{r_0} - b}{-\frac{1}{r_0^2}} \quad (\text{Since } f(r_0) = \frac{1}{r_0}, f'(r_0) = -\frac{1}{r_0^2}) \\ &= r_0 + r_0 - br_0^2 \\ &= 2r_0 - br_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r - r_1}{r} &= \frac{r - (2r_0 - br_0^2)}{r} \\ &= \frac{r^2 - 2r_0r + br_0^2 \cdot r}{r^2} \\ &= \frac{r^2 - 2r_0r + r_0^2}{r^2} \quad (\text{Since } r = \frac{1}{b}) \\ &= \left(\frac{r - r_0}{r} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

We know $\frac{r - r_1}{r}$ is the relative error of r_1 in approximating r and $\frac{r - r_0}{r}$ is the relative error of r_0 in approximating r .

Let's denote $\text{Relative}(r_1) := \frac{r - r_1}{r}$, $\text{Relative}(r_0) := \frac{r - r_0}{r}$

We know the correct digits of r_1 is about $\log_{10} \text{Relative}(r_1)$ and the correct digit of r_0 is about $\log_{10} \text{Relative}(r_0)$.

By (4), we know $\text{Relative}(r_1) = [\text{Relative}(r_0)]^2$

Therefore $\log_{10} \text{Relative}(r_1) = 2 \log_{10} \text{Relative}(r_0)$

It follows that r_1 has roughly twice as many correct digits as r_0 has.