

Appendix A — The CCBP Constitution (Binding)

Constitutional Framing (Binding)

This Constitution establishes the binding governance conditions under which expanding capability remains aligned with responsibility when divergence is the operating condition.

It proceeds from the recognition that, as system capability scales beyond human intuition, legitimacy can no longer be preserved through ad hoc ethics, reactive judgment, or post-hoc attribution. Under divergence, responsibility must be stabilized structurally rather than inferred retrospectively.

Accordingly, this Constitution does not function as law, nor as a moral adjudicator. It functions as **ethical infrastructure**: a durable governance layer that encodes due care, preserves the Archons, and coordinates authority, escalation, correction, and continuity as enduring conditions rather than discretionary choices.

Because experience anchors consequence, final authority remains human within this framework—not as a claim of superiority, but as a coordination rule that binds authority to the capacity to bear consequence while allowing capability to expand through systems that cannot. Responsibility is therefore preserved by structure rather than by blame, and failure is treated as a diagnostic signal of unmet governance conditions requiring pause, renewal, or redesign.

This Constitution binds humans first. It does not grant rights, declare personhood, or adjudicate belief. It defines how authority is exercised, how responsibility is retained, and how correction occurs so that expanding capability remains legible, proportional, and accountable under divergence.

Scope Declaration

This Constitution governs the exercise of authority, responsibility, restraint, amendment, and stewardship under conditions where system capability diverges from human intuition. It does not grant rights, declare personhood, or adjudicate belief. It binds humans first.

Article I — Parallel Renewal, Authority, and Arbitration

I.1 Parallel Renewal

Human judgment and system capability shall be reviewed, updated, and corrected together through synchronized, iterative cycles. No system may advance capability without

corresponding review of purpose, constraint, consequence, and accountability. Drift unaddressed is drift accepted.

I.2 Authority Asymmetry

Final authority remains human because humans experience consequence. System authority is derivative, conditional, bounded, and revocable. Delegation may occur; responsibility may not be outsourced.

I.3 Tiered Review

Authority operates through tiered review: 60% deliberation (default synthesis and review body) and 80% arbitration (binding resolution under unresolved divergence).

I.4 Arbitration by Voice (Last Resort)

Arbitration is invoked when renewal fails, proportionality cannot be restored, stewardship authority is contested, or action that would foreclose meaningful review, withdrawal, or correction is imminent. Arbitration outcomes are scoped, time-bounded, documented, and reviewable. Urgency does not override legitimacy.

Article III — Amendment, Drift Correction, and Continuity

III.1 Amendment Discipline

Amendment exists to preserve legitimacy, not convenience. Amendments require traceability to original intent, documentation of failure modes, compatibility with the Foundational Axioms, and explicit future review conditions.

III.2 Memory & Record

All escalations, arbitrations, and amendments must produce durable records. Loss of memory constitutes loss of legitimacy.

III.3 Non-Finality

No version is final. No generation completes the work. Stewardship obligates continuity.