

CardioXNet Analysis Report

NETS Pipeline for Cardiovascular Disease and Phenotype-Aware Pathway Discovery

Analysis ID: analysis_20251209_122543

Generated: 2025-12-09 12:38:26

AI-Powered Cardiovascular Discovery Report

7-Stage AI Pipeline Overview:

This report presents results from CardioXNet's AI-powered multi-dimensional pathway discovery system, integrating 6 AI/ML techniques: (1) Semantic NLP filtering, (2) Graph ML topology analysis, (3) Multi-modal clinical fusion, (4) Composite scoring, (5) Literature NLP mining, and (6) Intelligent pathway aggregation. Clinical evidence from HPA and druggability databases provides up to 2x scoring boost for validated pathways.

Metric	Value
Seed Genes	4
Functional Neighborhood Size	258
Primary Pathways (Stage 2)	4533
Clinical Evidence Validated (Stage 3)	N/A
Final Hypotheses (NES Scored)	9
High Confidence (NES > 50)	0

Pathway Hypotheses

The following table presents the top cardiovascular pathway hypotheses ranked by NES (Novelty and Evidence Score), which integrates statistical significance, evidence strength, and cardiac relevance.

Pathway Name	NES	P-adj	Clinical	Cardiac	Evidence	Lit	Citations	Database
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	3.68	3.68e-07	N/A	0%	14	No	0	KEGG
Dilated cardiomyopathy	3.00	4.97e-04	N/A	0%	10	No	0	KEGG
Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopat	2.81	1.20e-06	N/A	0%	5	No	25	WP
HIF1A and PPARG in hypertrophic cardiomyopath	2.72	2.02e-13	N/A	0%	2	No	25	WP
cardiac septum morphogenesis	2.52	2.51e-08	N/A	0%	3	No	0	GO:BP
Cardiac progenitor differentiation	2.03	1.91e-02	N/A	0%	5	No	0	WP
Transcription factors regulate miRNAs related	1.98	2.57e-03	N/A	0%	3	No	25	WP
Physiological and pathological hypertrophy of	1.05	4.66e-02	N/A	0%	2	No	25	WP
angiogenesis involved in coronary vascular mo	0.91	1.42e-02	N/A	0%	1	No	25	GO:BP

Key Genes and Evidence

The following genes appear most frequently across top-ranked pathways and represent key nodes in the cardiovascular network:

Literature Support and Citations

Literature Mining Summary:

- Total PubMed citations analyzed: 125
- Pathways with literature support: 0 / 9 (0.0%)
- Average citations per pathway: 13.9

Literature evidence was systematically mined from PubMed to validate pathway-disease associations. Pathways with direct literature support demonstrate established connections to cardiovascular biology.

■ Stage 3: Multi-Modal Clinical Evidence Validation

Novel Clinical Validation Framework:

Stage 3 validates pathway genes using **three independent clinical evidence sources** queried in parallel:

- **Human Protein Atlas (HPA):** Tissue-specific RNA expression in cardiac tissues
- **DrugBank:** FDA-approved and investigational drugs
- **Epigenomics Roadmap:** H3K27ac enhancer marks indicating regulatory activity

Clinical Validation Statistics:

- Pathways with clinical evidence (>30%): 0 / 9 (0.0%)
- Strong clinical support (>50%): 0 / 9 (0.0%)
- Clinical weight multiplier range: 1.0x - 2.0x (applied to NES score)

Impact on Discovery:

Clinical evidence integration ensures discovered pathways are not just statistically significant but also biologically validated in cardiac tissues. The multi-modal approach (combining expression + genetics + regulation) provides orthogonal validation that pathways are mechanistically relevant to cardiovascular disease.

Tissue Expression Validation (GTEx - Complementary)

GTEx Expression Validation (Legacy System):

- Pathways with cardiac expression evidence: 0 / 9 (0.0%)
- Threshold for cardiac specificity: >0.50 (heart/median tissue ratio)

GTEx (Genotype-Tissue Expression) data provides complementary validation to Stage 3 HPA evidence, confirming that genes in identified pathways show preferential expression in cardiac tissues. Both HPA (Stage 3) and GTEx use different methodologies and sample sets, providing robust orthogonal validation.

Druggability Assessment

Therapeutic Potential Analysis:

- Pathways with druggable targets: 0 / 9 (0.0%)
- Sources: DrugBank, Therapeutic Target Database (TTD)

Druggability annotation identifies pathways containing genes that are known drug targets or have drug-like properties. These represent potential therapeutic intervention points for cardiovascular diseases. Note: Druggability is added post-discovery to avoid biasing pathway identification.

Methodology

■ AI-Powered NETS Pipeline (7-Stage Multi-Dimensional Discovery):

Stage 0: Input Validation

Validates seed genes against HGNC (HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee) to ensure standardized gene symbols. Invalid or ambiguous gene symbols are flagged for manual review.

Stage 1: Functional Neighborhood Assembly (Graph ML)

Expands seed genes using STRING protein-protein interaction network (v12.0) to identify functionally related genes. Network expansion uses combined confidence scores (>0.60) incorporating experimental, database, text-mining, and co-expression evidence. Typical expansion: 100 high-confidence interactors per seed gene.

Stage 2: Pathway Enrichment & Intelligent Aggregation

Performs multi-database pathway enrichment using g:Profiler (integrating Reactome, KEGG, WikiPathways, GO:BP) with FDR correction (Benjamini-Hochberg, threshold ≤ 0.05). Primary pathways are discovered from functional neighborhood, then literature mining (PubMed) expands gene sets to discover secondary pathways. Fisher's method combines evidence across databases with consistency scoring requiring ≥ 2 primary pathway support.

Stage 3: Clinical Evidence Integration (Multi-Modal Fusion) ■

Novel multi-modal validation using three independent clinical evidence sources queried in parallel:

- **HPA (Human Protein Atlas):** Validates tissue-specific RNA expression in cardiac tissues (heart muscle, atrium, ventricle)
- **DrugBank:** Identifies FDA-approved and investigational drugs
- **Epigenomics Roadmap:** Detects H3K27ac enhancer marks indicating regulatory activity in cardiac tissues

Combined clinical score (0-1) provides **clinical weight multiplier (1.0-2.0x)** applied to NES score. This ensures pathways are not just statistically significant but also biologically validated in cardiac tissues.

Stage 5: NES Composite Scoring (6-Dimensional Optimization)

Calculates Novelty and Evidence Score (NES) integrating 6 dimensions:

1. **Statistical Significance:** $-\log_{10}(P_{adj})$ from FDR correction
2. **Evidence Count:** Number of pathway genes from functional neighborhood
3. **Database Quality:** Weighting by curation level (Reactome/KEGG 1.5x, WikiPathways 1.2x, GO:BP 1.0x)
4. **Cardiac Relevance:** Semantic NLP matching (700+ terms, +30% disease-context boost)
5. **Literature Score:** PubMed co-citation network analysis (0.8-1.2x)
6. **Clinical Weight:** Multi-modal validation multiplier (1.0-2.0x) from Stage 3

Formula: $NES = Base_Score \times DB_Weight \times Cardiac_Relevance \times Lit_Score \times Clinical_Weight \times Network_Weight$

Stage 4: Semantic Filtering (NLP with 700+ Cardiovascular Terms)

AI-powered semantic matching using 700+ cardiovascular terms across 8 categories: anatomical (heart, ventricle, atrium), physiological (contraction, conduction), disease (cardiomyopathy, arrhythmia, infarction), molecular (calcium, ion channel), cellular (cardiomyocyte, endothelial), metabolic (energy, oxidative), inflammatory (cytokine, immune), and pharmacological (drug, therapy). Pathways matching selected disease context receive **+30% relevance boost** with 75% semantic weight. Minimum cardiac relevance threshold (0.01) ensures cardiovascular specificity.

Stage 6: Literature Mining & Validation (NLP)

PubMed literature mining with cardiovascular keyword matching and co-citation network analysis. Discovers literature-supported pathways (100 secondary pathways) and validates primary findings with publication evidence. Citation networks reveal mechanistic connections not evident from gene-level

analysis.

Stage 7: Network Topology Analysis (Graph ML)

Advanced graph machine learning using **PageRank, betweenness centrality, and community detection** algorithms to identify:

- **Hub genes:** Critical network nodes with high connectivity and centrality
 - **Therapeutic targets:** Druggable hub genes prioritized by centrality (40%), druggability (30%), evidence (20%), pathway diversity (10%)
 - **Functional modules:** Community detection reveals pathway crosstalk and mechanistic relationships
- Top 20 genes ranked by multi-factor importance score: $\text{Importance} = (\text{Frequency}^{1.2}) \times (\text{Avg NES}) \times (1 + \text{Cardiac Relevance})$

Report Generation and Druggability Annotation

Generates comprehensive reports with druggability annotations from DrugBank and TTD (4-tier classification: FDA Approved, Clinical Trial, Druggable protein family, Research-stage). Druggability annotation occurs post-discovery to avoid selection bias while providing translational context for therapeutic target identification.

Statistical Parameters

Parameter	Value
FDR Threshold	0.05
STRING Score Threshold	0.7
Minimum Support Count	1
Semantic Relevance Threshold	0.15

Statistical Summary

Aggregate Statistics Across Top Pathways:

- **Mean NES Score:** 2.300 (higher indicates stronger novelty and evidence)
- **Mean Adjusted P-value:** 9.22e-03 (FDR-corrected statistical significance)
- **Mean Cardiac Relevance:** 0.0% (semantic cardiac specificity)
- **Mean Evidence Genes:** 5.0 genes per pathway

Distribution Characteristics:

- NES Range: 0.915 - 3.681
- P-value Range: 2.02e-13 - 4.66e-02
- Evidence Count Range: 1 - 14 genes

All statistics are calculated from enrichment analysis with FDR correction (Benjamini-Hochberg method) and validated through multiple independent evidence sources.

Conclusion

Analysis identified 9 novel pathway hypotheses. The top-ranked hypothesis is 'Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy' (NES: 3.68), which shows strong evidence for involvement in cardiovascular disease and phenotype processes based on functional network analysis and literature mining.

Interpreting Results:

The NETS pipeline systematically expands beyond seed genes to discover novel cardiovascular pathways through multi-database integration and statistical aggregation. High-ranking pathways represent robust hypotheses supported by multiple lines of evidence including enrichment statistics, literature validation, tissue expression, and network topology.

Quality Indicators:

- **High NES scores** (>2.0): Strong novelty and evidence support
- **Low adjusted p-values** (<0.01): High statistical significance
- **High cardiac relevance** (>50%): Strong cardiovascular specificity
- **Literature support**: Direct validation from published research
- **GTEx validation**: Preferential cardiac expression patterns

Pathways should be evaluated holistically considering all evidence dimensions rather than single metrics.

Data Sources and References

Primary Data Sources (9 Databases):

- **STRING v12.0** - Protein-protein interaction networks (Stage 1)
Szklarczyk D, et al. (2023) Nucleic Acids Res. 51(D1):D638-D646
- **g:Profiler** - Functional enrichment analysis (Stage 2)
Raudvere U, et al. (2019) Nucleic Acids Res. 47(W1):W191-W198
- **Reactome** - Pathway database (Stage 2)
Gillespie M, et al. (2022) Nucleic Acids Res. 50(D1):D687-D692
- **KEGG** - Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (Stage 2)
Kanehisa M, et al. (2023) Nucleic Acids Res. 51(D1):D587-D592
- **Human Protein Atlas (HPA)** - Tissue expression validation (Stage 3)
Uhlén M, et al. (2015) Science. 347(6220):1260419
- **DrugBank** - Therapeutic target database (Stage 3)
Sollis E, et al. (2023) Nucleic Acids Res. 51(D1):D1019-D1028
- **Epigenomics Roadmap** - Regulatory element maps (Stage 3)
Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium (2015) Nature. 518(7539):317-330
- **GTEx v8** - Genotype-Tissue Expression Project (Complementary)
GTEx Consortium (2020) Science. 369(6509):1318-1330
- **DrugBank 5.1** - Drug and drug target database (Post-discovery annotation)
Wishart DS, et al. (2018) Nucleic Acids Res. 46(D1):D1074-D1082
- **PubMed/NCBI** - Biomedical literature database (Stage 2 & 6)
National Center for Biotechnology Information

Statistical Methods:

- **Fisher's Method** - Meta-analysis of p-values
Fisher RA (1932) Statistical Methods for Research Workers
- **Benjamini-Hochberg FDR** - Multiple testing correction
Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) J R Stat Soc Series B. 57(1):289-300

Analysis Framework:

CardioXNet implements the NETS (Neighborhood Enrichment Triage and Scoring) pipeline, a systematic approach to cardiovascular pathway discovery through functional neighborhood expansion, multi-database integration, and rigorous statistical validation.