# EzParking Myadmin Code Testing Plan and Result SSE Capstone project – University of Regina Team Apollo Winter 2023

Yilin Ren

# **Executive Summary**

The "EzParking MyAdmin" React application is designed to simplify the process of managing parking lot waypoint graph in variety facilities including education institution, shopping mall and large parking lot network. This test plan carries out the steps required to ensure the application meets its functional requirement. The test plan also talks about the testing methodology that were used. The report demonstrated that the application functions as intended and meets our initial expectation.

Our code testing focused on testing each component of the application by using "React Test Library "to perform unit test automatically, and make sure the component is render correctly. I also perform integration testing by one of our group members to implement tasks to trigger some edge case and check if the application catch exceptions and perform corrections.

Our test script including 5 unit test and 19 integration tests. The test ran successfully, and the application worked as expected. An example of the unit test result is posted below (Fig 1)

```
PROBLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL

Compiled successfully!

You can now view ezparking myadmin in the browser.

Local: Follow link (cmd + click)
On Your Network: http://192.168.0.101:3000

Note that the development build is not optimized. To create a production build, use npm run build.

webpack compiled successfully

PASS src/test/TopSection.test.js

Test Suites: 2 passed, 2 total
Tests: 5 passed, 5 total
Snaphots: 0 total
Time: 4.271 s
Ran all test suites related to changed files.

Watch Usage: Press w to show more.
```

Fig 1. Final Test Result : All unit test case passed

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	
Introduction	1
Purpose:	
Project:	
Testing Strategy:	1
Test Plan:	2
1. Coordinator form component:	
2. Top Section components:	
3. Map View component:	
Test Cases:	2
1. Coordinator form component:	
2. Top Section components:	
3. Map view components:	
Test Results:	5
1. Unit Test:	
2. Integration Test	
Conclusion:	7

## Introduction

# Purpose:

This test plan includes the strategy, process, and results of our testing of the EzParking Myadmin program. This article will contain the following:

- 1. Testing Strategy: The purpose of testing the program and the testing methodology.
- 2. Test Plan: A detailed plan for testing the application, including the testing tool used, and the specific features to be tested.
- 3. Test Cases: A detailed list of test cases that will be executed during the testing phase, including inputs, expected outputs, and pass/fail criteria.
- 4. Test Results: The results of the testing, including pass/fail status for each test case, any issues identified.
- 5. Conclusion: A summary of the testing process and outlines any follow-up actions required.

### Project:

EzParking Myadmin is a react application that acts as a visual solution for managing the database in the EzParking project. In this background planform, the administrator are able to tell how many parking spaces and total parking spaces are currently available in the parking lot network. At the same time, the administrator can add, delete and modify waypoints in the parking lot network through the graphical interface.

### Testing Strategy:

The purpose of code testing is to find potential problems through code testing and to ensure that the program runs correctly. I will test this program through two aspects, first is the unit test, the unit test will ensure that each component is working properly, and then I will conduct the integration test, the integration test will be a little complicated. This is because I want to make sure that the coordination between all units is correct.

EzParking Myadmin consists of three main components,

- 1. User operation panel (coordinator form component)
- 2. Information notification panel (Top section component)
- 3. Visual map panel (Map view component).

I will unit test the first two panels, and for the final visual map panel, since it is too complex, I will perform integration tests.

In order to implement unit testing, I will use the React-test-library for unit testing, and I will customize the test program for each react component. Before testing, each test program will have an expected target. After the test program is run, the expected target will be compared with the program running results. Any incorrect results will cause the failure of the entire test.

For integration test. I will design some test scenarios/tasks, and the testers will manually complete these tasks. During the process of completing these tasks, the testers need to record whether the program complies with the pre-designed operation process. If not, the test will fail.

### Test Plan:

### 1. Coordinator form component:

I will use the react-test-library for testing. Through this library, I can simulate rendering a react component. When the component is rendered successfully, I will test whether the DOM argument of this component is consistent with the expected variable. After this component is generated at the beginning, users are prohibited from clicking to upload the waypoint map. Because the waypoint map does not change at this time, repeated uploads will only increase the server load. When the user modifies the waypoint graph, the user is allowed to upload the waypoint graph. I will test that this component works as expected.

### 2. Top Section components:

I will also use the react-test-library for testing. When this component is generated at the beginning, it will display the information of the current parking lot according to the data provided by the server. I will test that this component displays this information correctly.

### 3. Map View component:

I will invite testers to conduct integration test. When this component rendered, it will load all the waypoints already in the database, after that, it will allow the tester to add, delete or modify the waypoint map. I will design a test flow and each condition that required to meet in order to pass the test. Testers will perform these tests and validate whether the results meet the test criteria.

### Test Cases:

### 1. Coordinator form component:

1.1 Initial state: User didn't perform any map edit, the send data object is empty.

```
test('on initial render, the send data state is empty', () =>{
    // Use mock store with production logic to simulate real life
enviorment
    const { store } = renderWithContext(<CoordinateForm />);
    expect(store.getState().mapClicked.sendData).toEqual({});
})
```

1.2 At initial state, user didn't made any change to the graph, the send data object is empty, which lead the send graph button is disabled.

1.3 After user made change to the graph, the send data object will be re generated, and the send graph button is enabled.

- 2. Top Section components:
  - 2.1 Renders a top section, check if the top section title is correct, I don't need to care what API will provide to the client, it will be care out on the integration test stage.

```
test("renders a top section, check if the title is correct", async () =>
{
    render(<Provider store={store}> <TopSection itemName={"In Use"}
itemValue={123}/> </Provider>);
    expect(screen.getByText("In Use"))
});
```

2.2 Renders a top section, check if the top section information is correct.

```
test("renders a top section, check if the number is correct", async () => {
    render(<Provider store={store}> <TopSection itemName={"In Use"}
itemValue={123}/> </Provider>);
    expect(screen.getByText(123))
});
```

# 3. Map view components:

# 3.1 The tester will perform the following test and validate the respond of the application

Test #	Required Task	Application Respond	Pass/Fail
1	Create a waypoint	Waypoint is created	
2	Create a parking lot	A parking lot waypoint is	
		created and wait the user to	
		assign a parking lot name and	
		available spot	
3	Entry -1 as available spot	Catch an error, the available	
		should not be negative, ask	
		user re-entry	
4	Entry "test" as available spot	Catch an error, the available	
		should be number only, ask	
		user re-entry	
5	Entry 5 as available spot	Ask user continue entry	
		parking lot name	
6	Entry " " as name	Catch an error, the name	
		should not contain space, ask	
		user re-entry	
7	Entry " test" as name	Catch an error, the name	
		should not start with space,	
		ask user re-entry	
8	Entry "Lot 5" as name	A parking lot waypoint is	
		created	
9	Create a destination	A destination waypoint is	
	waypoint	created and wait the user to	
		assign a destination name	
10	Entry " " as name	Catch an error, the name	
		should not contain space, ask	
		user re-entry	
11	Entry " test" as name	Catch an error, the name	
		should not start with space,	
		ask user re-entry	
12	Entry "Classroom" as name	A destination waypoint is	
		created	

13	User click a waypoint	A info window is showing up	
		with latitude and longitude	
14	User click a parking lot	A info window is showing up	
	waypoint	with latitude, longitude,	
		parking lot name and	
		available spot	
15	User click a destination	A info window is showing up	
	waypoint	with latitude, longitude and	
		destination name	
16	User double click a waypoint	The waypoint start a jumping	
		animation	
17	User double click another	Another waypoint start the	
	waypoint	jumping animation and a	
		connection line is created	
		between those two	
		waypoints.	
18	User click a parking lot	A dialog window pops out	
	waypoint and select update	and ask user to entry new	
	the waypoint	available amount	
19	User click a parking lot	The selected waypoint is	
	waypoint and select delete	deleted and all path	
	the waypoint	associated with it is also	
		deleted.	

# Test Results:

### 1. Unit Test:

The application pass all unit test, this is means each signal unit is working correctly.



Fig 2. Final Test Result : All unit test case passed

# 2. Integration Test

The application also passes all integration test, Which is means all the components working correctly when it combined together.

Test #	Required Task	Application Respond	Pass/Fail
1	Create a waypoint	Waypoint is created	Pass
2	Create a parking lot	A parking lot waypoint is	Pass
		created and wait the user to	
		assign a parking lot name and	
		available spot	
3	Entry -1 as available spot	Catch an error, the available	Pass
		should not be negative, ask	
		user re-entry	
4	Entry "test" as available spot	Catch an error, the available	Pass
		should be number only, ask	
		user re-entry	
5	Entry 5 as available spot	Ask user continue entry	Pass
		parking lot name	
6	Entry " " as name	Catch an error, the name	Pass
		should not contain space, ask	
		user re-entry	
7	Entry " test" as name	Catch an error, the name	Pass
		should not start with space,	
		ask user re-entry	
8	Entry "Lot 5" as name	A parking lot waypoint is	Pass
		created	
9	Create a destination	A destination waypoint is	Pass
	waypoint	created and wait the user to	
		assign a destination name	
10	Entry " " as name	Catch an error, the name	Pass
		should not contain space, ask	
		user re-entry	
11	Entry " test" as name	Catch an error, the name	Pass
		should not start with space,	
		ask user re-entry	
12	Entry "Classroom" as name	A destination waypoint is	Pass
		created	
13	User click a waypoint	A info window is showing up	Pass
		with latitude and longitude	
14	User click a parking lot	A info window is showing up	Pass
	waypoint	with latitude, longitude,	
		parking lot name and	
		available spot	

15	User click a destination waypoint	A info window is showing up with latitude, longitude and destination name	Pass
16	User double click a waypoint	The waypoint start a jumping animation	Pass
17	User double click another waypoint	Another waypoint start the jumping animation and a connection line is created between those two waypoints.	Pass
18	User click a parking lot waypoint and select update the waypoint	A dialog window pops out and ask user to entry new available amount	Pass
19	User click a parking lot waypoint and select delete the waypoint	The selected waypoint is deleted and all path associated with it is also deleted.	Pass

# Conclusion:

In conclusion, the EzParking MyAdmin React application has been thoroughly tested using React Test Library and Integration test methodology, which enabled effective and efficient testing, ensuring that the application is robust and reliable. The testing process has helped to identify and resolve any issues.

By analyse the testing result, The application meets the specified function requirements and provides a positive user experience.