

JESTER: A DEVICE ABSTRACTION AND DATA FUSION API FOR  
SKELETAL TRACKING SENSORS

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of California Polytechnic State University

San Luis Obispo

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Master of Science in Computer Science

by

Kevin Schapansky

June 2014

© 2014

Kevin Schapansky

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

## COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

TITLE: Jester: A Device Abstraction and Data Fusion API for Skeletal Tracking Sensors

AUTHOR: Kevin Schapansky

DATE SUBMITTED: June 2014

COMMITTEE CHAIR: Professor Zoë, Ph.D.,  
Department of Computer Science

COMMITTEE MEMBER: Assistant Professor Chris Lupo, Ph.D.,  
Department of Computer Science

COMMITTEE MEMBER: Professor Franz Kurfess, Ph.D.,  
Department of Computer Science

## ABSTRACT

Jester: A Device Abstraction and Data Fusion API for Skeletal Tracking Sensors

Kevin Schapansky

Humans naturally interact with the world in three dimensions. Traditional personal computers have relied on 2D mice for input because 3D user tracking systems were cumbersome and expensive. Recently, 3D input hardware has become accurate and affordable enough to be marketed to average consumers and integrated into niche applications. Presently, 3D application developers must learn a different API for each device their software will support, and there is no simple way to integrate sensor data if the system has multiple 3D input devices. This thesis presents Jester, a library that aims to simplify the development and improve the accuracy of 3D input-supported applications by providing an easily-extensible set of sensor wrappers that abstract the hardware specific details of capturing skeletal data and fusing sensor data in multiple 3D input device systems. Jester is then successfully used to create a toy application that uses real time skeletal tracking and sensor fusion of data from a Leap Motion and a PrimeSense Carime.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to:

- My advisor Zoë Wood, for being literally the coolest.
- My parents for money and love.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Gesture HCI . . . . .	2
1.2 The Human Skeleton . . . . .	3
1.3 3D Input Devices . . . . .	4
1.4 3D Applications . . . . .	5
1.5 Jester’s Contribution . . . . .	5
2 Background	7
2.1 Spatial Mathematics . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Spatial Transforms . . . . .	7
2.1.2 Quaternions . . . . .	8
2.2 Sensor Types . . . . .	9
2.2.1 Wearable Sensors . . . . .	9
2.2.2 Imaging Sensors . . . . .	11
2.3 Filtering Algorithms . . . . .	14
2.3.1 Kalman Filter . . . . .	14
2.3.2 Particle Filter . . . . .	15
3 Related Works	17
3.1 OpenNI . . . . .	17
3.2 The Point Cloud Library . . . . .	18
3.3 Vrui Toolkit . . . . .	19
3.4 MiddleVR . . . . .	20
3.5 Virtual Reality Peripheral Network . . . . .	20
4 Jester API Design	22

4.1	Jester Core . . . . .	24
4.1.1	Data Path . . . . .	24
4.1.2	Scene Graph and Hierarchical Skeletal Model . . . . .	26
4.1.3	Joints . . . . .	30
4.2	Plugin Architecture . . . . .	31
4.2.1	Sensor Wrappers . . . . .	31
4.2.2	Sensor Fusion . . . . .	31
4.2.3	Data Filtering . . . . .	31

## LIST OF TABLES



## LIST OF FIGURES

## CHAPTER 1

### Introduction

Hardware mice have been the dominant way that humans interact with the digital world ever since the first personal computers that introduced the concept of interacting mainly with a two dimensional graphical user interface instead of a text based terminal. Computer mice are very simple to manufacture and are an effective tool for interacting with strictly two dimensional applications such as word processing or managing an email inbox because they are bound to two dimensional sensing surfaces like a table top or flat laptop trackpad. Traditional computer programs and operating systems are designed to follow the Windows, Icons Menus, Pointer (WIMP) computer interaction paradigm. WIMP attempts to make a good digital analogue for a physical desktop where documents and folders are essentially managed in two dimensions [citation needed].

Even though using a mouse for WIMP-style two dimensional applications is a fairly simple task that even small children master quickly, it quickly becomes a handicap when trying to manipulate 3D applications, games, or even general computer navigation tasks that do not necessarily conform to the WIMP style, such as switching workspaces or web browser tabs [citation needed]. Since humans live in a 3D world by default, the human body itself makes an excellent 3D input device, just like how a computer mouse bound to sensing a two dimensional table top makes an excellent two dimensional sensing device.

## 1.1 Gesture HCI

Humans live every day of their lives in a 3D world. Our bodies are 3D and every physical object with which we interact is 3D. This makes the human body, and generally the hands, a natural choice for interacting with computers in a more intuitive manner. For example, when a user first attempts to interact with 3D Computer Aided Design (CAD) software with a mouse, there is a steep learning curve before he can fluidly and correctly navigate through the world. Different mouse or keyboard buttons are generally used to differentiate between scaling, rotating, and translating the object that is being designed [citation needed]. Application specific key combinations are not innately intuitive, even if they do become second nature after extended use of the software. The users hands are a perfect input choice because they are the interface he has been using to interact with the world around him ever since he was able to correctly place a triangle shaped block in a triangle shaped hole. Tracking the users hand and finger position allows object manipulation in CAD software to become innately intuitive through the use of standard grabbing, rotating, and pushing or pulling gestures [citation needed].

Nintendo introduced the concept of games that are controlled by the human body to millions of users in 2006. The Nintendo Wii includes sensors that can detect the position of users hands and comes bundled with a simulated sports package that allows users to use their arms to intuitively play digital sports [citation needed]. In 2011, Microsoft brought marker-less gesture interaction to mainstream gaming with the introduction of the Kinect for Xbox [citation needed]. The Kinect uses a technology called structured light, which will be discussed in the next chapter, to track the position of a players entire body.

Human body tracking allows the users to control the Xbox using arm movements without picking up a controller or even play a game that allows users to compete against each other in a virtual dance off [citation needed].

Gesture interaction has also been applied to tasks that do not have as clear of a mapping to real life as CAD or video games. For example, gestures can be used to easily switch between browser tabs or navigate web pages [citation needed]. Also, work is being done on creating 3D file browsers where users can shuffle through digital documents and spreadsheets just like they would with physical paper. The task of arranging and moving files between folders can also be intuitively modeled using grab, move, and drop gestures that simulate arranging physical objects [citation needed].

## 1.2 The Human Skeleton

In order to accurately track the position of a humans body it is necessary to pick some form of conceptual model that can accurately represent it. Muscle and connective tissue systems are extremely complex, and would be very difficult to represent intuitively or with any reasonable accuracy. Eliminating muscles and tendons leaves the skeletal system as the only remaining option. The human skeleton is an excellent choice for representing body position since it is the frame to which every other part of the body attaches. It is not necessary to track all 206 bones in the body since many bones can be approximated as a single bone, such as the bones in the feet or spinal column, or, like the ribs, are simply not necessary to establish the position of a person. A simplified skeletal rigging system is frequently used in computer animation to recreate how muscle and skin move in response to changing skeletal position [citation needed]. The reduced

skeleton is both conceptually simple and can be used to accurately represent body position. Most 3D input devices have associated APIs that provide data about either skeletal joints, which can be trivially used to infer bone position, or direct bone position.

### 1.3 3D Input Devices

Historically, 3D input systems have been cumbersome and very expensive. They required complex sensor systems that either mounted to the body, or relied on the user wearing a skinsuit covered in motion markers [citation needed]. There are also early version that use computer vision techniques, but they were notoriously inaccurate and sensitive to lighting and user clothing [citation needed]. The expense of setting up a sensor room or the hassle of wearable instrumentation essentially guaranteed that these systems were only used in academic research or high budget special effects.

Within the last two years, there has been an explosion of fairly accurate and consumer-affordable 3D input devices. In 2011 Microsoft unveiled the Kinect for the Xbox 360 [citation needed]. The Kinect is capable of fairly accurately tracking the users torso and limbs, but not finer details like fingers. Several other devices like the Leap Motion Controller, released in 2013, and the Creative Sens3D, released in 2014, are capable of accurately tracking hands and fingers. Finger tracking sensors generally have a limited field of view and range so they cannot track a users entire body. There are also hardware-based sensors like the Razer Hydra or the Oculus Rift that are capable of tracking with very high precision and minimal setup time. Each of these sensors has its own unique API for querying skeletal data.

## 1.4 3D Applications

Many of the initial applications designed to take advantage of 3D input devices were games and targeted to be more entertaining than useful. There are several games for Xbox that use the Kinect to judge a users dancing skill [citation needed]. Several PC games have also been ported to use the Oculus Rift and Razer Hydra to provide a more immersive gaming experience [citation needed]. Some scientific visualization and CAD software has begun to support the Leap Motion Controller, but a majority of its applications are still games. There has been work on using the Leap Motion and other short range finger sensing devices to navigate desktop workspaces or web browsing, but it is mostly experimental. All of these applications only support a small subset of the sensors that could be used to accomplish the same level of control.

## 1.5 Jester's Contribution

The human body is a proven method for intuitively and accurately interacting with simulated 3D environments and new and affordable 3D input devices targeted at consumers are flooding the market. However, there is still a significant barrier preventing 3D applications from taking off. Developers must individually tailor their applications to support specific sensors. Also, since sensors that are good at tracking details can rarely see a users whole body and wide angle sensors cannot see enough detail to accurately track fingers, developers must custom craft a data fusion system if they want the best features of both sensors. Jester provides an easily extensible library that simplifies the process of creating an application that can use 3D input devices by providing a framework for creating thin-wrappers to map the skeletal data of any sensor into Jesters internal model

of the skeleton as well as a framework for filtering and fusing data from multiple sensors. Application designers only need to write a thin wrapper for their sensor of choice that maps positional data to any bone or joint that is being tracked. Their application then has access to a world space skeleton and effortlessly adapted to use any available 3D input device.

## CHAPTER 2

### Background

Jesters core function of providing a solid framework for passing data from a sensor to a skeletal model requires only an understanding of how 3D positions can be transformed into different coordinate spaces and how quaternions handle rotations. The peripheral technologies like ranging solutions and filtering algorithms also warrant explanation. These technologies will be briefly explained in the following subsections.

#### 2.1 Spatial Mathematics

Jester stores its skeletal knowledge as a hierarchy of bones where each bone stores its position and orientation relative to its parent bones coordinate space. It also provides the ability to set bone positions from skeletal joint coordinates in arbitrary space. The design and implementation of the bone hierarchy and joint transforms will be discussed in sections [section needed] and [section needed]. Understanding sections [section needed] and [section needed] will require a basic understanding of matrix spatial transforms and quaternions.

##### 2.1.1 Spatial Transforms

The space of an object is the 3D extents within which other objects have relative position. In the cartesian style spaces used in Jester, each dimension of the space



is specified by a 3D vector, or basis vector, that is defined in its parent space. All of Jesters basis vectors are orthonormal, meaning they are orthogonal and unit length. Orthonormal bases simplify the process of reasoning about skeletal positioning because they guarantee that all of the dimensions can be measured with the same units. Spaces do not have to be specified in this manner, but more complex spaces are unnecessary for this application and lie outside the scope of this thesis.

Jester spaces are specified in the traditional 3D computer graphics style where the x, y, and z basis vectors, a vector specifying the x, y, and z translation of the new space within its parent space, and another basis vector that, for the scope of this explanation, allows the matrix to be used for affine transformation are combined to form a 4x4 matrix, or transformation matrix, that fully defines the new space. A 3D point specified in the new space can be converted, or transformed, into the corresponding 3D point in the parent space by multiplying the point by the transformation matrix of the new space. In order for the multiplication to be possible, a fourth dimension must be added to the point. The fourth dimension is known as the homogeneous component and allows points and vectors to be handled correctly. Similarly, a 3D point can be brought from the parent space to the child space by multiplying the point by the inverse of the childs transformation matrix.

### 2.1.2 Quaternions

Quaternions are a complex mathematical construct that effectively stores a 3D axis and the amount of rotation around that axis. They are less intuitive, but they allow rotations to be combined without the possibility of the gimbal lock problem that can occur when specifying a rotation as an euler rotation which is

a combination of separate cascading rotations about the x, y, and z axes. Gimbal lock occurs when rotation about one axis causes the other two axes to be on the same plane. If two axes are coplanar a degree of freedom has essentially been lost. Figure [figure needed] demonstrates how a 90 degree rotation about the z axis causes the y and x axes to both specify rotation about the y axis.

## 2.2 Sensor Types

There are many different sensors that have begun to become popular in the consumer 3D input device market. They can be broadly broken into two categories: devices that require the user to wear trackable markers or sensors, and devices that use some form of imaging technology to observe the scene. Both categories contain many sub-types. Some current commercial examples will be examined and broadly explained. Jester is capable of working with any sensor that can produce data about a joints or bones position and orientation. The process of using the sensor with Jester will be explained briefly below. More detail about the Jester API and its use can be found in sections 4 and 5.

### 2.2.1 Wearable Sensors

Wearable sensors require that either trackable objects or the actual sensor itself is placed on the body part that the user wishes to track. They have the advantage of being very resistant to interference from ambient light, and are generally more accurate than other approaches. The trade off is that there is more setup time involved to place sensors and each bone or joint requires a separate sensor or marker.

## Sixense STEM

The Sixense STEM system can track up to 3 remote tags, STEM packs, and two handheld controllers in the x, y, and z dimensions as well as the roll, pitch, and yaw, known as six degrees of freedom, using magnetic field sensors (6 DOF) [citation needed]. Relying on magnetic field rather than some sort of visual sensor means that the STEM system is impervious to any sort of lighting or UV interference. Using the STEM system with Jester would be as simple as getting the controller and STEM pack data from the Sixense API, associating the data points with the correct Jester bones or joints, and calling the `newData()` function on the Jester Controller.

## Oculus Rift

The Oculus Rift is primarily a head mounted display, but it accurately detects head orientation using gyroscopes in order to provide the ability to look around the virtual environment. The orientation returned by the Oculus API could easily be assigned to the head bone and then fused with the head position given by some other sensor in the Jester data fusion framework to provide both head orientation and position with minimal overhead.

## PrioVR

Yeti Technology is set to start delivering the first units of its new PrioVR system in Fall of 2014. The PrioVR system provides a set of Inertial Measurement (IMU) sensors that are designed to be attached to all of the main extremities on the user. The sensors reconcile their positions against the position of the main sensor unit which is mounted near the sternum to establish the pose of the user. PrioVR is

perfectly suited to send data to Jester since it already senses the position and orientation of all of the major bones that are tracked by Jester.

### 2.2.2 Imaging Sensors

Imaging sensors capture the light reflected off of the user in order to determine his position. Some emit their own light in order to simplify the process of ranging and others rely entirely on ambient light. Therefore, all of them are sensitive to ambient lighting conditions and can be rendered ineffective by either too much ambient light or, in the case of passive sensors, not enough. They do not require markers to be placed on the user and can be designed to have very minimal setup times. Some examples of imaging sensors and their consumer implementations are discussed below.

#### 2D Cameras

Traditional 2D cameras can be used to gather skeletal data. Extensive research has been done in attempting to subtract irrelevant background from 2D images and isolate the desired features. However 2D imaging techniques remain very sensitive to differences in ambient light and background color or texture. Due to these limitations, 2D cameras are not frequently used in consumer 3D user input devices that expect and reasonable level of accuracy.

#### Structured Light

Structured light sensors work by emitting a pattern of infrared light. When the pattern strikes objects, it distorts based on the angle of the object and its distance to the sensor. The sensor has a camera that is designed to only respond

to infrared light that senses how the emitted pattern is reflected off of the user. The sensor then internally maps the emitted pattern to the distorted pattern to figure out patterns transform. The transform gives the distance to that particular part of the image. The sensor combines all of the distances into a depth image where grayscale color corresponds to the distance to that particular pixel. If any ambient light source produces more infrared light than the emitter, structured light sensors lose accuracy very quickly.

The PrimeSense Carmine and the Kinect are both examples of structured light sensors. They have an effective range of approximately 1 to 3 meters and have supporting libraries that map the output depth image to an estimated model of the users joint positions. These joint positions can be fed directly into Jester and Jester will reconstruct the position of the skeletal bones using known mappings from joints to bones. The Jester example program presented in this thesis uses the PrimeSense Carmine and will be discussed in more detail in section [section].

## Time of Flight

Time of flight sensors, at a high level, emit pulses of light and then measure how long the light takes to return to the sensor in order to estimate distance. They are much more accurate than structured light sensors and are less sensitive to ambient light because they are not attempting to interpret a pattern of light, just the existence of return pulses.

Time of flight is replacing structured light as the technology of choice for many depth cameras. Microsoft has switched to time of flight technology for the second version of the Kinect. Intel has partnered with Creative to subsidize the Senz3D sensor in order to push gesture-based interaction more into the mainstream. Both

the manufacturer of the camera, SoftKinetic, and Intel have APIs for the Senz3D that track fingers on a hand. The finger tracking data can be attached to the Jester hand bones and sent into the Jester API.

## Stereo Cameras

Stereo camera systems are, at their simplest level, two of the same model of camera mounted a known distance apart from each other. Depth can be estimated by capturing an image from both cameras simultaneously, finding the common elements in both images, and calculating the distance based on the known distance between the cameras and the difference in position of the common elements in the images. Generally speaking, a smaller difference means the objects are far away from the camera and a larger difference means that they are closer. Stereo cameras can either rely on natural light, or be assisted by infrared LEDs. Assisted stereo cameras are more impervious to varying lighting conditions, so the stereo setups in the commercial market are generally assisted.

One well known assisted stereo camera is the LeapMotion Controller. The LeapMotion Controller uses two cameras augmented with three infrared LEDs and is placed on a desktop facing upwards at a users hands. It resolves the distance to the hands and attempts to pick out the users individual fingers. The LeapMotion Controller has an API that can be queried for hand and finger position. The Jester usage example presented in this paper uses the Leap API and will be discussed in greater detail in section [section].

## 2.3 Filtering Algorithms

Positional data that comes from any real world sensor is subject to some level of noise and inaccuracy. Filtering algorithms attempt to establish some sort of likelihood model for new sensor readings in order to trust good measurements more than bad measurements. This is particularly useful for skeletal tracking sensors because tracking errors can sometimes occur that cause the estimated position of bones to jump wildly and then return to a consistent track. Good filtering algorithms will not assign much weight to the jumping measurements and so the filtered skeleton will be much more stable. Two filters that are well documented and have a strong following are Kalman filters and particle filters. Jester supports filtering plugins and an example particle filter has been implemented

### 2.3.1 Kalman Filter

The Kalman filter was introduced by Rudolf Kalman in 1960 as a new solution to linear filtering and prediction. His filter observes how measurements of a linear system change over time and attempts to estimate the true state of the system. Kalman filters operate using an update loop that for each iteration takes the current state of the system, uses a linear estimator function to guess how the system will change between measurements, corrects the estimation using the next sensor measurement, and sets the current system state to the corrected estimation.

The closer that the measurement is to the estimated state the more effect it will have on the system state. Measurement error is assumed to be gaussian, which means that readings should be strongly grouped around the actual true state. Kalman filters heavily rely on the accuracy of the estimation function

which means that the quality of the filter requires that there is an accurate linear method for solving the system dynamics. For example, a Kalman filter would be an excellent choice for tracking the position of a vehicle using GPS. It is trivial to estimate how the position of a vehicle changes if the speed and direction are known, and GPS measurements are usually centered around the ground truth unless the satellite signal is extremely weak and so can be assumed to be Gaussian.

A Kalman filter would be a suboptimal choice for filtering skeletal data. There is not a good equation for modeling skeletal position dynamics because human extremities can change speed and direction fairly rapidly. Limb movements rarely stay constant for more than a short period of time because humans have limited range of motion. A detailed explanation of the statistical methods behind particle filters can be found in [citation needed].

### 2.3.2 Particle Filter

Particle filters are designed to filter systems where the estimation function is either nonlinear or extremely complex. They use a population of discrete guesses about the state of the system. Each guess is represented by one particle. When a particle filter is created the particles all contain uniformly selected random system states. Upon each sensor reading each particle is mutated either randomly or through some change heuristic and then is given a weight based on the gaussian probability of the particles guess given the sensor reading. A new set of particles is generated by selecting, with replacement, from the existing particle set in a manner that gives particles with higher probabilities a greater chance of being sampled. The new set of particles replaces the old set. The filter determines the estimated true state of the system by sampling the particle set in some manner



that assumes the true measurement lies within the highest density of particles. Even though the filter is created with random guesses, the mutation and resampling process will cause the particles to cluster into groups that represent the best guesses at the state of the system.

A particle filter is a good choice for skeletal tracking because it does not require any more than a basic heuristic guess about how the system is changing between samples and still provides filtering performance that is comparable to Kalman filters. However, particle filters are much more computationally expensive than kalman filters so tradeoffs must be made between accuracy, by varying the particle count, and the need for real-time performance. A sample particle filter has been implemented and is discussed in detail in section [section needed].

## CHAPTER 3

### Related Works

Jester is similar to several existing frameworks for abstracting different parts of the skeletal data input process. Most of the research in this area to date has been focused on either a lower or higher level of abstraction and does not provide the flexibility that is built into Jester

#### 3.1 OpenNI

OpenNI is a nonprofit organization focused on abstracting the process of retrieving depth, standard RGB, or audio data from sensors. Their API is designed to work with third party middleware in order to provide analysis and processing functionality to a wide range of sensors with minimal overhead. The project is open source and allows developers to write wrappers for their sensor drivers to port data into OpenNI's input framework. The OpenNI API allows developers to have a single point of access for operations like detecting if devices are connected to the system or starting and stopping data streams. Providing developers with a single interface for communicating with sensors greatly simplifies the development process because the problems associated with supporting and compiling against multiple APIs are eliminated. It also benefits hardware manufacturers by making it easier for developers to adopt new sensors.

A majority of the hardware that are supported by the OpenNI API is man-

ufactured by the companies that formed the partnership that founded OpenNI. There are some community written sensor wrappers, but they can be difficult to locate because there is no central repository. PrimeSense, the major driving force behind the OpenNI project, was purchased by Apple in November of 2013 and is no longer providing active support. The main web portal for downloading OpenNI and middleware binaries has been taken down. There is a GitHub repository for the OpenNI source, but it is no longer maintained.

### 3.2 The Point Cloud Library

The Point Cloud Library (PCL) is a large scale open source project for processing point cloud data. Point clouds are a method for representing range data where each range measurement is stored as a 3D point in space. These points resemble clouds when visualized in 3D. The PCL has extensive support for data filtering, feature detection, registering clouds between frames to determine movement, and surfacing. It also has input modules that accept a large variety of depth sensors. Essentially any sensor that outputs 3D depth information can be adapted to work with the PCL.

The PCL is used heavily by industry and research and is integrated with many open source and proprietary projects. It is an excellent tool for creating software that can manipulate the raw depth data from sensors and would be a good choice for writing an API that can estimate skeletal position using any depth sensor. The PCLs abstraction of sensor input using point clouds in order to build a sophisticated higher level feature set is precisely what Jester is trying to achieve for taking bone position data and providing a framework to easily fuse and filter data and then represent the skeleton in a consistent model for easy

manipulation.

### 3.3 Vrui Toolkit

The Vrui Toolkit is an open source development toolkit that allows VR application developers to be shielded from the input and output configuration details of a virtual environment. Vrui supports display abstraction by creating OpenGL contexts that can be assigned to any combination of monitors or head mounted displays as well as a library of 3D UI widgets that allow developers to create 3D interfaces in the same manner as traditional 2D GUI editors. Input is abstracted with semantic events that notify the application about interaction events and input from a set of input tools like 3D pointers or 2D mice. It also provides a framework for splitting the input and output abstractions onto remote systems to allow parallelism and remote operation. Vrui is designed to provide a full suite of common VR functionality with dependencies that have excellent cross operating system support in order to maximize system compatibility.

Vrui attempts to tackle the VR abstraction problem with a monolithic software architecture that can handle the entire stack from hardware data capture to output. This massive software stack makes it difficult to change the functionality of any sub unit without side effects. It is also difficult to use the Vrui input abstraction without the rest of the system which arbitrarily increases the complexity of attempting to process the sensor driven input events in a different manner than the intended design. These constraints are not necessarily a problem for 3D applications that follow more of a 2D input paradigm, but it does limit flexibility. Vrui also does not have any support for fusing input data from separate sources.

### 3.4 MiddleVR

MiddleVR is closed source middleware that is designed to simplify the creation and deployment of VR applications. It is designed, like Vrui, to handle all aspects of building a VR application. It has an extensive supported input and output devices list that allows developers to seamlessly use a wide variety of devices. MiddleVR can use the Unity framework for output. Unity is an existing framework that allows applications to be easily ported between operating systems and even hardware architectures. The company that produces MiddleVR, im in VR, sells it as a product, so using it for commercial purposes or without watermarks is not free.

Since MiddleVR is closed source, it is not possible for the developer community to add support for more devices without the cooperation of im in VR. This slows the adoption of new hardware and makes it less appealing. It also does not expose the skeletal structure of the user and instead relies heavily on the idea of extending the concepts 2D pointing devices into 3D without treating the human body as a controller by itself. MiddleVR also lacks any sort of data fusion framework.

### 3.5 Virtual Reality Peripheral Network

The Virtual Reality Peripheral Network (VRPN) is an open source project that was released in 1998. It provides a device agnostic and network transparent server-client architecture for accessing virtual reality devices. VRPN uses device server nodes to wrap sensor specific functions into a common communication protocol and VR client nodes to listen to broadcast sensor data updates. It is

free and supports multiple operating system platforms.

VRPN currently only supports the kind of devices that extend the 2D pointing paradigm to 3D. Since it was created so long ago, it is not well suited to the skeletal model that is popular in current 3D input systems. While network transparency is a cool feature, it is not necessary in the style of systems that are currently used in the consumer sector. Like all of the other examples, there is no support for data fusion from multiple sensing sources since fusing multiple 3D pointers is not meaningful.

## CHAPTER 4

### Jester API Design

The overarching goal of Jester is to provide an API that simplifies the process of creating applications that utilize the human skeleton for input. It needs to be easy to map data from any skeletal position API, retrieve skeleton data in a usable format, setup sensor environments, and fuse and filter sensor data. The Jester API is designed to make these processes as straight forward as possible while allowing developers to create sensor wrappers and modify the fusion and filtering processes easily. All of this functionality must be provided in an manner that minimizes the coding overhead for initializing the Jester system and does not impair the performance of the application.

While it is possible to create a generic data fuser that merges incoming data from different sources into the same skeleton for most situations, it is not possible to create a fuser that will work for every possible system setup. For instance, the Leap Motion Controller cannot tell if the hand it is tracking is oriented palm up or palm down. This limitation means that its hand position will always be ambiguous since it cannot be sure which hand is being tracked when the user moves her hands into the the Leaps tracking box while reaching across her body [figure needed]. A second sensor is necessary if the application developer wishes to eliminate the ambiguity. In the case of the Jester sample application discussed in section [section needed], the PrimeSense Carmine is used to disambiguate hand position. The Carmine has long enough sensing range and a wide enough field

of view to capture and track the users entire skeleton but does not sense wrist position as accurately as the LeapMotion Controller. A default data fusion class could easily assign a higher weight to the Leaps wrist position and integrate the non-overlapping bones into the same skeleton. However, a true disambiguation requires the ability for the fuser to realize that the user is doing a cross body reach and reassign the wrist and finger data that the Leap associates with the left hand to the right hand as in figure [figure needed]. Situations like this illustrate the necessity of modular and developer programmable data fusion units as well as the unfortunate reality that there cannot be a universal data fusion solution.

There are many different kinds of sensors that can be used to track different parts of the human body that all have different strengths and limitations. Most sensors have different APIs for retrieving skeletal tracking. In order for a sensor abstraction system like Jester to see wide adoption, input support for a large number of sensors and APIs is required. Since Jester is not backed by a large company and will not have full time developer support it is critical that third party developers can create and share sensor wrappers without requiring changes to the core of Jester. Unfortunately, abstraction alone is not sufficient to create a truly sensor agnostic system because an application may require data that the sensors present are not capable of providing; for example a system with only a Leap present cannot resolve the users head position.

Jesters flexibility requirements necessitate that Jester provides a sensor abstraction that allows developers to create thin wrappers for any skeletal tracking API that are distributable separately from the Jester core API as well as easily adaptable data fusion classes. This chapter will cover the core Jester functionality: the datapath, internal skeletal representation, and spatial transformation systems. It will also explain the sensor abstraction, data fusion, and data filtering



architecture.

## 4.1 Jester Core

Jesters sensor wrappers and data fusion units need to be flexible in order to be useful. However, Jesters core functionality and abstraction base classes should remain relatively constant in order to ensure backwards compatibility with older sensor wrapper and fusion implementations. If the abstraction interfaces are designed correctly, the core should not need to be modified by developers. Therefore the Jester datapath, internal skeletal model, spatial transforms, and abstraction interfaces are kept separate from their implementations and are known as the Jester core. The Jester core is designed to be compiled into a library and does not need to be recompiled when new sensor wrappers or data fusion or filtering modules are created.

### 4.1.1 Data Path

Jester requires a data path that does not rely on sensors having any knowledge of the internal skeletal model or any other sensors that may present in order to allow sensor modules to be truly modular. So, there must be a black box style system for passing data from the sensor wrappers to the data fuser and then into the internal skeletal model.

The data path centers around a controller class. The controller is constructed with a pointer to a data fusion class factory that is chosen or implemented by the application developer. The Jester core comes with a data fusion implementation and factory that is adequate for straightforward fusion requirements; its design and implementation are discussed in section [section needed]. When the controller

is constructed, it invokes the data fusion factory and then constructs an instance of the scene class with a pointer to the factory. The scene class is the root of the hierarchical skeletal model and is responsible for constructing and providing access to the bones that make up the skeleton. The scene class functionality is explained in section [section needed]. The controller then sets the newly created scene class to be the root space of the data fusion module in order to provide a common space in which to unite measurements and allow the fuser to cooperate with the scene to resolve race conditions discussed later. The controller, and therefore the scene and skeleton, must be constructed before individual sensors because sensors are a part of the scene graph along with the skeleton. The scene graph will be thoroughly explained in section [section needed], but, briefly, placing sensors in the scene graph allows sensors to be mounted to body parts and automatically handled by the space unification system discussed in section [section needed].

Once the controller and scene are initialized, the application constructs the sensor classes for the sensors that are present in the system. Jester does not detect available sensors because there are simply too many different kinds and some setup specific manual configuration, discussed in section [section needed], is necessary. The sensor base class constructor requires pointers to the sensors scene graph parent and the controller. The scene graph parent pointer is necessary to provide a spatial context for the sensor measurements and will be discussed in sections [section required] and [section required]. The Jester controller provides a pair of functions called `Controller::suggestBoneInfo(...)` and `Controller::suggestJointInfo(...)` that allow the sensor class to asynchronously feed data into Jester when it arrives from the sensor API and without any knowledge of the timing issues, discussed in section [section needed] that arise from

having multiple sensors present in the same system.

When the `Controller::suggestBoneInfo(...)` or `Controller::suggestJointInfo(...)` are called the controller invokes the `DataFusionModule::newData(...)` function using the pointer to the data fusion module that was provided at the time of its construction with the same arguments that were received by the controller. The data fusion module is responsible for storing the new skeletal data internally and fusing it asynchronously with data from other sensors in the system to form a complete skeletal model. The data storage and fusion process will be explained in section [section required].

Once the sensor data has been fused into a cohesive skeletal model, the only remaining step is to provide access for applications. The controller provides a getter function to retrieve a pointer to the scene root class. The scene root is the parent of the skeleton and contains accessor methods to retrieve the individual bone positions. The process of initializing the Jester core and retrieving the skeleton for the first time requires less than 10 lines of code.

#### 4.1.2 Scene Graph and Hierarchical Skeletal Model

In computer graphics and animation the human skeleton is generally represented as a hierarchical model where each bone position depends on the position of the previous bone. Hierarchical modeling is a good analog to how the human skeleton actually behaves. For example if a person swivels her upper arm, or humerus, at her shoulder but does not change her elbow or wrist angle the position of her hand still changes. Rather than marching down the chain of bones affected by the humerus and updating their 3D position every time there is a small change in parent position it is much more efficient to store each bones position relative to

its parent. With relative positioning, parent position changes are automatically reflected when the child position is resolved.

In Jester, each bone stores a length, 3D start position in reference to the end of the parent, and an orientation as a quaternion with respect to the parent orientation. Each bone creates its own space where the bone runs down the positive Z axis. In order to take a point in one bones space and transform it to its parents space Jester creates a 4x4 matrix by translating an identity matrix by the bones starting position and then multiplying the new matrix by a rotation matrix derived from the bone orientation quaternion. The resulting matrix is known as the transform for the bones space and can translate any point from the bones space to its parents space. To transform a point from bone space all the way to world space, or the root space for the application, the 4x4 transforms for each bone in the hierarchy are multiplied to form one world transform. Similarly, the rotation of a bone in its parents space, and, by extension, world space, can be determined by multiplying the orientation quaternions together until the desired space is reached. Rendering a bone in OpenGL is as simple as rendering a cylindrical triangle mesh with a model matrix that is defined by scaling the bones world space transform by the whatever width the developer desires and the bones internally stored length.

The skeletal bones themselves compose just one part of the scene graph provided by Jester. All components of the scene graph are children of the parent class `Jester::SceneGraphNode`. `Jester::SceneGraphNode` provides the base functionality for calculating the local and world position, orientation, and transform. It also tracks the nodes children and parent. Providing a generic base class for the Jester scene graph allows developers to easily incorporate the Jester scene graph into their application rather than building a custom one. If developers

want to add things besides sensors to the scene graph they just have to extend the `Jester::SceneGraphNode` superclass.

Jesters abstract `Sensor` class is a subclass of `Jester::SceneGraphNode`. Placing Sensors in the scene graph greatly simplifies the process of merging the data from different sensors. Most sensors provide bone data with respect to their space; meaning that if the sensor is moved but the sensed bone is not the sensor will think that the bone is what moved. Similarly, two identical sensors placed side by side will track the same bones but report slightly different positions. Most sensors are statically mounted on tabletops or walls, but it makes sense to mount some sensors on the human skeleton itself. For instance, a user could mount a hand tracking sensor on her head mounted display so that she could turn to look at virtual objects and then interact with them naturally without worrying about the sensor field of view like she would if it was placed on a desk. Including sensors in the scene graph makes it possible to simply use the world space transform provided by `Jester::SceneGraphNode` to move sensed bone positions into Jesters world space.

The root of the Jester scene graph is the `Jester::Scene` class. It provides the base space for all scene graph nodes as well as accessor functionality for the bones that make up the skeleton. While the `Jester::SceneGraphNode` class provides access to an `std::iterator` that makes it possible to traverse the skeleton by starting at the scene root and recursively iterating over all of the children of each node, that process would be unnecessarily cumbersome if the user just wants to access a specific bone. So, the scene class provides a function called `Scene::getBone(...)` that takes the ID of the desired bone and returns a pointer to the correct bone object.

The scene class also cooperates with the data fuser to provide a consistent

and up to date skeleton. If the data fuser actively modified the same bone objects that are in the scene, there is a possibility that applications could render an inconsistent skeleton. Since both the data fuser and applications access the skeleton in pieces and are operating concurrently in separate threads, there is an inherent race condition. If a single threaded client application is attempting to render the current position of the users right arm, it will access the position of the humerus, then the position of the radius, and then the fingers. If the data fuser updates the position of the arm before the client accesses the radius but after the position of the humerus, the bones could be rendered disconnected from each other.

Jester solves the inconsistency problem by using a double buffering approach. The scene holds two sets of skeletons; one for the data fuser to update and one for the client application to read. Both skeletons are updated whenever the `SceneGraphNode::addChild(...)` or `SceneGraphNode::removeChild(...)` functions are called. The data fuser notifies the scene when it is about to modify the skeleton by calling `Scene::beginSkeletonUpdate()` and when it is done by calling `Scene::finishSkeletonUpdate()`. Locking the fusion skeleton tells the scene that it should not swap the skeletons while the data fuser is in the process of changing data. Client applications call `Scene::refreshSkeleton()` when they want the most up to date complete skeleton. `Scene::refreshSkeleton()` checks if the skeleton is currently being updated. If it is, then the client thread is blocked until the data fuser is done updating or a short timeout expires. The timeout allows the client application to not stall for long if the data fuser is hanging in order to help ensure that the client UI does not lock. Once the block is released without timeout or if the skeleton was not currently being updated, the scene enables a lock in `Scene::beginSkeletonUpdate()` to make the fuser wait, swaps the two skeletons so

that the client application gets the most up to date data and the data fuser gets a new skeleton to modify, and disables the lock in `Scene::beginSkeltonUpdate()` to allow the fuser to continue. Double buffering in this manner allows Jester to support multithreaded data fusion while preventing the possibility of providing an inconsistent skeleton.

#### 4.1.3 Joints

The position of many bones in the human body can be determined using the joints that they connect. For example, the position of the radius can be completely determined using the position of the wrist and elbow joints. Many sensors, like the PrimeSense Carmine, report skeletal position using joints instead of bones. So, the Jester framework accepts skeletal data as bone position or joint position. Bone position maps directly into the Jester skeleton, but joint data requires some special handling.

Jester has convenience functions to handle the resolution of bone position from the position of two joints. The `Jester::Bone` class provides enumerations for all of the bones that are supported by Jester and all of the joints that can be used to define those bones as well as a map that takes a bone ID and returns the pair of joint IDs that defines it. The Jester fusion module superclass, `Jester::DataFusionModule`, provides a function called `setSkeletonFromJoints(...)` that loops through the bones that are supported by Jester and checks to see if the joints mapped to each bone were provided. If both Joints were provided, the function simply sets the bone to start at the start joint and terminate at the end joint. Since not all sensors provide information about all of the joints supported by Jester, it is possible that a bone could be missing one or both joints. It is useful to provide default joint positions that can be used if joints are missing. For ex-

ample, if a system only uses a LeapMotion Controller, it will be able to track the users wrist position but not his elbow. Jester can still create a skeleton with just the wrist positions by using the default joint positions for the unmapped joints. Assuming default joint position frequently results in distorted bone lengths, but it is not possible to infer joint position without a true inverse kinematics engine. We have decided that, for Jester, it is better to provide a possibly inaccurate skeleton than to force users to have sensors tracking every joint in order to get any data.

## 4.2 Plugin Architecture

The Jester core provides the functionality that is

### 4.2.1 Sensor Wrappers

set position, orientation initialize factory paradigm (maybe save for impl)

### 4.2.2 Sensor Fusion

Basic update skeleton and check timeout functionality Filter initialization from client Basic fusion based on weight Skeleton history and justification locking explanation

### 4.2.3 Data Filtering

init update that takes bone endpoint why quaternions are evil