

Survey of Wine Preferences in Beijing and Hong Kong

October 08, 2014

Kevin Soucy

Summary

We found differences between implementers in Beijing and Hong Kong. The implementers from Beijing and Hong Kong differed, respectively, in the number of wine certifications/qualifications (4% vs 36%), interest in learning more about wine service (78% vs. 44%), and preference in learning more about wine via leisure reading (81% vs. 52%). Also, Hong Kong had a higher median number of years working in the industry (10.0 vs. 3.0) and the total score on the wine knowledge quiz (5.0 vs. 3.0), when compared to Beijing.

I. Introduction

In the Summer of 2008, implementers and decision-makers in the wine business in the Beijing and Hong Kong markets completed a survey on wine. The survey included questions about the types of wines sold in the respective markets, length and quality of wine education, specific areas of knowledge relating to wine, areas for further learning about wine, and other industry related questions.

In this report, we compare the overall level of education related to, and interest in, wine between the implementers in the Beijing and Hong Kong markets. There were nine survey items which seemed to best capture the level of education (both formal and informal) of wine knowledge and interest in learning more about wine. Of the nine survey questions, some contained sub-categorical questions. These include: Source of knowledge on wine (1st mention), satisfaction with formal wine course, have wine certification/qualification, level of interest in learning more about wine, areas of wine that would like to learn more, and preferred format to learn more about wine. These categorical questions were analyzed on each of the sub items by a Fisher's exact test to determine if the markets differed significantly. The number of responses question were small enough that a Fisher's exact test, rather than a Chi-square test, is the preferred evaluation method.

The remaining survey items in our analysis were numerical ranks: Number of years working in the industry, confidence with wine knowledge, and total score of wine knowledge quiz. These questions were analyzed using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test to determine differences in medians between the markets as differences between observation were not necessarily normally distributed.

Results were deemed significant at $p < .05$.

II. Results

A. Fischer's Exact Test: Questions with Sub-categorical Answers.

A number of survey answers were composed of non-numerical responses to questions. These questions were analyzed using Fischer's exact test. For each sub-question, a contingency table was created in SAS that mapped Hong Kong and Beijing to their respective yes/agree or no/disagree responses. Then, SAS was used for the analysis with results output for a Fischer's Exact Test. We used a null hypothesis that implementers in Hong Kong and Beijing would have equal response counts for each sub-question. The null hypothesis would be proven wrong if our results from Fischer's Exact Test were significant at $p < 0.05$.

Respondents to the survey were asked to name a "source of knowledge on wine" and their first mention was recorded. We calculated p-values using Fischer's Exact Test. Results are summarized in Table 1 in the appendix. Resulting p-values ranged from 0.24 to 1.00 (a p-value of 1 was due to rounding in SAS output). Therefore, the null hypothesis was not rejected for any sub question.

Respondents were also asked about their "satisfaction with formal wine courses" in their respective countries. Results are summarized in Table 2. Resulting p-values range from 0.36 to 0.58. Again, our null hypothesis was not rejected.

Another question asked of implementers in the survey was whether they had any wine certifications or qualifications. Results are summarized in Table 3 in the appendix. With a calculated p-value of 0.0042, we can reject our null hypothesis. Implementers in Hong Kong have statistically significant more certifications/qualifications than implementers in Beijing.

Respondents were asked to rate their level of interest in learning more about wine. Overall, there was only one response of "Low" and, thus, this response was not considered for comparison. There were responses of "Medium" and "High", but with p-values of 0.28 for each Fischer's Exact test, there was not a statistically significant difference in responses between Beijing and Hong Kong. Respondent answers are summarized in Table 4.

Implementers were also asked about areas of wine that they'd like to learn more about. Results are included in Table 5. Fischer's Exact Test resulted in our null hypothesis holding true for all answers except "Wine service- how to serve wine", where, with a p-value of 0.02, we were able to reject the null hypothesis with more respondents in Beijing answering yes to this question.

Respondents were asked about their preferred format for learning more about wine. Results are summarized in Table 6. There was no statistically significant difference for most of the answers and our null hypothesis held, except for when asked about "learning via leisure reading", where we were able to reject our null hypothesis with a p-value of 0.0379.

B. Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test: Numerical Rank Responses.

Two of the survey items in our analysis were composed of interval scales: the number of years working in the industry and total score of a wine knowledge quiz. One survey item was composed on an ordinal scale: confidence with wine knowledge. These survey items were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (also known as Mann-Whitney U test), since we could not assume the populations are normally distributed. We used a null hypothesis that implementers in Hong Kong and Beijing would have equal medians. The null hypothesis would be proven wrong if our results from Wilcoxon rank-sum test were significant at $p < 0.05$.

Respondents to the survey were asked their "number of years working in the industry". The median for the implementers in Beijing was 3.0 years compared to 10.0 years for the implementers in Hong Kong. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test gave a p-value of $1.374e-06$. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected. Results are summarized in Table 7. We conclude that the median was significantly higher for the Hong Kong implementers compared to the Beijing implementers.

Respondents were also given a wine knowledge quiz and a total score was computed (higher score is better). The median for the implementers in Beijing was 3.0 compared to 5.0 for the

implementers in Hong Kong. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test gave a p-value of 0.000249. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected. Results are summarized in Table 8. We conclude that the median was significantly higher for the Hong Kong implementers compared to the Beijing implementers.

Respondents were also asked about their “confidence with wine knowledge”. The response was rated from 1 (“need to know a lot more to feel confident”) to 10 (“enough to feel very confident”) in increments of 1. The median for the implementers in Beijing was 6.0 compared to 7.0 for the implementers in Hong Kong. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test gave a p-value of 0.2316. Results are summarized in Table 9. The null hypothesis was not rejected.

iii. Conclusions

We found that the implementers in the wine business did not differ significantly between the Hong Kong and Beijing markets in the following survey items: source of knowledge on wine, satisfaction with formal wine courses, confidence with wine knowledge, and level of interest in learning more about wine.

There were significant differences in the number of wine certifications/qualifications (4% vs 36%), interest in learning more about wine service (78% vs. 44%), and preference in learning more about wine via leisure reading (81% vs. 52%), for the implementers in the Beijing and Hong Kong markets, respectively. In addition, the median was significantly different for the number of years working in the industry (3.0 vs. 10.0) and the total score of the wine knowledge quiz (3.0 vs. 5.0).

Appendix

Table 1. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for "Source of knowledge on wine"

A. Formal wine courses in the respective market

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Formal by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Formal	location				
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Formal wine courses in		18	23	41	Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	18
		34.62	44.23	78.85	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.2054
		43.90	56.10		Right-sided Pr >= F	0.9342
		72.00	85.19			
Formal wine courses in		7	4	11	Table Probability (P)	0.1397
		13.46	7.69	21.15	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.3170
		63.64	36.36			
		28.00	14.81			
Total		25	27	52		
		48.08	51.92	100.00		

B. Formal wine courses overseas

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Formal by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Formal	location				
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Formal wine courses overseas		25	24	49	Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	25
		48.08	46.15	94.23	Left-sided Pr <= F	1.0000
		51.02	48.98		Right-sided Pr >= F	0.1324
		100.00	88.89			
Formal wine courses overseas		0	3	3	Table Probability (P)	0.1324
		0.00	5.77	5.77	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.2364
		0.00	100.00			
		0.00	11.11			
Total		25	27	52		
		48.08	51.92	100.00		

C. Colleagues or supervisors

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Colleagues by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Colleagues	location				
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Colleagues or supervisors		16	14	30	Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	16
		30.77	26.92	57.69	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.8785
		53.33	46.67		Right-sided Pr >= F	0.2730
		64.00	51.85			
Colleagues or supervisors		9	13	22	Table Probability (P)	0.1515
		17.31	25.00	42.31	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.4130
		40.91	59.09			
		36.00	48.15			
Total		25	27	52		
		48.08	51.92	100.00		

D. Books and publications

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Books by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Books	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	21
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Books and publications	21	25	46	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.2973
		40.38	48.08	88.46		
		45.65	54.35			
		84.00	92.59			
	Books and publications	4	2	6	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.9208
		7.69	3.85	11.54		
		66.67	33.33			
16.00		7.41				
Total	25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.2181	
	48.08	51.92	100.00			
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.4109

E. Winery brand representatives

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Winery by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Winery	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	21
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Winery brand representatives	21	22	43	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.7262
		40.38	42.31	82.69		
		48.84	51.16			
		84.00	81.48			
	Winery brand representatives	4	5	9	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.5514
		7.69	9.62	17.31		
		44.44	55.56			
16.00		18.52				
Total	25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.2776	
	48.08	51.92	100.00			
					Two-sided Pr <= P	1.0000

F. Wine tasting events

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Wine by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Wine	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	24
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Wine tasting events	24	27	51	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.4808
		46.15	51.92	98.08		
		47.06	52.94			
		96.00	100.00			
	Wine tasting events	1	0	1	Right-sided Pr >= F	1.0000
		1.92	0.00	1.92		
		100.00	0.00			
4.00		0.00				
Total	25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.4808	
	48.08	51.92	100.00			
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.4808

Table 2. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for "Satisfaction with formal wine courses"

A. Very dissatisfied

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Very by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Very	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	9
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Very dissatisfied	9 64.29 69.23 100.00	4 28.57 30.77 80.00	13 92.86	Left-sided Pr <= F	1.0000
	Very dissatisfied	0 0.00 0.00 0.00	1 7.14 100.00 20.00	1 7.14	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.3571
	Total	9 64.29	5 35.71	14 100.00	Table Probability (P)	0.3571
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.3571

B. Neither

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Neither by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Neither	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	7
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	7 50.00 58.33 77.78	5 35.71 41.67 100.00	12 85.71	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.3956
	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2 14.29 100.00 22.22	0 0.00 0.00 0.00	2 14.29	Right-sided Pr >= F	1.0000
	Total	9 64.29	5 35.71	14 100.00	Table Probability (P)	0.3956
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.5055

C. Quite

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Quite by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Quite	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	2
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
	Not Quite satisfied	2 14.29 50.00 22.22	2 14.29 50.00 40.00	4 28.57	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.4545
	Quite satisfied	7 50.00 70.00 77.78	3 21.43 30.00 60.00	10 71.43	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.9051
	Total	9 64.29	5 35.71	14 100.00	Table Probability (P)	0.3596
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.5804

D. Very satisfied

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Very by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Very	location				
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Very satisfied		9	4	13	Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	9
		64.29	28.57	92.86	Left-sided Pr <= F	1.0000
		69.23	30.77		Right-sided Pr >= F	0.3571
		100.00	80.00			
Very satisfied		0	1	1	Table Probability (P)	0.3571
		0.00	7.14	7.14	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.3571
		0.00	100.00			
		0.00	20.00			
Total		9	5	14		
		64.29	35.71	100.00		

Table 3. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for wine certifications or qualifications

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Yes by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Yes	location				
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Yes		16	26	42	Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	16
		30.77	50.00	80.77	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.0037
		38.10	61.90		Right-sided Pr >= F	0.9998
		64.00	96.30			
Yes		9	1	10	Table Probability (P)	0.0035
		17.31	1.92	19.23	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.0042
		90.00	10.00			
		36.00	3.70			
Total		25	27	52		
		48.08	51.92	100.00		

Table 4. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for "Interest in learning more about wine"

A. High

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of High by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	High	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	9
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.1922
	Not High	9 17.31 39.13 36.00	14 26.92 60.87 51.85	23 44.23	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.9239
	High	16 30.77 55.17 64.00	13 25.00 44.83 48.15	29 55.77	Table Probability (P)	0.1161
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.2781

B. Medium

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Medium by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Medium	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	16
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.9239
	Not Medium	16 30.77 55.17 64.00	13 25.00 44.83 48.15	29 55.77	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.1922
	Medium	9 17.31 39.13 36.00	14 26.92 60.87 51.85	23 44.23	Table Probability (P)	0.1161
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.2781

Table 5. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for "Areas of wine they would like to learn more"

A. Wine regions

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Wine by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Wine	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	9
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Wine regions - characteristics of wine from different regions		9	6	15	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.9198
		17.31	11.54	28.85		
		60.00	40.00			
		36.00	22.22			
Wine regions - characteristics of wine from different regions		16	21	37	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.2151
		30.77	40.38	71.15		
		43.24	56.76			
		64.00	77.78			
Total		25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.1349
		48.08	51.92	100.00		
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.3623

B. Wine tasting skills

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Wine by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Wine	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	17
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Wine tasting skills		17	12	29	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.9772
		32.69	23.08	55.77		
		58.62	41.38			
		68.00	44.44			
Wine tasting skills		8	15	23	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.0761
		15.38	28.85	44.23		
		34.78	65.22			
		32.00	55.56			
Total		25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.0533
		48.08	51.92	100.00		
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.1028

C. How to serve wine

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Wine by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Wine	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	14
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total		
Not Wine service - how to serve wine		14	6	20	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.9976
		26.92	11.54	38.46		
		70.00	30.00			
		56.00	22.22			
Wine service - how to serve wine		11	21	32	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.0129
		21.15	40.38	61.54		
		34.38	65.63			
		44.00	77.78			
Total		25	27	52	Table Probability (P)	0.0105
		48.08	51.92	100.00		
					Two-sided Pr <= P	0.0217

D. Food and wine matching

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Food by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Food	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	8
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.9258
	Not Food and wine matching	8 15.38 61.54 32.00	5 9.62 38.46 18.52	13 25.00	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.2117
	Food and wine matching	17 32.69 43.59 68.00	22 42.31 56.41 81.48	39 75.00	Table Probability (P)	0.1375
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.3425

Table 6. Contingency Table and Fischer's Exact Test Results for "preferred format for learning more about wine"

A. Courses at school

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Attend by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Attend	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	
	Not Attend courses at school	12 23.08 38.71 48.00	19 36.54 61.29 70.37	31 59.62	Right-sided Pr >= F	
	Attend courses at school	13 25.00 61.90 52.00	8 15.38 38.10 29.63	21 40.38	Table Probability (P)	
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	

B. Online courses

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Attend by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Attend	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	
	Not Attend online courses	23 44.23 52.27 92.00	21 40.38 47.73 77.78	44 84.62	Right-sided Pr >= F	
	Attend online courses	2 3.85 25.00 8.00	6 11.54 75.00 22.22	8 15.38	Table Probability (P)	
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	

C. Leisure reading

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Learn by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Learn	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	
	Not Learn via leisure reading	12 23.08 70.59 48.00	5 9.62 29.41 18.52	17 32.69	Right-sided Pr >= F	
	Learn via leisure reading	13 25.00 37.14 52.00	22 42.31 62.86 81.48	35 67.31	Table Probability (P)	
	Total	25 48.08	27 51.92	52 100.00	Two-sided Pr <= P	

D. Wine tasting events

Frequency Percent Row Pct Col Pct	Table of Attend by location				Fisher's Exact Test	
	Attend	location			Cell (1,1) Frequency (F)	8
		HongKong-IMPLEMENTERS	China-IMPLEMENTERS	Total	Left-sided Pr <= F	0.2628
	Not Attend wine tasting events	8	12	20	Right-sided Pr >= F	0.8865
		15.38	23.08	38.46		
		40.00	60.00			
		32.00	44.44			
	Attend wine tasting events	17	15	32	Table Probability (P)	0.1492
		32.69	28.85	61.54		
		53.13	46.88			
		68.00	55.56			
	Total	25	27	52	Two-sided Pr <= P	0.4039
		48.08	51.92	100.00		

Table 7. Exact Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Results for “Number of years working in the industry”

	Median	Mean	Wilcoxon W	Z-value	p-value
Beijing	3.00	4.67	86	-4.52	1.37e-06
Hong Kong	10.00	10.92			

Table 8. Exact Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Results for Total Score of “Wine Knowledge Quiz”

	Median	Mean	Wilcoxon W	Z-value	p-value
Beijing	3.00	3.22	146	-3.55	0.000249
Hong Kong	5.00	5.00			

Table 9. Exact Wilcoxon Rank-Sum Test Results for “Confidence with wine knowledge”

	Median	Mean	Wilcoxon W	Z-value	p-value
Beijing	6.00	5.74	273	-1.21	0.23
Hong Kong	7.00	6.76			