

# Universal Quantification

# Mapping (an aside)

# What do we mean by “mapping”

- What do we mean when we say an implication maps from a *proof of P* to a *proof of Q*?
  - $P \rightarrow Q$
  - Imagine the implication as directions telling you how to get from  $P$  to  $Q$  (e.g., from the bookstore to Rice Hall)
  - In this case, the directions are only useful if you are at the bookstore, or know how to get to the bookstore
- What do we mean when we say a function maps from  $A$  to  $B$ ?
  - This is more general — a function mapping from the natural numbers to the booleans means the function takes a natural number as an input (the *domain*) and returns a boolean (the *range*)

# Caution about use of the word “mapping”

- Lean (and other languages) have *maps* that take specific sets of inputs and return specific sets of outputs
  - For example, a phone book could be a (rather large) map — it maps names to phone numbers
  - A dictionary is another example
- We will not be discussing these types of maps in more detail yet

# Universal Quantification

# Notation

- In general mathematics:
  - $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: n + 1 \neq 0$
- In Lean:
  - $\forall(n : \text{nat}), n + 1 \neq 0$ 
    - Parentheses around  $(n : \text{nat})$  are optional
- Read this as:
  - “For all  $n$  that are members of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $n + 1$  is not equal to zero”
- This is just another proposition
  - `#check  $\forall n : \text{nat}, n + 1 \neq 0$`

# Fly You Fools!

- “You can fool all of the people some of the time...”

```
variables People Time: Type  
variable fool: People → Time → Prop
```

```
#check ∀(p: People), ∃(t: Time), (fool p t)  
#check ∃(t: Time), ∀(p: People), (fool p t)
```

- “...and you can fool some of the people all of the time.”

```
#check ∀(t: Time), ∃(p: People), (fool p t)  
#check ∃(p: People), ∀(t: Time), (fool p t)
```

# Universal Quantification Introduction Rule

- Unlike with equality (`eq.refl`) we don't have a simple introduction rule for universal quantification
- Instead we have an algorithm: for a type  $T$  and predicate  $Q$  that takes an instance of type  $T$ , if we want to prove  $\forall(t: T), (Q t)$ , we
  - Assume we have an arbitrary  $t$  of type  $T$
  - Prove that from that assumption the predicate  $Q$  holds for that arbitrary  $t$

# Proof (with tactic notation)

```
example: ∀(P : Prop), ¬(P ∧ ¬P) :=  
begin  
  assume P,  
  assume pfPAndNotP,  
  have pfP := pfPAndNotP.1,  
  have pfNotP := and.elim_right pfPAndNotP,  
  -- pfNotP "maps" a proof of P to false  
  exact pfNotP pfP,  
end
```

# Proof (with lambda notation)

```
example:  $\forall(P : \text{Prop}), \neg(P \wedge \neg P) :=$ 
  -- assume an arbitrary proposition
   $\lambda P : \text{Prop},$ 
    -- assume it's both true and false
     $\lambda h : (P \wedge \neg P),$ 
      -- derive a contradiction
      (h.right h.left : false)
      -- thereby proving  $\neg h$ 
```

# Proof 2 (with tactic notation)

```
example: ∀(n : nat), n + 1 ≠ 0 :=  
begin  
  assume n, -- assumes an arbitrary nat, n  
  -- assume proof of n + 1 = 0  
  assume pfNPlus1IsZero,  
  -- show it “maps” to false  
  exact (nat.no_confusion pfNPlus1IsZero),  
end
```

# Proof 2 (with lambda notation)

```
example: ∀(n : nat), n + 1 ≠ 0 :=  
  -- assumes an arbitrary nat, n  
  λ n : nat,  
    -- assume proof of n + 1 = 0  
    λ h : (n + 1 = 0),  
      -- show it “maps” to false  
      (nat.no_confusion h : false)  
      -- therefore n + 1 ≠ 0
```

# Yet another example

```
-- Axiom of the Excluded Middle
axiom AoEM: ∀(P: Prop), P ∨ ¬P

example: ∀(n : nat), ∀(m : nat), m = n ∨ m ≠ n :=
begin
  assume n : nat,
  -- the context now includes n
  assume m : nat,
  -- the context now also has m
  cases (AoEM (m = n)) with pfMEqN pfMNotEqN,
  -- case m = n
  exact or.inl pfMEqN,
  -- case m ≠ n
  exact or.inr pfMNotEqN,
end
```

# Ariane 5 (flight 501)

- Code from Ariane 4 was reused in Ariane 5
- Converts 64-bit floating-point number to 16-bit integer
- Ariane 5 has faster engines, resulting in 64-bit floating-point number being larger
- Overflows the 16-bit integer
- Crashes the flight computer, then the rocket
  - Backup computer crashes first, followed by primary computer 50 ms later
  - Result ends up driving engines too hard
  - Rocket disintegrates 40 s after launch



<https://www.wired.com/2005/11/historys-worst-software-bugs/>

# Forall as implication

- What does  $\forall (p : P), Q$  mean?

```
variables P Q : Prop
```

```
#check (forall (p : P), Q)
```

```
[Lean] P → Q : Prop
```

- How is this the same as an implication?

```
lemma same : (forall (p : P), Q) = (P → Q) := rfl
```

# Forall as implication (2)

```
variable ap2q : ( $\forall$ (p : P), Q)
```

- Assume a proof of P.

```
variable p : P
```

- What is the type of (ap2q p)?

```
#check ap2q p
```

- Q
- $\forall$ (p : P), Q is thus a mapping of total functions from P to Q

# So, why not use implication?

- Forall lets us name our assumed value

```
#check ∀(n : nat), n = 0 ∨ n ≠ 0.
```

- For some cases, implications make more sense, in other cases we will use forall.
  - If both work, there's not necessarily a “better” way

# Nested bindings

- #check  $\forall (p: P), (\forall (q: Q), R)$
- #check  $\forall (n: \mathbb{N}), (\forall (m: \mathbb{N}), m + n \geq 0)$
- Nesting bindings will become more useful when we introduce existential quantifiers!
  - Fun question: what does the phrase “you can fool all of the people some of the time and some of the people all of the time” mean?

# Universal Quantification Elimination Rule

- As with introduction, there is not a named elimination rule, but rather a simple algorithm
- To use an existing proof (i.e., a fact in the antecedent or “above the turnstile” for a goal), the approach is to *instantiate* the universal quantifier with a specific value
- Remember that the universal quantifier is a *mapping* from an arbitrary instance of the type being quantified over to the body of the universal quantifier

# Example Elimination

```
variable Q: ℕ → Prop
```

```
example: (forall (n: ℕ), (Q n)) → (Q 3) :=
```

```
begin
```

```
  assume pfQForallN,
```

```
  exact pfQForallN 3
```

```
end
```

Fin