



Introduction Rule

• There is no introduction rule for false

• Exercise: Why not?

Elimination Rule

- From false, you can prove anything
 - Anything! Bwahahaha
- In practice, this often comes up in proofs of contradiction
 - If you assume the opposite of what you're trying to prove and end up with a proof of false, then you've successfully proved what you were trying to prove
- Textual inference rule:

$$\frac{\{P: Prop\}(f:false)}{P} false.elim$$

Example

```
axiom falseIsTrue: false
example: 0 = 1 :=
  false.elim falseIsTrue
```

- Really complicated, right?
- Side note: axioms are dangerous, use with care!
 - No, you are not allowed to create an axiom that false is true to help you with your homework or exams!



Introduction rule

- The introduction rule for a proof of true is trivial, it's just true.intro
 - It requires no arguments
- Textual inference rule:

$$\overline{true}$$
 $true.intro$

lemma trueIsTrue: true := true.intro

Elimination rule

• There is no elimination rule for true

• Exercise: why not?

