

CPSC 532W - Homework 1

September 23, 2021

1. Assume the random variables $\mathbf{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ follow the Poisson distribution, with the probability mass function:

$$f(\mathbf{X} \mid \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!}$$

Let the prior be the Gamma distribution with parameters (α, β) :

$$p(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}$$

Then the posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda \mid \mathbf{X}, \alpha, \beta) &\propto f(\mathbf{X} \mid \lambda) p(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \\ &\propto \lambda^{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha - 1)} e^{-(\beta + n)\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the posterior follows Gamma distribution with parameters $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha, \beta + n)$, so the Gamma distribution is conjugate to the Poisson distribution.

2. First, we show the Gibbs transition operator satisfies the detailed balance equation. Assume we want to obtain a sample \mathbf{x}' from $\mathbf{x} = (X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_i = c, \dots, X_n = x_n)$ from the joint distribution $p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ using Gibbs sampling. Suppose we randomly select the i th variable with probability π_i , then obtain \mathbf{x}' by replacing value x_i with conditional probability

$$\Pr[X_i = c' \mid \mathbf{x}_{-i}] = \Pr[X_i = c' \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$$

Therefore, the transition probability is

$$\Pr[\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x}] = \pi_i \Pr[X_i = c' | \mathbf{x}_{-i}]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x}] p(\mathbf{x}) &= \pi_i \Pr[X_i = c' | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] p(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \pi_i \Pr[X_i = c' | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] \Pr[X_i = c | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] p(\mathbf{x}_{-i}) \\ &= \pi_i \Pr[X_i = c | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] \Pr[X_i = c' | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] p(\mathbf{x}_{-i}) \\ &= \pi_i \Pr[X_i = c | \mathbf{x}_{-i}] p(\mathbf{x}') \\ &= \Pr[\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}'] p(\mathbf{x}') \\ \Rightarrow \Pr[\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x}] p(\mathbf{x}) &= \Pr[\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}'] p(\mathbf{x}') \end{aligned}$$

Here, we showed that the Gibbs transition operator satisfies the detailed balance equation.

To show this can be interpreted as an MH transition operator that always accepts, let $q(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})$ be the proposal distribution giving the probability of proposing \mathbf{x}' to \mathbf{x} . Since Metropolis-Hastings algorithm always accepts a proposed \mathbf{x}' if

$$u \leq \frac{p(\mathbf{x}') q(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})}$$

where u is any random number uniformly generated between 0 and 1.

We have proved that the detailed balance equation is satisfied in this case by applying Gibbs sampling, we know that

$$p(\mathbf{x}') q(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}') = p(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow \frac{p(\mathbf{x}') q(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})} = 1 \Rightarrow u \leq \frac{p(\mathbf{x}') q(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x}' | \mathbf{x})} \quad \forall u$$

Therefore, for any generated number u between 0 and 1, MH algorithm will always accept the proposed \mathbf{x}' .

3. (a) The code and result are:

```
## condition and marginalize:
p_C_given_W = np.ones(2)
num = 0
denom = 0

for s in range(2):
    for r in range(2):
        num += p[1, s, r, 1]

for c in range(2):
    for s in range(2):
        for r in range(2):
            denom += p[c, s, r, 1]

p_C_given_W[1] = num/denom
p_C_given_W[0] = 1 - p_C_given_W[1]

print('There is a {:.2f}% chance it is cloudy given the grass is wet'.format(p_C_given_W[1]*100))
```

Figure 1: Code for enumerating all possible states

There is a 57.58% chance it is cloudy given the grass is wet

Figure 2: Result for enumerating all possible states

(b) The code and result is:

```
num_samples = 10000
samples = np.zeros(num_samples)
rejections = 0
i = 0
while i < num_samples:
    u1 = uniform(0,1)
    if (u1 >= p_C(0)) :
        c = 1
    else :
        c = 0
    u2 = uniform(0, 1)
    u3 = uniform(0, 1)
    if (u2 >= p_S_given_C(0, c)) :
        s = 1
    else :
        s = 0
    if (u3 >= p_R_given_C(0, c)) :
        r = 1
    else :
        r = 0

    u4 = uniform(0, 1)
    if (u4 >= p_W_given_S_R(0, s, r)) :
        w = 1
    else :
        w = 0
    if w == 1:
        if c == 1:
            samples[i] = 1
        i += 1
    else :
        rejections += 1
print('The chance of it being cloudy given the grass is wet is {:.2f}%'.format(samples.mean()*100))
print(' {:.2f}% of the total samples were rejected'.format(100*rejections/(samples.shape[0]+rejections)))
```

Figure 3: Code for ancestral sampling and rejection

The chance of it being cloudy given the grass is wet is 57.72%
34.84% of the total samples were rejected

Figure 4: Result for ancestral sampling and rejection

(c) The code and result is :

```
##gibbs sampling
num_samples = 10000
samples = np.zeros(num_samples)
state = np.zeros(4, dtype='int')
#C, S, R, W, set W = True
state[3] = 1
i = 0
while i < num_samples:
    u = randint(3, size = 1)
    if u == 0:
        u1 = uniform(0, 1)
        if u1 >= p_C_given_S_R[0, state[1], state[2]]:
            state[0] = 1
            samples[i] = 1

    elif u == 1:
        u1 = uniform(0, 1)
        if u1 >= p_S_given_C_R_W[0, state[0], state[2], state[3]]:
            state[1] = 1
            if state[0] == 1:
                samples[i] = 1

    elif u == 2:
        u1 = uniform(0, 1)
        if u1 >= p_R_given_C_S_W[0, state[0], state[1], state[3]]:
            state[2] = 1
            if state[0] == 1:
                samples[i] = 1

    i += 1

print('The chance of it being cloudy given the grass is wet is {:.2f}%'.format(samples.mean()*100))
```

Figure 5: Code for Gibbs sampling

0.00% of the total samples were rejected
The chance of it being cloudy given the grass is wet is 55.09%

Figure 6: Result for Gibbs sampling

4. (a) Let the proposal distribution be $q(\cdot)$, then if any generated number u from uniform distribution $\text{Unif}(0, 1)$ satisfies

$$u \leq \frac{p(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}, \sigma^2, \alpha) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}, \sigma^2, \alpha) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})}$$

we accept the proposed \mathbf{w}' going from \mathbf{w} , and

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{p(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}, \sigma^2, \alpha) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}, \sigma^2, \alpha) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})} \\
&= \frac{p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2, \mathbf{w}', \alpha) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{p(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2, \mathbf{w}, \alpha) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | x_n, \sigma^2, \mathbf{w}') p(\mathbf{w}' | \alpha) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{\prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | x_n, \sigma^2, \mathbf{w}) p(\mathbf{w} | \alpha) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{n=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n - \mathbf{w}'^\top x_n)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}'^\top (\alpha \mathbf{I}_{d \times d})^{-1} \mathbf{w}'\right) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{\prod_{n=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top x_n)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^\top (\alpha \mathbf{I}_{d \times d})^{-1} \mathbf{w}\right) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})} \\
&= \frac{\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}'^\top x_n)^2 - \mathbf{w}'^\top \mathbf{w}'\right) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{\exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - \mathbf{w}^\top x_n)^2 - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}\right) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})} \\
&= \frac{\exp\left(-(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}')^\top (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}') - \mathbf{w}'^\top \mathbf{w}'\right) q(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{w}')}{\exp\left(-(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}) - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}\right) q(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w})}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Now we sample \mathbf{w}' by sampling for the k th component in \mathbf{w} :

$$p(\mathbf{w}' | \mathbf{w}) = p(w'_k | \mathbf{w}_{-k}) p(\mathbf{w})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\mathbf{w}) &= p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2, \alpha) \\
&\propto \prod_{n=1}^N p(t_n | \mathbf{w}, x_n, \sigma^2) p(\mathbf{w} | \alpha) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w})^\top (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{N \times N})^{-1} (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w})\right) \exp\left(-\mathbf{w}^\top (\alpha \mathbf{I}_{d \times d})^{-1} \mathbf{w}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(c) The posterior distribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\hat{\mathbf{t}} | \mathbf{t}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2, \alpha) &\propto \int p(\mathbf{w} | \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2, \alpha) p(\hat{\mathbf{t}} | \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2) d\mathbf{w} \\
&\propto \int p(\mathbf{w} | \alpha) p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2) p(\hat{\mathbf{t}} | \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2) d\mathbf{w}
\end{aligned}$$

and we know

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w} | \alpha &\sim N(\mathbf{0}, \alpha \mathbf{I}_{d \times d}) \\
\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2 &\sim N(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{N \times N}) \\
\mathbf{t}' | \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2 &\sim N(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{N' \times N'})
\end{aligned}$$