Peer Review

Review of Performance of Group Members

Each page contains a separate evaluation form for each of your team members. Complete one form/page for each member of your team other than yourself. Only complete as many pages as there are team members. Then save the document as a .pdf file and submit it in the appropriate assignment on Canvas.

Your name: Kevin Nguyen
Group Number: 3 (I think)
Anabolix

Name of Person	Being Evaluated:	Carter Reiff

1 – Not at all; 2 – A little; 3- Average; 4 – Substantial/Well; 5 – Very substantial/very well

Skill or Area	Score (1 to 5)
Communication with other team members (easy to reach, responded to	
emails, participated in meetings, etc.)	5
Worked well within the group	5
Contributed to the code of the project	3
Contributed to the software requirements and design document (RD)	5
Contributed to the implementation and testing document (IT)	5
Contributed to progress report	5
Contributed to the video/presentation	5

Comments - please justify your scores below and give as much information as you can:

Had some trouble with Android Studio at first but he got the hang of Android Studio and contributed to all of the documents

Name of Person Being Evaluated	: Tiffany Medina

1 – Not at all; 2 – A little; 3- Average; 4 – Substantial/Well; 5 – Very substantial/very well

Skill or Area	Score (1 to 5)
Communication with other team members (easy to reach, responded to	
emails, participated in meetings, etc.)	5
Worked well within the group	5
Contributed to the code of the project	5
Contributed to the software requirements and design document (RD)	5
Contributed to the implementation and testing document (IT)	5
Contributed to progress report	5
Contributed to the video/presentation	5

Comments - please justify your scores below and give as much information as you can:

Had trouble with Java at first but eventually figured it out. Asked for help when she needed to. Contributed to all of the documents.

lame of Person Being Evaluated	: Russel Lewis

1 – Not at all; 2 – A little; 3- Average; 4 – Substantial/Well; 5 – Very substantial/very well

Skill or Area	Score (1 to 5)
Communication with other team members (easy to reach, responded to	
emails, participated in meetings, etc.)	5
Worked well within the group	5
Contributed to the code of the project	5
Contributed to the software requirements and design document (RD)	5
Contributed to the implementation and testing document (IT)	5
Contributed to progress report	5
Contributed to the video/presentation	5

Comments - please justify your scores below and give as much information as you can:

Nothing to complain about. Very responsive and open to changing the plan of what to implement. Contributed to all the documents

Name of Person I	Being Evaluated:	Samuel Childers

1 – Not at all; 2 – A little; 3- Average; 4 – Substantial/Well; 5 – Very substantial/very well

Skill or Area	Score (1 to 5)
Communication with other team members (easy to reach, responded to	
emails, participated in meetings, etc.)	5
Worked well within the group	5
Contributed to the code of the project	5
Contributed to the software requirements and design document (RD)	5
Contributed to the implementation and testing document (IT)	5
Contributed to progress report	5
Contributed to the video/presentation	5

Comments - please justify your scores below and give as much information as you can:

Reached out for help when he needed it. Contributed to all the documents and contributed a lot to codebase.