### CS 213 – Software Methodology

Sesh Venugopal

Inheritance vs Delegation

### Why Inheritance?

 The design aspect of inheritance is to model the "IS A" relationship between objects

#### Examples:

- Car is a MotorVehicle (every car is a motor vehicle)
- MotorCycle is a MotorVehicle (every motorcycle is a motor vehicle)
- ColoredPoint is a Point (every colored point is a point)
- Zebra is a(n) animal (every zebra is an animal)
- Inheritance then allows class on the right hand side of the is a to "hand down" its code to the class on the left hand side
- The RHS class (e.g. MotorVehicle) is the superclass (base class) and LHS class (e.g. Car) is the subclass

### Why Inheritance?

- Handing down code results in <u>reuse</u>: there is only one copy to manage instead of two or more
- Creating an instance of Car does not automatically also create an instance of MotorVehicle. Inheritance does not mean that a subclass object (Car) has a superclass object (MotorVehicle) contained inside it. (A Car does not contain a MotorVehicle)
- Which of these "IS A" relationships are accurate?:
  - Square is a rectangle
  - Cube is a square
  - Student is a Person
  - Employee is a Person

#### Square is a Rectangle. But does inheritance work?

#### Square is a Rectangle. But does inheritance work?

There are two important reasons why the Square extends Rectangle DESIGN idea does not work:

- The Square class does not provide any new functionality
- The inherited method setSize is overridden in a way that restricts the set size behavior
- 1. For inheritance to be used correctly, the subclass must provide <u>ALL</u> the functionality (methods) of the superclass, AND more .

2. Also, if the subclass overrides an inherited method of the superclass, the overriding method must use ALL of the inherited method's implementation, and ADD more.

### Rectangle – Square Design Alternatives

Alternative 1: Code only a Rectangle class, and have it tell whether it is a square or not

```
public class Rectangle {
   public static final int DEFAULT_X = 100;
   public static final int DEFAULT_Y = 100;
   private int x=DEFAULT_X, y=DEFAULT_Y, w, h;
   private boolean isSquare = false;
   public Rectangle(int width, int height) {
      w = width; h = height;
   // to be used if Square is needed
                                            What if client "forgets" to use this constructor,
   public Rectangle(int side) {
                                           and uses the other constructor correctly
      w = h = side; isSquare = true;
                                            (by setting w = h), intending a square?
   }
                                            Rectangle would not know of the intention,
   public boolean isSquare() {
                                            leading to problems in usage.
      return isSquare;
   }
   public void setSize(int w, int h) {
      if (isSquare && w != h) {
         throw new IllegalArgumentException(
                    "w must be equal to h for square");
      this.w = w; this.h = h;
                                                                              6
                                      Sesh Venugopal
                                                   CS 213: Inheritance vs Delegation
```

### Rectangle – Square Design Alternatives

Alternative 2: Code a Rectangle class, and use <u>delegation (composition)</u> to have Square point to it

```
public class Rectangle {
                                                public class Square {
   public static final
                                                    private Rectangle rect;
      int DEFAULT_X = 100:
                                Square is <u>composed</u> of public Square(int side) {
   public static final
      int DEFAULT_Y = 100;
                                Rectangle instance,
                                                        rect = new Rectangle(side, side);
                                i.e. Square has a
   private int x=DEFAULT_X,
                                Rectangle
                                                    public void setSize(int side) {
            y=DEFAULT_Y, w, h;
                                                        rect.setSize(side, side);
   public Rectangle(int width,
                      int height) {
      w = width; h = height;
                                                             Rectangle-specific functionality is
                                                             delegated to Rectangle object
   public void setSize(int w, int h) {
      this.w = w; this.h = h;
```

Delegation/composition is a viable alternative to inheritance for code reuse

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {
    String name, address;
    contains a public class Student
    public class Employee
    extends Person {
        float gpa;
        contains a public class Employee
    extends Person {
        contains a public class Employee
        contains a public class Employee
    extends Person {
        contains a public class Employee
        contains a public class Employee
```

Scenario 1: A student graduates and becomes an employee of the university

Solution A: Replace Student object for this person with Employee object

- Data from Student object (e.g. transcripts) may need to be preserved, but there is no place for this in Employee object

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {
    String name, address;
    contains a public class Student
    public class Employee
    extends Person {
        float gpa;
        contains a public class Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains a public class Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains a public class Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains Employee
        contains Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains Employee
        contains Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains Employee
        contains Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains Employee
        contains Employee
        contains Employee
    extends Person {
            float salary;
        contains Employee
        c
```

Scenario 1: A student graduates and becomes an employee of the university

Solution B: Keep inactive Student object for this person, and create an active Employee object

- All Person-level data is duplicated in both objects (wasted space)
- Whenever a change is made to Person-level data in one (e.g. address), it must also be made in the other (drawback: tracking for synchronization)

This example from "Object-Oriented Design using Java" by Dale Skrien

```
public class Person {
    String name, address;
    contains a public class Student
    public class Employee
    extends Person {
        float gpa;
        float salary;
    }
}

public class Employee
extends Person {
        float salary;
        }
}
```

Scenario 2: A Student is also an employee at the same time

Solution: Keep active Student object for this person, as well as active Employee object

- All Person-level data is duplicated in both objects (wasted space)
- Whenever a change is made to Person-level data in one (e.g. address), it must also be made in the other (drawback: tracking for synchronization)

#### **OBSERVATION:**

Employee and Student are temporary ROLES played by Person

In situations like this, inheritance is not a good design.

Instead, composition/<u>delegation</u> is a better design alternative.

# Employee is a Person. Student is a Person. ROLES: Composition/Delegation

```
public class Person {
   private String name;
   private String address;
   public String getAddress() {
      return address;
   }
   ...
}
```

Employee can similarly refer to Person, delegating Person-specific tasks to the referenced Person object

If a student graduates and then becomes an employee, both inactive Student object and active Employee object can refer to same Person object. Thus, multiple roles played by the same person at the same, or different times, can be handled well by referencing/delegation.

### Delegation for Roles

If class B (e.g. Student) models a temporary role played by class A (e.g. Person), then B should not be a subclass of A.

Instead, B should reference A and use delegation to do A-specific stuff.