

01:198:344 - Homework III

Kev Sharma - kks107, Section 08

February 19, 2021

```
1. 1: procedure nSMALLEST( $n$  Arrays)
2:    $heap \leftarrow$  new MinHeap  $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
3:   for  $i = 1 \dots n$  do
4:      $\triangleright$  Populates the heap. Does  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$  work per iteration.  $\triangleleft$ 
5:      $pair \leftarrow (A_i[0], (A_i, 0))$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
6:      $heap.add(pair)$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ 
7:    $result \leftarrow$  new Array of size  $n$ 
8:    $indexResult \leftarrow 0$ 
9:   repeat
10:     $\triangleright$  Find  $n-1$  smallest elements among all arrays. Populates the first  $n-1$ 
        elements in result. Explanation found in correctness analysis.  $\triangleleft$ 
11:     $\triangleright$  Get smallest item from heap and its metadata. Populate result.  $\triangleleft$ 
12:     $pair \leftarrow heap.find-min()$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
13:     $result[indexResult] \leftarrow pair.key$ 
14:     $indexResult \leftarrow indexResult + 1$ 
15:     $\triangleright$  Replace smallest element. For the element that we remove, insert its
        successor from that same array into heap.  $\triangleleft$ 
16:     $heap.delete-min()$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ 
17:     $arrayOfMin \leftarrow pair.value.array$ 
18:     $successor \leftarrow pair.value.index+1$ 
19:     $pair \leftarrow (arrayOfMin[successor], (arrayOfMin, successor))$ 
20:     $heap.add(pair)$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ 
21:  until  $indexResult = n - 1$ 
22:   $pair \leftarrow heap.find-min()$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ 
23:   $result[indexResult] \leftarrow pair.key$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ , populates  $n$ th element in result.
24:  return  $result$ 
```

Run-time Analysis:

- Each heap operation is commented to include its run-time as discussed in class.
- The first for loop does $c * \log(n)$ work per iteration. Hence we have $n * c * \log(n)$ work which equals $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$ work. The repeat loop also does $c * \log(n)$ work per iteration for $n - 1$ iterations. Hence we have $(n - 1) * c * \log(n)$ work for the second loop = $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$. Line 22 takes $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ time.
- In total, our run-time is $2 * \mathcal{O}(n \log(n)) + \mathcal{O}(\log(n)) = \mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$.

Correctness Analysis:

- We populate *heap* with the smallest elements from each array passed to us. Note that these elements will each occur at index zero of their respective arrays.
- Likewise, each (key,value) *pair* inserted into the heap will contain the smallest element of an array as the key and metadata on where that key comes from in the value.
- The value is a two-tuple of the form (array from where key originates, index of key in said array). *heap* compares *pair*.key for adding and removing. *heap* only ever maintains a maximum of n elements after the for loop on line 3 terminates (one item in *heap* per array passed).
- The repeat-until loop uses the populated *heap* from the for loop to get the minimum element from all arrays (min of *heap*) and then replaces it in the heap so that there are always n items in the heap where each item represents the smallest element from each array that has not already been added to *result*.
- We refresh the heap with a successor element using the metadata that *pair* stores about the minimum element on line 12. If *pair* is $(3, (A_5, 2))$, then we know the smallest item not in *result* already is the value 3 from the fifth array at index 2 in that array (indexing starts with 0).
- We populate the last element in *result* outside the repeat loop because it could be the case that some array x contains the n minimum elements desired. Hence if we iterated n times in the repeat-loop in this case, line 19 would access the $n\text{th} + 1$ element in x . This could cause a stack overflow error.
- The repeat loop terminates after we initialize the first $n - 2$ entries in B . Line 23 then initializes the last (index $n - 1$) entry in B .

```

2. 1: procedure LONGESTSERIALSUBSEQUENCE(A)
   2:   longest  $\leftarrow$  1
   3:   D  $\leftarrow$  new Dictionary
   4:   for i = 0  $\dots$  n - 1 do
   5:     value  $\leftarrow$  D.search(A[i] - 1)            $\triangleright$  Dictionary.search =  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
   6:     if value  $\neq$  NIL then
   7:       D.add(A[i], value + 1)                    $\triangleright$  Dictionary.add =  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
   8:       longest  $\leftarrow$  max(longest, value + 1)
   9:     else
  10:       D.add(A[i], 1)                              $\triangleright$  Dictionary.add =  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ 
  11:   return longest

```

Run-time Analysis:

- Lines 5 and 7 or 10, where we perform operations on dictionary *D* each take $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time.
- The remaining statements inside the for loop take constant time as well.
- There are *n* iterations of the for loop [0,n-1] and we perform a constant amount of work on each iteration.
- Hence the running time is equal to $c * n = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Correctness Analysis:

- Fact 1: Since *A* contains distinct numbers, an element (*A*[*i*]) that has not been iterated over by *i* will not be in the dictionary *D*. We add *A*[*i*] as a key to the dictionary on every iteration, either on line 7 or on line 10 but not on both.
- Because we know that Fact 1 is true, we can conclude on the current *i*, *A*[*i*] has a direct predecessor only if the dictionary contains the key *A*[*i*] - 1 (line 6).
- Since we cannot do multiple checks on iteration *i* checking whether *A*[*i*] - 2, *A*[*i*] - 3, *A*[*i*] - 4 (and so on) exist in the Dictionary, we need to let the key referenced by *A*[*i*] - 1 tell us whether it had found its first predecessor in *A*.
- Thus our (key, value) pair is of the form (element, length of serial sub-sequence in *A* ending with that element).
- Hence if an element *b* is found that is a direct successor to *a* (where *b* appears after *a* in *A*), then we know that key *b*'s value can be set to key *a*'s value incremented by one. This is possible because now we've extended the length of the sub-sequence ending with *a* to end with *b*, and so *b*'s value is *a*'s *value* + 1. If *a* did not exist in *A*, then *b*'s value can be set to 1. This logic is found in lines 5 through 10.
- *longest* keeps track of the greatest value we have ever assigned to any key in dictionary *D*. Consequently, after the for loop terminates, *longest* will contain the length of the longest serial sub-sequence in *A*.

```

3. 1: procedure NUMBERDISTINCTOVER- $k$ -INTERVALS( $A, k$ )
   2:    $n \leftarrow A.length$ 
   3:    $B \leftarrow$  empty array of size  $n - k + 1$   $\triangleright$  Output array
   4:    $indexB \leftarrow 0$   $\triangleright$  Used to populate  $B$ 
   5:    $D \leftarrow$  new Dictionary
   6:    $distinct \leftarrow 0$ 
   7:    $\triangleright$   $distinct$  will be used as a running tally of the number of distinct elements
       over the current interval,  $distinct$  won't get reset after an interval ends.  $\triangleleft$ 
   8:   for  $i = 0 \dots n - 1$  do  $\triangleright$   $n$  iterations
   9:     if  $i \geq k$  then
10:        $\triangleright$  At the start of each new interval, append  $distinct$  to  $B$  to keep track
           of previous interval's num distinct elements.  $\triangleleft$ 
11:        $B[indexB] \leftarrow distinct$ 
12:        $indexB \leftarrow indexB + 1$ 
13:        $\triangleright$  At the start of each interval, remove the previous interval's first el-
           ement from that dict if it only appeared once otherwise reduce it's
           value by one.  $\triangleleft$ 
14:        $value \leftarrow D.search(A[i - k])$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
15:       if  $value == 1$  then
16:          $D.remove(A[i - k])$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
17:          $distinct \leftarrow distinct - 1$ 
18:       else
19:          $D.update(A[i - k], value + 1)$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
20:        $\triangleright$  For the current  $i$ , increment  $distinct$  iff the key  $A[i]$  doesn't exist in dict.
           This ensures we count only the distinct elements in that interval once.
           If  $A[i]$  does exist, increment it's value in  $D$  to reflect that it appears that
           many times in the current interval.  $\triangleleft$ 
21:       if  $D.search(A[i]) \neq NIL$  then
22:          $D.update(A[i], D.search(A[i]) + 1)$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
23:       else
24:          $distinct \leftarrow distinct + 1$ 
25:          $D.add(A[i], 1)$   $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
26:        $\triangleright$  The last interval terminates together with the for loop, so we cannot reach
           line 11 to update  $B[indexB]$  for the last interval. So updating it manually for
           the last interval is necessary, otherwise the last element of  $B$  (representing
           the number of distinct elements in the last interval of  $A$  of size  $k$ ) remains
           unpopulated.  $\triangleleft$ 
27:        $B[indexB] \leftarrow distinct$ 
28:   return  $B$ 

```

Run-time Analysis: All dictionary calls are labeled in the for loop. Observe that we do a constant amount of work per iteration. Since there are n iterations, we do $c * n$ amounts of work. \therefore our algorithm runs in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Correctness Analysis:

- Technically since the prompt doesn't ask for justification, you may skip this correctness analysis. However, I've included it to explain the algorithm (though the comments in the pseudocode should suffice).
- This algorithm works by using a dictionary to dictate what happens to *distinct*.
- Line 2 is used to iterate over all elements in the for loop.
- B is the output array. The size of B is equal to the number of k sized intervals found in A. Note that $k \geq 1$. Each entry in B stores the number of distinct elements in that respective interval. For example, the first entry in B (indexed at 0) stores the number of distinct elements in the first interval. Line 4, *indexB*, is used to populate B accordingly.
- Because the first interval ends after k iterations are complete (and because indexing starts at 0), $B[0]$ should be populated as *distinct* at the start of the next iteration, namely when the value of i becomes k (since $|0 \dots k - 1| = k$).
- From then on, each value i takes on in the for loop will mark the end of another iteration. This can be viewed as a sliding window. If the first iteration is from $i = 0 \dots k - 1$, then the next iteration is from $i = 1 \dots k$. Thus, we must populate B at each iteration after k iterations are complete.
- Having explained the use of line 9, let us detour to line 21-25 before coming back to the body of the if statement on line 9.
- For the first iteration, line 21 will evaluate to false, and so $distinct \leftarrow distinct + 1$ is justified $A[i]$ was not a key in D (trivial since D was empty at start). For any following iterations, however, line 21 may evaluate to true. If it does, we know that we have already counted that $A[i]$ in our tally *distinct*. To avoid a recount, we simply update $A[i]$'s value in D (see line 20).
- If, on any iteration, $A[i]$ is not a key in D , then we know that the element $A[i]$ is distinct in that interval and can fall through to lines 24 and 25.
- Returning back to our if block on line 9, shifting our figurative window of size k to the right by one element, means we must append to B the number of distinct elements the window previously encapsulated. This is done on lines 11-12.
- Since our window has moved to include a new element, but *distinct* may still be affected by the first element of the previous interval, we must alter *distinct* accordingly.
- To alter *distinct*, we only wish to change the impact the first element of the previous interval had on the tally. The remaining $k - 1$ elements' contribution should remain the same because we will later (lines 21-25) contribute the current iteration's impact on the tally (if it isn't a duplicate).
- Lines 15 through 19 contain the logic to alter *distinct* depending on whether the first element of the previous interval had a duplicate in that interval or not.

- In the input example of $[3,2,7,3,\dots]$ where $k = 4$, the first element of the interval did contain a duplicate. Hence D would contain the (key,value) pair $(3,2)$. We cannot remove the key entirely, since the remaining $k - 1$ elements $[3,2,7,3]$ contains a three. Because this is the case, *distinct* should remain unchanged one element in the remaining $k - 1$ elements forming the first $k - 1$ of the second interval contains a three.
- However, if the first element of the previous interval did not have any repeats, i.e $D.search(A[i - k])$ returned 1, then we can take one away from *distinct* as the new interval does not contain that element. We can also remove that element as a key from D .

During a call to our procedure,
Our dictionary D , looks as follows for every iteration:

```
Beginning of iteration i=0:    {}
Beginning of iteration i=1:    {3=1}
Beginning of iteration i=2:    {2=1, 3=1}
Beginning of iteration i=3:    {2=1, 3=1, 7=1}
Beginning of iteration i=4:    {2=1, 3=2, 7=1}
Beginning of iteration i=5:    {2=1, 3=1, 5=1, 7=1}
Beginning of iteration i=6:    {3=2, 5=1, 7=1}
Beginning of iteration i=7:    {3=2, 5=2}
Beginning of iteration i=8:    {3=1, 5=2, 7=1}
Loop terminated, dictionary:   {2=1, 3=1, 5=1, 7=1}
```

Contents of B after call: $[3, 4, 3, 2, 3, 4]$

-
- The algorithm, when ran on prompt's input example (with $k = 4$, gives the above output. D is on the right hand side and shows all key,value pairs.
- Note that lines 21 through 25 need to be accounted for even after the last iteration since they have computed *distinct* for the last interval. So when our loop terminates and we cannot reach the if block on line 9, we need to manually initialize the last element of B (equivalently, the number of distinct elements in the last interval of A of size k). We do this on line 27.

4. 1: **procedure** SPECIALPART1(A) $\triangleright \mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$
2: $sort(A)$
3: $i \leftarrow n$
4: **while** $i \geq 1$ **do**
5: **if** $A[i] == (n + 1 - i)$ **then**
6: \quad $\text{return } A[i]$
7: \quad $i \leftarrow i - 1$
8: $\text{return "no solution"}$
9: \triangleright Part 1 has a run-time of $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$ to sort and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ to iterate over sorted A . So this first procedure has a run-time of $\mathcal{O}(n \log(n))$ \triangleleft

1: **procedure** SPECIALPART2(A)

```

5. 1: procedure EXTRACREDIT( $A$ )
   2:    $n \leftarrow A.length$ 
   3:    $total \leftarrow 0$ 
   4:    $D \leftarrow \text{new Dictionary}(int, int)$ 
   5:   for  $x = 0, \dots, n - 1$  do  $\triangleright n \text{ iterations}$ 
   6:      $total \leftarrow total + A[x]$ 
   7:     if  $total == 100$  then
   8:        $\quad \text{return } (0, x)$ 
   9:      $value \leftarrow D.search(total - 100)$   $\triangleright \text{Dictionary.search} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
  10:     if  $value \neq NIL$  then
  11:        $\quad \text{return } (value + 1, x)$ 
  12:      $\quad D.add(total, x)$   $\triangleright \text{Dictionary.add} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ 
  13:  $\quad \text{return "no solution"}$ 

```

Run-time Analysis:

- It takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ amount of time to create a, search for, and add to a Dictionary. Hence lines 4, 9, and 12 all take constant time.
- Because we do a $\mathcal{O}(1)$ work per iteration and there are exactly n iterations, we do a total of $n * \mathcal{O}(1)$ of work.
- \therefore the running time of this algorithm comes out to be $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Correctness Analysis:

- Note that a justification is not required by the prompt.
- The dictionary D stores the running total computed at each index (see line 12). It stores them as a (key,value) pair in the form of (total, index).
- On every iteration, it checks whether the running total has hit 100. If this is the case, then we have a solution (since running total spans from index zero to x) and can return $(0, x)$ where x is guaranteed to be ≥ 0 .
- Otherwise line 9-10 checks whether any previous total computed out to be (total - 100). If such a previous total was computed, it would've been stored (line 12).
- $previousTotal = total - 100$
- $100 = total - previousTotal$
- If the above equation can be satisfied with a previousTotal that exists in D , then we can say that elements from index $D.search(previousTotal) + 1$ onwards up to current value of x sum to 100. \therefore we are able to return $(value + 1, x)$.
- If the conditions on line 7 and 10 never evaluate to true, we know there can't be a solution. And so we can safely fall through to line 13.