

Note: the sample Assignment is indicative of a Grade 7 submission for a Master's group of three students working together.

FitRideX Connect Application System Design Report (<https://lms.griffith.edu.au/courses/24045/files/6639194?wrap=1>) [↓](https://lms.griffith.edu.au/courses/24045/files/6639194/download?download_frd=1)
(https://lms.griffith.edu.au/courses/24045/files/6639194/download?download_frd=1) **new**



Submit Online

Before submitting your finished assignment, **check your work** is complete by using the Marking Rubric below.

Assignment 2 Report

- Only **one student in each group** should submit the finished Assignment 2 Design Report using the upload link below.

Professional Development Plan

- All students** must submit their **own separate Professional Development Plan** (<https://lms.griffith.edu.au/courses/24045/pages/activity-9-dot-2-write-a-professional-development-plan>) document, which includes the **URL to their portfolio**, using the upload link below.

✓ View Rubric

Assignment 2 Rubric

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
	5 to >4 pts Outstanding Provides a comprehensive overview, clearly summarising the scenario, key findings, and recommendations, with all major points covered.	4 to >3 pts Proficient Provides a clear overview, summarising the scenario, key findings and recommendations, with most major points covered.	3 to >2 pts Satisfactory Provides a basic overview, summarising the scenario, key findings and recommendations, covering some major points.	2 to >1 pts Needs Improvement Provides a limited overview, with an incomplete summary of the scenario, key findings, and recommendations.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Fails to provide an adequate overview, missing significant elements of the scenario, key findings, and recommendations.	
Executive Summary						/ 5 pts
	5 to >4 pts Outstanding Thoroughly introduces the chosen scenario with specific project goals and objectives (at least 3-4 goals).	4 to >3 pts Proficient Clearly introduces the chosen scenario with project goals and objectives (at least 2-3 goals).	3 to >2 pts Satisfactory Provides a basic introduction to the chosen scenario with some project goals and objectives (at least 1-2 goals).	2 to >1 pts Needs Improvement Provides a limited introduction to the chosen scenario with unclear project goals and objectives.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Fails to provide an adequate introduction to the chosen scenario with missing or unclear project goals and objectives.	
Introduction						/ 5 pts
Main Sections	50 to >40 pts Outstanding	40 to >30 pts Proficient	30 to >20 pts Satisfactory	20 to >10 pts	10 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory	/ 50 pts

Assignment 2 Rubric

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
	Each module is thoroughly addressed with detailed and well-supported analysis, including evidence and examples. Demonstrates a deep understanding and application of concepts with all report sections logically organised.	Each module is adequately addressed with clear and supported analysis, including some evidence and examples. Demonstrates a good understanding and application of concepts with most report sections logically organised.	Each module is addressed with basic analysis, including limited evidence and examples. Demonstrates a satisfactory understanding and application of concepts with some report sections logically organised.	Needs Improvement Each module is addressed but lacks depth and detail in analysis with minimal evidence and examples. Demonstrates limited understanding and application of concepts with report sections poorly organised.	Each module is poorly addressed or missing significant elements with no evidence or examples. Demonstrates poor understanding and application of concepts with disorganised report sections.	
Conclusion	5 to >4 pts Outstanding Provides a comprehensive summary of project outcomes, discusses overall impact and includes at least 3-4 specific future directions or implications.	4 to >3 pts Proficient Provides a clear summary of project outcomes, discusses overall impact and includes at least 2-3 specific future directions or implications.	3 to >2 pts Satisfactory Provides a basic summary of project outcomes, discusses overall impact and includes at least 1-2 specific future directions or implications.	2 to >1 pts Needs Improvement Provides a limited summary of project outcomes with a vague discussion of overall impact and future directions.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Fails to provide an adequate summary of project outcomes with missing or unclear discussion of overall impact and future directions.	/ 5 pts
Depth of Analysis and Critical Thinking	10 to >8 pts Outstanding Demonstrates deep and insightful analysis with strong critical thinking and innovative problem-solving. All arguments are well-supported with clear evidence.	8 to >6 pts Proficient Demonstrates good analysis with critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Most arguments are well-supported with clear evidence.	6 to >4 pts Satisfactory Demonstrates basic analysis with some critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Some arguments are supported with evidence.	4 to >2 pts Needs Improvement Demonstrates limited analysis with minimal critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Few arguments are supported with evidence.	2 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Demonstrates poor or no analysis with little to no critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Arguments are not supported with evidence.	/ 10 pts
Application of Concepts	10 to >8 pts Outstanding Effectively applies relevant concepts from the course to the scenario, showing clear	8 to >6 pts Proficient Adequately applies relevant concepts from the course to the scenario, showing	6 to >4 pts Satisfactory Applies basic concepts from the course to the scenario, showing satisfactory	4 to >2 pts Needs Improvement Applies few concepts from the course to the scenario, showing	2 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Applies no or very few concepts from the course to the scenario, showing poor	/ 10 pts

Assignment 2 Rubric

Criteria	Ratings					Pts
	understanding and integration of knowledge.	good understanding and integration of knowledge.	understanding and integration of knowledge.	limited understanding and knowledge.	understanding and knowledge.	
	5 to >4 pts Outstanding The report is well written with no spelling or grammatical errors. Professionally presented, includes cover page with title and all student details, table of contents and complete reference list. Uses appropriate formatting, diagrams, and tables effectively.	4 to >3 pts Proficient The report is clearly written with minimal spelling or grammatical errors. Professionally presented, includes cover page with some details, table of contents and reference list. Uses appropriate formatting, diagrams, and tables adequately.	3 to >2 pts Satisfactory The report is adequately written with some spelling or grammatical errors. Adequately presented but missing cover page, table of contents or reference list. Uses basic formatting, diagrams, and tables.	2 to >1 pts Needs Improvement The report has several spelling or grammatical errors and lacks professional presentation. Uses minimal or inappropriate formatting, diagrams, and tables.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory The report is poorly written with many spelling or grammatical errors and lacks professional presentation. Uses no or inappropriate formatting, diagrams, and tables.	
Clarity and Professional Presentation						/ 5 pts
	10 to >8 pts Outstanding Complete portfolio. All required sections and activities are included and well-organised. PDP is complete with comprehensive self-reflection, clear plans and personal goals.	8 to >6 pts Proficient Complete portfolio. All required sections and activities are included and adequately organised. PDP is mostly complete with self-reflection, some plans and personal goals.	6 to >4 pts Satisfactory Incomplete portfolio. Most required sections and activities are included with some organisation. PDP is complete with adequate self-reflection, limited plans and personal goals.	4 to >2 pts Needs Improvement Incomplete portfolio. Some required sections and activities are missing or poorly organisation. PDP is incomplete with limited self-reflection, few plans and personal goals.	2 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Missing or incomplete portfolio. Many required sections and activities are missing. PDP is missing or incomplete with poor self-reflection, no plans and few personal goals.	
Portfolio and Professional Development Plan (PDP) view longer description						/ 10 pts
						Total Points: 0