



DEGREE: MSc Data Analytics

Module: Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning using Python

Assignment Title: Data analysis and machine learning with Python for real-world

problems: From data to informed decision making

Assignment Type: Report

Word Limit: 2000-3000 words (+/- 250)

Weighting: 50%

Issue Date: 25/07/2024

Submission Date: 07/10/2024

Feedback Date: 28/10/2024

Plagiarism:

When submitting work for assessment, students should be aware of the InterActive/Canvas guidance and regulations in concerning plagiarism. All submissions should be your own, original work.

You must submit an electronic copy of your work. Your submission will be electronically checked.

Learner declaration

I certify that the work submitted for this assignment is my own and research sources are fully acknowledged.

Student signature: Date:

Harvard Referencing:

The Harvard Referencing System must be used. The Wikipedia, UKEssays.com or similar websites must **not** be used or referenced in your work.





Introduction

Learning Outcomes:

- **LO1.** Demonstrate the understanding of basic concepts of dealing with different types of data ordinal, categorical, encoding along with collecting, storing and making it ready for processing.
- **LO2.** Explain the various components of predictive analytics, with the models for regression, classification and clustering to analyze real-life business problems.
- **LO3.** Implement various models and work on a project life cycle from end to end to solve an analytical problem which translates into a business problem solution using machine learning and artificial intelligence.

Assessment Criteria: Weighting 50%

2000-3000 words

This assignment aligns with the unit's objectives of introducing learners to predictive analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence concepts, and developing their ability to apply these techniques to solve real-world business or social problems using Python.

Tasks:

Each student is required to develop a comprehensive written report on the topic of **Data analysis and machine learning with Python for real-world problems: From data to informed decision making**. The report should include the following elements:

- An introduction that justifies the significance of predictive analytics and machine learning for data-driven decision making (10 pts.).
- The main text that focuses on the following tasks:
- **Task 1.** Select a data set that reflects a real-world business/social problem and identify all the data types in it. Check whether data cleaning and/or encoding is needed. Conduct an exploratory data analysis (related to **LO1**, 25 pts.).
- **Task 2.** Select two or more machine learning models appropriate for the business/social problem in question. For each algorithm, write a python code and clearly describe each step of it (related to **LO2** and **LO3**, 25 pts.).
- **Task 3.** Using appropriate metrics, evaluate your machine learning models and visualize their results. Compare the outcomes of different algorithms and recommend the best performing one (related to **LO1 LO3**, 25 pts.).





- A conclusion that summarizes your findings and their implications for the business/social problem in question (10 pts.).
- A list of references (5 pts.).

The Harvard Referencing System must be used. The Wikipedia, UKEssays.com or similar websites must not be used or referenced in your work. Your essay should be 2000-3000 (+/- 250) words, excluding Title, Table of Contents, Bibliography and Appendices. For more information please refer to the BSBI Essays Guide.

| EXPERIMENTAT | EXPERIMENTATION & INNOVATION | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | FAIL | | PASS | | | | | | | |
| Threshold Criteria | 0-29% | 30-39% | 40-49% | 50-59% | 60-69% | 70-79% | 80-89% | 90-100% | | | |
| Deals with complex issues both systematically and creatively demonstrating self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems | Little to no ability to use techniques to deal with complex issues systematically (including those of ethics and sustainability) and creatively to solve problems and/or make decisions. | Low utilisation of established techniques to deal with complex issues systematically (including those of ethics and sustainability) and creatively to solve problems and/or make decisions, but with limitations in techniques or approach. | Limited research or advanced scholarship to their area of study by using a range of information and established and advanced techniques | Competent understanding of solving problems, through own research or advanced scholarship displaying a comprehensive understanding of established and advanced techniques | Good understanding of solving problems through own research and advanced scholarship critically selecting and displaying a comprehensive understanding of established and advanced techniques. | Very Good problem-solving skills displaying a comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship | Excellent range of extremely well-developed problem-solving displaying an understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship beyond which is taught. | Exceptional problem-solving skills with sophisticated evaluation and application of a wide range of advanced information and techniques to undertake projects. | | | |
| Comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship | Little to no understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship or their limitations and ambiguities. | Low understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship including their limitations and ambiguities. | Limited understanding of key techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship including their limitations and ambiguities. | Competent understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship including their limitations and ambiguities | Good understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship and a some understanding of more specialised techniques. | Very good understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship and a some understanding of more specialised techniques. | Excellent understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship and mastery of some more specialised areas. | Exceptional understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship and mastery of some more specialised areas. | | | |


| RESEARCH & A | NALYSIS | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | FAIL | | PASS | | | | |
| Threshold Criteria | 0-29% | 30-39% | 40-49% | 50-59% | 60-69% | 70-79% | 80-89% | 90-100% |
| Systematic understanding of knowledge, and a critical awareness of current problems and/or new insights, much of which is at, or informed by, the forefront of their academic discipline, field of study or area of professional practice | Little to no knowledge of the subject with limited breadth or depth or deficiencies in major areas or currency. | Low knowledge of the subject lacking coherence, breadth, or detail with only some reference to ideas or arguments at the forefront of any part of the subject. | Limited knowledge to deal with terminology, facts and concepts some of which is informed by the forefront of defined areas of the subject. | Competent knowledge of ideas or arguments at the forefront of any part of the subject sufficient to deal with current issues in the discipline, generally more descriptive than critical or analytical. | Good knowledge of ideas or arguments at the forefront of any part of the subject showing a clear, critical insight into the discipline as whole and current issues/problems. | Very good knowledge of ideas or arguments at the forefront of the subject some of which are significantly beyond what has been taught and show a critical insight into the discipline and current issues/problems. | Excellent knowledge of ideas or arguments at the forefront of the subject many of which are significantly beyond what has been taught and show a critical insight into the discipline and current issues/problems. | Exceptional knowledge of ideas or arguments at the forefront of the subject most of which are significantly beyond what has been taught and show a critical insigh into the discipline and current issues/problems. |
| Conceptual understanding that enables the student to display originality in the application of knowledge | Little to no conceptual understanding or argument and a focus on descriptive explanations which do not comment on arguments of others or alternative views. | Low conceptual understanding and arguments are weak or poorly constructed, and the work does not critically evaluate the arguments of others or consider alternative views. | Limited conceptual understanding and argument construction with critical evaluation of alternative views or comment on advanced scholarship. | Competent conceptual understanding and argument construction with critical evaluation of a range of views and consistent engagement with advanced scholarship. | Good conceptual understanding which critically evaluate and synthesise other views and information with a thoughtful interpretation of advanced scholarship. | Very good conceptual understanding which systematically synthesises a wide range of views with a critical insight into advanced scholarship. | Excellent conceptual understanding which critically apply a wide range of views through a perceptive use of advanced scholarship. | Exceptional conceptual understanding of publishable quality with systematic engagement and usage of advanced scholarship. |

| ENGAGING WITH PRACTICE | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | FAIL | | PASS | | | | | | |
| Threshold Criteria | 0-29% | 30-39% | 40-49% | 50-59% | 60-69% | 70-79% | 80-89% | 90-100% | | |
| Practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline | Little to no evidence of background investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study. | Low evidence of background investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study. | Limited background investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study using established techniques, with the ability to extract relevant points. | Competent investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study using established techniques accurately, and can critically appraise and use academic sources. | Good background investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study using established techniques accurately, and possesses a well-developed ability to critically appraise a wide range of sources. | Very good, independent, extensive and appropriate investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study beyond the usual range, and critically evaluates this to advance the work and/or direct arguments. | Excellent independent, extensive and appropriate investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study well beyond the usual range, and critically evaluates this to advance the work and/or direct arguments. | Exceptional investigation, analysis, research, enquiry, ethical awareness, and/or study which demonstrates carefully considered depth and breadth and critically synthesises this to advance the work and/or direct arguments. | | |
| Originality in the application of knowledge | Little to no technical, creative or artistic skills related to their area of study. | Low technical, creative or artistic skills related to their area of study. | Limited technical, creative or artistic skills required for area of study. | Competent technical, creative or artistic skills required for area of study. | Good technical, creative or artistic skills required for area of study. | Very good range of technical, creative or artistic skills. | Excellent range of technical, creative or artistic skills | Exceptional range of technical, creative or artistic skills | | |
| Independently advance your own knowledge and understanding, and to develop new skills to a high level. | Little to no contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advanced level. | Low contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advanced level. | Limited contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advanced level. | Competent contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level. | Good contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with an understanding of team roles | Very good contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with an understanding of team roles | Excellent contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with teamwork and leadership | Exceptional contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with teamwork and strong leadership. | | |

| REALISATION & | REALISATION & COMMUNICATION | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | | FAIL | | | PASS | | | | | |
| Threshold Criteria | 0-29% | 30-39% | 40-49% | 50-59% | 60-69% | 70-79% | 80-89% | 90-100% | | |
| Communicate information, ideas, problems and solutions to both specialist and nonspecialist audiences. | Little to no clarity in the communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences. | Low clarity in the communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences. | Limited clarity in the communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences. | Competent communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences. | Good, confident and clear communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences in a range of means / media. | Very good, confident and clear communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences in a range of means / media. | Excellent communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences in a range of means / media. | Exceptional communication of ideas, problems and solutions to audiences in a range of means / media. | | |

| | | FAIL | | PASS | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Threshold Criteria | 0-29% | 30-39% | 40-49% | 50-59% | 60-69% | 70-79% | 80-89% | 90-100% | |
| Independently advance your own knowledge and understanding, and develop new skills to a high level. | Little to no contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advance d level. | Low contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advanced level. | Limited contribution to group activity and/or undertaking further training at a high/advanced level. | Competent contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level. | Good contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with an understanding of team roles | Very good contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with an understanding of team roles | Excellent contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with teamwork and leadership | Exceptional contribution to group activity and/or independently undertakes further training at a high/advanced level with teamwork and strong leadership. | |
| Qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring: (a) the exercise of initiative, ethical and personal responsibility (b) decision-making in complex and | Little to no ability to manage learning and/or exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility and/or decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations | Low ability to manage learning and/or exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility and/or decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations | Limited ability to manage learning and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision- making in complex and unpredictable situations | Competent ability to manage learning, and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations | Good ability to systematically manage learning, and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision- making in complex and unpredictable situations | Very good ability to systematically manage learning, and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations. | Excellent ability to manage learning on own initiative, and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations | Exceptional ability to manage learning on own initiative, and exercise initiative, ethical and personal responsibility, and decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations | |
| complex and unpredictable contexts | Little to no use of appropriate terminology, limited vocabulary and many errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. | Low use of appropriate terminology, with many errors in spelling, vocabulary and syntax. | Limited expression, style and appropriate vocabulary with errors in spelling, grammar and syntax which affect understanding. | Competent expression, style, and appropriate vocabulary with some errors in spelling, grammar and syntax which do not affect understanding. | Good expression, style and appropriate vocabulary with some errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. | Very good expression, style and appropriate vocabulary with minimal errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. | Excellent expression, style and appropriate vocabulary with minimal errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. | Exceptional expression, style and appropriate vocabulary with no errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. | |

| O. U . — | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Little to no evidence of basic numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills | Low evidence of basic numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | Limited evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | Adequate evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | Good evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | Very good evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills | Excellent evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | Exceptional evidence of numeracy or digital literacy, hardware and software skills competency. | | | |





| | competency. | | | | | competency. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Does not demonstrate achievement of professional competence when assessed against the requirements of a professional, statutory or regulatory body (PSRB). | | | The student has demonstrated achievement of professional competence when assessed against the requirements of a PSRB. | | | | | |
| | Inaccurate use of terminology with limited vocabulary and many errors in spelling, grammar and syntax. Inaccurate terminology, with many errors in spelling, vocabulary and syntax. | | | The student has adhered to the appropriate rules and/or conventions set by regulators or the industry. | | | | | |