

# Embedded electronics IE1206

---

## LAB REPORT

Dean Tsankov

## Lab 1: DC MEASUREMENTS

### 1.1 KVL, KCL and Power in resistive net

Comp.	Meas R Multimeter [kOhm]	Meas V Multimeter [V]	Meas I Multimeter [mA]	Calc R=V/I [Ohm]	Calc P=v*I [mW]	Simulated V QUICS [V]	Simulated I QUICS [mA]	Simulated P QUICS [mW]
R1	0.98	0.250	0.250	1000	0.0625	0.25	0.25	0.0625
R2	47.0	4.840	0.101	47920	0.4888	4.75	0.101	0.4798
R3	9.84	1.497	0.152	9848	0.2275	1.48	0.148	0.2190
R4	21.8	3.320	0.152	21842	0.5046	3.27	0.148	0.4840
Arduino 5V Voltage source	Not applicable	5.100	- 0.250	Not applic able	- 1.275	5	0.25	1.25

Table 1: Measured, simulated and calculated values according to the circuit values




Figure 1: Circuit made in QUCS




Figure 2: Photo of circuit on breadboard and measurement

Confirm KVL:

In closed loop V, R1, R2:

$$V_A - V_1 - V_2 = 0$$

$$5.1 - 0.25 - 4.84 = 0.01 \approx 0$$

Therefore it holds true.

In closed loop R2, R3, R4:

$$V_2 - V_3 - V_4 = 0$$

$$4.84 - 1.497 - 3.32 = 0.023 \approx 0$$

Therefore it holds true.

Confirm KCL:

In node R1, R2, R3:

$$I_1 = I_2 + I_3$$

$$\Rightarrow I_1 - I_2 - I_3 = 0$$

$$0.25 - 0.101 - 0.152 = -0.003 \approx 0$$

Therefore it holds true.

Check if the power is balanced:

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + P_A = 0$$

$$0.0625 + 0.4888 + 0.2275 + 0.5046 - 1.275 = 0.0084 \approx 0$$

Therefore the power is in fact balanced.

## 1.2 Determine Thevenin equivalent circuits

### 1.2.1 Thevenin equivalent circuit for Arduino 5 V pin.

Measured voltage over unloaded 5V pin:  $V_{th} = 5.10 \text{ V}$

Chosen resistor with resistance value:  $R_{res} = 0.100 \text{ k}\Omega$

Measured voltage over the resistor:  $V_{res} = 4.99\text{V}$

$\Rightarrow I = V_{res} / R_{res} = 49.9 \text{ mA}$

And  $R_{th} = V_{th} - V_{res} / I$

$\Rightarrow R_{th} = 0.002\text{k}\Omega$

**Observation:** 2 Ohm Thevenin resistance potentially dependent on the USB used as power source for the arduino.

### 1.2.2 Thevenin equivalent for Arduino digital output pin

Measured voltage over digital GPIO pin:  $V_{th} = 5.09\text{V}$

Chosen resistor with resistance value:  $R_{res} = 10 \text{ k}\Omega$

Measured voltage over the resistor:  $V_{res} = 5.08\text{V}$

$\Rightarrow I = V_{res} / R_{res} = 0.508\text{k}\Omega$

And  $R_{th} = V_{th} - V_{res} / I$

$\Rightarrow R_{th} = 0.020\text{k}\Omega$

**Observation:** around 20 Ohm Thevenin resistance on the arduino digital GPIO pin.

## 1.3 Light Emitting Diode (LED) circuits

### 1.3.1 Resistor in series with yellow LED




Figure 3: Photo of circuit on breadboard and measurement  
Measurement of the voltage over the resistor:  $V_{res} = 3 \text{ V}$   
Measurement of the voltage over the LED:  $V_{led} = 2.07 \text{ V}$   
Calculated current from the  $V_{res}$  and  $R(220 \text{ }\Omega)$ :  $I = 22.7 \text{ mA}$   
 $\Rightarrow P = I * V_{led} = 46.989 \text{ mW}$

### 1.3.2 Resistor in series with blue LED




Figure 4: Photo of circuit on breadboard and measurement

Measurement of the voltage over the resistor:  $V_{res} = 2.36 \text{ V}$

Measurement of the voltage over the LED:  $V_{led} = 2.71 \text{ V}$

Calculated current from the  $V_{res}$  and  $R(220 \text{ Ohm})$ :  $I = 22.7 \text{ mA}$

$\Rightarrow P = I * V_{led} = 61.591 \text{ mW}$

### 1.3.3 Resistor in series with a yellow and blue LED connected in parallel.




Figure 5: Photo of circuit on breadboard

Only the yellow LED lights up. This is due to the fact that the yellow LED has a lower voltage drop value compared to the blue one and so all the current passes through the yellow LED and none goes through the blue. This difference in forward voltage values (apparent even from the previous 2 tasks) is dependent on the wavelength of the light the diodes are emitting.


**1.3.4 Resistor in series with a yellow and blue LED connected in series.**

Figure 6: Photo of circuit on breadboard

Both of them light up. Because the LEDs are connected in series, sufficient current passes through both of them and thus reaches their required voltage drop values.

## Lab 2: Time dependent circuits

### 2.1 Time dependent behaviour of RL circuit

By calculating using the given values we get:

$$\tau = L / R_{th} = (10 * 10^{-3}) / (10^3) = 10 * 10^{-6} = \mathbf{10 \text{ us}}$$




Figure 7: Circuit made in QUCS




Figure 8: Simulation graph of the voltage probes




Figure 9: Simulation graph of the current probe

To calculate  $\tau$  from the simulation graphs we can take  $I_c(50\mu s) = 4,97 \text{ mA}$ , we can also see that  $I_c(0) = 0 \text{ A}$  and  $I_c(\infty) = 5\text{mA}$  therefore in the formula:

$$I_c(t) = I_c(\infty) + (I_c(0) - I_c(\infty)) * e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$\text{We get: } 4,97 = (-5) * e^{-50/\tau}$$

$$-0.03 / (-5) = e^{-50/\tau}$$

$$\tau \approx 10 \text{ us}$$




Figure 10: Oscilloscope graph

To determine the time constant from the oscilloscope measurement we can observe the period it takes to reach 63.2% of the amplitude voltage because we know that is equal to about a one time constant period. So at point  $(100-63.2)\% * 5V = 1.84V$  we get **10.01us** which is our time constant.




Figure 11: Circuit on breadboard

When we have two inductors in parallel we get a time constant of about **5.5us** (in the same way as we did before). This is explained due to fact that the equivalent inductance for two inductors in parallel is equal to  $(L_1 * L_2) / (L_1 + L_2) = 100/20 = 5mH$ . And since  $\tau$  is proportional to  $L$  as  $L$ (equivalent) is two times less so is  $\tau$ .




Figure 12: Oscilloscope graph with measurement at 1.84 V




Figure 13: Parallel circuit on breadboard

When we have two inductors connected in series we get a time constant of about **20.4  $\mu$ s**. Again this is due to the equivalent inductance being  $L_1 + L_2 = 20\text{mH}$  and because  $\tau$  is proportional to  $L$  as  $L(\text{equivalent})$  doubles so does  $\tau$ .




Figure 14: Oscilloscope graph with measurement at 1.84 V

## 2.2 Time dependent behaviour of RC circuit

By calculating using the given values we get:

$$\tau = C * R_{th} = 1 * 10^{-9} * 10 * 10^3 = 10 * 10^{-6} = 10\text{us}$$




Figure 15: Circuit made in QUCS




Figure 16: Simulation graph of the voltage probes

To calculate  $\tau$  from the simulation graphs we can take  $V_c(20\text{us}) = 4,34 \text{ V}$ , we can also see that  $V_c(0) = 0 \text{ V}$  and  $V_c(\infty) = 5 \text{ V}$ . Therefore in the formula:

$$V_c(t) = V_c(\infty) + (V_c(0) - V_c(\infty)) * e^{-t/\tau}$$

$$\text{We get: } 4,34 = (-5) * e^{-20/\tau}$$

$$-0.66 / (-5) = e^{-20/\tau}$$

$$-20/\tau = -2,02$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau \approx 10 \text{ us}$$




Figure 17: Oscilloscope graph with measurement at 3.16 V

To determine the time constant from the oscilloscope measurement we can observe the period it takes to reach 63.2% of the amplitude voltage because we know that is equal to about a one time constant period. So at point  $63.2\% \times 5 \text{ V} = 3.16 \text{ V}$  we get **10.9us** which is our time constant.




Figure 18: Circuit on breadboard




Figure 19: Oscilloscope graph with measurement at 3.16 V

When we have two capacitors in parallel we get a time constant of about **19.97μs** (in the same way as we did before). This is explained due to fact that the equivalent capacitance for two capacitors in parallel is equal to  $C_1 + C_2 = 10 + 10 = 20 \text{ } \mu\text{F}$

And since  $\tau$  is proportional to  $C$  as  $C(\text{equivalent})$  is doubled so is  $\tau$ .




Figure 20: Parallel circuit on the breadboard




Figure 21: Oscilloscope graph with measurement at 3.16 V

When we have two inductors connected in series we get a time constant of about **5.87  $\mu$ s**. Again this is due to the equivalent capacitance in series being  $C_1 * C_2 / (C_1 + C_2) = 100/20 = 5 \mu\text{F}$  and because  $\tau$  is proportional to  $C$  as  $C(\text{equivalent})$  becomes two time less so does  $\tau$ .

### 2.3 Diode rectifier circuit with resistor and capacitor




Figure 22: Circuit made in QUCS




Figure 23: Simulation graph of the voltage probes on the circuit **without** a capacitor




Figure 24: Simulation graph of the voltage probes on the circuit **with** a capacitor




Figure 22: Oscilloscope graph of circuit without capacitor

The circuit without the capacitor acts like a half-wave rectifier, where only the positive part of the sine wave is preserved while when the voltage becomes negative it gets zero-ed.




Figure 23: Oscilloscope graph of circuit with capacitor and measurement of rectified voltage




Figure 22: Circuit on breadboard

**Observation:** This rectifier circuit produces a constant voltage (i.e the voltage does not change as a function of time) from an input voltage that does fluctuate as a function of time. Converts AC voltage to DC voltage.

The voltage we get on probe B is about **40.13 mV**

Thevenin equivalent of the constant voltage at Probe B:

The voltage over the 220 Ohm resistor connected to the rectifier circuit gives 581 mV

=> Measured voltage over unloaded Pr2:  $V_{th} = 40.13 \text{ mV}$   
 Chosen resistor with resistance value:  $R_{res} = 220 \text{ Ohm}$   
 Measured voltage over the resistor:  $V_{res} = 581 \text{ mV}$   
 $=> I = V_{res} / R_{res} = 2.64 \text{ mA}$   
 And  $R_{th} = V_{th} - V_{res} / I$   
 $=> R_{th} = \mathbf{204.87 \text{ Ohm}}$

**Observation:** The value we got for the thevenin resistance of the 5 V power supply from the arduino was around 2 Ohms while here we get around a hundred times higher thevenin resistance of about 200 Ohms.