

MS MOD 2

Random No's :-

They are necessary basic ingredient in the simulation of almost all discrete systems.

Most computer languages have object, subroutine or function that will generate random no's.

Properties :-

A sequence of random no's w_1, w_2, \dots must have 2 imp. statistical properties, Independence i.e each generated rand no must be independent of other rand no's & Uniformity i.e generated rand no's are in continuous uniform distribution b/w 0 & 1.

Pseudo Random No's :-

Means false no's generated, bcz generating no's using known method removes potential for true randomness.

Goal is to produce sequence of no's b/w 0 & 1 that imitates ideal properties of rand no's.

Errors while generating rand no's :-

- i) The no's may not be uniformly distributed
- ii) May be discrete value instead of continuous value.
- iii) Mean may be too high / low.
- iv) Variance \rightarrow too high / low.

Characteristics of Good Random No's :-

- i) Fast generation of RN's.
- ii) Portable i.e same results must be produced when executed on diff. OS, PC's.
- iii) Sufficient long cycle \rightarrow no's must be replicated after long time.
- iv) Given starting point, it should generate same set of rand no's.
- v) Match closely uniformity & independence

X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots are called random no's.

R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots are called rand. integer values

PAGE No.	
DATE	/ /

Linear Congruential Method :-

LCM produces sequences of integers X_1, X_2, \dots between 0 & $m-1$ according to recursive relationship :-

$$X_{i+1} = (aX_i + c) \bmod m, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where X_0 = seed value

a - constant multiplier

c - increment

m - modulus

if $c \neq 0$ the form is called mixed congruential method.

when $c=0$, form is called multiplicative congruential method.

Maximal period based on cond's :-

1) For m , a power of 2 ($m = 2^b$) & $c \neq 0$, period $p = 2^b$ is achieved given that c is relatively prime to m &

$$a = 1 + 4k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

2) for $m = 2^b$ & $c = 0$, $p = 2^{b-2}$ achieved given X_0 is odd & multiplier $a = 3 + 8k$ or $a = 5 + 8k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

3) for m a prime no & $c = 0$, $p = m-1$ achieved given that a has property that smallest integer is such that $a^k - 1$ is divisible by m is $k = m-1$

Eg. Use LCM to generate sequence of RN's with $X_0 = 27, a = 17, c = 43$ & $m = 100$.

$$\rightarrow X_0 = 27$$

$$X_1 = (ax_0 + c) \bmod m = (17 \times 27 + 43) \bmod 100 = 2$$

$$\text{Random Integer Values } R_1 = \frac{X_1}{m} \therefore R_1 = \frac{2}{100} = 0.02$$

$$X_2 = (17 \times 2 + 43) \bmod 100 = 77$$

$$R_2 = \frac{X_2}{m} = \frac{77}{100} = 0.77$$

$$X_3 = (17 \times 77 + 43) \bmod 100 = 52$$

$$R_3 = \frac{X_3}{m} = \frac{52}{100} = 0.52$$

• Tests for RN's :-

Uniformity \rightarrow Kolmogorov Smirnov test, Chi-square

Independence \rightarrow runs test, autocorrelation, gap

Testing for Uniformity :-

$$H_0: R_i \sim U[0, 1]$$

$$H_1: R_i \not\sim U[0, 1]$$

failure to reject H_0 means evidence
of non-uniformity hasn't detected

Testing for Independence:-

$$H_0: R_i \text{ independent}$$

$$H_1: R_i \text{ independent}$$

" " " of

dependence hasn't detected

• K-S Test :-

1) Arrange the given no in ascending order .

2) Compute :-

$$D^+ = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ \frac{i}{N} - R_i \right\}$$

$$D^- = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left\{ R_i - \left(\frac{i-1}{N} \right) \right\}$$

N - total no. of random no

degree of freedom

Sample Size

3) Compute :-

$$D = \max(D^+, D^-)$$

4) Compute theoretical value D_α for a given level of significance α from standard KS Test table .

5) If $D < D_\alpha$ then accept hypothesis, else reject

O/P determined by Null Hypothesis .

Q. Using KS Test, check for property of uniformity for the i/p set of random no's.
 0.54, 0.73, 0.98, 0.11 & 0.68. Assume level of significance to be 0.05.

→ i 1 2 3 4 5 } Step 1
 R_i: 0.11 0.54 0.68 0.73 0.98

formula:- $D^+(i) = \left(\frac{i}{N} - R_i \right)$ (Note:- If -ve value found, ignore it.)

$$D^-(i) = \left\{ R_i - \left(\frac{i-1}{N} \right) \right\}.$$

D^+ 0.09 - - 0.07 0.02 } Step 2.
 D^- 0.11 0.34 0.28 0.13 0.18

Among D^+ , max is 0.09 & among D^- , max is 0.34.

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \max(\max D^+, \max D^-) \\ &= \max(0.09, 0.34) \\ &= 0.34 \quad \leftarrow \text{Step 4.} \end{aligned}$$

From KS Test Table D_α for $\alpha = 0.05$ is 0.565.

$$\therefore D < D_\alpha$$

∴ Null Hypothesis is accepted. \leftarrow Step 5.

Chi-Square Test :-

It is used when no. of i/p's are huge to check uniformity.

- Q. Using chi-square test with $\alpha = 0.05$, check for uniformity of given random no's.
- Given :- 100 random no's (Range :- 0 to 1)

→ Step 1 :- Define Hypothesis.

Step 2 :- n , such that $E_i > 5$. & $E_i = N/n$.

$$\frac{100}{n} \geq 5 \therefore n \leq 20.$$

Step 3 :- Let's take $n = 10$,

Step 4 :-

Interval	O_i	$E_i = N/n$	$(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$
0 - 0.1	8	10	0.4
0.1 - 0.2	9	10	0.1
0.2 - 0.3	10	10	0
0.3 - 0.4	6	10	1.6
0.4 - 0.5	13	10	0.9
0.5 - 0.6	8	10	0.4
0.6 - 0.7	11	10	0.1
0.7 - 0.8	12	10	0.4
0.8 - 0.9	7	10	0.9
0.9 - 1.0	16	10	3.6

Step 5 :- $X_0^2 = 0.4 + 0.1 + 0 + 1.6 + 0.9 + 0.4 + 0.1 + 0.4 + 0.9 + 3.6 = 8.4$

Step 6 :- $\alpha = 0.05$, $n-1 = 9 \therefore X_{\alpha, n-1}^2 = 16.9$ (From Chi-Square Table)

Step 7 :- $X_0^2 < X_{\alpha, n-1}^2 \therefore H_0$ is accepted
 \therefore No's are uniformly distributed

• Monte-Carlo Simulation :- (Numerically from YT).

Mathematical technique that simulates range of possible outcomes for an uncertain event. These predictions are based on estimated range of values instead of fixed set of values & evolve randomly. Computers use this method to analyze data & predict future outcome based on action.

Use cases :- finance, Project management, healthcare.

• Runs Test :-

It is a statistical procedure which determines whether sequence of data within given distribution have been derived with random process or not.

• Runs Up & Runs Down Algo:-

S1 :- Define Hypothesis as -

H_0 :- $R_i \sim$ independently

H_1 :- $R_i \neq$ independently

S2 :- Write sequence of runs up & runs down

S3 :- Count total no. of runs (a) present in sequence

S4 :- Count mean & variance a :-

$$\bar{a} = \frac{2N-1}{3} \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{16N-29}{90}$$

S5 :- Std normal statistics :-

$$Z_0 = \frac{a - \bar{a}}{\sigma_a}; \quad Z_0 \sim N[0, 1]$$

S6 :- Determine critical value, $-Z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z_0 \leq Z_{\alpha/2}$

If above cond' checked

$\Rightarrow H_0$ not rejected.

Q. Use RURD for $\alpha = 0.05 \therefore Z_{\alpha/2} = 0.025 = 1.96$
 Test nos :- 0.12, 0.01, 0.23, 0.28, 0.89, 0.31, 0.64,
 0.28, 0.33, 0.93

→ S1:- Define Hypothesis. (H_0 : - $R_i \sim$ independent
 H_1 : - $R_i \neq$ independent)

S2:- Sequence :-

Subtract
 0.12, 0.01, 0.23, 0.28, 0.89, 0.31, 0.64, 0.28, 0.33

If after subtraction, result is -ve then put '-' else '+'

$\begin{matrix} - & + & + & + & - & + & - & + & + \end{matrix}$ (Note:- actual no's in 10 but seq. in 9)

∴ Total no. of runs $a = 6$.

$$Ma = \frac{2N-1}{3} = \frac{2 \times 10-1}{3} = 6.33$$

$$6a^2 = \frac{16N-29}{90} = \frac{16 \times 10-29}{90} = 1.45$$

$$S4:- Z_0 = \frac{a-Ma}{\sqrt{6a}} = \frac{6-6.33}{\sqrt{1.45}} = -0.27$$

$$S5:- Z_{0.025} = 1.96$$

$$-1.96 \leq Z_0 \leq 1.96$$

∴ cond'n satisfies.
 H_0 is accepted.

Runs Above & Below Mean Test :-

S1 :- Define Hypothesis for independence

S2 :- seq of runs above & below mean

S3 :- Count no. of obs above mean (n_1) & below mean (n_2)
 & b = Total no. of runs.

S4 :- Compare mean & variance of b,

$$\bar{m}_b = \frac{2n_1 n_2 + 1}{N} - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sigma_b^2 = \frac{2n_1 n_2 (2n_1 n_2 - N)}{N^2 (N-1)}$$

S5 :- Compute std. normal

$$Z_0 = \frac{b - \bar{m}_b}{\sigma_b}, Z_0 \sim N[0, 1]$$

S6 :- same as RURD

S7 :- Same as RURD

Q. Test no's by RABM

0.11, 0.23, 0.45, 0.08, 0.11, 0.50, 0.09, 0.60, 0.81
 $(\alpha = 0.05 \therefore Z_{\alpha/2} = Z_{0.025} = 1.96)$

→ S1 :- Define Hypothesis.

S2 :- for decimal no's, take mean 0.495 & for whole no's take mean 49.5.

Now, we take mean as 0.495.

If no's are < 0.495 put '-' else '+'

∴ - - - - ; + + + +

$$b = 4$$

$$n_1 = 3, n_2 = 6$$

$$S3 :- \bar{m}_b = \frac{2n_1 n_2 + 1}{N} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 6 + 1}{9} - \frac{1}{2} = 4.5$$

$$6b^2 = 2n_1 n_2 (2n_1 n_2 - N) \\ = \frac{N^2(N-1)}{2 \times 3 \times 6 (2 \times 3 \times 6 - 9)} = 1.5.$$

$$S_4 = Z_0 = \frac{b - 1.5}{\sqrt{1.5}} = \frac{4 - 4.5}{\sqrt{1.5}} = -0.4.$$

$$S_5 : - Z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z_0 \leq Z_{\alpha/2} \\ -1.96 \leq -0.4 \leq 1.96$$

Cond' satisfies.

$\Rightarrow H_0$ accepted.

- Length of Runs by RABM or RURD :- (Numerical from QT)

S1 :- Define Hypo.

S2 :- sequence of RURD/RABM

S3 :- Find total length of runs in seq.

S4 :- Prepare table for obs. runs :-

length of runs	1	2	3	...	n
----------------	---	---	---	-----	---

obs no. of runs.	-	-	-	...	-
------------------	---	---	---	-----	---

S5 :- Compute exp value of Y_i .

1] RURD, $E(Y_i) = \frac{2}{(i+3)!} \times [N^2(i^2 + 3i + 1) - (i^3 + 3i^2 - i - 4)]$

only if $i \leq N-2$
else.

$$\frac{2}{N!}, i \leq N-1$$

2] RABM, $E(Y_i) = \frac{N \times w_i}{E(I)}, N > 20$

$$w_i = \left(\frac{n_1}{N}\right)^i \left(\frac{n_2}{N}\right)^{E(I)} + \left(\frac{n_1}{N}\right) \left(\frac{n_2}{N}\right)^i$$

$$E(I) = \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1}$$

S6 :- Mean for RURD $\Rightarrow M_a = \frac{2N-1}{3}$

Exp total no of runs (RABM) $\Rightarrow E(A) = \frac{N}{E(I)}$

S7 :- Compute exp no of runs \geq max. length

for RURD = $M_a - \sum_{i=1}^m E(Y_i)$

$RABM = E(A) - \sum_{i=1}^m E(Y_i)$

S8 :- Apply Chi-Square Test

S9 :- $\chi^2_{\alpha, n-1}$

S10 :- $\chi^2_o < \chi^2_{\alpha, n-1}$. If this cond' satisfies then H_0 is accepted.

Auto correlation Test :-

$i + (m+1)m = N \rightarrow \text{Find } M$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{m+1} \left[\sum_{k=0}^m R_{i+km} \cdot R_{i+(k+1)m} \right] - 0.25$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{13M+7}{12(m+1)}}$$

$$Z_0 = \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\hat{\sigma}} \quad \text{If } Z_0 < Z_{\text{critical}} \Rightarrow H_0 \text{ accepted.}$$

i.e. $-Z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z_0 \leq Z_{\alpha/2}$

Q. Consider seq of 30 no's. Test whether 3rd, 8th, 13th numbers in seq are auto correlated with $\alpha = 0.05$ & $Z_{0.025} = 1.96$.

Random no :-

0.12, 0.01, 0.23, 0.28, 0.89,
 0.31, 0.64, 0.28, 0.83, 0.93,
 0.99, 0.15, 0.33, 0.35, 0.91,
 0.41, 0.60, 0.27, 0.75, 0.88,
 0.69, 0.49, 0.05, 0.43, 0.95,
 0.58, 0.19, 0.36, 0.69, 0.87

→ S1:- H_0 :- assuming no's are independent vs correlated.

$$S2:- i + (M+1)m = N.$$

$$3 + (M+1)5 = 30.$$

$$\therefore M = 4.4 \approx 4.$$

$$S3:- \hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{4+1} [R_3 \cdot R_8 + R_8 \cdot R_{13} + R_{13} \cdot R_{18} + R_{18} \cdot R_{23} + R_{23} \cdot R_{28}] - 0.25$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{5} [0.23 \times 0.28 + 0.28 \times 0.33 + 0.33 \times 0.27 + 0.27 \times 0.05 + 0.05 \times 0.36] - 0.25$$

$$\hat{\rho} = -0.194$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{13M+7}{12(M+1)}} = 0.128$$

$$Z_0 = \frac{\hat{\rho}}{\hat{\sigma}} = \frac{-0.194}{0.128} = -1.516$$

$$-Z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z_0 \leq Z_{\alpha/2} = -1.96 \leq -1.56 \leq 1.96$$

Cond' satisfies

$\therefore H_0$ accepted.

Gap Test :-

It is used to count no. of digits betw successive occurrences of same digits.

The probability of gap is determined by

$P(m \text{ followed by exactly } x \text{ non-}m \text{ digits})$

$$= (0.9)^x (0.1), x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Algo :-

S1 :- Define Hypo. H_0 :- $R_i \sim \text{indep.}$

H_1 :- $R_i \neq$ "

S2 :- Determine no. of gaps & length of each gap associated with each digit (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9)

S3 :- Select interval width based on no. of gaps & generate freq. distribution table for sample of gaps & apply KS Test

Gap leng	freq	Rel freq.	Accum. or cumulative freq.	CDF $f(x)$	$ f(x) - S_N(x) $
				$S_N(x)$	

S4 :- Compute test stats D , which is max^m deviation between $f(x)$ & $S_N(x)$

$$D = \max [f(x) - S_N(x)]$$

S5 :- Determine crit. value, D_α for size N for LOS, α from table. ($D_\alpha = \frac{1.36}{\sqrt{N}}$)

S6 :- If $D > D_\alpha \Rightarrow H_0$ rejected.

CDF :- $f(x) = 1 - 0.9^{x+1}$ where x is upper limit

Numerical from YT.