

1.

No, because this algorithm may terminate in the middle of the vector and results in a running time smaller than n , which means that n is not the correct lower bound of the running time and we cannot say it is $\Omega(n)$. Alternatively, we know that the upper bound of this algorithm is $O(n)$, and if the statement that the running time is $\Omega(n)$ is true, then the combined notation of running time will be $\Theta(n)$, which we know is incorrect, therefore the running time is not $\Omega(n)$.

2.

(a)

$$O\left(\frac{3 + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil}{2}\right) \rightarrow O(\sqrt{n})$$

(b) Yes. Since the definition of polynomial is n^x where $x > 0$; and $\sqrt{n} = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we can say that the running time of this algorithm is polynomial.

3.

Since we are not asked for a formal proof and are only required to demonstrate the claim is incorrect. We can use a counterexample to show that the claim is incorrect.

Counterexample: 5 is an odd positive integer; and $5^2 + 2 * 5 = 35$ which is not evenly divisible by 3. Therefore, we have shown here that the claim is incorrect.

4. (a)

algorithm in python

```
def find_best_prof(A: list, n: int) -> list:
```

```
    best = list()
```

```
    # sort the array of professors by increasing difficulty
```

```
    # (alternatively, we can also sort by decreasing humor and get the same
```

```
    # result)
```

```
    by_difficulty = sorted(A, key=lambda x: x[DIFFICULTY])
```

```
    # this variable stores the highest humor score of professors added to
```

```
    # the set. Initiate it as -1 since the range is [0, 100] so that the
```

```
    # first (easiest) professor will be included in set
```

```
    highest_humor = -1
```

```
    # Since the array is sorted by increasing difficulty, the difficulty
```

```
    # of professor p will be greater than every other professor in the set.
```

```
    # therefore, p must have a higher humor score than every professor
```

```
    # currently in the set to satisfy our selection criteria and be added
```

```
    # to set. Otherwise, p is less funny and more difficult and cannot be
```

```
    # added to set.
```

```
    for p in by_difficulty:
```

```
        if p[HUMOR] > highest_humor:
```

```
            # update the highest humor score
```

```
            highest_humor = p[HUMOR]
```

```
            best.append(p)
```

```
    return best
```

(b) The worst case happens when more difficult professor in A also has higher humor. Which means in every iteration of the for-loop, we need to execute the if branch. However, since everything in the if branch takes amortized constant time to run, which means the for-loop takes $\Theta(n)$ time even in worst case.

Assuming the sorting algorithm takes $\Theta(n \log n)$ time in the worst case, the total runtime of this algorithm is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

(c) I believe my algorithm has the best possible asymptotic runtime. Since we have to compare the scores of professors, we have to use comparison-based sorting or similar algorithm to achieve our goal. Therefore, $O(n \log n)$ should be the best possible asymptotic runtime.

5.

```
# algorithm in python
def have_common_key(A: MaxHeap, B: MaxHeap, n: int) -> bool:
    try:
        while n > 0:
            # get the max element of each max heap and compare them
            max_A = A.max()
            max_B = B.max()
            if max_A == max_B:
                # common key found
                return True
            # extract max from whichever max heap that has larger max value
            if max_A > max_B:
                A.extractMax()
            else:
                B.extractMax()
            # decrement n since we removed an element
            n -= 1
    except Exception:
        # assume that max() and extractMax() will throw an exception if the max
        # heap becomes empty, in this case there is no common key
        return False
    # if both max heap becomes empty (while-loop terminates, n == 0)
    # also indicates no common key
    return False
```

The while-loop has a runtime of $O(n)$ since it decrements n by 1 each time and may terminate early. Inside the loop, we know that `extractMax()` function takes $O(\log n)$ time, the `max()` function takes constant time, and every other operation also takes constant time, which makes each iteration of the loop $O(\log n)$ time. Multiply them together and we have the total runtime to be $O(n \log n)$.