**Task overview:**

3 conditions (26 trials/condition): baseline, empathy (described the proposer as low in resources, unfortunate), punishment (describes the proposer as high in resources, deceitful)

5 levels of fairness for the offers (1 = 50/50; 2 = 60/40; 3 = 70/30; 4 = 8/2; 5 = 90/10);

fair = 5-5, 6-4;

unfair = 7-3, 8-2, 9 -1;

26 trials are composed of 5 fair offers (levels 1 and 2) and 7 offers per each level of unfairness (levels 3-5);

Stake size: 3-20; Mean = 13,

Variables that can describe the sample and may be helpful for additional analyses about the role of cognition, personality, etc, and acceptance/rejection behavior:

ID

Group

Sex

Race

Max of education

Age at the UG context Game

Per capita income

Hamilton- suicide item

Age1attempt

Age of onset of Depression

SIS (max lethal)

SSI (baseline current)

Beck Lethality Scale (max. lethality)

CIRS-G

Lifetime Substance Abuse

Current Substance Abuse

Lifetime Anxiety Disorders

Current Anxiety Disorders

BIS non-planning

SPSI ICSSUB

IIP15 (Inventory of Interpersonal problems)

ISEL

EXIT (cognitive control)

MDRS (for global cognition)

WTAR

NEO

UPPS

ARS (Anger Rumination Scale)

Trait Anger Scale

Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI)

Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale

POI-BOR (self-report of borderline traits)

**Participants**

The total count is now 103 with the following breakdowns

HC-24

D-23

I-20

LL-19

HL-17

(Att-36)

* CONTROL-CONTROL
* DEPRESSION-DEPRESSION
* SUICIDAL-IDEATOR-ATTEMPTER
* SUICIDAL-IDEATOR
* SUICIDAL-ATTEMPTER

If we exclude those 50 and under we lose 7 subjects (2-HC 4-LL 1-HL) so we would have 105 total then.

 I wanted to mention that ideator-attempters should be grouped as attempters