-	Name	Signature	Date
Student Name	Aaron Beattie	AARON BEATTIE	23/01/2023
Other Group Members	Scott Donaldson	SCOTT DONALDSON	23/01/2023
	Sandra Czernik	SANDRA CZERNIK	23/01/2023
	Kieran Robinson	KIERAN ROBINSON	23/01/2023

Score	3	4	5	6	7	8
Criteria	Unsatisfactory	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
Demonstration of relevant skills and knowledge				x		
Attendance at group activities					x	
Contribution to group activities		x				
Contribution to agreed tasks outside of group meetings		x				
Working for consensus on decisions and attempts to resolve conflict rather than promote it.						x
Trusts, supports, and respects other team members.						x
Ability to listen and interpret communication from other's points of view					x	
Generates and promotes ideas and suggestions of their own				x		
Considers and uses new ideas and suggestions from others				x		
Rationale – What is the justification for the above?						
Demonstrated good knowledge of class diagrams and picked up on concepts quickly. Generated ideas and used others suggestions well. Late start in work meant that the communication system originally intended within the scope was not implemented, and had overall relatively low code contribution, implementing a much smaller quantity of code than the rest of the group.						
Team Work Score	56	Group Total	247	Group Average	61	
Weighting (Average Team Work Score / Group Average)	0.91					

EACH STUDENT MUST HAVE A PEER ASSESSMENT FORM, AND EACH FORM MUST BE SIGNED BY ALL THE MEMBERS OF THE GROUP