## Notes on Polish space

Rui Wang

Saturday 25<sup>th</sup> May, 2019

## 1 Introduction

This document contains notes about Polish space which play an important role in probability and statistics. The materials are mainly from Cohn (2013), Chapter 8 and Dudley (2002), Chapter 13.

## 2 Polish space

**Exercise 1** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.1.3). Let  $(X, \mathscr{A})$  be a measurable space, let Y be a separable metrizable space, and let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be measurable with respect to  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}(Y)$ . Then  $\{x \in X: f(x) = g(x)\} \in \mathscr{A}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ ,

$${x: (f(x), g(x)) \in A \times B} = f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B) \in \mathscr{A}.$$

Hence the map  $F: x \mapsto (f(x), f(x))$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}(Y) \times \mathscr{B}(Y)$ . Since Y is a separable metrizable space,  $\mathscr{B}(Y) \times \mathscr{B}(Y) = \mathscr{B}(Y \times Y)$ . Thus, the map F is measurable with respect to  $\mathscr{A}$  and  $\mathscr{B}(Y \times Y)$ . Let  $\Delta = \{(y_1, y_2) \in Y \times Y : y_1 = y_2\}$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a closed subset of  $Y \times Y$  and  $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\} = F^{-1}(\Delta)$ . It follows that  $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\} \in \mathscr{A}$ .

Exercise 2 (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.1). Let A be an uncountable analytic subset of the Polish space X. Then,

- (a) A has a subset that is homeomorphic to  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
- (b) A has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* From Cohn (2013), Corollary 8.2.8., there is a continuous function f from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto A. By the axiom of choice, there is a set  $S \subset \mathcal{N}$  such that the restriction of f on S is a bijection of S onto A. As a subspace of  $\mathcal{N}$ , S is an uncountable separable metrizable space. Let  $S_0 \subset S$  be the set of all condensation points of the space S. From Cohn (2013), Lemma 8.2.12,  $S_0$  is uncountable

and each point of  $S_0$  is a condensation point of  $S_0$ . Let  $d_{\mathscr{N}}(\cdot,\cdot)$  be a metric on  $\mathscr{N}$  which metrize the topology of  $\mathscr{N}$ . Let  $d_X(\cdot,\cdot)$  be a metric on X which metrize the topology of X.

Now we construct a homeomorphic between a subset of X and  $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . First, let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be two distinct points in  $S_0$ . Since the restriction of f on  $S_0$  is injective,  $f(x_0) \neq f(x_1)$ . Hence there exists  $0 < \epsilon_1 < 1$  such that  $\overline{B(x_0, \epsilon_1)} \cap \overline{B(x_1, \epsilon_1)} = \emptyset$  and  $f(\overline{B(x_0, \epsilon_1)}) \cap f(\overline{B(x_1, \epsilon_1)}) = \emptyset$ . For i = 0, 1, let  $C(i) = B(x_i, \epsilon_1)$ . Note that for i = 0, 1,  $C(i) \cap S_0$  is uncountable and each point of  $C(i) \cap S_0$  is a condensation point of  $C(i) \cap S_0$ . Then there exist  $x_{i0}, x_{i1} \in C(i) \cap S_0$  (i = 0, 1) and  $0 < \epsilon_2 < 1/2$  such that for j = 0, 1,  $B(x_{ij}, \epsilon_2) \subset B(x_i, \epsilon_1)$ ,  $\overline{B(x_{i0}, \epsilon_2)} \cap \overline{B(x_{i1}, \epsilon_2)} = \emptyset$  and  $f(\overline{B(x_{i0}, \epsilon_2)}) \cap f(\overline{B(x_{i1}, \epsilon_2)}) = \emptyset$ . For  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ , let  $C(i, j) = B(x_{ij}, \epsilon_2)$ .

Inductively construct sets  $C(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$ ,  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \mathscr{N}$ , consider the set  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{C(n_1, ..., n_k)}$ . By the completeness of  $\mathscr{N}$ ,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{C(n_1, ..., n_k)} \neq \emptyset$ . Also, the diameter of  $\overline{C(n_1, ..., n_k)}$  tends to 0. Then there exists a unique point in  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{C(n_1, ..., n_k)}$ . Let g be the function from  $\mathscr{N}$  to X which maps  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  to the unique point of  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{C(n_1, ..., n_k)}$ .

By the construction of  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ , g is continuous and injective. Then  $f \circ g$  is continuous. To see that  $f \circ g$  is injective, let  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be two distinct points of  $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $k_0$  be the first k such that  $n_k \neq m_k$ . By the construction of  $C(\cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ ,  $f(\overline{C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k_0})}) \cap f(\overline{C(m_1, \ldots, m_{k_0})}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $g(\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}) \subset \overline{C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k_0})}$ ,  $g(\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}) \subset \overline{C(m_1, \ldots, m_{k_0})}$ . Then  $f \circ g(\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}) \neq f \circ g(\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty})$ .

Since  $\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{N}}$  is compact, the inverse of  $f \circ g$  is also continuous. This completes the proof of (a).

(a) implies that  $\operatorname{card}(A) \geq \mathfrak{c}$ . On the other hand, Cohn (2013), Corollary 8.2.8. implies that  $\operatorname{card}(A) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ . Thus,  $\operatorname{card}(A) = \mathfrak{c}$ .

Exercise 3 (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.2). Let X be an uncoutable Polish space. Then the collection of analytic subsets of X and the collection of Borel subsets of X have the cardinality of the continuum.

*Proof.* Exercise 2 implies that the cardinality of X is  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Since each single point of X is a Borel set, the cardinality of the collection of Borel subsets of X is at least  $\mathfrak{c}$ . We only need to prove that the cardinality of the collection of analytic subsets of X is at most  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

Cohn (2013), Proposition 8.2.9 implies that it suffices to upper bound the cardinality of the collection of closed subsets of the Polish space  $\mathscr{N} \times X$ . Let  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable base of the topology of  $\mathscr{N} \times X$ . Then every closed subset of  $\mathscr{N} \times X$  is the intersection of certain  $U_i^{\complement}$ , that is,  $\bigcap_{i \in S} U_i^{\complement}$  where S is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Hence there is an injective map from the collection of closed subsets of  $\mathscr{N} \times X$  to  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Thus, the cardinality of the collection of closed subsets of  $\mathscr{N} \times X$  is at most  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

**Exercise 4** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.3).

- (a) Let X be a nonempty zero-dimensional Polish space such that each nonempty open subset of X is not compact. Then X is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- (b) the Space  $\mathscr I$  of irrational numbers in the interval (0,1) is homeomorphic to  $\mathscr N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a complete metric for X. We begin by constructing a family  $\{C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)\}$  of subsets of X, indexed by the set of all finite sequences  $\{(n_1, \ldots, n_k)\}$  of positive integers, in such a way that

- 1.  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is nonempty, open, closed and noncompact,
- 2. the diameter of  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is at most 1/k,
- 3.  $\{C(n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1},n_k)\}_{n_k=1}^{\infty}$  are disjoint and  $C(n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1})=\bigcup_{n_k=1}^{\infty}C(n_1,\ldots,n_k),$
- 4.  $X = \bigcup_{n_1=1}^{\infty} C(n_1)$ .

We do this by induction on k.

First, suppose that k = 1. Since X is assumed to be not compact, Cohn (2013), Lemma 8.2.11 gives a sequence  $\{C(n_1)\}_{n_1=1}^{\infty}$  where terms are nonempty, open, closed and with diameter at most 1. By assumption, each  $C(n_1)$  is not compact.

Now suppose that k > 1 and that  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$  has already been chosen. It is easy to use a modification of the construction of the  $C(n_1)$ 's, now applied to  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$  rather than to X, to produce sets  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ ,  $n_k = 1, 2, \ldots$  that satisfy conditions 1 to 4. With this, the induction step in our construction is complete.

We turn to the construction of a homeomorphic between  $\mathscr{N}$  and X. Let  $\mathbf{n} = \{n_k\}$  be an element of  $\mathscr{N}$ . Then the sets  $C(n_1)$ ,  $C(n_1, n_2)$ , ... are decreasing nonempty closed sets whose diameters approach to 0. Since X is complete, there is a unique element in  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ . We can define a function  $f: \mathscr{N} \to X$  by letting  $f(\mathbf{n})$  be the unique member of  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ . Note that if  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  are elements of  $\mathscr{N}$  such that  $m_i = n_i$  holds for  $k = 1, \ldots, k$ , then  $d(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \leq 1/k$ . It follows that f is continuous. Also, it is obvious that f is bijective. It remain to prove that the inverse of f is continuous. Suppose  $f(\mathbf{n}^{(l)}) \to f(\mathbf{n})$ . Fix k > 0. Then if l is large enough,  $f(\mathbf{n}^{(l)}) \in C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ . By the construction of f, this implies that  $n_i^{(l)} = n_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{n}^{(l)} \to \mathbf{n}$  as  $l \to \infty$ . This completes the proof of (a).

We turn to the proof of (b). The space  $\mathscr{I}$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set of [0,1], and hence is a Polish space. The family of intervels  $(a_i,b_i)$  where  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  is rational is a base that consists of sets that are both open and closed. It follows that  $\mathscr{I}$  is zero-dimensional. Each interval (a,b) is the union of  $\{(a_i,b_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  where  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  are rational and  $a_i \downarrow a$  and  $b_i \uparrow b$ . Hence each interval of  $\mathscr{I}$  is not compact. Then the conclusion follows from (a).

**Exercise 5** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.3). Each nonempty Polish space is the image of  $\mathcal{N}$  under a continuous open map.

*Proof.* We mimic the proof of Cohn (2013), Proposition 8.2.7.

Let X be a nonempty Polish space, and let d be a complete metric for X. We begin by constructing a family  $\{C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)\}$  of subsets of X, indexed by the set of all finite sequences  $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$  of positive integers, in such a way that

- 1.  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is nonempty and open,
- 2. the diameter of  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is at most 1/k,
- 3.  $\overline{C(n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1},n_k)} \subset C(n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1})$  and  $C(n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1}) = \bigcup_{n_k=1}^{\infty} C(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$ ,
- 4.  $X = \bigcup_{n_1=1}^{\infty} C(n_1)$ .

We do this by induction on k.

First, suppose that k = 1, and let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence whose terms form a dense subset of X. The sequence  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  may have duplicated elements. Let  $\{C(n_1)\}_{n_1=1}^{\infty}$  be the collection of open balls which center at certain  $x_i$  and with rational radius not larger than 1/2. Certainly each  $C(n_1)$  is open and nonempty and has diameter at most 1. Furthermore,  $X = \bigcup_{n_1} C(n_1)$ .

Now suppose that k > 1 and that  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$  has already been chosen. Let  $\{C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}, n_k)\}_{n_k=1}^{\infty}$  be the collection of open balls which center at centain  $x_i$  and with rational radius not larger than 1/(2k) and whose closure is contained in  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$ . Certainly each  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is open and nonempty and has diameter at most 1/k. Now we prove that  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}) = \bigcup_{n_k=1}^{\infty} C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ . Suppose  $x \in C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$ . Since  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$  is open, there is a open ball  $B(x,r) \subset C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$  where r is rational and r < 1/k. Since  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is dense in X, there is an  $x_i$  such that  $d(x,x_i) < r/3$ . Then the ball  $B(x_i,r/2)$  contains x. Also, the Closure of  $B(x_i,r/2)$  has radius not larger than 1/(2k) and is contained in  $C(n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1})$ . Thus,  $B(x_i,r/2) = C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  for some  $n_k$ . With this, the induction step in our construction is complete.

We turn to the construction of a continuous function that maps  $\mathscr N$  onto X. Let  $\mathbf n=\{n_k\}$  be an element of  $\mathscr N$ . It follows from 3 that  $\cap_{k=1}^\infty C(n_1,\ldots,n_k)=\cap_{k=1}^\infty \overline{C(n_1,\ldots,n_k)}$  which is intersection of a decreasing sequence of nonempty closd subsets of X whose diameters approach 0. Thus there is a unique element in the intersection of these sets, and we can define a function  $f:\mathscr N\to X$  by letting  $f(\mathbf n)$  be the unique member of  $\cap_k C(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$ . Note that if  $\mathbf m$  and  $\mathbf n$  are elements on  $\mathscr N$  such that  $m_i=n_i$  holds for  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , then  $d(f(\mathbf m,\mathbf n))\leq 1/k$ . It follows that f is continuous. Also, 3 and 4 above imply that for each  $\mathbf x$  in X there is an element  $\mathbf n=\{n_k\}$  of  $\mathscr N$  such that  $x\in\cap_k C(n_1,\ldots,n_k)$  and hence such that  $x=f(\mathbf n)$ . Thus f is surjective.

It remains to prove that f is an open map. Note that the sets of the form  $\{n_1\} \times \cdots \times \{n_k\} \times \mathbb{N} \times \cdots$  is a base for the topology of  $\mathscr{N}$ . By the construction of f, for any  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ ,  $f(\{n_1\} \times \cdots \times \{n_k\} \times \mathbb{N} \times \cdots) = C(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  is an open set. This completes the proof.

Exercise 6 (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.5). Each Borel subst of a Polish space is the image under a continuous injective map of some Polish space.

*Proof.* Let X be a Polish space. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of Borel subsets of X which are the image under continuous injective maps of some Polish spaces. Then all open and closed subsets of X belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  since they are themselves Polish spaces.

Assume  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, \cdots \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots$  are disjoint. For each  $A_i$ , there is a Polish space  $X_i$  and a continuous infective map  $f_i(\cdot)$  such that  $f_i(X_i) = A_i$ . Define  $f: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \mapsto \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  by  $f(x) = f_i(x)$  if  $x \in X_i$ . Here  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  is the disjoint union of  $X_i$ . Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  is Polish and f is injective and continuous. Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Assume  $A_1, \ldots, A_n, \cdots \in \mathcal{A}$ . For each  $A_i$ , there is a Polish space  $X_i$  and a continuous infective map  $f_i(\cdot)$  such that  $f_i(X_i) = A_i$ . Define  $f: \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X$  by  $f(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \{f_i(x_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Then f is injective and continuous onto  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X$ . Let  $D = \{(x, x, \ldots) : x \in X\}$ . Define  $g: D \mapsto X$  by  $g(x, x, \ldots) = x$ . Then g is a homeomorphism between D and X. Consider  $g \circ f$  defined on  $f^{-1}(D)$ . Then  $g \circ f$  is injective and continuous from  $f^{-1}(D)$  onto  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Since  $f^{-1}(D)$  is a closed subset of  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , it is Polish. Thus,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

From Cohn (2013), Lemma 8.2.4,  $\mathcal{A}$  contains all Borel subset of X. This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Exercise 7 (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.2.6). If X is an uncountable Polish space, then there is an analytic subset of X that is not a Borel set.

*Proof.* Let X be an uncountable Polish space. From Cohn (2013), Proposition 8.2.13, there is a continuous injective map  $f: \mathcal{N} \to X$  such that  $X - f(\mathcal{N})$  is countable. From Cohn (2013), Corollary 8.2.17, there is an analytic set  $A \in \mathcal{N}$  that is not a Borel set. Then f(A) is not a Borel set of X, or else  $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$  would be a Borel set, a contradiction. On the other hand, f(A) is analytic. This completes the proof.

**Exercise 8** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.3.1). Let X and Y be Polish spaces, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function whose graph is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y$ . Then f is Borel measurable.

**Remark 1.** It follows from this conclution and Cohn (2013), Proposition 8.1.8 that f is Borel measurable iif the graph of f is a Borel subset of  $X \times Y$ . Then the graph of f can not be an analytic set which is not a Borel set.

Proof. Let  $G = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}$  denote the graph of f. For any Borel subset B of Y, the sets  $G \cap (X \times B)$  and  $G \cap (X \times B^{\complement})$  are analytic. Then the projection of these two sets on X, i.e.  $f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(B^{\complement})$ , are also analytic. From separation theorem, i.e. Cohn (2013), Theorem 8.3.1, B and  $B^{\complement}$  are Borel sets. Hence f is Borel measurable.

**Exercise 9** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.3.2). Let X and Y be uncountable Polish spaces. Then the cardinality of the collection of Borel measurable functions from X to Y is that of the continuum.

*Proof.* The cardinalities of X and Y are both  $\mathfrak{c}$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , the constant function  $f(x) \equiv y$  is Borel measurable. Hence the cardinality of the collection of Borel measurable functions from X to Y is at least  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

On the other hand, since the graph of Borel measurable function f is a Borel subset of  $X \times Y$ , and the collection of Borel subsets of an uncontable Polish space has cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ , the cardinality of the collection of Borel measurable functions from X to Y is as most  $\mathfrak{c}$ . This completes the proof.

**Exercise 10** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.3.2). There is a Lebesgue measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that no real-valued Borel measurable function  $f_1$  satisfies  $f(x) \leq f_1(x)$  at each x in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let K be the Cantor set. K is an uncontable Polish space. According to the preceding ecercise, there is a bijection  $x \mapsto g_x$  of K onto the set of real-valued Borel functions on K. Define  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g_x(x) + 1 & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f(x) = 0 a.e., f is Lebesgue measurable. Suppose there is a real-valued Borel measurable function  $f_1$  satisfying  $f(x) \leq f_1(x)$  at each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the restriction of  $f_1$  on K is still Borel measurable. Hence there is an  $x_1 \in K$  such that  $f_1(x) = g_{x_1}(x)$  at each  $x \in K$ . Then  $g_{x_1}(x) \geq g_x(x) + 1$  at each  $x \in K$ . But this is impossible when  $x = x_1$ . This completes the proof.

**Exercise 11** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.3.3). Let X be a Polish space, let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on X such that  $\mu(X) = 1$ , and let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on the Borel subsets of [0,1]. Then there is a Borel measurable function  $f:[0,1] \to X$  such that  $\mu = \lambda f^{-1}$ .

Proof. If X is countably infinite, let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an enumeration of the points of X. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\{x_i\}) = \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x_i\}) = 1$ . We can construct f by letting  $f(t) = x_i$  if  $t \in \left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j\right)$ , and  $f(1) = x_1$ . Then  $\lambda f^{-1}(\{x_i\}) = \lambda\left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j, \sum_{j=1}^{i} x_j\right)\right) = x_i$ . Hence  $\mu = \lambda f^{-1}$ . If X is finite, the construction of f is similar.

Now suppose X is uncountable, from Cohn (2013), Theorem 8.3.6, there is a bijection g from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto X which is Borel isomorphism. Then the measure  $\mu g$  is a probability measure on the Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . From Cohn (2013), Proposition 10.1.15, there is a Borel measurable function h from [0,1] to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu g = \lambda h^{-1}$ . Thus, for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \mu(gg^{-1}A) = \mu g(g^{-1}A) = \lambda h^{-1}(g^{-1}A) = \lambda (h^{-1}g^{-1}A) = \lambda ((g \circ h)^{-1}A) = \lambda (g \circ h)^{-1}(A).$$

The conclusion follows by letting  $f = g \circ h$ .

**Exercise 12** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.4.1). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space.

- (a) A function  $f: X \to [-\infty, +\infty]$  is  $\mathscr{A}_*$ -measurable if and only if for each finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathscr{A})$  there are  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable functions  $f_0, f_1: X \to [-\infty, +\infty]$  that satisfy  $f_0 \leq f \leq f_1$  everywhere on X and  $f_0 = f_1$   $\mu$ -almost surely.
- (b) If  $f: X \to [-\infty, +\infty]$  is  $\mathscr{A}_*$ -measurable and if the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  in part (a) can be chosen independently of  $\mu$ , then f is  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable.

*Proof.* It is understood that  $\mathscr{A}_*$ -measurable means  $\mathscr{A}_*/\mathscr{B}([-\infty,+\infty])$  measurable.

Suppose  $f: X \to [-\infty, +\infty]$  is  $\mathscr{A}_*$ -measurable. Then for each finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(X, \mathscr{A})$ , since  $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}_{\mu}$ , f is  $\mathscr{A}_{\mu}$  measurable. Then the existence of  $f_0, f_1$  is implied by Cohn (2013), Proposition 2.2.5. Conversely, suppose for each finite measure  $\mu$ , such  $f_0, f_1$  exist. Then Cohn (2013), Proposition 2.2.5 implies that f is  $\mathscr{A}_{\mu}$  measurable. Thus, for any  $A \in \mathscr{B}([-\infty, +\infty])$ ,  $f^{-1}(A) \in \cap_{\mu} \mathscr{A}_{\mu} = \mathscr{A}_*$ . This completes the proof of (a).

We turn to (b). Consider the set  $A = \{x : f_0(x) \neq f_1(x)\}$ . By assumption,  $\mu^*(A) = 0$  for any finite  $\mu$  on  $\mathscr{A}$ . If A is not empty, let x be a point of A and  $\delta_x$  be the point mass concentrated on x. Then  $\delta_x^*(A) = 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $A = \emptyset$ . Thus  $f = f_0$ , hence f is  $\mathscr{A}$ -measurable.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 13** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.4.2). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Then

- (a)  $(\mathscr{A}_*)_* = \mathscr{A}_*$ .
- (b) If  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $(X, \mathscr{A})$ , then  $(\mathscr{A}_{\mu})_* = \mathscr{A}_{\mu}$ .

*Proof.* First we proof (b). It is clear that  $(\mathscr{A}_{\mu})_* \supset \mathscr{A}_{\mu}$ . On the other hand,  $(\mathscr{A}_{\mu})_* \subset (\mathscr{A}_{\mu})_{\mu} = \mathscr{A}_{\mu}$ . This completes the proof of (b).

We turn to (a). It is clear that  $(\mathscr{A}_*)_* \supset \mathscr{A}_*$ . On the other hand, let  $\mu$  be any finite measure on  $\mathscr{A}$ . Then  $(\mathscr{A}_*)_* \subset (\mathscr{A}_{\mu})_* = \mathscr{A}_{\mu}$ . Hence  $(\mathscr{A}_*)_* \subset \cap_{\mu} \mathscr{A}_{\mu} = \mathscr{A}_*$ .

**Exercise 14** (Cohn (2013), Exercise 8.4.3). There is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is not universally measurable.

*Proof.* Let C be the Cantor set on [0,1] (see Cohn (2013), example 1.4.6), let  $f:[0,1] \to [0,1]$  be the Cantor function (see Cohn (2013), example 2.1.10). It is known that f is nondecreasing and continuous.

Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\lambda_{[0,1]}$  be the Lebesgue measure on [0,1]. Let  $\mu$  be the measure on [0,1] with distribution function f. It is known that  $\mu(C) = 1$ . Define  $\mu_1 = \lambda + \mu$ . Then for  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $\mu_1([0,x]) = f(x) + x$ . Define  $g:[0,1] \to [0,2]$  by g(x) = f(x) + x. Then g is strict increasing and continuous. Thus, g is a Borel isomorphism from  $([0,1], \mathcal{B}([0,1]), \mu_1)$  to  $([0,2], \mathcal{B}([0,2]), \lambda)$ . That is,  $\lambda \circ g = \mu_1$ . It ca be easily seen that g is also an isomorphism from the completion space  $([0,1], \mathcal{B}([0,1])_{\mu_1}, \mu_1)$  to the completion space  $([0,2], \mathcal{B}([0,2])_{\lambda}, \lambda)$ . It can be shown that  $\lambda(g(C^{\complement})) = 1$ . Hence  $\lambda(g(C)) = 2 - 1 = 1$ . Then There is a Lebesgue **non**measurable

subset D of g(C) (see Cohn (2013), exercise 1.4.6). Then  $g^{-1}(D)$  is a  $\mathcal{B}([0,1])_{\mu_1}$ -nonmeasurable subset of C. Note also that  $g^{-1}(D)$  is Lebesgue measurable since  $g^{-1}(D)$  is a subset of C which has Lebesgue measure 0. Thus,  $g^{-1}(D)$  is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is not universally measurable.

References

Cohn, D. L. (2013). Measure Theory. Birkhäuser, New York, 2nd edition.

Dudley, R. M. (2002). Real Analysis and Probability. Cambridge University Press.