

Notes on the theory of Bayesian statistics

Rui Wang

Friday 24th May, 2019

Abstract

This document provides notes on the theory of Bayesian statistics.

1 Consistency

Nonparametric convergence rate. The work of [6] is seminal. A similar result was obtained by [8]. The work of [6] focused on iid case. [9] generalized the results to non iid case. This line of research relies on the assumption that there exists a sequence of uniformly consistent test.

2 Results in [9]

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$, let $P_\theta^{(n)}$ admit densities $p_\theta^{(n)}$ relative to a σ -finite measure $\mu^{(n)}$. Assume that $(x, \theta) \mapsto p_\theta^{(n)}(x)$ is jointly measurable relative to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is a σ -field on Θ . The posterior distribution is given by

$$\Pi_n(B|X^{(n)}) = \frac{\int_B p_\theta^{(n)}(X^{(n)}) d\Theta_n(\theta)}{\int_\Theta p_\theta^{(n)}(X^{(n)}) d\Theta_n(\theta)}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Here $X^{(n)}$ is generated according to $P_{\theta_0}^{(n)}$ for some given $\theta_0 \in \Theta$.

Assumption 1. For each n , let d_n and e_n be semimetrics on Θ with the property that there exist universal constants $\xi > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for each $\theta_1 \in \Theta$ with $d_n(\theta_1, \theta_0) > \epsilon$, there exists a test ϕ_n such that

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \phi_n \leq e^{-K n \epsilon^2}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta: e_n(\theta, \theta_1) < \epsilon \xi} P_\theta^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq e^{-K n \epsilon^2}.$$

Lemma 1. Suppose Assumption 1 holds. Suppose that for some nonincreasing function $\epsilon \mapsto N(\epsilon)$ and some $\epsilon_n \geq 0$,

$$N\left(\frac{\epsilon \xi}{2}, \{\theta \in \Theta : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) < \epsilon\}, e_n\right) \leq N(\epsilon) \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > \epsilon_n.$$

Then for every $\epsilon > \epsilon_n$, there exist tests ϕ_n , $n \geq 1$, (depending on ϵ) such that $P_{\theta_0}^{(n)}\phi_n \leq N(\epsilon)e^{-K\epsilon^2}/(1 - e^{-K\epsilon^2})$ and $P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq e^{-K\epsilon^2 j^2}$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > j\epsilon$ and for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For a given $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Theta_j = \{\theta \in \Theta : j\epsilon < d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \leq (j+1)\epsilon\}$ and choose a set $\Theta'_j \subset \Theta_j$ such that $\{B_{e_n}(\theta_{(j,i)}, j\epsilon\xi), i = 1, \dots, |\Theta'_j|\}$ is a minimal $j\epsilon\xi$ -covering. Since $\Theta_j \subset \{\theta \in \Theta : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \leq 2j\epsilon\}$, we have

$$|\Theta'_j| \leq N(j\epsilon\xi, \{\theta \in \Theta : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) < 2j\epsilon\}, e_n) \leq N(2j\epsilon).$$

By assumption, for every point $\theta_{(j,i)} \in \Theta'_j$, there exists a test $\phi_n^{(j,i)}$ with the following properties

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)}\phi_n^{(j,i)} \leq e^{-Knj^2\epsilon^2}, \quad \sup_{\theta \in B_{e_n}(\theta_{(j,i)}, j\epsilon\xi)} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n^{(j,i)}) \leq e^{-Knj^2\epsilon^2}.$$

Let

$$\phi_n = \sup_{\{(j,i): i=1, \dots, |\Theta'_j|, j \in \mathbb{N}\}} \phi_n^{(j,i)}.$$

Then

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)}\phi_n \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{|\Theta'_j|} P_{\theta_0}^{(n)}\phi_n^{(j,i)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\Theta'_j| e^{-Knj^2\epsilon^2} \leq N(\epsilon) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-Knj\epsilon^2} = N(\epsilon) \frac{e^{-K\epsilon^2}}{1 - e^{-K\epsilon^2}}.$$

On the other hand, for any $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > j\epsilon$, there exists (j', i') such that $j' \geq j$, $\theta \in B_{e_n}(\theta_{(j,i)}, j\epsilon\xi)$.

$$P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n^{(j', i')}) \leq \sup_{\theta \in B_{e_n}(\theta_{(j,i)}, j\epsilon\xi)} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n^{(j', i')}) \leq e^{-Knj'^2\epsilon^2} \leq e^{-Knj^2\epsilon^2}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 1. *If the conclusion of Lemma 1 holds, then for $d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > \epsilon$,*

$$P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0) \right\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 1 asserts that $P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq e^{-K\epsilon^2 j^2}$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > j\epsilon$ and for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) \leq e^{-K\epsilon^2 j^2}$ for $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $j\epsilon < d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \leq (j+1)\epsilon$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus if $d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta}^{(n)}(1 - \phi_n) &\leq e^{-K\epsilon^2 j^2} \\ &= e^{-K\epsilon^2 (j+1)^2 \frac{j^2}{(j+1)^2}} \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0)} \end{aligned}$$

□

For a given $k > 1$, let

$$B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon; k) = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : K \left(p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}, p_{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \leq n\epsilon^2, V_{k,0} \left(p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}, p_{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \leq n^{k/2}\epsilon^k \right\},$$

where $V_{k,0}(f, g) = \int |f| \log(f/g) - K(f, g)|^k d\mu$.

Lemma 2. *For $k \geq 2$, every $\epsilon > 0$ and every probability measure $\bar{\Pi}_n$ supported on the set $B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon; k)$, we have, for every $C > 0$,*

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq e^{-(1+C)n\epsilon^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{C^k(n\epsilon^2)^{k/2}}$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality applied to the logarithm, with $\ell_{n,\theta} = \log(p_{\theta}^{(n)}/p_{\theta_0}^{(n)})$, we have $\log \int (p_{\theta}^{(n)}/p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \geq \int \ell_{n,\theta} d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq e^{-(1+C)n\epsilon^2} \right) \\ & \leq P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int \ell_{n,\theta} d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq -(1+C)n\epsilon^2 \right) \\ & = P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int (\ell_{n,\theta} - P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \ell_{n,\theta}) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq -(1+C)n\epsilon^2 - \int P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \ell_{n,\theta} d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \right) \\ & = P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int (\ell_{n,\theta} - P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \ell_{n,\theta}) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq -(1+C)n\epsilon^2 + \int K(p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}, p_{\theta}^{(n)}) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \right) \\ & \leq P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left(\int (\ell_{n,\theta} - P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \ell_{n,\theta}) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta) \leq -Cn\epsilon^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \int |\ell_{n,\theta} - P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \ell_{n,\theta}|^k d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta)}{(Cn\epsilon^2)^k} \\ & = \frac{\int V_{k,0}(f, g) d\bar{\Pi}_n(\theta)}{(Cn\epsilon^2)^k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{C^k(n\epsilon^2)^{k/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1. *Suppose Assumption 1 holds. Let $\epsilon_n > 0$, $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, $(n\epsilon_n^2)^{-1} = O(1)$, $k > 1$, and $\Theta_n \subset \Theta$ be such that,*

$$\sup_{\epsilon > \epsilon_n} \log N \left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\xi, \{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) < \epsilon\}, e_n \right) \leq n\epsilon_n^2, \quad (1)$$

for some $C > 0$,

$$\frac{e^{(1+C)n\epsilon_n^2}}{\Pi_n(B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k))} \int_{\{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0) \right\} d\Pi_n(\theta) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Then for every $M_n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \Pi_n \left(\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n | X^{(n)} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. From Corollary 1, applied with $N(\epsilon) = \exp(n\epsilon_n^2)$ and $\epsilon = M_n \epsilon_n$ (W.L.O.G $M_n \geq 1$) in its assertion, there exist tests ϕ_n that satisfy

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \phi_n \leq e^{n\epsilon_n^2} \frac{e^{-KM_n^2 \epsilon_n^2}}{1 - e^{-KM_n^2 \epsilon_n^2}},$$

$$P_{\theta}^{(n)} (1 - \phi_n) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0) \right\} \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta_n \text{ s.t. } d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > M_n \epsilon_n.$$

The first assertion implies that if M_n is sufficiently large to ensure that $KM_n^2 - 1 > KM_n^2/2$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\Pi_n \left(\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n | X^{(n)} \right) \phi_n \right] \leq P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \phi_n \lesssim \exp \{ -KM_n^2 n \epsilon_n^2 / 2 \}.$$

Setting $\Theta_n^\dagger = \{ \theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n \}$, we obtain, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\int_{\{ \theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n \}} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta) (1 - \phi_n) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}^{(n)}} \int_{\{ \theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n \}} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}(X^{(n)})}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}(X^{(n)})} d\Pi_n(\theta) (1 - \phi_n(X^{(n)})) p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}(X^{(n)}) d\mu^{(n)} \\ &= \int_{\{ \theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n \}} P_{\theta}^{(n)} (1 - \phi_n) d\Pi_n(\theta) \\ &\leq \int_{\{ \theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n \}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0) \right\} d\Pi_n(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Fix some $C > 0$. By Lemma 2, we have, on an event A_n with probability at least $1 - C^{-k} (n\epsilon_n^2)^{-k/2}$,

$$\int_{\Theta} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta) \geq \int_{B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k)} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta) \geq e^{-(1+C)n\epsilon_n^2} \Pi_n(B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\Pi_n \left(\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) > \epsilon_n M_n | X^{(n)} \right) (1 - \phi_n) \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \right] \\
&= P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\frac{\int_{\{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n\}} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta)} (1 - \phi_n) \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{e^{(1+C)n\epsilon_n^2}}{\Pi_n(B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k))} P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\int_{\{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n\}} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta) (1 - \phi_n) \mathbf{1}_{A_n} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{e^{(1+C)n\epsilon_n^2}}{\Pi_n(B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k))} P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \left[\int_{\{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n\}} \frac{p_{\theta}^{(n)}}{p_{\theta_0}^{(n)}} d\Pi_n(\theta) (1 - \phi_n) \right] \\
&\leq \frac{e^{(1+C)n\epsilon_n^2}}{\Pi_n(B_n(\theta_0, \epsilon_n; k))} \int_{\{\theta \in \Theta_n : d_n(\theta, \theta_0) \geq M_n \epsilon_n\}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{K}{4} n d_n^2(\theta, \theta_0) \right\} d\Pi_n(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3 Fractional posteriors

Consistency:

[11], [2], [Alquier and Ridgway] (variational fractional posterior, also contains an example of mixture model).

nonregular models: [5], [4], [3].

Martingale methods: [10], [12].

Fractional posterior with power t is defined as

$$\Pi^{(t)}(\theta \in B | \mathbf{X}^{(n)}) = \frac{\int_B [p_n(\mathbf{X}^{(n)} | \theta)]^t \Pi_n(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} [p_n(\mathbf{X}^{(n)} | \theta)]^t \Pi_n(d\theta)}.$$

For two parameters θ_1 and θ_2 , the α order Rényi divergence ($0 < \alpha < 1$) of P_{θ_1} from P_{θ_2} (suppose $P_{\theta_1} \ll P_{\theta_2}$) is defined to be

$$D_{\alpha}(\theta_1 \| \theta_2) = -\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \rho_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2),$$

where $\rho_{\alpha}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(X|\theta_1)^{\alpha} p(X|\theta_2)^{1-\alpha} d\mu$ is the so-called Hellinger integral.

The Kullback-Leibler between P and Q is

$$D_1(P \| Q) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \log \frac{dP}{dQ} dP, & \text{if } P \ll Q, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is known that $\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} D_{\alpha}(\theta_1 \| \theta_2) = D_1(\theta_1 \| \theta_2)$.

3.1 Results in [7], Section 8.6

Lemma 3. Suppose v are nonnegative measurable function from Θ to \mathbb{R} such that

$$0 < \int_{\Theta} v(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) < \infty.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{W} = \left\{ w : w \text{ is a nonnegative measurable function from } \Theta \text{ to } \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \int_{\Theta} w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\log \int_{\Theta} v(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) = \sup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Theta} [-\log w(\theta) + \log v(\theta)] w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta).$$

The equality holds when

$$w(\theta) = \frac{v(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} v(\theta) \Pi(d\theta)}.$$

Remark 1. This lemma seems well known. One can find it in [?], Lemma 1.1.3.

Proof. The conclusion follows from

$$D_1 \left(w \Pi(d\theta) \left\| \frac{v \Pi(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} v \Pi(d\theta)} \right\| \right) \geq 0.$$

□

Theorem 2. For any numbers $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma \geq 0$, for $\alpha = (\gamma + \beta)/(\gamma + 1)$,

$$\frac{1 - \beta}{\gamma + 1} P_{\theta_0}^{(n)} \int_{\Theta} D_{\beta}(\theta \| \theta_0) \Pi^{(\alpha)}(d\theta | \mathbf{X}^{(n)}) \leq -\log \int_{\Theta} e^{-\alpha D_1(\theta_0 \| \theta)} \Pi(d\theta).$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 3 twice, first with $v(\theta) = (p_{\theta}/p_{\theta_0})^{\delta}(X)$, and second with $v(\theta) = (p_{\theta}/p_{\theta_0})^{\beta}(X)/\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)$.

We have

$$\int_{\Theta} \left(-\log w(\theta) + \delta \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \leq \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta}(X) \Pi(d\theta),$$

$$\int_{\Theta} \left(-\log w(\theta) + \beta \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) - \log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \leq \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta}(X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta).$$

Adding the second inequality to γ times the first inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Theta} \left(-(\gamma + 1) \log w(\theta) + (\gamma \delta + \beta) \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) - \log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \\ & \leq \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta}(X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta) + \gamma \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta}(X) \Pi(d\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the right hand side does not depend on $w(\theta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_{\theta_0} \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta} (X) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& \leq \log P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta} (X) \Pi(d\theta) = \log \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta_0} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta} (X) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = \log \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta_0} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\delta} (X) \Pi(d\theta) = \log \int_{\Theta} \rho_{\delta}(\theta, \theta_0) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& \leq \log \int_{\Theta} \Pi(d\theta) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_{\theta_0} \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta} (X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta) \\
& \leq \log P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta} (X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta) = \log \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta_0} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta} (X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = \log \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta_0} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}} \right)^{\beta} (X) \frac{1}{\rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0)} \Pi(d\theta) = \log \int_{\Theta} \Pi(d\theta) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that for every choice of $w(\theta)$ ($w(\theta)$ may depend on X), we have

$$P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left(-(\gamma + 1) \log w(\theta) + (\gamma\delta + \beta) \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) - \log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \leq 0.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Theta} -\log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \geq 0.$$

Then for any $w(\theta)$,

$$P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} -\log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \leq P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left((\gamma + 1) \log w(\theta) - (\gamma\delta + \beta) \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta).$$

Divided both sides by $\gamma + 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\gamma + 1} P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} -\log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \leq P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left(\log w(\theta) - \log \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right)^{\alpha} \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\gamma\delta + \beta}{\gamma + 1}$. From Lemma 3, the right hand side is minimized (for $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and w can depend on X) when

$$w(\theta) = \frac{p_{\theta}^{\alpha}(X)}{\int_{\Theta} p_{\theta}^{\alpha}(X) \Pi(d\theta)}.$$

And the minimum value of the right hand side is $-P_{\theta_0} \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right)^{\alpha} \Pi(d\theta)$. In this case,

$w(\theta)\Pi(d\theta) = \Pi^\alpha(d\theta|\mathbf{X}^{(n)})$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\gamma+1} P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} -\log \rho_{\beta}(\theta, \theta_0) \Pi^\alpha(d\theta|\mathbf{X}^{(n)}) \\
& \leq -P_{\theta_0} \log \int_{\Theta} \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right)^{\alpha} \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = P_{\theta_0} \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Theta} \left(\log w(\theta) - \log \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right)^{\alpha} \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& \leq \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}} P_{\theta_0} \int_{\Theta} \left(\log w(\theta) - \log \left(\frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right)^{\alpha} \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Theta} \left(\log w(\theta) - \alpha P_{\theta_0} \log \frac{p_{\theta}}{p_{\theta_0}}(X) \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \int_{\Theta} \left(\log w(\theta) - \log e^{-\alpha D_1(\theta_0 \parallel \theta)} \right) w(\theta) \Pi(d\theta) \\
& = -\log \int_{\Theta} e^{-\alpha D_1(\theta_0 \parallel \theta)} \Pi(d\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

4 Hausdorff entropy

[?] , [?] , [?] , [?] ,

Their results can be generalized to non iid case.

5 ρ -estimator

Lucien Birgé and Yannick Baraud's work.

References

- [Alquier and Ridgway] Alquier, P. and Ridgway, J. Concentration of tempered posteriors and of their variational approximations.
- [2] Bhattacharya, A., Pati, D., and Yang, Y. (2019). Bayesian fractional posteriors. *The Annals of Statistics*, 47(1):39–66.
- [3] Bochkina, N. A. and Green, P. J. (2014). The Bernstein-von Mises theorem and nonregular models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 42(5):1850–1878.
- [4] Dudley, R. M. and Haughton, D. (2002). Asymptotic normality with small relative errors of posterior probabilities of half-spaces. *The Annals of Statistics*, 30(5):1311–1344.

- [5] Ghosal, S., Ghosh, J. K., and Samanta, T. (1995). On convergence of posterior distributions. *The Annals of Statistics*, 23(6):2145–2152.
- [6] Ghosal, S., Ghosh, J. K., and van der Vaart, A. W. (2000). Convergence rates of posterior distributions. *Ann. Statist.*, 28(2):500–531.
- [7] Ghosal, S. and van der Vaart, A. (2017). *Fundamentals of Nonparametric Bayesian Inference*. Cambridge University Press, 1st edition.
- [8] Shen, X. and Wasserman, L. (2001). Rates of convergence of posterior distributions. *Annals of Statistics*, 29(3):687–714.
- [9] van der Vaart, A. and Ghosal, S. (2007). Convergence rates of posterior distributions for noniid observations. *Annals of Statistics*, 35(1):192–223.
- [10] Walker, S. G. (2004). New approaches to bayesian consistency. *Annals of Statistics*, 32(5):2028–2043.
- [11] Walker, S. G. and Hjort, N. L. (2001). On bayesian consistency. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 63(4):811–821.
- [12] Walker, S. G., Lijoi, A., and Prünster, I. (2007). On rates of convergence for posterior distributions in infinite-dimensional models. *The Annals of Statistics*, 35(2):738–746.