

Elsevier L^AT_EX template[☆]

Elsevier¹

Radarweg 29, Amsterdam

*Elsevier Inc^{a, b}, Global Customer Service^{b, *}*

^a1600 John F Kennedy Boulevard, Philadelphia

^b360 Park Avenue South, New York

Abstract

This template helps you to create a properly formatted L^AT_EX manuscript.

Keywords:

1. Main

Suppose $(X_1^T, Y_1), \dots, (X_n^T, Y_n)$ are i.i.d. from $N_{p+1}(\mu, \Sigma)$, where $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^T$.

Write $Y = \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + X^T \beta + \epsilon$, where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is n dimensional vector with all elements equal to 1. ϵ has distribution $N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$.

The problem is to test hypotheses $H : \beta = 0$.

Let $Q_n = WW^T$ be the rank decomposition of Q_n , where W_n is a $n \times n - 1$ matrix with $W^T W = I_{n-1}$. The new test statistic is

$$T = \frac{y^T Q_n y}{y^T W (W^T X^T X W)^{-1} W^T y}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{y^T Q_n y}{y^T Q_n (X^T X)^{-1} Q_n y - (y^T Q_n (X^T X)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n)^2 / (\mathbf{1}_n^T (X^T X)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n)}$$

[☆]Fully documented templates are available in the elsarticle package on CTAN.

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: support@elsevier.com (Global Customer Service)

URL: www.elsevier.com (Elsevier Inc)

¹Since 1880.

Let $\tilde{y} = W^T y$, $\tilde{X} = XW$, $\tilde{\epsilon} = W^T \epsilon$. Then

$$\tilde{y} = \tilde{X}^T \beta + \tilde{\epsilon}$$

and

$$T = \frac{\tilde{y}^T \tilde{y}}{\tilde{y}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{y}}$$

Next we derive another form of T . We follow the similar technique of Hotelling's T^2 .

Let R be an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ orthogonal matrix satisfies

$$R\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \|\tilde{y}\| \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can write

$$T = \frac{\|\tilde{y}\|^2}{\tilde{y}^T R^T (R \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} R^T)^{-1} R \tilde{y}} \quad (1)$$

Denote by $B = R \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} R^T$, then

$$T = \frac{1}{(B^{-1})_{11}}.$$

Let

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{(1)}^T \\ b_{(1)} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

and apply the matrix inverse formula, we have $(B^{-1})_{11} = 1/(b_{11} - b_{(1)}^T B_{22}^{-1} b_{(1)})$.

Hence

$$T = b_{11} - b_{(1)}^T B_{22}^{-1} b_{(1)}.$$

2. Asymptotic distribution

Note that conditioning on \tilde{y} , R is a constant orthogonal matrix. And \tilde{y} is independent of \tilde{X} under null hypotheses. So $B|\tilde{y}$ has the same distribution with

$\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X}$ under null hypotheses. Hence B is independent of \tilde{y} and can be written as

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i z_i z_i^T \quad (2)$$

where z_i 's are i.i.d. $n-1$ dimensional random vectors distributed as $N(0, I_{n-1})$, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots \geq \lambda_p > 0$ are eigenvalues of Σ_X . Denote by $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$, $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_p)$. Let $Z_{(1)}$ and $Z_{(2)}$ be the first 1 row and last $n-2$ rows of Z , that is

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{(1)} \\ Z_{(2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} B &= Z \Lambda Z^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} Z_{(1)} \Lambda Z_{(1)}^T & Z_{(1)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T \\ Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(1)}^T & Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T &= Z_{(1)} \Lambda Z_{(1)}^T - Z_{(1)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(1)}^T \\ &= Z_{(1)} (\Lambda - \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) Z_{(1)}^T. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) &= \text{rank}(\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \text{rank}(I_{n-2}) = n-2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(\Lambda - \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) &= \text{rank}(I_p - \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= p - n + 2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Hence

$$T \sim \sum_{i=1}^{p-n+2} \lambda_i (\Lambda - \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) \chi_1^2$$

By Weyl's inequality, we have for $1 \leq i \leq p-n+2$

$$\lambda_i (\Lambda - \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) \leq \lambda_i (\Lambda), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_i (\Lambda - \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) \\
& \geq \lambda_{i+n-2} (\Lambda) + \lambda_{p-n+2} (-\Lambda Z_{(2)}^T (Z_{(2)} \Lambda Z_{(2)}^T)^{-1} Z_{(2)} \Lambda) \\
& = \lambda_{i+n-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=n-1}^p \lambda_i \chi_1^2 \leq T \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p-n+2} \lambda_i \chi_1^2$$

Note that under condition $\text{tr} \Sigma^4 / (\text{tr} \Sigma^2)^2 \rightarrow 0$, we have by Liapounoff central limit theorem that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \chi_1^2 - \text{tr} \Sigma_X}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1).$$

And

$$\frac{T - \text{tr} \Sigma_X}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \chi_1^2 - \text{tr} \Sigma_X}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} = \frac{T - \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \chi_1^2}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}}, \tag{9}$$

To prove (9) $\xrightarrow{P} 0$, we only need to prove

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i \chi_1^2}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} \right) \rightarrow 0. \tag{10}$$

If λ_i 's are bounded below and above, then (10) is equivalent to

$$n / \sqrt{p} \rightarrow 0, \tag{11}$$

or $p/n^2 \rightarrow \infty$. We thus obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose*

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \lambda_i}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\text{tr} \Sigma^4}{(\text{tr} \Sigma^2)^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then under null hypotheses, we have

$$\frac{T - \text{tr} \Sigma_X}{\sqrt{\text{tr}(\Sigma_X^2)}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1).$$

3. Full Asymptotic Results

$$\Sigma_X = P\Lambda P^T$$

Non-spike: there's no principal component ($r = 0$). That is, $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p$.

Spike: there's r principal components. That is, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_r \geq \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_p$. Denote by P_1 the first r column of P and P_2 the last $p - r$ column of P .

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + X^T \beta + \epsilon \\ &= \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + X^T P_1 P_1^T \beta + X^T P_2 P_2^T \beta + \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In either case, let λ be $\lambda = \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_p$.

PCR try to do regression between Y and (estimated) $X^T P_1$. If P_1 is observed, then the problem is reduced to testing an ordinary regression model. However, it's not the case.

Simply estimating P_1 and invoke classical testing procedure may not be a good idea since the estimation may not be consistent in high dimension. In fact, there may be even no principal component!

In this paper, testing PCR means testing:

H_0 : There's no principal component or there's r principal components but $P_1^T \beta = 0$.

H_1 : There's r principal components and $P_1^T \beta \neq 0$.

Next we consider:

1. There's no PC.
2. There's r principal components but $P_1 \beta = 0$.

3.1. circumstance 1

$$T = \frac{\beta^T \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^T \beta + 2\beta^T \tilde{X} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{\beta^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T \beta + 2\beta^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \tag{13}$$

Independent of data, generate a random p dimensional orthonormal matrix O with Haar invariant distribution. And

$$T = \frac{(O\beta)^T O\tilde{X}(O\tilde{X})^T O\beta + 2(O\beta)^T \tilde{X}\tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{(O\beta)^T O\tilde{X}((O\tilde{X})^T O\tilde{X})^{-1} (O\tilde{X})^T \beta + 2(O\beta)^T O\tilde{X}((O\tilde{X})^T O\tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T ((O\tilde{X})^T O\tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \quad (14)$$

Note that conditioning on O , $O\tilde{X}$ is a random matrix with each entry independently distributed as $N(0, \lambda)$. Hence O is independent of $O\tilde{X}$. Observe also that $O\beta/\|\beta\|$ is uniformly distributed on the unit ball. We can without loss of generality assuming that $\beta/\|\beta\|$ is uniformly distributed on the unit ball. Independent of data, generate $R > 0$ with R^2 distributed as χ_p^2 . Then $\xi = R\beta/\|\beta\|$ distributed as $N_p(0, I_p)$. Note that conditioning on \tilde{X} , $\eta = (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1/2} \tilde{X}^T \xi$ is distributed as $N_{n-1}(0, I_{n-1})$. Hence η is independent of \tilde{X} .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{(\|\beta\|/R)^2 \xi^T \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^T \xi + 2(\|\beta\|/R) \xi^T \tilde{X} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{(\|\beta\|/R)^2 \xi^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T \xi + 2(\|\beta\|/R) \xi^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \\ &= \frac{(\|\beta\|/R)^2 \eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta + 2(\|\beta\|/R) \eta^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{1/2} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{(\|\beta\|/R)^2 \eta^T \eta + 2(\|\beta\|/R) \eta^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1/2} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We consider

$$\frac{\frac{\|\beta\|^2}{R^2} \eta^T \eta}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} = \frac{(n-1)\|\beta\|^2}{p} \frac{\frac{p}{R^2} \frac{\eta^T \eta}{n-1}}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \quad (16)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} &\sim \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{(\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})_{11,2}} \\ &\sim \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda} \frac{\chi_{n-1}^2}{\chi_{p-n+2}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\|\beta\|^2}{R^2} \eta^T \eta}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} &= \frac{(n-1)(p-n+2)}{p(n-1)} \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} \|\beta\|^2 (1 + o_P(1)) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} \|\beta\|^2 (1 + o_P(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\frac{\|\beta\|^2}{R^2} \eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}} = \frac{\|\beta\|^2}{\sigma^2 p(n-1)} \frac{\frac{p}{R^2} \eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta}{\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{\sigma^2(n-1)}} \quad (19)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta &\sim \eta^T \eta (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})_{11} \\ &\sim \lambda \chi_{n-1}^2 \chi_p^2 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\|\beta\|^2}{R^2} \eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}} &= \frac{\|\beta\|^2}{\sigma^2 p(n-1)} \lambda(n-1)p(1 + o_P(1)) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} \|\beta\|^2 (1 + o_P(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We can deduce that: If $\|\beta\|^2 \rightarrow 0$, then

$$T = \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{\tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} (1 + o_P(1)) \quad (22)$$

If $\|\beta\|^2 \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$T = \frac{\eta^T \tilde{X}^T \tilde{X} \eta}{\eta^T \eta} (1 + o_P(1)) \quad (23)$$

3.2. circumstance 2

$$T = \frac{\beta^T \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^T \beta + 2\beta^T \tilde{X} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T \tilde{\epsilon}}{\beta^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^T \beta + 2\beta^T \tilde{X} (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon} + \tilde{\epsilon}^T (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}} \quad (24)$$

4. Simulation Results

References