

# Log-Gaussian Cox processes and sampling paths: towards optimal design

Kenneth Flagg<sup>a,\*</sup>, John Borkowski<sup>a</sup>, Andrew Hoegh<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717*

---

## Abstract

*Goal of this paper (placeholder abstract—add some results when available).* Evaluate a wide variety of path designs in terms design-based heuristics and model-based criteria for spatial prediction using Bayesian LGCP models. Identify promising path designs. Illuminate any relationships among design characteristics and predictive criteria that will be helpful for constrained optimization.

*Keywords:* log-Gaussian Cox process, optimal sampling, model-based design, spatial sampling design

---

## 1. Introduction

Spatial point process models have long been considered generally infeasible because of their computational demands, but recent advances in Bayesian computing have made the Log-Gaussian Cox process an attainable model in practice (Rue et al., 2009; Lindgren et al., 2011; Illian et al., 2012; Simpson et al., 2016). In some applications, the entire point pattern is not fully observed due to variable sampling effort. This is referred to as a degraded point pattern (Chakraborty et al., 2011) and it is relatively simple to accommodate variable sampling effort in these models using modern Bayesian computing tools (Yuan et al., 2017). However, the literature on optimal sampling for spatial point process models is in its infancy (Liu and Vanhatalo, 2020).

---

\*Corresponding author

Email address: [kenneth.flagg@montana.edu](mailto:kenneth.flagg@montana.edu) (Kenneth Flagg)

Point pattern data are routinely collected in species distribution studies and ordnance response projects. These applications may use quadrat sampling or line-transect sampling, with transect sampling being more common. When the  
 15 objective is mapping where events occur in space, various spatial mapping procedures have been used. Traditionally these have involved aggregating the data to grid cell counts or computing moving averages. Aggregation has the downside of introducing arbitrary structure into the data by the choice of gridding scheme or averaging window, and requires unnecessary computation effort (Simpson  
 20 et al., 2016). Software is now available to fit spatial point process models to data acquired via distance sampling and simultaneously estimate the detection function (Johnson et al., 2014; R Core Team, 2019).

In ecological settings, sampling plans are often designed around the goal of estimating total abundance. Ordnance response surveys are typically de-  
 25 signed to provide enough data to detect (but not necessarily map) intensity hotspots (USACE, 2015; Flagg et al., 2020). However, to our knowledge, there has been very little work done in deciding *where* to collect data when the goal is to map the intensity using a spatial point process model. While some ideas about the characteristics of a good point design apply to paths, creating an opti-  
 30 mal path design is not as simple as connecting the points of a point design with line segments. There are many ways to connect points into a path, so optimal design criteria must apply to the whole path and not only to the waypoints. In this paper, we present a variety of sampling path designs and assess their optimality for mapping intensity using LGCP models.

### 35 1.1. *Spatial design*

Most classical sampling and design work has been done for points or small quadrats approximated as points, rather than paths. In two-dimensional (geo-  
 statistical) model-based design, regularity is optimal for spatial prediction but randomness and a variety of interpoint distances are best for parameter esti-  
 40 mation (Diggle and Lophaven, 2006). Inhibitory plus close pairs designs are a good compromise (Chipeta et al., 2017). Design-based approaches exist to

spread points through high-dimensional design spaces (Borkowski and Piepel, 2009), and Latin hypercube sampling has space-filling properties (McKay et al., 1979; Husslage et al., 2011).

#### 45 1.2. *Space-filling curves*

Another relevant area of research is in deterministic space-filling curves. These have been used in design of dense or stretchable circuits (Ogorzałek, 2009; Ma and Zhang, 2016) and high-dimensional data visualization in bioinformatics (Anders, 2009). The Hilbert curve is simple to construct and the Peano  
50 curve is very flexible for filling irregular shapes (Fan et al., 2014). Space-filling curves are one-dimensional paths constructed iteratively; as the number of iterations goes to infinity, the limiting path has nonzero area and actually fills the space (Sagan, 1994). For applications we stop after a finite number of iterations.

#### 1.3. *Paths as sampling designs*

55 The small body of literature on spatial sampling design for point pattern data has focused on line transects. Pollard et al. (2002) began with line transects and adaptively added zigzags in a species abundance survey.

The Visual Sample Plan software includes features to create systematic transect plans and augment plans with additional transects in regions lacking spatial  
60 coverage (Matzke et al., 2014). It helps the user choose the transect spacing to maximize the probability of detecting the presence of a hotspot of specified size and intensity. However, it does not employ criteria to optimize spatial prediction.

Liu and Vanhatalo (2020) provided one of the first explicit discussions of  
65 design in the context of spatial LGCP models. They used narrow quadrats (swaths along line-transects) as their sampling units. The transects were short relative to the size of the study region and not connected into a path.

## 2. Materials and methods

With an eye toward practical considerations of data collection, we present  
70 criteria to compare sampling strategies that impact LGCP estimates. We compare plans with (approximately) fixed path lengths that avoid sharp turns. Data collection equipment (e.g. metal detectors) may have limited mobility, requiring minimizing the number or angle of turns. The criteria that we evaluate are mean squared prediction error (MSPE) and average/maximum posterior  
75 prediction variance of the Gaussian process.

### 2.1. Sampling design schemes

In this section, we present three variations of parallel line transect designs and three schemes that produce more complex designs. To clarify terminology, a *path* or *design* is a realized set of one or more connected components that  
80 has length but not area. The paths considered in this work are constructed as sequences of line segments. A *design scheme* or *scheme* is procedure for generating designs with some shared characteristics. Figure 1 illustrates a selection of designs from these schemes.

#### 2.1.1. Parallel line transects

85 Parallel straight-line transects are common in ordnance response studies and in ecological studies using distance sampling. Systematic designs are common because they provide good spatial coverage in the sense that any point in the study region has an a priori known maximum distance from the path. For point designs, systematic designs are optimal for prediction, simple random  
90 samples are optimal for estimation, and inhibitory with close pairs designs are becoming a popular compromise. We adapt all of these to the parallel line transect setting. We use line transects running north-south, with three ways of choosing the horizontal coordinate: simple random sample (SRS), systematic with a random starting point and even spacing, inhibitory plus close pairs.  
95 Figure 1 (left column) shows an example of each scheme with 25 transects.




Figure 1: Examples of plans from six design schemes. Left, top to bottom: three different parallel line transect schemes with the same number of transects, and a shortest path through a Latin hypercube sampling design. Right: two serpentine transect plans and two Hilbert curves. Except for the Hilbert curve of order 5, all of these plans have approximately the same total length.

### 2.1.2. *Parallel serpentine transects*

One simple way to observe a greater variety of locations and different directions is to add lateral zigzags to transects. We include alternate right and left turns at right angles to create serpentine transects. This could decrease prediction variance because many points in the study area will be closer to the path than they would be under a line transects with similar total distance. They will also improve estimation of the covariance function in the presence of anisotropy. Figure 1, top right, shows two examples.

### 2.1.3. *Latin hypercube sampling*

Random Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) produces a design that spreads discrete points through a (potentially high-dimensional) design space, ensuring that the full range of each dimension is included while remaining balanced and keeping the number of points small McKay et al. (1979). This is done by partitioning each dimension into a specified number  $k$  of intervals (thus stratifying the design space into  $k^d$  cells), selecting a Latin hypercube design to determine which  $k$  cells will contain a design point, and then drawing each design point from a uniform distribution over its cell. In two dimensions, this scheme produces point designs with good spatial coverage properties. We use the LHS design as waypoints for a path. Because longer distance typically brings increased costs, we treat this as a traveling salesperson problem (TSP) and use the shortest path through the waypoints as our design. This LHS-TSP scheme produces paths that have many sharp corners but leaves few large voids (example in Figure 1, bottom left). A downside of this design scheme is that the length cannot be specified directly, and only certain distances are possible depending on the number of bins used.

Waypoints are generated by the `lhs` R package Carnell (2020) and connected into a the shortest path by the `TSP` package (Hahsler and Hornik, 2020).

#### 2.1.4. Space-filling curves

As a representative of space-filling curves, we use the Hilbert curve scaled  
125 to fit the study site. The only parameter of this design scheme is the order,  
or number of iterations used in refining the curve. Each iteration increases the  
length and complexity of the design. This produces a deterministic design, so  
a random offset is added to vary which points are observed. The Hilbert curve  
is generated by `HilbertVis` R package (Anders, 2009).

#### 130 2.2. Model fitting

We fit the spatial LGCP model using nested integrated Laplace approxi-  
mations and the R-INLA package (Rue et al., 2009; Blangiardo and Cameletti,  
2015). The Gaussian process is approximated using a finite element approach (Lind-  
gren et al., 2011). The point pattern is modeled by pseudodata placed at the events  
135 and the finite element nodes (Simpson et al., 2016). This procedure allows fast  
and accurate approximation of the posterior distribution.

### 3. Simulation Study

We simulate 100 designs from each of six schemes. All events within a 2  
unit radius of the path are observed. The whole experiment is repeated for 5  
140 realizations from each of two data generating models.

#### 3.1. Study site

We consider a fictitious site  $\mathcal{R}$  with the simple shape of a 1500 unit by 700  
unit rectangle. In this site, we will simulate two data generating models meant  
to produce random intensity functions with hotspots. First, a LGCP with  
145 latent GP mean  $\mu = \log(250/|\mathcal{R}|)$  and a Matérn covariance with  $\nu = 1$ ,  $\sigma = 2$ ,  
and range = 200. This model produces relatively unstructured hotspots due to  
large variability in the GP.

Second, the superposition of a two-stage cluster process superposed and a  
LGCP. The cluster process (a Neyman-Scott or, more specifically, a Thomas  
150 process) is constructed as follows. The number of clusters is Poisson with mean

3. The number of events per cluster is Poisson with mean 200. The cluster centers distributed uniformly over  $\mathcal{R}$ . Events come from a bivariate normal distribution with mean equal to the cluster center and variance  $\Sigma = \tau^2 \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\tau = 50$ . The LGCP has  $\mu = \log(250/|\mathcal{R}|)$  and Matérn covariance with  $\nu = 1$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  
155 and range = 200. This model is based upon the typical conceptual model of a firing range, with a background process (represented by the LGCP) and a small number of higher-intensity foreground clusters containing the events of interest.

### 3.2. Path design schemes

The simulation uses each of the design schemes discussed in Section 2.1.  
160 The parallel transect schemes use 10, 25, 50, or 70 line transects running north-south. We expect the simple random sample scheme to produce expect high prediction variance and large prediction error in big gaps between transects. The systematic sample scheme uses a uniformly-distributed starting point and constant spacing between adjacent transects. We expect systematic transects to  
165 provide low bias and moderate prediction variance. However, this scheme can miss structures at certain sizes because no transects are close to each other in the east-west direction.

For the inhibitory plus close pairs line transect scheme, we vary the numbers of paired and unpaired transects. The total number of transects is 10, 25, 50,  
170 or 70, with 10% and 20% of the transects (rounded to the nearest integer) as redundant members of a pair. The remaining primary transects are placed according to a one-dimensional Strauss process (Strauss, 1975; Kelly and Ripley, 1976). The Strauss attraction parameter is set at  $\gamma = 0.05$  and the radius for counting pairs is 1500 units divided by the total number of transects. Then  
175 each redundant transect was randomly paired to a primary transect, and placed within an 80 unit radius of the primary transect according to a uniform distribution. We expect this scheme to have intermediate performance between the simple random sample and the systematic line transect schemes.

The serpentine transect scheme has 7, 22, 47, or 67 transects running north-  
180 south with constant east-west spacing and a random starting point for the first



transect. The number of zigzags is 5 or 8, and the zigzag length is set so the the total east-west distance equals the length of three north-south line transects. Thus, the serpentine designs have the same length as the line transect designs. These designs should result in smaller prediction errors and lower variance farther from path, compared to line-transect designs.

Our Latin hypercube sampling/traveling salesperson (LHS-TSP) scheme uses 50, 300, 1200, or 2400 bins to generate the waypoints. Empirical experimentation found that these bin numbers produced total lengths similar to the line-transect schemes. The LHS-TSP scheme is expected to result in small prediction errors and low prediction variance per unit distance traveled. However, the designs will have many sharp corners and may leave some large voids.

The Hilbert curve scheme uses a random starting point and a Hilbert curve of order 3, 4, 5, or 6. The path length is a deterministic function of the order and differs greatly among curves of different orders. These orders yield lengths similar to the lengths of the transect designs. Hilbert designs should provide low prediction variance, but have lots of short segments.

### 3.3. Model specification

The same Bayesian LGCP model is fit to each observed dataset. The observed point pattern  $\mathbf{x}$  is a realization of  $\mathbf{X}$ , a Poisson process on  $\mathcal{R}$  with intensity  $\lambda(u)$ . The intensity is modeled as  $\log[\lambda(u)] = \mu + \mathbf{e}(u)$ . The spatial error term  $\mathbf{e}$  is a Gaussian process with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and a Matérn covariance function with fixed  $\nu = 1$ .

The intercept  $\mu$  has a  $\text{Unif}(-\infty, \infty)$  prior. The covariance parameters  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  have a PC prior with  $\Pr(\sigma > 3) = 0.1$  and  $\Pr(\rho < 100) = 0.1$  (Fuglstad et al., 2019; Simpson et al., 2017).

The Gaussian process prediction surface is approximated on the finite element mesh shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Illustration of the mesh and associated numerical integration weighting scheme used to approximate the latent GP.

#### 4. Results

210 look at examples of designs that minimize each criterion  
look at examples of designs along the Pareto front

#### 5. Discussion

of the schemes considered here, only transect schemes have flexibility in distance and/or a priori known distance

215 discuss starting points for optimization and sequential design

practical issue: path will be smoothed, no instantaneous direction changes at corners, equipment may have limitations which is why we looked at number and distribution or turn angles

could incorporate turns into loss function or use multi-objective optimization (Lark, 2016)

220

## 6. Conclusions

### Appendix A. Notation and Terminology

- process defined on  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , domain of the intensity function, in this manuscript  $d = 2$
- 225 • observation window  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}$
- define three regions:
  - the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  over which the process mathematically operates
  - the study region  $\mathcal{R}$  over which inferences are desired
  - the observed/sampled observation window  $\mathcal{S}$
- 230 • general relationship is  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  where all of the subset symbols taken to mean “subset or equal”
- $\mathcal{D}$  can be bounded or unbounded (often equal to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ),  $\mathcal{S}$  practically always bounded,  $\mathcal{R}$  bounded or unbounded depending on application and inferential goals
- 235 • the “fully surveyed” (censused) situation is  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{R}$
- survey path  $\mathcal{P}$  is a one-dimensional subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ 
  - set of one or more sequences of waypoints connected by line segments
  - $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all points within a fixed (and assumed known) radius of  $\mathcal{P}$
- 240 •  $\mathbf{X}$  point process on  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  realized point pattern
  - $\mathbf{X}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{X} \cap \mathcal{S}$  the restriction of  $\mathbf{X}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X} \cap \mathcal{S}$  the realized observable point pattern
- point  $x \in \mathbf{x}$  called an event
- intensity function  $\lambda(u)$

- 245     • types of “points” in space:
  - $x$  event in the point pattern
  - $s$  numerical integration node
  - $u$  arbitrary location in  $\mathcal{D}$  used to index intensity function and predictors
- 250     •  $z(u)$  a column vector of covariates/predictors at  $u$  (not used in this manuscript)
- “point” refers to a  $u$  unless clearly stated otherwise
- bold for sets and spatial processes, normal italics for spatial vectors
- $y$  and variations will be used for objects derived from the point pattern, e.g. marks, pseudodata
- 255     • distance sampling fits into the framework with expansion of notation to include a (nontrivial) detection function and differentiate between the observed and observable point patterns

## References

- Anders, S., 2009. Visualisation of genomic data with the Hilbert curve. Bioinformatics doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp152.
- 260     Blangiardo, M., Cameletti, M., 2015. Spatial and Spatio-temporal Bayesian Models with R-INLA. Wiley.
- Borkowski, J.J., Piepel, G.F., 2009. Uniform designs for highly constrained mixture experiments. Journal of Quality Technology 41, 35–47.
- 265     Carnell, R., 2020. lhs: Latin Hypercube Samples. URL: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lhs>. R package version 1.0.2.
- Chakraborty, A., Gelfand, A.E., Wilson, A.M., Latimer, A.M., Silander, J.A., 2011. Point pattern modelling for degraded presence-only data over large

- regions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*  
 270 60, 757–776.
- Chipeta, M., Terlouw, D., Phiri, K., Diggle, P., 2017. Inhibitory geostatistical  
 designs for spatial prediction taking account of uncertain covariance structure.  
*Environmetrics* 28.
- Diggle, P., Lophaven, S., 2006. Bayesian geostatistical design. *Scandinavian*  
 275 *Journal of Statistics* 33, 53–64.
- Fan, J.A., Yeo, W.H., Su, Y., Hattori, Y., Lee, W., Jung, S.Y., Zhang, Y., Liu,  
 Z., Cheng, H., Falgout, L., Bajema, M., Coleman, T., Gregoire, D., Larsen,  
 R.J., Huang, Y., Rogers, J.A., 2014. Fractal design concepts for stretchable  
 electronics. *Nature communications* 5, 3266.
- 280 Flagg, K.A., Hoegh, A., Borkowski, J.J., 2020. Modeling partially surveyed  
 point process data: Inferring spatial point intensity of geomagnetic anomalies.  
*Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics* 25, 186–205.
- Fuglstad, G.A., Simpson, D., Lindgren, F., Rue, H., 2019. Constructing pri-  
 ors that penalize the complexity of Gaussian random fields. *Journal of the*  
 285 *American Statistical Association* 114, 445–452.
- Hahsler, M., Hornik, K., 2020. TSP: Traveling Salesperson Problem (TSP).  
 URL: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=TSP>. R package version 1.1-  
 10.
- Husslage, B.G., Rennen, G., Van Dam, E.R., Den Hertog, D., 2011. Space-  
 290 filling latin hypercube designs for computer experiments. *Optimization and*  
*Engineering* 12, 611–630.
- Illian, J.B., Sørbye, S.H., Rue, H., 2012. A toolbox for fitting complex spatial  
 point process models using integrated nested laplace approximation (inla).  
*The Annals of Applied Statistics* , 1499–1530.

- 295 Johnson, D., Laake, J., VerHoef, J., 2014. DSpat: Spatial Modelling for Distance Sampling Data. URL: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DSpat>. R package version 0.1.6.
- Kelly, F.P., Ripley, B.D., 1976. A note on Strauss's model for clustering. *Biometrika* 63, 357–360.
- 300 Lark, R., 2016. Multi-objective optimization of spatial sampling. *Spatial Statistics* 18, 412–430.
- Lindgren, F., Rue, H., Lindström, J., 2011. An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 73, 423–498.
- 305 Liu, J., Vanhatalo, J., 2020. Bayesian model based spatiotemporal survey designs and partially observed log Gaussian Cox process. *Spatial statistics* 35, 100392.
- Ma, Q., Zhang, Y., 2016. Mechanics of fractal-inspired horseshoe microstructures for applications in stretchable electronics. *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 83.
- 310 Matzke, B., Wilson, J., Newburn, L., Dowson, S., Hathaway, J., Sego, L., Bramer, L., Pulsipher, B., 2014. Visual Sample Plan Version 7.0 User's Guide. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. Richland, Washington. URL: <http://vsp.pnnl.gov/docs/PNNL-23211.pdf>.
- 315 McKay, M.D., Beckman, R.J., Conover, W.J., 1979. Comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code. *Technometrics* 21, 239–245.
- Ogorzałek, M.J., 2009. Fundamentals of fractal sets, space-filling curves and their applications in electronics and communications, in: *Intelligent Computing Based on Chaos*. Springer, pp. 53–72.
- 320

- Pollard, J., Palka, D., Buckland, S., 2002. Adaptive line transect sampling. *Biometrics* 58, 862–870.
- R Core Team, 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL: <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Rue, H., Martino, S., Chopin, N., 2009. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the royal statistical society: Series b (statistical methodology)* 71, 319–392.
- Sagan, H., 1994. Space-filling curves. Springer.
- Simpson, D., Illian, J.B., Lindgren, F., Sørbye, S.H., Rue, H., 2016. Going off grid: Computationally efficient inference for log-Gaussian Cox processes. *Biometrika* 103, 49–70.
- Simpson, D., Rue, H., Riebler, A., Martins, T.G., Sørbye, S.H., et al., 2017. Penalising model component complexity: A principled, practical approach to constructing priors. *Statistical science* 32, 1–28.
- Strauss, D.J., 1975. A model for clustering. *Biometrika* 62, 467–475.
- USACE, 2015. Technical Guidance for Military Munitions Response Actions. Technical Report EM 200-1-15. United States Army Corps of Engineers. URL: [http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerManuals/EM\\_200-1-15.pdf](http://www.publications.usace.army.mil/Portals/76/Publications/EngineerManuals/EM_200-1-15.pdf).
- Yuan, Y., Bachl, F.E., Lindgren, F., Borchers, D.L., Illian, J.B., Buckland, S.T., Rue, H., Gerrodette, T., et al., 2017. Point process models for spatio-temporal distance sampling data from a large-scale survey of blue whales. *The Annals of Applied Statistics* 11, 2270–2297.