



Final Report for e-Portfolio including reflective (Intelligent Agents Module)

Student name: Koulthoum Flamerzi
Student ID: 32768

Table of Contents

e-Portfolio Digital Report	3
Reflective Commentary on Intelligent Agents E-Portfolio	3
Unit 1: Introduction to Agent-Based Computing	3
Unit 2: Introducing First Order Logic	3
Unit 3: Agent Architectures	4
Unit4: Hybrid Agent Architectures	4
Unit 5: Agent Communication	4
Unit 6: Working Together (Team Project)	5
Unit 7: Natural Language Processing (NLP)	5
Unit 8: Understanding Natural Language Processing (NLP)	5
Unit 9: Introduction to Adaptive Algorithms	6
Unit 10: Deep Learning in Action	6
Unit 11: Intelligent Agents in Action	6
Unit 12: The Future of Intelligent Agents	6
Conclusion	6
References	7
Reflective Report	8
1. Introduction	8
2. WHAT – Description of Learning and Experience	8
3. SO WHAT – Emotional Response and Critical Analysis	9
4. NOW WHAT – Future Applications and Continuous Learning	10
7. Conclusion	12
8. References	12

e-Portfolio Digital Report

Intelligent Agents Digital E-Portfolio (hosted at <https://kflamerzi.github.io/koulthoum-portfolio/Intelligent.html>)

Reflective Commentary on Intelligent Agents E-Portfolio

Here I reflect on my academic and professional progress on the Intelligent Agents module. This module helped me in gaining foundational knowledge in agent-based computing, logical reasoning, communication protocols, natural language processing, and other advanced levels topics such as hybrid architectures and the ethics of intelligent systems.

With the module's every unit, I was able to build on my technical and conceptual understanding working from theory to implementation and evaluation. I learned to apply agent theory almost critically in solving real-life problems, particularly in cases of uncertainty and risk, evaluating the challenge from a technical perspective. This e-portfolio portrays my learning journey, my contributions to team projects and my personal development over the 12 units and culminated in the final DFAS project and my PDP.

Unit 1: Introduction to Agent-Based Computing

During this unit I was introduced to the most basic concepts of agent-based systems. I studied the different types of agents, their architectures, and merits, learning how agent-based computing has evolved into a powerful paradigm for solving complex distributed problems.

In my first discussion post I mentioned the possible use of intelligent agents in the management of energy and the monitoring of infrastructure. This also inspired me to consider the use of such systems in the engineering fields of automated monitoring and fault diagnostic systems.

Unit 2: Introducing First Order Logic

This unit covered the basic principles of logic that form the basis of the decision making of an intelligent agent. I learned how to compose logical statements and how the reasoning engines work to draw conclusions.

Exercises helped me to understand that clearly articulated logic sharply reduces ambiguity in communication. I explained the importance of formal logic in my peers responses and the predictable automation of systems focusing on the control and verification extremes. These are important in engineering.

This was a necessary step for me despite the challenges. I first found it difficult to represent engineering problems as logical statements. After some time, I understood that, unlike control logic in electrical systems, FOL is an agent reasoning.

Unit 3: Agent Architectures

This Unit focused on various agent architectures - reactive, deliberative, and BDI (Belief-Desire-Intention) systems. BDI systems are particularly stimulating because they mimic the human thought process - believing (world), desiring (goals), and intending (plans) equating to actions.

For every summary, I critically evaluated every architecture by assessing its pros and cons, which are:

- Reactive systems are swift, but a bit rudimentary.
- Deliberative systems are intelligent, but they require a considerable expenditure of time.
- BDI systems exhibit the capacity to both reason and respond.

This and more helped weave the relevant theory with its practical application. A good illustration of this is the hybrid approach implemented in data centers. hybrid constructs systems that respond, and the deliberative components methodically examine fault severity and escalation procedures to rationalize their approach.

Unit4: Hybrid Agent Architectures

In this unit, I focused on hybrid architectures and studied how they integrate various agent models to capitalize on their unique benefits. I also learned about layered architectures and how they enable rapid response.

While working on our team contract for the DFAS (Dynamic Fault Alerting System) project, I proposed the use of a reactive layer for real-time fault detection, in addition to a deliberative layer for decision making. This proposal was based on real engineering systems, for example, the building management systems, where layered controls allow for quick automation as well as adjustable management mechanisms.

In this unit, I appreciated the importance of having to choose system architecture designed to perform, while also realizing how systems in the real world could be enabled or disabled depending on the architecture designed.

Unit 5: Agent Communication

In unit 5, we studied KQML, KIF, agent communication systems, and ontologies in knowledge representation.

This was the unit I enjoyed the most. Here, I was able to analyse how agents need to share a language and lexicon in order to cooperate, akin to how construction workers on a building site collaborate using a shared set of engineering drawings.

For the first post, I explored how communication frameworks organize multi-agent fault alerting systems. I found out how different communicative ontologies can get different agents abstract meanings in a unified way so as to ensure that the exchanged messages are interpreted uniformly.

Unit 6: Working Together (Team Project)

This module reached its defining moment with this unit. I worked with others to devise and construct a prototype DFAS (Dynamic Fault Alerting System).

Some of the things I did were:

- Inter agent communication protocols defining and documenting.
- Support for the ontology design concerning classification of faults and response levels.
- Engaged in debugging Python scripts while facilitating proper agent message exchange.
- Attending scheduled team meetings to offer constructive feedback on completed assignments.

This was the first opportunity for the team to remotely collaborate while also for the team to be. The remote collaboration and debugging scripts while facilitating proper inter agent message exchange. The remote collaboration and debugging scripts while facilitating proper inter agent message exchange.

From this perspective other than the technical perspective also viewing agent systems as socio technical as systems involving people, roles, responsibilities, and shared understanding.

Unit 7: Natural Language Processing (NLP)

In Unit 7, I studied the incorporation of NLP technologies into Intelligent agents. I also investigated how these agents comprehend and process natural language, thus facilitating more seamless interactions between humans and machines.

There is also deployment issues surround fundamental ambiguity of human language, the problematic nature of the context, and ethical issues such as privacy. This applies directly in control room situations where operators issue verbal commands to intuitive monitoring systems.

Unit 8: Understanding Natural Language Processing (NLP)

In this unit, I learned about the hands-on parse trees and the structure of the lines of the language. Constructing simple exercises around parse trees enabled me to see how agents figure out the grammatical structure and extract the meaning of the text.

When considering the use of voice-activated agents for technicians, I imagined engineered environments where voice-activated technology integrated with NLP could access system diagnostics quickly. I thought this could help during emergencies, where technicians could receive critical diagnostic information in life-saving situations.

Unit 9: Introduction to Adaptive Algorithms

This unit I learned various forms of adaptive intelligent behaviour. I learned critically of artificial neural networks and how they contrasted with the traditional symbolic agent systems.

I learned the adaptive algorithms. In practical terms the adaptive algorithms for the intelligent fault monitoring agent would learn from historical data to make decisions in predicting potential failures and avoiding failures. In practical terms the adaptive algorithms would learn the reasoning and monitoring processes.

Unit 10: Deep Learning in Action

In this unit, I reflected on the ethical dimensions of deep learning technologies with respect to their potential and limitations, and bias, transparency, and accountability. I noted the importance of intelligent agents deployed in crucial infrastructures. Biased intelligent agents may render decisions whose implications might be catastrophic. This highlights the importance of responsible design, which I intend to embrace in my work.

Unit 11: Intelligent Agents in Action

In this unit, I showed how I could turn fault detection and maintenance scheduling in engineering projects from the theoretical domain to the practical area. I did an individual presentation in which I explained how hybrid agent architectures and communication protocols may be applied to automate the response workflows in a data center operation.

In my case, this exercise was an opportunity to strengthen the consolidation of your theory and its translation into practical engineering solutions. The communication gaps that I need to bridge between agents, systems, and human operators showed the need communication.

Unit 12: The Future of Intelligent Agents

The last unit looked at the possible future advancements of the technologies related to intelligent agents. I researched areas such as multi-agent reinforcement learning, explainable AI, and autonomous decision-making in complicated environments.

I have outlined a Professional Development Plan (PDP) which includes my target skills such as hybrid architectures, NLP integration, and explainable AI. All in all, I wish to build intelligent automation systems for ethically and operationally scalable smart infrastructure.

Conclusion

Taking the Intelligent Agents module helped me improve my technical skills and my overall learning experience. I learned about agent-based systems, reasoning, and the rest of the things listed above to a greater extent.

Most importantly, I learned to work in a team, which I value more highly than most other skills, and I gained self-confidence through the application of these ideas in a coordinated effort on a large prototype project based on a real-world situation. I now understand intelligent agents as

more than just a stream of consciousness; I can now envision creating them as self-sufficient, adaptive, ethical systems in my field of work as a professional engineer.

My e-portfolio on GitHub reflects the hands-on portion of my comprehension, demonstrating the module outcomes on Intelligent Agents through code and relevant documentation recording my thoughts and a final essay about the subject.

References

- Finin, T., Labrou, Y. and Mayfield, J. (1994) *KQML as an agent communication language*. University of Maryland. Available at: <https://www.cs.umbc.edu/kqml/> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).
- Russell, S. and Norvig, P. (2021) *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. 4th edn. Pearson Education. Available at: <https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).
- Rolfe, G., Freshwater, D. and Jasper, M. (2001) *Critical reflection in nursing and the helping professions: a user's guide*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan. Available at: <https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9780333777952> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).
- University of Edinburgh (no date) *Reflection Toolkit*. The University of Edinburgh. Available at: <https://www.ed.ac.uk/reflection> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).
- Guadamuz, A. (2023) *Generative AI and copyright: The challenges of ownership and originality*. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/jiplp/article/18/5/393/7145741> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

Reflective Report

1. Introduction

At first, my goal within the Intelligent Agents module was to understand how these agents operate, how they communicate, and how they can potentially solve real-life problems. As an engineer, I wanted to integrate my technical skills with Artificial Intelligence, which helps in the automation and intelligent decision-making of complex situations involving construction projects and data centres. During the twelve units, I learned agent architectures, logical reasoning, communication and NLP, adaptive algorithms, and deep learning, which formed the basis of a team development project that I was part of. In this reflection, I address my key learning moments, the contributions I made, my thoughts and challenges, and the ways in which the module has enhanced my career.

2. WHAT – Description of Learning and Experience

2.1 Gaining Foundational Knowledge

As I was getting started on units 1-4, the initial topics on agent-based computing, the different architectures of agents, and first-order logic (FOL) were introduced. Understanding the differences among reactive, deliberative, and hybrid models was essential because it really helped me to appreciate how the problem to be solved dictates the choice of architecture. Situations with time constraints require the use of reactive agents, while agents that need reasoning are deliberative. Hybrid agents provide both functionalities. Through the exercises and the discussions, I was able to understand the inherent advantages of BDI (Belief-Desire-Intention) systems designed for dynamically responsive architectures; as opposed to purely reactive systems, especially for tasks that necessitate fluid management of an evolving belief system, prioritizing the intention, and capturing goals.

2.2 Communication Between Agents

Units 5 and 6 dealt with inter-agent communication and knowledge sharing. Working with KQML/KIF and a shared ontology exposed me to the realm of symbolic communication with explicit semantics (Finin, Labrou and Mayfield, 1994). I assisted in defining the flow of communication in our scenario between two agents and noted ontology elements to aid our mutual understanding. The shift from informal messaging to structured performatives and negotiated vocabularies was a major realization for me. I understood how miscommunication dissipates when messages spell out the intent (ask, tell, achieve) and when terms are established in the domain ontology.

2.3 Team Project Experience

Unit 6 was central to my understanding of team dynamics. We collaborated to create a DFAS (Dynamic Fault Alerting System) prototype. My part of the work focused on inter-agent communication developed in Python. I also contributed to the documentation in the structured ontology. I also aided in the team contract, participated in meetings, provided peer feedback, and contributed to system testing. We designed message sequences to detect and respond to simulated fault conditions, then iterated based on the outcome of tests. The experience

mimicked professional practice in every sense. Requirements negotiation, interface definition, and disciplined version control were as essential as the code itself.

2.4 Advanced Topics: NLP and Deep Learning

In units 7–10, I examined the fundamentals of NLP, such as parse trees, tokenisation, and semantics. I also looked at the ethical questions concerning the misinformation and the legitimacy of deep learning technologies. I found this captivating because it combined the value of technical knowledge with the adjacent social and ethical considerations. I studied the impact of generative AI models on trust in the media as my piece to the discourse. This provided a more holistic view of intelligent systems far beyond the discipline of engineering.

2.5 Capstone and Presentation

In units 11–12, I completed my individual project presentation as the last piece of the puzzle and synthesised my learning as I explained the integration of intelligent agent architectures with NLP to develop practical systems like monitoring frameworks in critical infrastructure. Crafting the presentation and the professional development plan made me appreciate the extent of my growth in both technical and communication skills during the course.

3. SO WHAT – Emotional Response and Critical Analysis

3.1 Emotions and Personal Growth

Initially, the agent theory, particularly the reasoning and formal communication sections, seemed abstract and intimidating. My confidence began to develop as I started the coding and the collaborative project. It was perplexing, but rewarding, to arrange and manage the schedules, reach agreement on the message schema, and harmonize the coding standards. A key instance was when our dialogue pipeline stopped working, and I switched from frustration to a calm, logical mindset. We found the missing a logical operator in a rule. That incident reinforced the lesson that imprecision in representation can be fatal in AI systems.

3.2 Critical Reflection on Skills Development

I was able to identify improvement in three technical areas:

- **Logical reasoning:** I developed more competence in expressing rules and constraints with FOL and controlling agent behaviour as a result.
- **Inter-agent communication:** KQML and ontologies showed how coordination emerges from shared semantics and structured performatives (Finin, Labrou, and Mayfield, 1994) and ontologies.
- **Applied AI technologies:** I was able to evaluate the NLP and deep learning technologies in a more critical and balanced manner.

I enhanced my professional ability to collaborate with others. A positive virtual workplace culture promoted accountability, constructive respect, punctuality in document finishing, and helped to maintaining a positive and professional workplace culture. My designs and coding benefited from feedback. The forum helped me to rationalize on the technical aspects.

3.3 Literature and Frameworks

Working with the Rolfe et al. (2001) model made my analysis more coherent. After describing my experience, I was led to interpret what it meant before determining what it would mean moving forward. I approached Boran, Labrou, and Mayfield's (1994) work on KQML as providing the necessary theoretical basis for understanding agent communication languages. I was also able to draw on the more recent ethical reflections in Guadamuz (2023) to bridge my technical skills with the practice of responsible AI.

4. NOW WHAT – Future Applications and Continuous Learning

4.1 Professional Application

The learning applies directly to my position within the data center. Intelligent Agents may automate fault detection, predictive maintenance, and energy optimization. By means of ontology-backed vocabularies, autonomous monitoring agents can improve alarm triage and reduce mean time to recovery. I intend to use hybrid architectures around real telemetry to incorporate a fast reactive layer for alarms and a deliberative layer for diagnosis and escalation. I will also improve my Python skills to build dialogue managers and apply lighter NLP to operator queries to streamline assistance.

4.2 Personal and Academic Growth

My problem-solving framework has improved, and my perspective has shifted from viewing problem-solving as a disconnected series of fixes. Now, I consider a problem as a reasoning, communicating, and adaptive system. I also consider the social and ethical ramifications. For example, in privacy-sensitive, explainable, and bias-critical, safety-critical situations, I think about the ramifications. I appreciate transparency, the constructive critique of collaborative work, and the design artifact batting around within a team. I will take the initiative in systemic communication of the architecture of design (in terms of communication protocols, data models, and evaluative frameworks). I will align these with my design before we commence the code sprint.

4.3 Lifelong Learning Mindset

My enthusiasm toward intelligent agents developments in explainable planning, multi-agent reinforcement learning, and conversational interfaces continues to grow. I plan to focus on the system's sophistication for my subsequent work. Also, as a precursor to this module, I plan to attend more workshops and actively engage with research for developing robust, interpretable, and ethically aligned systems. This coincides with my vision for AI, which entails building engineered systems with engineered adaptive efficiency and resilience.

5. Professional Development Plan (PDP)

Development Goal	Current Status	Action Steps	Resources/Support	Timeline	Expected Outcome
Strengthen hybrid agent architecture	Good theoretical knowledge	Work on applied use cases	Python tutorials, frameworks	3–6 months	Ability to design & implement scalable systems
Improve Python for communication	Intermediate skills	Develop projects using KQML	PyCharm, GitHub	3 months	Efficient protocol implementation
Deepen NLP & dialogue systems	Basic experience	Take NLP course, experiment	Coursera, open-source libraries	2 months	Intelligent interfaces with NLP
Enhance leadership skills	Collaborative contributor	Lead design meetings	Team projects	Ongoing	Confidence in leading technical teams
Advance in XAI & multi-agent systems	Introductory knowledge	Attend webinars, research	IEEE, Arxiv	1 year	Ability to build transparent multi-agent systems

6. Skills Matrix

Skill Area	Before Module	After Module	Evidence
Agent architectures	Basic awareness	Confident in comparing models	Forum posts; Unit reflections
First-order logic	Minimal exposure	Can formalise agent reasoning	Unit 2–4 exercises
Communication protocols	No prior knowledge	Can design message flows	DFAS project contributions
Team collaboration	Good experience	Improved coordination	Team contract; peer reviews
NLP and parsing	Basic awareness	Can apply basic NLP	Unit 7–8 exercises
Critical reflection	Developing skill	Structured reflection	This report & PDP
Ethical awareness in AI	Limited	Increased understanding	Discussions; literature
Professional communication	Moderate	Improved clarity	Presentation; documentation

7. Conclusion

Intelligent Agents module has been very transformative. The combination of theory, development work, group work, and reflective work has strengthened my professional judgement and refined my technical competence. I improved my understanding of problem solving and collaboration while also understanding more deeply the logic, architectures, NLP, communication frameworks, and other relevant domains. Very importantly, I learned how to utilize these capabilities to formulate more intelligent and flexible solutions to a particular real-world challenge. Using Rolfe et al. framework, I described what I learned, its relevance and how I intend to use it. This reflective practice, I plan to continue undertaking in all my future projects.

8. References

Finin, T., Labrou, Y. and Mayfield, J. (1994) KQML as an agent communication language. University of Maryland. Available at: <https://www.cs.umbc.edu/kqml/> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

Guadamuz, A. (2023) Generative AI and copyright: The challenges of ownership and originality. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice. Available at: <https://academic.oup.com/jiplp/article/18/5/393/7145741> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

Rolfe, G., Freshwater, D. and Jasper, M. (2001) Critical reflection in nursing and the helping professions: a user's guide. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan. Available at: <https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9780333777952> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).

University of Edinburgh (no date) Reflection Toolkit. The University of Edinburgh. Available at: <https://www.ed.ac.uk/reflection> (Accessed: 15 October 2025).