



Design Decisions for Communication Systems

Eric Anderson – Google; gRPC







Into the Rabbit Hole

We're going on an adventure!



Programming Languages



Engineers familiar with multiple programming languages

Engineers opinionated about programming languages

Programming Languages





Are you interested in a language that is:

- Imperative
- Strongly-typed
- Dynamically-type-checked
- Object-oriented
- Garbage-collected
- JITed
- Memory-safe
- Multi-threaded

Programming Languages





North America 2019

Are you interested in a language that is:

- Imperative
- Strongly-typed
- Dynamically-type-checked
- Object-oriented
- Garbage-collected
- JITed
- Memory-safe
- Multi-threaded
- With lambdas





North America 2019

Engineers opinionated about communication systems





Engineers opinionated about communication systems...

in similar way as emacs vs vim

Why the few options?





What are the choices for how to communicate?

Let's see...

- REST
- RPC
- Proprietary protocol
- ???





North America 2019

What are the choices for how to communicate?

Let's see...

- Request/response vs... ????
- Client-server vs...???
- Binary vs text





North America 2019

What are the choices for how to communicate?

Let's see...

- Request/response vs... ???
- Client-server vs...???
- Binary vs text

Is that really all there is?





Starting simple



Pipe





North America 2019

Ya know, that Unix thing You can send and receive

Pipe





North America 2019

Simplex
Reliable
Ordered
Byte-oriented
Streaming

Asynchronous
Flow controlled
Buffered
Anonymous
Serial





Simplex (vs duplex)

- Only one direction
- Except it is duplex (both directions) in some OSes

Reliable (vs unreliable)

Ordered (vs unordered)

Byte-oriented (vs message-oriented)

Streaming

 Any number of elements (bytes), with an end (tends to imply reliable and ordered)

Asynchronous (vs synchronous)

Sender does not wait for reader





Flow controlled

Reader limits send rate

Buffered (vs unbuffered)

Provides performance. Related to async

Anonymous (vs named)

 There is no way to "find" a pipe; you must be given the pipe fd to use it

Serial (vs parallel)

- Only one sender and receiver at a time for multi-byte
- Is partially parallel for single-byte





Frequently two are paired together

Duplex

Two-direction

Full duplex (vs half duplex)

Both sides can send at any time

Point-to-point (in practice)

Proven tool, even though slightly low-level and local-only

FIFO (named pipe)





North America 2019

Named (vs anonymous)

Is a file that can be opened

The pipe is still "one time use." After it is closed, the file is useless and just be deleted





Implicit communication via

- Shared memory
- Shared memory+mutex
- File
- File+file locks
- RDMA

Shared Resources





North America 201!

- Common for desktop applications
- Common for intra-app communication
- High performance
- Brittle, but adding restrictions makes manageable
 - Poorly suited for crossing trust domains
 - Poorly suited to outgrow a single specific job

Common patterns, but will be application-specific protocol

Shared Resources





North America 2019

Bit too complex and varied to get into

When scaling over many machines, can still share resources via a network protocol

Many interaction patterns still hold

Sockets





North America 2019

- Duplex stream of bytes or messages
- Point-to-point
- Client-server
 - The server binds to a port or name that the client knows to connect to
- Connection-oriented

Unix Domain Socket (bytes or messages) TCP (bytes)

Messages may have a maximum size





UDP (messages)

- Except it isn't ordered
- Except it doesn't guarantee delivery
- Except it doesn't have flow control
- Except it isn't connection-oriented
- Except it can multicast to multiple receivers
- Yeah... let's stop talking about UDP

Unix Domain Socket





North America 2019

Allows transferring system objects (e.g., FDs)

Commonly used to limit permissions

Unix Domain Socket



Allows transferring system objects (e.g., FDs)

Commonly used to limit permissions

How are system objects' lifetime managed?

Commonly reference-counted by the kernel

 FDs don't hold references to other FDs, so "flat" reference counting system; no graph, no cycles





Higher-level protocols







Remote Procedure Call SunRPC; SOAP; gRPC

- Request/response messages
- Point-to-point
- Client-server
- Connectionless
- IDL: Interface Definition Language
- Generated Code
- Synchronous





Remote Procedure Call SunRPC; SOAP; **gRPC**

- Request/response and streaming messages
- Point-to-point
- Client-server
- Connectionless
- IDL: Interface Definition Language
- Generated Code
- Synchronous (req/resp) and async (streaming)





```
service Creator {
  rpc Create(Empty) returns (CreateResponse);
message CreateResponse {
  Calculator calc = 1;
service Calculator {
  rpc Add(AddRequest) returns (AddResponse);
```





Remote Method Invocation. "Object-oriented RPC"

Object

- State with associated methods
- Passed by reference

Message

- Just data. Primitives and structs
- Passed by value

Implications of References





Need a way to "bootstrap"

- Directory service where objects "bind" to names
- Returned objects need be casted

Need a way to define methods

- Have "services" that are interfaces
- Runtime type system to query interfaces of objects

Need a way to manage object lifetime

Need reference counting/GC

D-Bus example





```
bus = dbus.SystemBus()
avahi proxy = bus.get object(
        "org.freedesktop.Avahi", "/")
server = dbus.Interface(
        avahi proxy,
        "org.freedesktop.Avahi.Server")
# Actual communication
browser = server.ServiceBrowserNew(...)
```

Implications of References





Need a way to "bootstrap"

- Directory service where objects "bind" to names
- Returned objects need be casted

Need a way to define methods

- Have "services" that are interfaces
- Runtime type system to query interfaces of objects

Need a way to manage object lifetime

Need reference counting/GC

Implications of References



Need a way to "bootstrap"

- Directory service where objects "bind" to names
- Returned objects need be casted

Need a way to define methods

- Have "services" that are interfaces
- Runtime type system to query interfaces of objects

Need a way to manage object lifetime

Need reference counting/GC





North America 201!

- Request/response objects and messages
- Point-to-point
- Not plain client-server
- Connectionless
- IDL: Interface Definition Language
- Generated Code
- Synchronous

And sometimes:

Network transparency





- Android Binder
- D-Bus
- DCOM
- CORBA
- Java RMI

Local RMI allows transferring system objects (e.g., FDs)

- The reference itself is a "secret"
- Commonly used to limit permissions





Uses an intermediary, the "broker"

- Message queue
- Message bus
- Watcher/notification

Not client/server; is its own topology

- But is generally built on a client/server protocol
- D-Bus is built on Unix Domain Sockets
- Google Pub/Sub is built on gRPC

HTTP/REST





North America 2019

- Loosely object-oriented
 - Methods (GET, PUT, DELETE) are applied to resources
 - Resource URIs are references ("http://host/ref")
 - References can be passed in and returned
 - References sometimes used for security; but often not
- Very few methods
 - Content-type, additional resources, and convention used to define more specific interfaces
- Sometimes uses IDL
- No reference counting/GC
 - Transient objects rare

HTTP/REST





North America 2019

- Byte-based streaming available
 - Half-duplex
 - Client-streaming commonly unavailable
- Virtual hosting
- L7 routing
- Caching
 - Proxies commonly used. Generally unsupported in client libraries

Non-functional





North America 2019

Implementation quality
IDL maintainability
Ecosystem compatibility
Ecosystem size
Debuggability
Performance
Efficiency

. . .





GitHub/Gitter: @ejona86

Email: ejona@google.com (please CC mailing list)

Mailing List: grpc-io@googlegroups.com

Stack Overflow: #grpc #grpc-java





CloudNativeCon

North America 2019



Request/Response vs...???





North America 2019

- One-way (fire-and-forget)
- Message queue
- Message bus
- Watcher/notification
- Streaming
- Shared memory
- RDMA

Client-server vs...???





- North America 2019

This strongly influences the system's topology

- Peering
- Message queue
- Bus
- Pipeline