Chapter 5

Large and Fast: Exploiting Memory Hierarchy




Virtual Memory


- Use main memory as a "cache" for secondary (disk) storage
 - Managed jointly by CPU hardware and the operating system (OS)
- Programs share main memory
 - Each gets a private virtual address space holding its frequently used code and data
 - Protected from other programs
- CPU and OS translate virtual addresses to physical addresses
 - VM "block" is called a page
 - VM translation "miss" is called a page fault

Address Translation

Fixed-size pages (e.g., 4K)



Virtual address



Physical address


Page Fault Penalty

- On page fault, the page must be fetched from disk
 - Takes millions of clock cycles
 - Handled by OS code
- Try to minimize page fault rate
 - Fully associative placement
 - Smart replacement algorithms


Page Tables

- Stores placement information
 - Array of page table entries, indexed by virtual page number
 - Page table register in CPU points to page table in physical memory
- If page is present in memory
 - PTE stores the physical page number
 - Plus other status bits (referenced, dirty, ...)
- If page is not present
 - PTE can refer to location in swap space on disk

Translation Using a Page Table



Mapping Pages to Storage




Replacement and Writes

- To reduce page fault rate, prefer leastrecently used (LRU) replacement
 - Reference bit (aka use bit) in PTE set to 1 on access to page
 - Periodically cleared to 0 by OS
 - A page with reference bit = 0 has not been used recently
- Disk writes take millions of cycles
 - Block at once, not individual locations
 - Write through is impractical
 - Use write-back
 - Dirty bit in PTE set when page is written

Fast Translation Using a TLB

- Address translation would appear to require extra memory references
 - One to access the PTE
 - Then the actual memory access
- But access to page tables has good locality
 - So use a fast cache of PTEs within the CPU
 - Called a Translation Look-aside Buffer (TLB)
 - Typical: 16–512 PTEs, 0.5–1 cycle for hit, 10–100 cycles for miss, 0.01%–1% miss rate
 - Misses could be handled by hardware or software

Fast Translation Using a TLB




TLB Misses

- If page is in memory
 - Load the PTE from memory and retry
 - Could be handled in hardware
 - Can get complex for more complicated page table structures
 - Or in software
 - Raise a special exception, with optimized handler
- If page is not in memory (page fault)
 - OS handles fetching the page and updating the page table
 - Then restart the faulting instruction

TLB Miss Handler

- TLB miss indicates
 - Page present, but PTE not in TLB
 - Page not preset
- Must recognize TLB miss before destination register overwritten
 - Raise exception
- Handler copies PTE from memory to TLB
 - Then restarts instruction
 - If page not present, page fault will occur

TLB and Cache Interaction



- If cache tag uses physical address
 - Need to translate before cache lookup
- Alternative: use virtual address tag
 - Complications due to aliasing
 - Different virtual addresses for shared physical address

Chapter 5

Large and Fast: Exploiting Memory Hierarchy



The Memory Hierarchy

The BIG Picture

- Common principles apply at all levels of the memory hierarchy
 - Based on notions of caching
- At each level in the hierarchy
 - Block placement
 - Finding a block
 - Replacement on a miss
 - Write policy

Block Placement

- Determined by associativity
 - Direct mapped (1-way associative)
 - One choice for placement
 - n-way set associative
 - n choices within a set
 - Fully associative
 - Any location
- Higher associativity reduces miss rate
 - Increases complexity, cost, and access time

Finding a Block

Associativity	Location method	Tag comparisons
Direct mapped	Index	1
n-way set associative	Set index, then search entries within the set	n
Fully associative	Search all entries	#entries
	Full lookup table	0

Hardware caches

- Reduce comparisons to reduce cost
- Virtual memory
 - Full table lookup makes full associativity feasible
 - Benefit in reduced miss rate

Replacement

- Choice of entry to replace on a miss
 - Least recently used (LRU)
 - Complex and costly hardware for high associativity
 - Random
 - Close to LRU, easier to implement
- Virtual memory
 - LRU approximation with hardware support

Write Policy

- Write-through
 - Update both upper and lower levels
 - Simplifies replacement, but may require write buffer
- Write-back
 - Update upper level only
 - Update lower level when block is replaced
 - Need to keep more state
- Virtual memory
 - Only write-back is feasible, given disk write latency

Sources of Misses

- Compulsory misses (aka cold start misses)
 - First access to a block
- Capacity misses
 - Due to finite cache size
 - A replaced block is later accessed again
- Conflict misses (aka collision misses)
 - In a non-fully associative cache
 - Due to competition for entries in a set
 - Would not occur in a fully associative cache of the same total size

Cache Design Trade-offs

Design change	Effect on miss rate	Negative performance effect
Increase cache size	Decrease capacity misses	May increase access time
Increase associativity	Decrease conflict misses	May increase access time
Increase block size	Decrease compulsory misses	Increases miss penalty. For very large block size, may increase miss rate due to pollution.

Concluding Remarks

- Fast memories are small, large memories are slow
 - We really want fast, large memories ⊗
 - Caching gives this illusion ©
- Principle of locality
 - Programs use a small part of their memory space frequently
- Memory hierarchy
- Memory system design is critical for multiprocessors