

Code to learn with Scratch?

A systematic literature review

J. Moreno-León, Gregorio Robles

jesus.moreno@programamos.es, grex@gsyc.urjc.es
GSyC/Libresoft, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

IEEE EDUCON 2016, Abu Dhabi, April 12th 2016






(cc) 2016 J. Moreno-León and Gregorio Robles
Some rights reserved. This work licensed under Creative Commons
Attribution-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of full license, see
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/> or write to
Creative Commons, 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford,
California 94305, USA.

Some of the figures have been taken from the Internet
Source, and author and licence if known, is specified.

For those images, *fair use* applies.

Code to learn (I)



Logo programming language


- Developed in the 1960s
- Its educational impact was intensively investigated in the 70s and 80s
- Students' improvements in maths (and other disciplines) were proved
- "Disappeared" from the educational landscape since mid-90s

Seymour Papert's picture: jgora.net

Code to learn (and II)

New visual programming languages

- Alice, Greenfoot, Kodu, **Scratch**
- Code.org, EU Code Week, Africa Code Week, ArabCode.org
- If there is no evidence showing educational impact of programming, this resurgence of programming in schools could disappear in a few years.



Research questions

- **RQ1. What K-12 subjects have used programming with Scratch as an educational resource?**
- **RQ2. Is programming with Scratch a good educational tool that enhances student learning?**
- **RQ3. What other skills are developed while learning to code with Scratch?**

Background picture: rebel-performance.com

Methodology




Figure: Systematic literature review process

Source: *Guidelines for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering*

Selection of primary studies

Out of 107 located articles, the final number of selected papers is 15.

Motive of exclusion	Number of papers
Focused on programming	32
No evidence provided	7
University students	7
Out of context	41
No English version	2
Articles not accessed	3

Table: Summary of article exclusion

Findings, RQ1

Paper	Age	Subject	Environment
[21]	Middle School	Mathematics	School
[22]	5th grade	Mathematics, Language Arts	Summer camp
[23]	3rd grade	Mathematics	School
[24]	5th grade	Science	School
[25]	5th grade	Science	School
[26]	10-14 years old	Storytelling, Creative writing	After school
[27]	12-14 years old	Writing	School
[28]	4th-5th grade	English as a second language	School

Table: Subjects learned through coding with Scratch

Findings, RQ2 (I)




Figure: Description of the 8 papers under investigation for RQ2

Findings, RQ2 (and II)

Subject	Paper	Proved results	Non-proved results
Maths	[21]	Significantly more positive attitudes towards maths	
	[22]	Test scores in maths highly correlated with programming performance	
	[23]	Improvements at comparing numbers and establishing order	No differences at spatial location
Science	[24]		How or if learners deepened their science knowledge
	[25]	61.5% reported a better understanding of science	
L. arts	[26]	60% indicated their storytelling skills improved	
	[27]	Effective framework for facilitating digital composition	
English	[28]	Experimental improved more than control groups	

Table: Programming with Scratch to learn other subjects

Findings, RQ3 (I)




Figure: Description of the 7 papers under investigation for RQ3

Findings, RQ3 (and II)

Paper	Proved results	Non-proved results
[25]	Better performance in logical thinking and problem solving	
[30]	Students in the treatment group show improvement in their problem solving skills at a rate greater than those in the control group	
[31]	Improved problem solving ability	
[32]	The effect on problem solving abilities is significant, especially at the reason of prediction	No significant effect on logical reasoning skills
[33]	Improved problem solving skills and reasoning practices	
[34]	Increase in self-confidence in problem solving ability	No significant differences in problem solving skills
[35]	Increase in logic, creativity and learning skills	

Table: Skills developed by programming with Scratch

Conclusions

- Programming with Scratch to learn other subjects
 - 8 studies
 - Very promising outlook
 - Most investigations did not follow basic recommendation for education research
- Programming with Scratch to develop other skills
 - 7 papers
 - Positive results
 - Most investigations used control groups, pre- and post-tests, and samples bigger than 30.
- **It is necessary to conduct further research with larger samples to justify the use of programming as an educational tool in K-12**

Background picture: flamingcow.co.uk

Future Work

We are performing a **broader systematic literature review**, not restricting the programming language to Scratch, in order to:

- ① Identify **potential differences of different programming languages**
- ② State **stronger conclusions** regarding the usefulness of **computer programming as an educational tool** for primary and secondary students

Background picture: Simon Cunningham

Code to learn with Scratch?

A systematic literature review

J. Moreno-León, Gregorio Robles

jesus.moreno@programamos.es, grex@gsyc.urjc.es
GSyC/Libresoft, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos

IEEE EDUCON 2016, Abu Dhabi, April 12th 2016

