Lecture 6

1 Thresholds in random graphs

In Lecture 4 we proved that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ contains a triangle}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = o(1/n) \\ 1 & \text{if } p = \omega(1/n) \end{cases}$$

The following definitions and result extend this phenomenon to all monotone increasing graph properties.

Definition 1.1. A function $p_0 = p_0(n)$ is called a threshold for the monotone increasing graph property Q if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P} (G(n, p) \in Q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = o(p_0) \\ 1 & \text{if } p = \omega(p_0) \end{cases}$$

In light of Definition 1.1 the result we proved in Lecture 4 shows that 1/n is a threshold for the property of containing a triangle. It is natural to ask which graph properties have a threshold? This question is answered by the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (Bollobás and Thomason 1997). Every (non-trivial) monotone increasing graph property has a threshold.

The existence of a threshold for a monotone increasing graph property Q establishes a so-called *zero-one law* for this property. That is, it shows that for sufficiently large n, the probability that $G(n,p) \in Q$ is essentially 0 or 1, unless p is in a small interval around the threshold. Sometimes (but not always) we can make this interval even smaller.

Definition 1.3. A threshold p_0 for a monotone increasing graph property Q is called sharp if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(G(n, p) \in Q \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \le (1 - \varepsilon) p_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } p \ge (1 + \varepsilon) p_0 \end{cases}$$

If the threshold p_0 is not sharp, then it is called coarse.

1.1 Minimum degree and connectivity of random graphs

Theorem 1.4. $\ln n/n$ is a sharp threshold for G(n,p) having positive minimum degree and for G(n,p) being connected.

Proof. Fix some $\varepsilon > 0$, let n be a sufficiently large integer, and let $G \sim G(n, p)$. Since any connected graph has positive minimum degree, it suffices to prove the following two claims.

- (a) If $p \leq (1 \varepsilon) \ln n / n$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\delta(G) \geq 1) = 0$.
- **(b)** If $p \ge (1 + \varepsilon) \ln n / n$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(G \text{ is connected}) = 1$.

Starting with (a), assume that $p \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \ln n/n$. For every $1 \leq j \leq n$ let I_j be the indicator random variable for the event "j is isolated in G". Let $X = \sum_{j=1}^{n} I_j$ denote the number of isolated vertices in G. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(I_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(I_j = 1) = n(1-p)^{n-1}.$$

Note that by our choice of p it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n(1-p)^{n-1} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} n e^{-(p+p^2)(n-1)} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} n e^{-\frac{(1-\varepsilon/2)\ln n}{n} \cdot n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1-(1-\varepsilon/2)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{\varepsilon/2} = \infty,$$
(1)

where the first inequality holds for sufficiently small p by the Taylor expansion of $e^{-(x+x^2)}$. Now, for every $1 \le j \le n$ it holds that

$$Var(I_j) = \mathbb{E}(I_j^2) - (\mathbb{E}(I_j))^2 \le \mathbb{E}(I_j) = (1-p)^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, for every $1 \le i < j \le n$ it holds that

$$Cov(I_i, I_j) = \mathbb{E}(I_i I_j) - \mathbb{E}(I_i)\mathbb{E}(I_j) = \mathbb{P}(I_i = 1, I_j = 1) - (1 - p)^{2n - 2}$$

= $(1 - p)^{2n - 3} - (1 - p)^{2n - 2} = p(1 - p)^{2n - 3}$.

Therefore

$$Var(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Var(I_j) + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} Cov(I_i, I_j) \le n(1-p)^{n-1} + n^2 p(1-p)^{2n-3}.$$

We then have

$$\frac{Var(X)}{(\mathbb{E}(X))^2} \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(X) + n^2 p(1-p)^{2n-3}}{(\mathbb{E}(X))^2} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(X)} + \frac{p}{1-p} = o(1),$$

where the last equality holds by the choice of p and by (1). Applying the second moment method we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(G) \ge 1\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(X = 0\right) = 0.$$

Next, we prove (b). Assume that $p \ge (1 + \varepsilon) \ln n/n$. If G is disconnected, then its smallest connected component has k vertices for some $1 \le k \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(G \text{ is disconnected}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n/2} \binom{n}{k} (1-p)^{k(n-k)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \binom{n}{k} e^{-pk(n-k)} + \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \binom{n}{k} e^{-pk(n-k)}.$$
(2)

We consider each of the two summands in (2) separately.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \binom{n}{k} e^{-pk(n-k)} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} n^k e^{-pk(n-k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \left(n e^{-p(n-k)} \right)^k$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \left(n e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\ln n}{n} \cdot (n-\sqrt{n})} \right)^k \le \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \left(n e^{-(1+\varepsilon/2)\ln n} \right)^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} n^{-\varepsilon k/2} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-\varepsilon k/2} = \frac{n^{-\varepsilon/2}}{1 - n^{-\varepsilon/2}}.$$
(3)

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \binom{n}{k} e^{-pk(n-k)} \leq \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k e^{-pk(n-k)} = \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \left(\frac{en}{k} \cdot e^{-p(n-k)}\right)^k \\
\leq \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \left(\frac{en}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(1+\varepsilon)\ln n}{n} \cdot (n-n/2)}\right)^k = \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \left(en^{1/2} \cdot e^{-(1/2+\varepsilon/2)\ln n}\right)^k \\
= \sum_{k=\sqrt{n}}^{n/2} \left(en^{-\varepsilon/2}\right)^k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(en^{-\varepsilon/2}\right)^k = \frac{en^{-\varepsilon/2}}{1-en^{-\varepsilon/2}}.$$
(4)

Combining (2), (3) and (4) implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left(G\text{ is disconnected}\right)\leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n^{-\varepsilon/2}}{1-n^{-\varepsilon/2}}+\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{en^{-\varepsilon/2}}{1-en^{-\varepsilon/2}}=0+0=0.$$