

Practical session 8

Exercise 1 (Solovay-Strassen primality test)

For an odd prime number p and a positive integer $a < p$ let

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue mod } p \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

be the Legendre symbol. For a natural odd number n and a natural number a which is relatively prime to n , let

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right)^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p_m}\right)^{k_m},$$

be the Jacobi symbol, where $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m^{k_m}$ is the factorization of n into prime numbers. You are given the fact that the Jacobi symbol can be calculated efficiently. Consider the following algorithm due to Solovay and Strassen.

Algorithm 0.1 (Solovay-Strassen).

Input: A positive odd integer n .

1. Choose $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ uniformly at random.
 2. if $\gcd(a, n) \neq 1$ return “composite”.
 3. else, if $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \not\equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n}$ return “composite”.
 4. else return “prime”.
-

Let us recall two facts proved in Number Theory regarding the Legendre symbol:

Fact 0.2. (Multiplicity) *The Legendre symbol, and hence, the Jacobi symbol is multiplicative, i.e., for all positive integers $a, b < n$ which are relatively prime to n it holds that*

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \left(\frac{b}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{ab}{n}\right).$$

Fact 0.3. (Euler’s criterion) *For an odd prime p and for every positive integer $a < p$ it holds that*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p}.$$

Use the following lemma, due to Solovay and Strassen, to show that Algorithm 0.1 outputs the correct answer if n is prime, and if n is composite, then Algorithm 0.1 outputs an incorrect answer with probability at most $1/2$.

Lemma 0.4. *Let n be an odd composite natural number. Then there exists $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \not\equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n}$.*

Solution

If n is prime, then $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and by Euler's criterion it holds that $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n}$. Therefore the algorithm outputs “prime” which is the correct output. Assume that n is odd and composite. Call a number $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ a *witness* if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \not\equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n}$. We show that, among the numbers $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ which are relatively prime to n , the number of witnesses is at least as large as the number of non-witnesses. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \subseteq \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ be the set of all numbers that are *not* witnesses, where each a_i is relatively prime to n . Fix a witness a whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 0.4. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot a_i)^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} &\equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} \cdot a_i^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} \equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n} \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{n}\right) \\ &\not\equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a_i}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a \cdot a_i}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As $a \cdot a_i$ is relatively prime to n , it follows that $a \cdot a_i$ is a witness. Since, moreover, the function $a_i \mapsto a \cdot a_i \pmod{n}$ is injective (as $\gcd(a, n) = 1$), the number of witnesses is at least the number of non-witnesses. We conclude that the probability that a non-witness is sampled is at most $1/2$.

Exercise 2 (Freivalds' algorithm)

The following is an algorithm for verifying matrix multiplication.

Algorithm 0.5. *Input: Three $n \times n$ matrices A, B , and C .*

1. *Sample a vector \mathbf{r} from $\{0, 1\}^n$ uniformly at random (i.e., \mathbf{r} is a vector of length n whose every entry is either 0 or 1).*
2. *Compute $\mathbf{v} = A \cdot (B \cdot \mathbf{r}) - C \cdot \mathbf{r}$.*
3. *Output “yes” if \mathbf{v} is the all 0 vector, and “no” otherwise.*

Prove that if $AB = C$, then the algorithm always outputs “yes”, and otherwise it outputs “yes” with probability at most $1/2$.

Solution

Assume that $AB = C$. Then for all $\mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ it holds that

$$\mathbf{v} = A \cdot (B \cdot \mathbf{r}) - C \cdot \mathbf{r} = (AB) \cdot \mathbf{r} - C \cdot \mathbf{r} = C \cdot \mathbf{r} - C \cdot \mathbf{r} = (0, \dots, 0)^T.$$

Therefore the algorithm always outputs “yes” which is the correct output. Assume then that $AB \neq C$. Let $D = AB - C$, and let d_{ij} be the element in the i th row and j th column of D . Let

$\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$. As $AB \neq C$, there exists some entry $d_{ij} \neq 0$. We will show that $v_i = 0$ with probability at most $1/2$. For all $\mathbf{r} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we may write

$$v_i = \sum_{k=1}^n d_{ik} r_k = d_{ij} r_j + x_{\mathbf{r}},$$

where $x_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{k \neq j} d_{ik} r_k$. Observe that

$$\Pr(v_i = 0 \mid x_{\mathbf{r}} = 0) = \Pr(r_j = 0) = \frac{1}{2},$$

and that

$$\Pr(v_i = 0 \mid x_{\mathbf{r}} \neq 0) = \Pr(r_j = 1 \wedge d_{ij} = -x_{\mathbf{r}}) \leq \Pr(r_j = 1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By the Law of Total Probability it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(v_i = 0) &= \Pr(v_i = 0 \mid x_{\mathbf{r}} = 0) \cdot \Pr(x_{\mathbf{r}} = 0) + \Pr(v_i = 0 \mid x_{\mathbf{r}} \neq 0) \cdot \Pr(x_{\mathbf{r}} \neq 0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr(x_{\mathbf{r}} = 0) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Pr(x_{\mathbf{r}} \neq 0) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Pr(\text{Output is "yes"}) = \Pr(\mathbf{v} = (0, \dots, 0)^T) = \Pr(v_k = 0 \text{ for every } k \in [n]) \leq \Pr(v_i = 0) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$