[[1]](#footnote-1)

Preparation of Papers for IEEE TRANSACTIONS on Biomedical Engineering(November 2014)

First A. Author, *Fellow, IEEE*, Second B. Author, and Third C. Author\*, Jr., Member, IEEE

*Abstract*— *Objective:* The purpose of this document is to illustrate how one should prepare for manuscripts for submission to IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. *Methods:* Use this document as a template if you are using Microsoft *Word* 6.0 or later. Otherwise, use this document as an instruction set. The electronic file of your paper will be formatted further at IEEE. *Results:* Paper titles should be written in uppercase and lowercase letters, not all uppercase. Avoid writing long formulas with subscripts in the title; short formulas that identify the elements are fine (e.g., "Nd–Fe–B"). Do not write “(Invited)” in the title. Full names of authors are preferred in the author field, but are not required. Put a space between authors’ initials. Define all symbols used in the abstract. Do not cite references in the abstract. Do not delete the blank line immediately above the abstract; it sets the footnote at the bottom of this column. The abstract should not exceed 250 words. *Conclusion:* Preparing carefully your manuscript will lead to enhanced readability. *Significance:* Carefully prepared manuscript will better disseminate your work to the scientific community and public.

*Index Terms*—Enter key words or phrases in alphabetical order, separated by commas. For a list of suggested keywords, send a blank e-mail to [keywords@ieee.org](mailto:keywords@ieee.org) or visit <http://www.ieee.org/organizations/pubs/ani_prod/keywrd98.txt>

# INTRODUCTION

T

HIS document is a template for Microsoft *Word* versions 6.0 or later. If you are reading a paper or PDF version of this document, please download the electronic file  
“TBME\_template.doc” from the Web site of IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering (TBME) at http://tbme.embs.org/for-authors/instruction-for-authors/ so you can use it to prepare your manuscript.

# Guidelines For Manuscript Preparation

When you open TBME\_template.doc, select “Page Layout” from the “View” menu in the menu bar (View | Page Layout), (these instructions assume MS 6.0. Some versions may have alternate ways to access the same functionalities noted here).

**An Overview of Statistical Learning**

Statistical learning refers to a vast set of tools for understanding data. These tools can be classified as supervised or unsupervised. Broadly speaking, supervised statistical learning involves building a statistical model for predicting, or estimating, an output based on one or more inputs. Problems of this nature occur in fields as diverse as business, medicine, astrophysics, and public policy. With unsupervised statistical learning, there are inputs but no supervising output; nevertheless we can learn relationships and structure from such data.

**Data**

The study acquired sleep related data for 27 people. Besides the demographics, Age, Sex, BMI, data were collected during a Polysomnography (PSG), such that Total Sleep Time, Latency, Latency at each stage of sleep, Sleep duration at each stage of sleep, the number of Movements and Awakenings, the Sleep efficiency, the Apneas and Hypoapneas.

Some indices that were also calculated were the ratio Light to Total sleep time, Deep to Total sleep time and REM to Total sleep time.

People were also submitted to two self-report [questionnaire](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Questionnaire)s: The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) that assesses sleep quality over a 1-month time interval. The measure consists of 19 individual items and the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) is a [scale](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Likert_Scale) intended to measure daytime [sleepiness](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sleep) that is measured by use of a very short questionnaire.

According to subjects with a global PSQI score of 5 and above is generally considered indicative of subjective poor sleep


quality, distinguishing between good and poor sleepers with


high sensitivity and specificity.




Psychometric evaluation and feasibility of the Greek


Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (GR-PSQI) in patients

with cancer receiving chemotherapy









Stratified 10 fold CV:

<http://web.cs.iastate.edu/~jtian/cs573/Papers/Kohavi-IJCAI-95.pdf>

## Selection of features

Correlation is a bivariate analysis that measures the strength of association between two variables and the direction of the relationship.  In terms of the strength of relationship, the value of the correlation coefficient varies between +1 and -1.  A value of ± 1 indicates a perfect degree of association between the two variables.  As the correlation coefficient value goes towards 0, the relationship between the two variables will be weaker.  The direction of the relationship is indicated by the sign of the coefficient; a + sign indicates a positive relationship and a – sign indicates a negative relationship. Usually, in statistics, we measure four types of correlations: [Pearson correlation](http://www.statisticssolutions.com/academic-solutions/membership-resources/member-profile/conducting-analyses-results/videos/pearson-correlation/), Kendall rank correlation, Spearman correlation, and the Point-Biserial correlation.

**Pearson**

Pearson r correlation is the most widely used correlation statistic to measure the degree of the relationship between linearly related variables.  For example, in the stock market, if we want to measure how two stocks are related to each other, Pearson r correlation is used to measure the degree of relationship between the two.  The point-biserial correlation is conducted with the Pearson correlation formula except that one of the variables is dichotomous.  The following formula is used to calculate the Pearson r correlation:

pearson r correlation

*r = Pearson r correlation coefficient  
N = number of observations  
∑xy = sum of the products of paired scores  
∑x = sum of x scores  
∑y = sum of y scores  
∑x2= sum of squared x scores  
∑y2= sum of squared y scores*

Types of research questions a Pearson correlation can examine:

Is there a statistically significant relationship between age, as measured in years, and height, measured in inches?

Is there a relationship between temperature, measured in degrees Fahrenheit, and ice cream sales, measured by income?

Is there a relationship between job satisfaction, as measured by the JSS, and income, measured in dollars?

Assumptions

For the Pearson r correlation, both variables should be normally distributed (normally distributed variables have a bell-shaped curve).  Other assumptions include linearity and homoscedasticity.  Linearity assumes a straight line relationship between each of the two variables and homoscedasticity assumes that data is equally distributed about the regression line.

**Spearman**

In [statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Statistics), Spearman's rank correlation coefficient or Spearman's rho, named after [Charles Spearman](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles_Spearman) and often denoted by the Greek letter ρ or as rs, is a [nonparametric](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-parametric_statistics) measure of [rank correlation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rank_correlation) ([statistical dependence](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Correlation_and_dependence) between the [rankings](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ranking) of two [variables](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Variable_(mathematics)#Applied_statistics)). It assesses how well the relationship between two variables can be described using a [monotonic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monotonic) function.

The Spearman correlation between two variables is equal to the [Pearson correlation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pearson_product-moment_correlation_coefficient) between the rank values of those two variables; while Pearson's correlation assesses linear relationships, Spearman's correlation assesses monotonic relationships (whether linear or not). If there are no repeated data values, a perfect Spearman correlation of +1 or −1 occurs when each of the variables is a perfect monotone function of the other.

Intuitively, the Spearman correlation between two variables will be high when observations have a similar (or identical for a correlation of 1) [rank](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ranking_(statistics)) (i.e. relative position label of the observations within the variable: 1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc.) between the two variables, and low when observations have a dissimilar (or fully opposed for a correlation of −1) rank between the two variables.

Spearman rank correlation is a non-parametric test that is used to measure the degree of association between two variables.

The Spearman rank correlation test does not carry any assumptions about the distribution of the data and is the appropriate correlation analysis when the variables are measured on a scale that is at least ordinal.

The following formula is used to calculate the Spearman rank correlation:

spearman rank correlation

*ρ= Spearman rank correlation  
di= the difference between the ranks of corresponding variables  
n= number of observations*

Types of research questions a Spearman Correlation can examine:

Is there a statistically significant relationship between participants’ level of education (high school, bachelor’s, or graduate degree) and their starting salary?

Is there a statistically significant relationship between horse’s finishing position a race and horse’s age?

Assumptions

The assumptions of the Spearman correlation are that data must be at least ordinal and the scores on one variable must be monotonically related to the other variable.

Elements of Information theory MEASURING DEPENDENCE VIA MUTUAL INFORMATION By Shan Lu

**Mutual Information**

Mutual information satisfies properties that make it an ideal measure of stochastic dependence [Cover and Thomas, 1991, Darbellay, 1999, Joe, 1989b], [R´enyi, 1959].

Unlike Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient which accounts only for linear relationships, or other well-known rank correlation coefficients that can detect monotonic dependencies, the mutual information takes into account all types of dependence.

Variables which are not statistically independent suggest the existence of some functional relation between them. While there are several approaches to quantify the linear dependence between variables, the framework of information theory (Shannon, 1948) provides a general measure of dependencies between variables. In particular, a vanishing Pearson correlation does not imply that two variables are independent. The mutual information therefore provides a better and more general criterion to investigate relationships between variables.

Considerable research has been done on measuring dependence between random variables.

The correlation coefficient [10] is the most widely studied linear measure of dependence. However, the limitation of linearity limits its application. The informational coefficient of correlation [17] is defined in terms of mutual information.

However, it is well known that correlation is not equivalent to dependence. Two independent random variables are surely uncorrelated, which means that their correlation coefficient is zero; yet, for uncorrelated random variables, they are not necessarily independent [10].

Mutual information is a concept from information theory first introduced by Shannon in the context of digital communication [23]. It describes how much information two random variables share with each other, i.e. the amount of uncertainty about one random variable given knowledge of the other random variable. The mutual information for two random variables is symmetric and always nonnegative.

It equals zero if and only if the two random variables are independent. In addition, the mutual information between two continuous random variables equals infinity if there is a functional relationship between these two random variables.

These properties provide a possibility for the mutual information to be used as a dependence measure.


*Hence, the mutual information can be interpreted as the reduction in the uncertainty of X (resp. Y ) due to the knowledge of Y (resp. X ) [Ullah, 1996].*

Από ISLR seventh print

**Cross validation**

Resampling methods are an indispensable tool in modern statistics. They involve repeatedly drawing samples from a training set and refitting a model of interest on each sample in order to obtain additional information about


the fitted model. For example, in order to estimate the variability of a linear regression fit, we can repeatedly draw different samples from the training data, fit a linear regression to each new sample, and then examine the extent to which the resulting fits differ. Such an approach may allow us to obtain information that would not be available from fitting the model only once using the original training sample.

Resampling approaches can be computationally expensive, because they involve fitting the same statistical method multiple times using different subsets of the training data. However, due to recent advances in computing power, the computational requirements of resampling methods generally are not prohibitive. In this chapter, we discuss one of the most commonly used resampling methods, cross-validation. This method is an important tool in the practical application of many statistical learning procedures. For example, cross-validation can be used to estimate the test error associated with a given statistical learning method in order to evaluate its performance, or to select the appropriate level of flexibility. The process of evaluating a model’s performance is known as model assessment, whereas the process of selecting the proper level of flexibility for a model is known as model selection.

**k-Fold Cross-Validation**

k-fold CV is an approach that involves randomly dividing the set of observations into k groups, or folds, of approximately equal size. The first fold is treated as a validation set, and the method is fit on the remaining k − 1 folds. The mean squared error, MSE1, is then computed on the observations in the held-out fold. This procedure is repeated k times; each time, a different group of observations is treated as a validation set. This process results in k estimates of the test error, MSE1,MSE2,… ,MSEk.

The k-fold CV estimate is computed by averaging

these values:

It is not hard to see that LOOCV (leave-one-out CV) is a special case of k-fold CV in which k is set to equal n. In practice, one typically performs k-fold CV using k = 5 or k = 10. What is the advantage of using k = 5 or k = 10 rather than k = n? The most obvious advantage is computational. LOOCV requires fitting the statistical learning method n times. This has the potential to be computationally expensive. But cross-validation is a very general approach that can be applied to almost any statistical learning method. Some statistical learning methods have computationally intensive fitting procedures, and so performing LOOCV may pose computational problems, especially if n is extremely large. In contrast, performing 10-fold CV requires fitting the learning procedure only ten times, which may be much more feasible.

When we perform cross-validation, our goal might be to determine how well a given statistical learning procedure can be expected to perform on independent data; in this case, the actual estimate of the test MSE is of interest. But at other times we are interested only in the location of the minimum point in the estimated test MSE curve. This is because we

might be performing cross-validation on a number of statistical learning methods, or on a single method using different levels of flexibility, in order to identify the method that results in the lowest test error. For this purpose, the location of the minimum point in the estimated test MSE curve is important, but the actual value of the estimated test MSE is not. We find in the following Figure that despite the fact that they sometimes underestimate the true test MSE, all of the CV curves come close to identifying the correct level of flexibility—that is, the flexibility level corresponding to the smallest test MSE.

*The true test MSE is shown in blue, the LOOCV estimate is shown as a black dashed line, and the 10-fold CV estimate is shown in orange. The crosses indicate the minimum of each of the MSE curves.*

**Bias-Variance Trade-Off for k-Fold Cross-Validation**

We mentioned that k-fold CV with k < n has a computational advantage to LOOCV. But putting computational issues aside, a less obvious but potentially more important advantage of k-fold CV is that it often gives more accurate estimates of the test error rate than does LOOCV. This has to do with a bias-variance trade-off. It is not hard to see that LOOCV will give approximately unbiased estimates of the test error, since each training set contains n − 1 observations, which is almost as many as the number of observations in the full data set. And performing k-fold CV for, say, k = 5 or k = 10 will lead to an intermediate level of bias, since each training set contains (k − 1)n/k observations—fewer than in the LOOCV approach, but substantially more than in the validation set approach.

Therefore, from the perspective of bias reduction, it is clear that LOOCV is to be preferred to k-fold CV.


However, we know that bias is not the only source for concern in an estimating procedure; we must also consider the procedure’s variance. It turns out that LOOCV has higher variance than does k-fold CV with k < n.


Why is this the case? When we perform LOOCV, we are in effect averaging the outputs of n fitted models, each of which is trained on an almost identical set of observations; therefore, these outputs are highly (positively) correlated with each other. In contrast, when we perform k-fold CV with k < n, we are averaging the outputs of k fitted models that are somewhat less correlated with each other, since the overlap between the training sets in each model is smaller. Since the mean of many highly correlated quantities has higher variance than does the mean of many quantities that are not as highly correlated, the test error estimate resulting from LOOCV tends to have higher variance than does the test error estimate resulting from k-fold CV.

To summarize, there is a bias-variance trade-off associated with the choice of k in k-fold cross-validation. Typically, given these considerations, one performs k-fold cross-validation using k = 5 or k = 10, as these values have been shown empirically to yield test error rate estimates that suffer neither from excessively high bias nor from very high variance.

**Cross-Validation on Classification Problems**

So far, we have illustrated the use of cross-validation in the regression setting where the outcome Y is quantitative, and so have used MSE to quantify test error. But cross-validation can also be a very useful approach in the classification setting when Y is qualitative. In this setting, cross-validation works just as described earlier, except that rather than using MSE to quantify test error, we instead use the number of misclassified observations.

 For instance, in the classification setting, the LOOCV error rate takes the form:





where

The k-fold CV error rate and validation set error

rates are defined analogously.

## Classification Algorithms

Mastering Machine Learning with R Cory Lesmeister

Feature Selection and Ensemble Methods for Bioinformatics: Algorithmic Classification and Implementations

**Logistic regression**

[Logistic regression](http://www.statisticssolutions.com/academic-solutions/membership-resources/member-profile/data-analysis-plan-templates/data-analysis-plan-logistic-regression/) is the appropriate regression analysis to conduct when the dependent variable is dichotomous (binary).  Like all regression analyses, the logistic regression is a predictive analysis.  Logistic regression is used to describe data and to explain the relationship between one dependent binary variable and one or more nominal, ordinal, interval or ratio-level independent variables.

In [statistics](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Statistics), the logistic model (or logit model) is a widely used [statistical model](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Statistical_model) that in its basic form uses a [logistic function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic_function) to model a [binary](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_variable) [dependent variable](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dependent_variable), although many more complex [extensions](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic_regression#Extensions) exist. In [regression analysis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regression_analysis), logistic regression (or logit regression) is [estimating](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Estimation_theory) the parameters of a logistic model (a form of [binary regression](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_regression)).

Mathematically, a binary logistic model has a dependent variable with two possible values, such as pass/fail, win/lose, alive/dead or healthy/sick; these are represented by an [indicator variable](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Indicator_variable), where the two values are labeled "0" and "1".

In the logistic model, the [log-odds](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Log-odds) (the [logarithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logarithm) of the [odds](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Odds)) for the value labeled "1" is a [linear combination](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_function_(calculus)) of one or more [independent variables](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Independent_variable) ("predictors"); the independent variables can each be a binary variable (two classes, coded by an indicator variable) or a [continuous variable](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_variable) (any real value). The corresponding [probability](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability) of the value labeled "1" can vary between 0 (certainly the value "0") and 1 (certainly the value "1"), hence the labeling; the function that converts log-odds to probability is the logistic function, hence the name. The [unit of measurement](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unit_of_measurement) for the log-odds scale is called a [logit](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logit" \o "Logit), from logistic unit, hence the alternative names.

Analogous models with a different [sigmoid function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sigmoid_function) instead of the logistic function can also be used, such as the [probit model](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probit_model" \o "Probit model); the defining characteristic of the logistic model is that increasing one of the independent variables multiplicatively scales the odds of the given outcome at a constant rate, with each dependent variable having its own parameter; for a binary independent variable this generalizes the [odds ratio](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Odds_ratio).

As previously discussed, our classification problem is best modeled with the probabilities that are bound by 0 and 1. We can do this for all of our observations with a number of different functions, but here we will focus on the logistic function. The logistic function used in logistic regression is as follows:



The logistic function can be turned to odds with the formulation of Probability(Y) / 1–Probability(Y). For instance, if the probability of Brazil winning the World Cup is 20 percent, then the odds are 0.2 / 1 - 0.2, which is equal to 0.25, translating to the odds of one in four.


One way to look at the relationship of logistic regression with linear regression is to show logistic regression as the log odds or log (P(Y)/1–P(Y)) is equal to Bo+B1x. The coefficients are estimated using a maximum likelihood instead of the OLS. The intuition behind the maximum likelihood is that we are finding the estimates for Bo and B1 that will create a predicted probability for an observation that is as close as possible to the actual observed outcome of Y, a so-called likelihood. The R language does what other software packages do for the maximum likelihood, which is to find the optimal combination of beta values that maximize the likelihood.

**LDA**

Discriminant Analysis (DA), also known as Fisher Discriminant Analysis (FDA), is another popular classification technique. It can be an effective alternative to logistic regression when the classes are well-separated. If you have a classification problem where the outcome classes are well-separated, logistic regression can have unstable estimates, which is to say that the confidence intervals are wide and the estimates themselves would likely vary wildly from one sample to another (James, 2013). DA does not suffer from this problem, and as a result, may outperform and be more generalizable than logistic regression. Conversely, if there are complex relationships between the features and outcome variables, it may perform poorly on a classification task. For the purpose of comparison to logistic regression, we will explore Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA).

DA utilizes Bayes' theorem in order to determine the probability of the class membership for each observation. If you have two classes, for example, benign and malignant, then DA will calculate an observation's probability for both the classes and select the highest probability as the proper class.

Bayes' theorem states that the probability of Y occurring- given that X has occurred- is equal to the probability of both Y and X occurring divided by the probability of X occurring, which can be written as:



The numerator in this expression is the likelihood that an observation is from that class level and has these feature values. The denominator is the likelihood of an observation that has these feature values across all the levels. Again, the classification rule says that if you have the joint distribution of X and Y and if X is given, the optimal decision of which class to assign an observation is by choosing the class with the larger probability (the posterior probability).

The process of attaining the posterior probabilities goes through the following steps:

1. Collect data with a known class membership.
2. Calculate the prior probabilities - this represents the proportion of the sample that belongs to each class (empirical probability).
3. Calculate the mean for each feature by their class.
4. Calculate the variance-covariance matrix for each feature; if it is an LDA, then this would be a pooled matrix of all the classes, giving us a linear classifier (if it is a QDA (Quadratic Discriminant Analysis), then a variance-covariance matrix is created for each class).
5. Estimate the normal distribution (Gaussian densities) for each class.
6. Compute the discriminant function that is the rule for the classification of a new object.
7. Assign an observation to a class based on the discriminant function.

This will provide an expanded notation on the determination of the posterior probabilities, as follows:

* πk=(# of samples in class k) / (total sample size) is the prior probability of a randomly chosen observation in the kth class.
* fk(X) = P(X=x | y=k) is the density function of an observation that comes from the kth class. We will assume that this comes from a normal (Gaussian) distribution; with multiple features, the assumption is that it comes from a multivariate Gaussian distribution.
* Using pk(X) = probability of Y given X, we can adjust Bayes' theorem accordingly.




* is the posterior probability that an observation comes from the k class when the feature values for this observation are given.

* Assuming that k=2 and the prior probabilities are the same, π1=π2, then an observation is assigned to the one class if 2x(μ1-μ2)>μ12-μ22, otherwise it is assigned to the two class. This is known as the decision boundary. DA creates the k-1 decision boundaries, that is, with three classes (k=3), there will be two decision boundaries.

Even though LDA is elegantly simple, it has the limitation of the assumption that the observations of each class are said to have a multivariate normal distribution and there is a common covariance across the classes.

QDA still assumes that the observations come from a normal distribution, but also assumes that each class has its own covariance



The separation of two classes with DA


When you relax the common covariance assumption, you now allow quadratic terms into the discriminant score calculations, which was not possible with LDA. The important part ιs that QDA is a more flexible technique than logistic regression, but we must keep in mind our bias-variance trade-off. With a more flexible technique, you are likely to have a lower bias but potentially a higher variance. Like a lot of flexible techniques, a robust set of training data is needed to mitigate a high classifier variance.

**K-Nearest Neighbors**

With KNN, we have no parameters as the learning method is the so-called instance-based learning. In short, the labeled examples (inputs and corresponding output labels) are stored and no action is taken until a new input pattern demands an output value *(Battiti and Brunato, 2014, p. 11)*. This method is commonly called lazy learning as no specific model parameters are produced. The train instances themselves represent the knowledge. For the prediction of any new instance (a new data point), the train data is searched for an instance that most resembles the new instance in question. KNN does this for a classification problem by looking at the closest points - the nearest neighbors - to determine the proper class. The k comes into play by determining how many neighbors should be examined by the algorithm, so if k=5, it will examine the five nearest points.

A weakness of this method is that all five points are given equal weight in the algorithm even if they are less relevant in learning.

The best way to understand how this works is with a simple visual example on a binary classification learning problem. In the following figure, we have a plot of whether a tumor is benign or malignant based on two predictive features. The X in the plot indicates a new observation that we would like to predict. If our algorithm considers K=3, the circle encompasses the three observations that are nearest to the one that we want to score. As the most commonly occurring classifications are malignant, the X data point is classified as malignant, as shown in the following figure:



Even from this simple example, it is clear that the selection of k for the Nearest Neighbors is critical. If k is too small, then you may have a high variance on the test set observations even though you have a low bias. On the other hand, as k grows you may decrease your variance but the bias may be unacceptable. Cross-validation is necessary to determine the proper k.

It is also important to point out the calculation of the distance or the nearness of the data points in our feature space. The default distance is Euclidian Distance. This is simply the straight-line distance from point A to point B or you can utilize the formula that it is equivalent to the square root of the sum of the squared differences between the corresponding points. The formula for Euclidian Distance, given point A and B with coordinates p1, p2, …, pn and q1, q2,…, qn respectively, would be as follows:

This distance is highly dependent on the scale that the features were measured on and so it is critical to standardize them. Other distance calculations can be used as well as weights depending on the distance.

**Support Vector Machines**

* Geometric
  + Maximizing Margin
* Kernel Methods
  + Making nonlinear decision boundaries linear
  + Efficiently!
* Capacity
  + Structural Risk Minimization
* SVM is a classifier derived from statistical learning theory by Vapnik and Chervonenkis
* SVM was first introduced by Boser, Guyon and Vapnik in COLT-92
* SVM became famous when, using pixel maps as input, it gave accuracy comparable to NNs with hand-designed features in a handwriting recognition task
* SVM is closely related to:
  + Kernel machines (a generalization of SVMs), large margin classifiers, reproducing kernel Hilbert space, Gaussian process, Boosting

Why maximal margin?

1. Intuitively this feels safest.
2. If we’ve made a small error in the location of the boundary (it’s been jolted in its perpendicular direction) this gives us least chance of causing a misclassification.
3. LOOCV is easy since the model is immune to removal of any non-support-vector datapoints.
4. There’s some theory (using VC dimension) that is related to (but not the same as) the proposition that this is a good thing.
5. Empirically it works very very well.


Screenshot_3.png• Nonlinear classification and function estimation by convex optimization with a unique solution and primal-dual interpretations. • Number of neurons automatically follows from a convex program. • Learning and generalization in high dimensional input spaces (coping with the curse of dimensionality). • Use of kernels (e.g. linear, polynomial, RBF, MLP, splines, kernels from graphical models, ... ), application-specific kernels (e.g. bioinformatics)


* Tutorial on VC-dimension and Support Vector Machines:


C. Burges. A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition. Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2(2):955-974, 1998. http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/burges98tutorial.html


* The VC/SRM/SVM Bible:

Statistical Learning Theory by Vladimir Vapnik, Wiley-Interscience; 1998











More formally, a support-vector machine constructs a [hyperplane](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperplane" \o "Hyperplane) or set of hyperplanes in a [high-](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-dimensional_space) or infinite-dimensional space, which can be used for [classification](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Statistical_classification), [regression](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regression_analysis), or other tasks like outliers detection.[[3]](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Support-vector_machine#cite_note-3) Intuitively, a good separation is achieved by the hyperplane that has the largest distance to the nearest training-data point of any class (so-called functional margin), since in general the larger the margin, the lower the [generalization error](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Generalization_error) of the classifier.[[4]](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Support-vector_machine#cite_note-4)

Whereas the original problem may be stated in a finite-dimensional space, it often happens that the sets to discriminate are not [linearly separable](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linear_separability) in that space. For this reason, it was proposed[[by whom?](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Manual_of_Style/Words_to_watch" \l "Unsupported_attributions" \o "Wikipedia:Manual of Style/Words to watch)] that the original finite-dimensional space be mapped into a much higher-dimensional space, presumably making the separation easier in that space. To keep the computational load reasonable, the mappings used by SVM schemes are designed to ensure that [dot products](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dot_product) of pairs of input data vectors may be computed easily in terms of the variables in the original space, by defining them in terms of a [kernel function](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Positive-definite_kernel) {\displaystyle k(x,y)} selected to suit the problem.[[5]](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Support-vector_machine#cite_note-5) The hyperplanes in the higher-dimensional space are defined as the set of points whose dot product with a vector in that space is constant, where such a set of vector is an orthogonal (and thus minimal) set of vectors that defines a hyperplane. The vectors defining the hyperplanes can be chosen to be linear combinations with parameters {\displaystyle \alpha \_{i}} of images of [feature vectors](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feature_vector) {\displaystyle x\_{i}} that occur in the data base.[[clarification needed](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Please_clarify)] With this choice of a hyperplane, the points {\displaystyle x} in the [feature space](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feature_space) that are mapped into the hyperplane are defined by the relation {\displaystyle \textstyle \sum \_{i}\alpha \_{i}k(x\_{i},x)={\text{constant}}.} Note that if {\displaystyle k(x,y)} becomes small as {\displaystyle y} grows further away from {\displaystyle x}, each term in the sum measures the degree of closeness of the test point {\displaystyle x} to the corresponding data base point {\displaystyle x\_{i}}. In this way, the sum of kernels above can be used to measure the relative nearness of each test point to the data points originating in one or the other of the sets to be discriminated. Note the fact that the set of points {\displaystyle x} mapped into any hyperplane can be quite convoluted as a result, allowing much more complex discrimination between sets that are not convex at all in the original space.







# Results

The classifiers were evaluated through a 10-fold Cross Validation such that 90% of the data is used as training set and 10% as a validation set, each time.

The k-Nearest Neighbours algorithm was implemented for k=3. Its accuracy varied between 66 to 74%.

The Linear Discriminant Analysis algorithm was implemented, with predictors the following variables: Age, Latency, N2.duration, Sleep.Efficiency. The accuracy was 78%.

The Logistic Regression resulted in 76.7% accuracy, when the variables Age, Latency, N2.duration, Sleep.Efficiency were used as predictors.

The Support Vector Machine with linear kernel (cost=1) resulted in 77 to 83 % accuracy.

Finally, the Support Vector Machine with Gaussian kernel (gamma=0.31, cost=8) resulted in 80 to 87% accuracy. The predictors in the SVM algorithms were: Age, Latency, N3.duration.

## Equations

# Units

# Some Common Mistakes

A general IEEE styleguide is available at <http://www.ieee.org/web/publications/authors/transjnl/index.html>



Fig. 1. Magnetization as a function of applied field. Note that “Fig.” is abbreviated. There is a period after the figure number, followed by two spaces. It is good practice to explain the significance of the figure in the caption.

TABLE I

Units for Magnetic Properties

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Symbol | Quantity | Conversion from Gaussian and  CGS EMU to SI a |
| Φ | magnetic flux | 1 Mx → 10−8 Wb = 10−8 V·s |
| *B* | magnetic flux density,  magnetic induction | 1 G → 10−4 T = 10−4 Wb/m2 |
| *H* | magnetic field strength | 1 Oe → 103/(4π) A/m |
| *m* | magnetic moment | 1 erg/G = 1 emu  → 10−3 A·m2 = 10−3 J/T |
| *M* | magnetization | 1 erg/(G·cm3) = 1 emu/cm3  → 103 A/m |
| 4π*M* | magnetization | 1 G → 103/(4π) A/m |
| σ | specific magnetization | 1 erg/(G·g) = 1 emu/g → 1 A·m2/kg |
| *j* | magnetic dipole  moment | 1 erg/G = 1 emu  → 4π × 10−10 Wb·m |
| *J* | magnetic polarization | 1 erg/(G·cm3) = 1 emu/cm3  → 4π × 10−4 T |
| χ*,* κ | susceptibility | 1 → 4π |
| χρ | mass susceptibility | 1 cm3/g → 4π × 10−3 m3/kg |
| μ | permeability | 1 → 4π × 10−7 H/m  = 4π × 10−7 Wb/(A·m) |
| μr | relative permeability | μ → μr |
| *w, W* | energy density | 1 erg/cm3 → 10−1 J/m3 |
| *N, D* | demagnetizing factor | 1 → 1/(4π) |

Vertical lines are optional in tables. Statements that serve as captions for the entire table do not need footnote letters.

aGaussian units are the same as cg emu for magnetostatics; Mx = maxwell, G = gauss, Oe = oersted; Wb = weber, V = volt, s = second, T = tesla, m = meter, A = ampere, J = joule, kg = kilogram, H = henry.

# Guidelines for Graphics Preparation and Submission

## Types of Graphics

The following list outlines the different types of graphics published in IEEE journals. They are categorized based on their construction, and use of color / shades of gray:

### *Color/Grayscale figures*

### Figures that are meant to appear in color, or shades of black/gray. Such figures may include photographs, illustrations, multicolor graphs, and flowcharts.

### *Lineart figures*

### Figures that are composed of only black lines and shapes. These figures should have no shades or half-tones of gray. Only black and white.

### *Author photos*

### Head and shoulders shots of authors which appear at the end of our papers.

### *Tables* Data charts which are typically black and white, but sometimes include color.

## Multipart figures

Figures compiled of more than one sub-figure presented side-by-side, or stacked. If a multipart figure is made up of multiple figure types (one part is lineart, and another is grayscale or color) the figure should meet the stricter guidelines.

## File Formats For Graphics

Format and save your graphics using a suitable graphics processing program that will allow you to create the images as PostScript (PS), Encapsulated PostScript (.EPS), Tagged Image File Format (.TIFF), Portable Document Format (.PDF), or Portable Network Graphics (.PNG) sizes them, and adjusts the resolution settings. If you created your source files in one of the following programs you will be able to submit the graphics without converting to a PS, EPS, TIFF, PDF, or PNG file: Microsoft Word, Microsoft PowerPoint, or Microsoft Excel. Though it is not required, it is recommended that these files be saved in PDF format rather than DOC, XLS, or PPT. Doing so will protect your figures from common font and arrow stroke issues that occur when working on the files across multiple platforms. When submitting your final paper, your graphics should all be submitted individually in one of these formats along with the manuscript.

## Sizing of Graphics

Most charts, graphs, and tables are one column wide (3.5 inches / 88 millimeters / 21 picas) or page wide (7.16 inches / 181 millimeters / 43 picas). The maximum depth a graphic can be is 8.5 inches (216 millimeters / 54 picas). When choosing the depth of a graphic, please allow space for a caption. Figures can be sized between column and page widths if the author chooses, however it is recommended that figures are not sized less than column width unless when necessary.

There is currently one publication with column measurements that don’t coincide with those listed above. Proceedings of the IEEE has a column measurement of 3.25 inches (82.5 millimeters / 19.5 picas).

The final printed size of author photographs is exactly   
1 inch wide by 1.25 inches tall (25.4 millimeters x 31.75 millimeters / 6 picas x 7.5 picas). Author photos printed in editorials measure 1.59 inches wide by 2 inches tall (40 millimeters x 50 millimeters / 9.5 picas x 12 picas).

## Resolution

The proper resolution of your figures will depend on the type of figure it is as defined in the “Types of Figures” section. Author photographs, color, and grayscale figures should be at least 300dpi. Lineart, including tables should be a minimum of 600dpi.

## Vector Art

While IEEE does accept, and even recommends that authors submit artwork in vector format, it is our policy is to rasterize all figures for publication. This is done in order to preserve the figures’ integrity across multiple computer platforms.

## Color Space

The term color space refers to the entire sum of colors that can be represented within the said medium. For our purposes, the three main color spaces are Grayscale, RGB (red/green/blue) and CMYK (cyan/magenta/yellow/black). RGB is generally used with on-screen graphics, whereas CMYK is used for printing purposes.

All color figures should be generated in RGB or CMYK color space. Grayscale images should be submitted in Grayscale color space. Line art may be provided in grayscale OR bitmap colorspace. Note that “bitmap colorspace” and “bitmap file format” are not the same thing. When bitmap color space is selected, .TIF/.TIFF is the recommended file format.

## Accepted Fonts Within Figures

When preparing your graphics IEEE suggests that you use of one of the following Open Type fonts: Times New Roman, Helvetica, Arial, Cambria, and Symbol. If you are supplying EPS, PS, or PDF files all fonts must be embedded. Some fonts may only be native to your operating system; without the fonts embedded, parts of the graphic may be distorted or missing.

A safe option when finalizing your figures is to strip out the fonts before you save the files, creating “outline” type. This converts fonts to artwork what will appear uniformly on any screen.

## Using Labels Within Figures

### Figure Axis labels

Figure axis labels are often a source of confusion. Use words rather than symbols. As an example, write the quantity “Magnetization,” or “Magnetization *M*,” not just “*M*.” Put units in parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. As in Fig. 1, for example, write “Magnetization (A/m)” or “Magnetization (Am−1),” not just “A/m.” Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write “Temperature (K),” not “Temperature/K.”

Multipliers can be especially confusing. Write “Magnetization (kA/m)” or “Magnetization (103 A/m).” Do not write “Magnetization (A/m) × 1000” because the reader would not know whether the top axis label in Fig. 1 meant 16000 A/m or 0.016 A/m. Figure labels should be legible, approximately 8 to 10 point type.

### Subfigure Labels in Multipart Figures and Tables

Multipart figures should be combined and labeled before final submission. Labels should appear centered below each subfigure in 8 point Times New Roman font in the format of (a) (b) (c).

## File Naming

Figures (line artwork or photographs) should be named starting with the first 5 letters of the author’s last name. The next characters in the filename should be the number that represents the sequential location of this image in your article. For example, in author “Anderson’s” paper, the first three figures would be named ander1.tif, ander2.tif, and ander3.ps.

Tables should contain only the body of the table (not the caption) and should be named similarly to figures, except that ‘.t’ is inserted in-between the author’s name and the table number. For example, author Anderson’s first three tables would be named ander.t1.tif, ander.t2.ps, ander.t3.eps.

Author photographs should be named using the first five characters of the pictured author’s last name. For example, four author photographs for a paper may be named: oppen.ps, moshc.tif, chen.eps, and duran.pdf.

If two authors or more have the same last name, their first initial(s) can be substituted for the fifth, fourth, third... letters of their surname until the degree where there is differentiation. For example, two authors Michael and Monica Oppenheimer’s photos would be named oppmi.tif, and oppmo.eps.

## Referencing a Figure or Table Within Your Paper

When referencing your figures and tables within your paper, use the abbreviation “Fig.” even at the beginning of a sentence. Do not abbreviate “Table.” Tables should be numbered with Roman Numerals.

## 1_2-7-M0pDfYMom4-w0ybS6g.pngChecking Your Figures: The IEEE Graphics Checker

The IEEE Graphics Checker Tool enables authors to pre-screen their graphics for compliance with IEEE Transactions and Journals standards before submission. The online tool, located at <http://graphicsqc.ieee.org/>, allows authors to upload their graphics in order to check that each file is the correct file format, resolution, size and colorspace; that no fonts are missing or corrupt; that figures are not compiled in layers or have transparency, and that they are named according to the IEEE Transactions and Journals naming convention. At the end of this automated process, authors are provided with a detailed report on each graphic within the web applet, as well as by email.

For more information on using the Graphics Checker Tool   
or any other graphics related topic, contact the IEEE Graphics Help Desk by e-mail at [graphics@ieee.org](mailto:graphics@ieee.org).

## Submitting Your Graphics

In addition to the formatted manuscript for preprint, submit. figures individually, and separate from the manuscript in one of the file formats listed above in section VI-J. Place figure captions below the figures; place table titles above the tables. Please do not include captions as part of the figures, or put them in “text boxes” linked to the figures. Also, do not place borders around the outside of your figures.

## Color Processing / Printing in IEEE Journals

All IEEE Transactions, Journals, and Letters allow an author to publish color figures on IEEE *Xplore*® at no charge, and automatically convert them to grayscale for print versions. In most journals, figures and tables may alternatively be printed in color if an author chooses to do so. Please note that this service comes at an extra expense to the author. If you intend to have print color graphics, include a note with your final paper indicating which figures or tables you would like to be handled that way, and stating that you are willing to pay the additional fee.

# Conclusion

## A conclusion section is required for TBME. Although a conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion. A conclusion might elaborate on the major findings and significance of the work or suggest applications and extensions. Do not exceed 300 words for the conclusion section.

Appendix

Appendixes, if needed, appear before the acknowledgment. Substantial mathematical derivations should be presented in an appendix or in online supplementary materials.

Acknowledgment

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgment” in American English is without an “e” after the “g.” Use the singular heading even if you have many acknowledgments. Avoid expressions such as “One of us (S.B.A.) would like to thank ... .” Instead, write “F. A. Author thanks ... .” In most cases, sponsor and financial support acknowledgments are placed in the unnumbered footnote on the first page, not here.

References and Footnotes

## References

References need not be cited in text. When they are, number citations on the line, in square brackets inside the punctuation. Multiple references are each numbered with separate brackets. When citing a section in a book, please give the relevant page numbers. In text, refer simply to the reference number. Do not use “Ref.” or “reference” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [3] shows ... .” Please do not use automatic endnotes in *Word*, rather, type the reference list at the end of the paper using the “References” style.

Reference numbers are set flush left and form a column of their own, hanging out beyond the body of the reference. The reference numbers are on the line, enclosed in square brackets. In all references, the given name of the author or editor is abbreviated to the initial only and precedes the last name. For an article with 3 or more authors, state “A. Author et al.”. Use commas around Jr., Sr., and III in names. Abbreviate conference titles. When citing IEEE transactions, provide the issue number, page range, volume number, year, and/or month if available. When referencing a patent, provide the day and the month of issue, or application. References may not include all information; please obtain and include relevant information. Do not combine references. There must be only one reference with each number. If there is a URL included with the print reference, it can be included at the end of the reference.

Other than books, capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols. For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation See the end of this document for formats and examples of common references. For a complete discussion of references and their formats, see “The IEEE Style Manual,” available as a PDF link off the *Author Digital Toolbox* main page.

## Footnotes

Number footnotes separately in superscripts (Insert | Footnote).[[2]](#footnote-2) Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it is cited; do not put footnotes in the reference list (endnotes). Use letters for table footnotes (see Table I).

# Submitting Your Paper for Review

## Using Word 6.0 or Higher

When you submit your manuscript, print it in two-column format, including figures and tables. Each file must not exceed 10 MB in size.

All submissions must be uploaded via ScholarOne Manuscripts. Include full mailing addresses and e-mail addresses of all authors when uploading a manuscript. In addition, designate one author as the “corresponding author.” This is the author to whom proofs of the paper will be sent. Proofs are sent to the corresponding author only.

## Review Stage Using ScholarOne® Manuscripts

Contributions to TBME must be submitted electronically on IEEE’s on-line manuscript submission and peer-review system, ScholarOne® Manuscripts at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/embs-ieee. First check if you have an existing account. If there is none, please create a new account. After logging in, go to your Author Center and click “Submit First Draft of a New Manuscript.”

There are various steps to the submission process; you must complete all steps for a complete submission. At the end of each step you must click “Save and Continue”; just uploading the paper is not sufficient. After the last step, you should see a confirmation that the submission is complete. You should also receive an e-mail confirmation. For inquiries regarding the submission of your paper on ScholarOne Manuscripts, please contact oprs-support@ieee.org or call +1 732 465 5861.

ScholarOne Manuscripts will accept files for review in various formats. WORD or PDF format is highly recommended.

You will be asked to file an electronic copyright form immediately upon completing the submission process (authors are responsible for obtaining any security clearances). Failure to submit the electronic copyright could result in publishing delays later. You will also have the opportunity to designate your article as “open access” if you agree to pay the IEEE open access fee.

## Final Stage Using ScholarOne Manuscripts

Upon acceptance, you will receive an email with specific instructions regarding the submission of your final files. To avoid any delays in publication, please be sure to follow these instructions. Final submissions should include source files of your accepted manuscript, high quality graphic files, and a formatted pdf file. If you have any questions regarding the final submission process, please contact the administrative contact for the journal.

## Copyright Form

An IEEE copyright form should accompany your final submission. You can get a .pdf, .html, or .doc version at <http://www.ieee.org/copyright>*.* Authors are responsible for obtaining any security clearances.

# Editorial Policy

Do not publish “preliminary” data or results. The submitting author is responsible for obtaining agreement of all coauthors and any consent required from sponsors before submitting a paper. TBME strongly discourages courtesy authorship. It is the obligation of the authors to cite relevant prior work. TBME expects the main source of references be archival journal articles or books or thesis. Conference proceeding papers should be minimized since many are not undergoing rigorous peer reviews.

At least two reviews are required for every paper submitted. Indecipherable English is a valid reason for rejection. There is a service available that will help you improve your English for a fee, and the link to that service can be found at <http://www.ieee.org/web/publications/authors/transjnl/index.html>.

# Publication Principles

The two types of contents of that are published are; 1) peer-reviewed and 2) archival. TBME publishes scholarly articles of archival value as well as tutorial expositions and critical reviews of classical subjects and topics of current interest.

Authors should consider the following points:

1. Technical papers submitted for publication must advance the state of knowledge and must cite relevant prior work.
2. The length of a submitted paper should be commensurate with the importance, or appropriate to the complexity, of the work.
3. Authors must convince both peer reviewers and the editors of the scientific and technical merit of a paper; the standards of proof are higher when extraordinary or unexpected results are reported.
4. Because replication is required for scientific progress, papers submitted for publication must provide sufficient information to allow readers to perform similar experiments or calculations and use the reported results. Although not everything need be disclosed, a paper must contain new, useable, and fully described information. For example, a specimen’s chemical composition need not be reported if the main purpose of a paper is to introduce a new measurement technique. Authors should expect to be challenged by reviewers if the results are not supported by adequate data and critical details.
5. Papers that describe ongoing work or announce the latest technical achievement, which are suitable for presentation at a professional conference, may not be appropriate for publication.

References

*Basic format for books:*

1. J. K. Author, “Title of chapter in the book,” in *Title of His Published Book, x*th ed. City of Publisher, Country if not USA: Abbrev. of Publisher, year, ch. *x*, sec. *x*, pp. *xxx–xxx.*

*Examples:*

1. G. O. Young, “Synthetic structure of industrial plastics,” in *Plastics,* 2nd ed., vol. 3, J. Peters, Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1964, pp. 15–64.
2. W.-K. Chen, *Linear Networks and Systems.* Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123–135.

*Basic format for periodicals:*

1. J. K. Author, “Name of paper,” *Abbrev. Title of Periodical*, vol. *x,* no. *x,* pp*. xxx-xxx,* Abbrev. Month, year.

*Examples:*

1. J. U. Duncombe, “Infrared navigation—Part I: An assessment   
   of feasibility,” *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-11, no. 1, pp. 34–39, Jan. 1959.
2. E. P. Wigner, “Theory of traveling-wave optical laser,” *Phys. Rev*.,   
   vol. 134, pp. A635–A646, Dec. 1965.
3. E. H. Miller et al., “A note on reflector arrays,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat*., to be published.

*Basic format for handbooks:*

1. *Name of Manual/Handbook*, *x* ed., Abbrev. Name of Co., City of Co., Abbrev. State, year, pp. *xxx-xxx.*

*Examples:*

1. *Transmission Systems for Communications*, 3rd ed., Western Electric Co., Winston-Salem, NC, 1985, pp. 44–60.
2. *Motorola Semiconductor Data Manual*, Motorola Semiconductor Products Inc., Phoenix, AZ, 1989.

*Basic format for books (when available online):*

1. Author. (year, month day). *Title.* (edition) [Type of medium]. *volume (issue).* Available: site/path/file

*Example:*

1. J. Jones. (1991, May 10). *Networks.* (2nd ed.) [Online]. Available: [http://www.atm.com](http://www.atm.com/)

*Basic format for journals (when available online):*

1. Author. (year, month). Title. *Journal.* [Type of medium]. *volume (issue),* pages. Available: site/path/file

*Example:*

1. R. J. Vidmar. (1992, Aug.). On the use of atmospheric plasmas as electromagnetic reflectors. *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* [Online]. *21(3),* pp. 876–880. Available:<http://www.halcyon.com/pub/journals/21ps03-vidmar>

*Basic format for papers presented at conferences (when available online):*

1. Author. (year, month). Title. Presented at Conference title. [Type of Medium]. Available: site/path/file

*Example:*

1. PROCESS Corp., MA. Intranets: Internet technologies deployed behind the firewall for corporate productivity. Presented at   
   INET96 Annual Meeting. [Online]. Available: <http://home.process.com/Intranets/wp2.htp>

*Basic format for reports and handbooks (when available online):*

1. Author. (year, month). Title. Comp an y . C ity, State or Country. [Type of Medium]. Available: site/path/file

*Example:*

1. S. L. Tall een. (1996 , Apr . ). The In t r an et Archi -tecture: M a nagi ng i n f o rm at i on i n t h e ne w paradigm. Amdahl Corp., CA. [Online]. Available:<http://www.amdahl.com/doc/products/bsg/intra/infra/html>

*Basic format for computer programs and electronic documents (when available online):* ISO recommends that capitalization follow the accepted practice for the language or script in which the information is given.

*Example:*

1. A. Harriman. (1993, June). Compendium of genealogical software. *Humanist.* [Online]. Available e-mail: [HUMANIST@NYVM.ORG](mailto:HUMANIST@NYVM.ORG) Message: get GENEALOGY REPORT

*Basic format for patents (when available online):*

1. Name of the invention, by inventor’s name. (year, month day). *Patent Number* [Type of medium]. Available: site/path/file

*Example:*

1. Musical toothbrush with adjustable neck and mirror, by L.M.R. Brooks. (1992, May 19). *Patent D 326 189*

[Online]. Available: NEXIS Library: LEXPAT File: DESIGN

*Basic format for conference proceedings (published):*

1. J. K. Author, “Title of paper,” in *Abbreviated Name of Conf.*, City of Conf., Abbrev. State (if given), year, pp. *xxxxxx.*

*Example:*

1. D. B. Payne and J. R. Stern, “Wavelength-switched pas- sively coupled single-mode optical network,” in *Proc. IOOC-ECOC,* 1985,   
   pp. 585–590.

*Example for papers presented at conferences (unpublished):*

1. D. Ebehard and E. Voges, “Digital single sideband detection for interferometric sensors,” presented at the 2nd Int. Conf. Optical Fiber Sensors, Stuttgart, Germany, Jan. 2-5, 1984.

*Basic format for patents:*

1. J. K. Author, “Title of patent,” U.S. Patent *x xxx xxx*, Abbrev. Month, day, year.

*Example:*

1. G. Brandli and M. Dick, “Alternating current fed power supply,”   
   U.S. Patent 4 084 217, Nov. 4, 1978.

*Basic format**for theses (M.S.) and dissertations (Ph.D.):*

1. J. K. Author, “Title of thesis,” M.S. thesis, Abbrev. Dept., Abbrev. Univ., City of Univ., Abbrev. State, year.
2. J. K. Author, “Title of dissertation,” Ph.D. dissertation, Abbrev. Dept., Abbrev. Univ., City of Univ., Abbrev. State, year.

*Examples:*

1. J. O. Williams, “Narrow-band analyzer,” Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1993.
2. N. Kawasaki, “Parametric study of thermal and chemical nonequilibrium nozzle flow,” M.S. thesis, Dept. Electron. Eng., Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan, 1993.

*Basic format for the most common types of unpublished references:*

1. J. K. Author, private communication, Abbrev. Month, year.
2. J. K. Author, “Title of paper,” unpublished.
3. J. K. Author, “Title of paper,” to be published.

*Examples:*

1. A. Harrison, private communication, May 1995.
2. B. Smith, “An approach to graphs of linear forms,” unpublished.
3. A. Brahms, “Representation error for real numbers in binary computer arithmetic,” IEEE Computer Group Repository, Paper R-67-85.

*Basic format for standards:*

1. *Title of Standard*, Standard number, date.

*Examples:*

1. IEEE Criteria for Class IE Electric Systems, IEEE Standard 308, 1969.
2. Letter Symbols for Quantities, ANSI Standard Y10.5-1968.

1. This paragraph of the first footnote will contain the date on which you submitted your paper for review. It will also contain support information, including sponsor and financial support acknowledgment. For example, “This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant BS123456”.

   The next few paragraphs should contain the authors’ current affiliations. Include detailed surface address and e-mail address only for the corresponding author. For example, F. A. Author is with the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO, USA. S. B. Author, Jr., was with Rice University, Houston, TX, USA. He is now with Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA. \*T. C. Author is with the University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA (correspondence e-mail: author@uoc.edu). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. It is recommended that footnotes be avoided (except for the unnumbered footnote with the receipt date on the first page). Instead, try to integrate the footnote information into the text. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)