

NIST BOULDER EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD
Manuscript Review Form

Form BL-7A
(Rev. 1/89)

Section I: To be completed by author

A. Manuscript Title:

B. Principal NIST Author:

Ext.:

Co-authors:

C. Intended Publication Medium:

D. **AUTHOR!** Please respond to questions below by checking the appropriate boxes.
Explain any "NO" answers and give appropriate manuscript page numbers.

	Yes	No	Does Not Apply
--	-----	----	----------------------

- | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | Is manuscript free from trade names (product, company, process)? |
| 2. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are SI units used throughout? |
| 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | When numbers are reported, are accuracy/precision statements made? |
| 4. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | Is intended medium published in United States? |
| 5. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | If non-NIST publication, does manuscript show copyright disclaimer? |
| 6. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | Is this the first publication of this manuscript? |
| 7. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Is copyrighted material used by permission and acknowledged? |

SECTION II: To be completed by reviewer

TO THE REVIEWER: To promote effective and accurate scientific communication, please review the accompanying manuscript carefully. The following checklist may assist your evaluation. Give further comments in the space below. Please comment especially on needs for improvement.

	Good	Adequate	Needs Improvement
1. Technical soundness and reliability	_____	_____	_____
2. Organization	_____	_____	_____
3. Writing quality	_____	_____	_____
4. Title (clear, concise, complete)	_____	_____	_____
5. Abstract (adequate, informative)	_____	_____	_____
6. Results (clear)	_____	_____	_____
7. Discussion (relevant)	_____	_____	_____
8. Conclusions (clear)	_____	_____	_____
9. References (necessary and sufficient)	_____	_____	_____
10. Figures and tables (adequate, acceptable quality)	_____	_____	_____

Which aspects of the manuscript lie outside your area of expertise?

Low High
0 1 2 3 4 5

Rating of your familiarity with the subject _____

Overall rating of technical content _____

Overall rating of manuscript preparation _____

Further comments: (Check here ___ if you attach additional sheets.)

Reviewer's name and affiliation (NIST Div. #):
(print or type)

Telephone:

email address:

Date:

Recommendation:

___ Publish as is

___ Publish with optional revisions

___ Publish with mandatory revisions

___ Do not publish