

## Linear Programming IV: More Duality and the Minimax Theorem

### The Dual of a Linear Program

To take the dual: Label each primal constraint with a new dual variable. In our new linear program, each dual constraint will correspond to a primal variable. For the left-hand side, count up the appearances of this constraint's primal variable (e.g.,  $x_1$ ) in each of the primal constraints and multiply them by the dual variable for those constraints. That is, if  $x_1$  appears 5 times ( $5x_1$ ) in constraint for  $y_1$ , then add  $5y_1$  to  $x_1$ 's constraint. Don't forget to include its appearance in the primal's objective function, but this will be the right-hand side of the constraint. Finally, the dual objective function is given by the right-hand side coefficients and their correspondence to the dual variables via the constraints in the primal.

The following is the normal form for a maximization problem primal and its primal:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \min & \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c} \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

### Example 3: Maximum Matching

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  choose a maximum size matching—a set of edges  $S$  such that no vertex is covered by more than one edge.

Decision variables:  $x_e$  indicating whether edge  $e$  is in the matching.

Primal Linear Program:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & \sum_{e \in E} x_e \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{e: v \in e} x_e \leq 1 \qquad \forall v \quad (\text{vertex matched at most once}) \\ & x_e \geq 0 \qquad \forall e \quad (\text{non-negativity}) \end{array}$$

Taking the dual of the above primal, we get what linear program?

What problem is this?

## Conditions for Optimality

### Weak Duality

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathbf{x}$  is feasible in  $(P)$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  is feasible in  $(D)$  then  $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$ .*

Give an upper bound on maximum matching:

Give a lower bound on vertex cover:

### Strong Duality

**Theorem 2** (Strong Duality). *A pair of solutions  $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*)$  are optimal for the primal and dual respectively if and only if  $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Skip.

$(\Leftarrow)$

### Complementary Slackness

Primal  $(P)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \quad \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_i a_{ji} x_i \leq b_j \quad \forall j \quad (y_j) \\ & \quad \quad \quad x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i \end{aligned}$$

Dual  $(D)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \quad \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_i a_{ij} y_i \geq c_i \quad \forall i \quad (x_i) \\ & \quad \quad \quad y_j \geq 0 \quad \forall j \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3** (Complementary Slackness). *A pair of solutions  $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{y}^*)$  are optimal for the primal and dual respectively if and only if the following complementary slackness conditions (1) and (2) hold:*

*Proof.*

## Zero-Sum Games and the Minimax Theorem

	Rock	Paper	Scissors
Rock	0	-1	1
Paper	1	0	-1
Scissors	-1	1	0

### The Minimax Theorem

**Theorem 4** (Minimax Theorem). *For every two-player zero-sum game  $\mathbf{A}$ ,*

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \min_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \right) = \min_{\mathbf{y}} \left( \max_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \right). \quad (1)$$

## From LP Duality to Minimax

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \min_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \right) = \max_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \min_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_j \right) \quad (2)$$

$$= \max_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \min_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} x_i \right) \quad (3)$$

$$\max v$$

subject to

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} x_i \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$$

$$x_1, \dots, x_m \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\min w$$

subject to

$$w - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} y_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n y_j = 1$$

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w \in \mathbb{R}.$$