

## Insertion Sort and Induction

We will analyze the runtime of the following algorithm.

---

**Algorithm 1** InsertionSort( $A$ ).

---

**Input:**  $A$  is an array of integers. It is indexed 1 to  $n$ .  
**for**  $i = 2$  to  $A.length$  **do**  
     $current = A[i]$   
     $j = i - 1$   
    **while**  $j > 0$  and  $A[j] > current$  **do**  
         $A[j + 1] = A[j]$   
         $j = j - 1$   
    **end while**  
     $A[j + 1] = current$   
**end for**  
**return**  $A$

---

First, we analyze the algorithm's runtime. This time, we'll *formally* argue its runtime.

**Theorem 1.** *Insertion Sort runs in time  $O(n^2)$ .*

*Proof.*

Now, we argue the algorithm's *correctness*—that is, that on *every possible input*, it correctly outputs a sorted version.

## Correctness via Induction

**Theorem 2.** *For any input instance  $A$ , Insertion Sort returns an array sorted in ascending order.*

We want to use induction on a claim that, when true for all integers, proves our claim. What claim might that be?

*Proof.* We show the following by induction on  $i$ : (*premise*)

**Base Case:**

**Inductive Hypothesis:**

**Inductive Step:**

We need another component to prove the inductive step. Separately, we want to prove the following:

**Lemma 1.** (Loop Invariant) *The “while” loop shifts  $A[j + 1, i - 1]$  to  $A[j + 2, i]$  in the same order for some  $j$ .*

□

## Loop Invariants

We'll use a different technique now to prove Lemma 1.

**Definition 1.** A *loop invariant* is something that is true before we start and after every iteration of a loop.

We prove that a loop invariant is true by showing the following three things about it:

- **Initialization:** It is true prior to the first iteration of the loop.
- **Maintenance:** If it is true before an iteration of the loop, it remains true before the next iteration.
- **Termination:** When the loop terminates, the invariant gives us a useful property that helps show that the algorithm is correct.

See CLRS Section 2.1 for details, p.18 in the Third Edition. We use this to prove our Lemma.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We will prove this formally as a loop invariant.

**Initialization:** Before the first iteration of the “while” loop,

**Maintenance:** If our statement holds before an iteration of the loop—that  $A[j + 1, i - 1]$  is shifted to  $A[j + 2, i]$  in order—then

**Termination:** When the loop terminates,

□