

COIG-CQIA: Quality is All You Need for Chinese Instruction Fine-tuning

Yuelin Bai^{1*} Xinrun Du^{2*} Yiming Liang^{3*} Yonggang Jin^{2*}
 Ziqiang Liu¹ Juntao Zhou^{4,2} Tianyu Zheng² Xincheng Zhang⁵ Nuo Ma⁶
 Zekun Wang² Ruibin Yuan^{7,2} Haihong Wu⁵ Hongquan Lin⁵ Wenhao Huang⁶
 Jiajun Zhang³ Wenhui Chen^{8,9,2} Chenghua Lin^{10,2} Jie Fu^{7,2} Min Yang¹
 Shiwen Ni^{1†} Ge Zhang^{8,9†}

¹Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, CAS ²M-A-P ³Institute of Automation, CAS
⁴Peking University ⁵University of Science and Technology of China ⁶01.ai ⁷HKUST
⁸University of Waterloo ⁹Vector Institute ¹⁰University of Manchester

Abstract

Recently, there have been significant advancements in large language models (LLMs), particularly focused on the English language. These advancements have enabled these LLMs to understand and execute complex instructions with unprecedented accuracy and fluency. However, despite these advancements, there remains a noticeable gap in the development of Chinese instruction tuning. The unique linguistic features and cultural depth of the Chinese language pose challenges for instruction tuning tasks. Existing datasets are either derived from English-centric LLMs or are ill-suited for aligning with the interaction patterns of real-world Chinese users. To bridge this gap, we introduce COIG-CQIA, a high-quality Chinese instruction tuning dataset. Our aim is to build a diverse, wide-ranging instruction-tuning dataset to better align model behavior with human interactions. To this end, we collect a high-quality human-written corpus from various sources on the Chinese Internet, including Q&A communities, Wikis, examinations, and existing NLP datasets. This corpus was rigorously filtered and carefully processed to form the COIG-CQIA dataset. Furthermore, we train models of various scales on different subsets of CQIA, following in-depth evaluation and analyses. The findings from our experiments offer valuable insights for selecting and developing Chinese instruction-tuning datasets. We also find that models trained on CQIA-Subset achieve competitive results in human assessment as well as knowledge and security benchmarks. Data are available at <https://huggingface.co/datasets/m-a-p/COIG-CQIA>

demonstrated remarkable capabilities as general-purpose assistants. The cornerstone of this achievement is instruction tuning, which significantly enhances the capabilities and controllability of LLMs through training on datasets composed of instruction-output pairs (Zhang et al., 2023b). This technique effectively aligns the models’ training objectives with human intentions, thereby ensuring that the models can interpret and execute human instructions both effectively and safely. Therefore, the availability of high-quality instruction tuning datasets is crucial for LLMs to operate as efficient and dependable assistants.

There exists many English instruction tuning datasets. However, the available datasets for Chinese instruction tuning are generally either limited in size or lacking in quality. Chinese instruction tuning datasets are categorized into three main types: (1) datasets derived from English instruction datasets (Peng et al., 2023) or NLP datasets (CLUEbenchmark, 2022; Yang, 2023), (2) datasets generated by LLMs (Guo et al., 2023), and (3) self-generated instruction tuning datasets (Ji et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2023). COIG (Zhang et al., 2023a) integrates multiple approaches to construct a human-verified universal high-quality Chinese instruction corpus. However, the previously mentioned Chinese instruction tuning datasets have inherent issues such as not aligning with natural Chinese communication patterns, lacking genuine Chinese linguistic data, containing numerous problematic data points, and having small-scale data. This paper focuses on constructing a Chinese instruction tuning dataset sourced from authentic Chinese linguistic data across diverse domains and undergone meticulous manual cleaning procedures aimed at enhancing the proficiency of LLMs in following Chinese instructions.

In this paper, we introduce COIG-CQIA (Chinese Open Instruction Generalist - Quality Is All You Need), a high-quality Chinese

1 Introduction

Large Language Models (LLMs), such as GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020), LLaMA (Touvron et al., 2023), and PaLM (Chowdhery et al., 2023), have

Equal contribution
 Corresponding author

instruction tuning dataset, which is designed to provide the Chinese NLP community with high-quality and human interaction-aligned instruction fine-tuning data. Inspired by the work of LIMA (Zhou et al., 2023), COIG-CQIA focuses on curating a dataset from Chinese internet sources, comprising Q&A sessions and articles. These sources undergo thorough cleaning, restructuring, and manual review to ensure high quality, diversity, and relevance. Furthermore, we conduct analytical experiments to assess the effects of data quality, provenance, and mixing ratio.

In summary, the contributions are as follows:

- We propose a high-quality Chinese instruction fine-tuning dataset, specifically designed to align with human interaction, achieved through rigorous filtering procedures.
- We explore the influence of various data sources, including social media, encyclopedias, and traditional NLP tasks, on model performance. Our analysis offers essential insights for selecting training data from the Chinese internet.
- Various benchmark tests and human evaluations confirm that models fine-tuned on our CQIA dataset exhibit superior performance, thus establishing CQIA as a valuable resource for the Chinese NLP community.

2 Related Work

2.1 Instruction Tuning Dataset

Instruction tuning aims to train large language models to generate responses that align with input instructions, thereby enable LLMs with conversational and task execution capabilities. Compared with standard LLM, SFT allows the behavior of the model to be more controllable and predictable, thereby achieving the purpose of aligning human will. Methods to build instruction tuning datasets include: (1) Pure manual annotation (Conover et al., 2023). This method completely constructs instructions and answers manually, which is very time-consuming and laborious; (2) Converted from existing datasets (Mishra et al., 2022; Sanh et al., 2022; Chung et al., 2022). Some studies use supervised data sets from NLP tasks to construct instruction tuning data; (3) Automatically generated using LLM (Honovich et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2023a; Ji et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2023b).

Others use existing LLMs to generate instruction tuning data. A common practice is to first manually annotate high-quality seed datasets, and then use LLM to expand the seed instructions and corresponding outputs, which can generate large-scale instruction tuning data with very little human annotation. However, the quality cannot be guaranteed and there is a certain amount of noisy data, which can lead to hallucinations.

There exists many English instruction tuning datasets. In comparison, existing Chinese instruction tuning datasets are either small in scale or have quality issues. Some studies have translated the English instruction tuning datasets into Chinese (Peng et al., 2023), but this may lead to accumulation of translation errors. pCLUE (CLUEbenchmark, 2022) and Firefly (Yang, 2023) transform the original NLP task dataset into instruction tuning datasets. HC3 (Guo et al., 2023) collects tens of thousands of comparison responses from both human experts and ChatGPT. COIG (Zhang et al., 2023a) builds a human-verified universal high-quality Chinese instruction corpus. BELLE (Ji et al., 2023) and MOSS (Sun et al., 2023) use a method similar to self-instruct (Wang et al., 2023) to automatically generate Chinese instruction tuning datasets.

2.2 Data Mixture of SFT

Currently, more and more studies has begun to pay attention to the importance of data quality of instruction tuning. LIMA (Zhou et al., 2023) only uses 1,000 high-quality instructions and outputs for SFT, and does not even need to perform RLHF training to achieve very strong performance. Alpaca-Gasus (Chen et al., 2023) uses powerful LLM to automatically identify and filter low-quality data, resulting in high-quality instruction tuning data to improve performance and training speed. Humpback (Li et al., 2023) filters out high-quality samples to fine-tune a more powerful LLM.

Others (Song et al., 2023) explores the impact of the mixture strategies of different instruction tuning datasets. Tulu series (Konchakov et al., 2023; Ivison et al., 2023) show that increasing instruction diversity can effectively improve the performance and different instruction tuning datasets can discover or enhance specific skills, while no one dataset (or combination) provides the best performance across all assessments.

Source	Quantity	Source	Quantity
Zhihu	8837	Douban	3132
Xiaohongshu	1508	Segment Fault	458
Encyclopedia Article	980	Encyclopedia of China	1706
WikiHow	1876	COIG PC	3000
Middle school Exam	2000	Graduate Entrance Examination	475
Logi QA	422	CValue	906
COIG-Human-Value	101	Chinese Traditional	232
Idiom Explanation	112	Poem Writing	47
Classical Chinese Translation	112	MBA Encyclopedia	10689
Finance NLP Task	600	Medical Encyclopedia	8351
Medical Article	186	Law	2645
Total		48375	

Table 1: The amount of data from different sources of the dataset mixture

3 CQIA CURATION

To ensure the quality and diversity of our data, we manually selected 13 data sources from high-quality websites and data resources within the Chinese Internet. These sources include community Q&A forums, encyclopedic sites, content creation platforms, examinations, etc. We also incorporated high-quality Chinese NLP datasets to enrich the diversity of tasks. Specifically, we categorized all data sources into four types: Social Media & forums, World Knowledge, NLP tasks, and Examinations. The data sources and their descriptions are as follows.

3.1 Social Media & Forums

Zhihu is a vibrant question-and-answer platform where users can ask and answer questions on a wide range of topics, making it a comprehensive repository of knowledge and insights. Zhihu encourages its users to provide well-thought-out answers that are informative and reflective of expert knowledge or personal experience. However, the absence of a review mechanism for answers on Zhihu leads to a large volume of content that falls short of our quality standards. To filter low quality answers, we selected answers with more than 50 upvotes, then filtering out content containing sensitive or harmful keywords using a rule-based method. Subsequently, we employed GPT-4 to score the responses on a scale of 1-10, retaining those with scores above 8.

SegmentFault is a question-and-answer community focused on IT technology, providing Chinese developers with a high-quality platform for exchange, similar to Stack Overflow. In this community, users engage in asking and answering questions related to IT technology, where the questioner can accept the most useful answer. Additionally, community members can also upvote or comment on answers. Our data are collected from the con-

tents posted before 2018, as earlier content may become outdated due to changes in programming languages or software versions. We then select the "accepted" answers with at least 5 upvotes. Furthermore, we manually review all the (question, answer) pairs to remove or modify low-quality content.

Douban is a social network and database that allows users to create content related to literature and artistic works such as films, books, TV series, music, etc. We sample data from books, movies, and TV series, extracting metadata that includes ratings, detailed information on actors/crew, and long reviews. Then, we design three tasks in total: synopsis generation, review generation, and recommendations. For each task, we manually design various prompt templates and used these templates in combination with metadata to construct instructions. For synopsis generation and review generation, we construct instructions using prompt templates combined with movie or TV series names, with responses generated by Douban users. Then we remove responses with lengths shorter than a threshold and delete personal information and irrelevant content(e.g., "Subscribe our Official Accounts"). Additionally, we manually adjusted some instructions to add more complex implicit intents, aligning better with the details of the response.

Xiaohongshu provides a space for users to share their lives, travel, food, and product recommendations. Contents in this platform are renowned on the Chinese internet for their unique and expressive style. We sample posts with lengths ranging from 500 to 2000, excluding those that involve interactions with other users ("@User_Name") and those referencing images or videos ("as shown in the picture/video").

Ruozhiba is a sub-forum of Baidu Tieba, an interests-based community forum. Its posts often contain puns, polysemous terms, causal reversals, and homophones, many of which are designed with logical traps, posing challenges even for humans. We collected the 500 most upvoted threads. Using the titles as instructions, we eliminate those that were either non-instructive (i.e., declarative statements or unanswerable) or toxic. Responses were generated by either humans or GPT-4. We conducted manual reviews for GPT4's responses to ensure accuracy, ultimately obtaining 240 (instruc-

tion, response) pairs.

3.2 World Knowledge

3.2.1 General Encyclopedia

General Encyclopedia provides comprehensive coverage of a wide range of topics across various fields. We collect data from three Chinese Encyclopedia websites: One Hundred Thousand Whys¹, wikiHow-zh² and Encyclopedia of China³. **One Hundred Thousand Whys** is an encyclopedic website aimed at popular science, featuring thousands of high-quality articles asking "why" across topics from natural science to humanities. We collect data from all 15 categories and ensure uniform distribution across each category. Article titles are used as instruction(e.g. "Why don't I get altitude sickness when I fly?"), and the content as responses, with responses under 300 characters being filtered out. **wikiHow-zh**, the Chinese version of wikiHow, is an encyclopedia-style website covering a wide range of topics, featuring tens of thousands of "how-to" articles with multiple revisions. We collected xxx articles from the site and sampled 1500 entries from all 19 categories, with a sampling temperature of 3. Since the original data are in HTML, we parse the HTML and concatenate the article content using Markdown. Subsequently, we filtered out low-quality data (e.g., incorrect formula conversions) and articles exceeding 3000 words in length. We use titles as the instructions and the article contents as responses. **Encyclopedia of China** is a comprehensive encyclopedia comprising approximately 500,000 entries, authored and revised by domain experts. We design various prompt templates for concept explanation tasks. We sample the entries from all 74 categories, with structures comprising entry names and several subtitles, along with their respective contents. We randomly combined entry names or subtitles with prompt templates to construct instructions. For instance, for the "Confucius" entry, which includes subtitles "Biography", "Academic Theories", and "Impacts", we selected "Academic Theories" to create instruction such as "Write the details of Confucius's academic theories." and then, we use this subtitle's content as a response.

¹<https://10why.net/>

²<https://zh.wikihow.com>

³<https://www.zgbk.com/>

3.2.2 Domain Specific Knowledge

We collected data from four specific domains: medicine, economic management, electronics, and agriculture.

Medical Domain sources from three websites: Baobaozhidao, Qianwen Health, and Baikemingyi. Both **Baobaozhidao** and **Qianwen Health** feature question-and-answer style articles written by medical experts, with the former primarily focusing on a broad range of medical fields, while the latter on maternal and infant health. We collected articles from these two sites, excluding those whose titles are not in question form. Subsequently, we used the titles as instructions and the article content as responses. **Baikemingyi** contains Wikipedia-style structured data, featuring introductions to tens of thousands of diseases and medications. We designed various prompt templates and combined entry names with these templates to construct commands (e.g., "Write a professional introduction about joint pain").

Economic Management Domain data is collected from MBA Wiki Encyclopedia, a website that encompasses Wikipedia-style structured knowledge, authored and revised by numerous contributors. We designed various prompt templates, combining entry names with random templates to construct instructions, such as "Please explain the following term in detail: Remittance Agent". Ultimately, the content of the entries is concatenated and constructed into responses in markdown format.

Electronics Domain data is sourced from the EE-Trees electronic encyclopedia, which is also structured in form. We design various prompt templates and combine these with entry names to construct instructions, with the corresponding content as the response.

Agriculture Domain sources from an agricultural encyclopedia website, containing a range of topics from plant cultivation to animal breeding. We collected articles on all ten topics, excluding those with non-question titles, containing images, or shorter than 300 words in length. Subsequently, we construct (instruction, response) pairs from the titles and content of the articles.

3.3 Examinations

The Middle School and College Entrance Examinations primarily derives from the COIG dataset(Zhang et al., 2023a), a harmless, helpful,

and diverse Chinese Instruction dataset. Chinese examination is a subset of it, with the Middle School and College Entrance Examinations being China’s principal general competency tests. These data contain a variety of question types and detailed answer explanations, primarily covering humanities subjects (Chinese, English, Politics, Biology, History, and Geography). We use temperature sampling on the data across these subjects and then filtered out questions and answers with formatting errors. The questions were used as instructions and, the "answer" and "analysis" fields were concatenated to form extended responses, resulting in 1964 (instruction, response) pairs.

Graduate Entrance Examination is one of the most challenging examinations in China, exceeding college entrance exams in difficulty and requiring advanced knowledge application and depth. We have collected a variety of exam papers from recent years across disciplines including mathematics, computer science, chemistry, law, psychology, medicine, etc. Using Mathpix⁴ for image-to-text conversion, we extracted questions and answers and converted them into LaTeX format. We eliminate data without analysis and manually verified the accuracy of the questions and answers. We eliminate data without analysis and manually verified the accuracy of the questions and answers.

Logical Reasoning Test aims to assess the ability to apply logical and analytical reasoning to solve problems. This type of test is widely used in various competitive examinations to evaluate critical thinking and problem-solving skills. We collect logic reasoning questions from the internet, retaining those containing detailed answer analyses, and then construct them into (instruction, response) pairs.

Chinese Culture Test investigates the mastery of traditional Chinese culture and history. We collected multiple-choice questions on traditional Chinese culture from the internet, retaining those with answer analyses, and constructed them into (instruction, response) pairs.

3.4 NLP Datasets

COIG-PC The COIG-PC Dataset is a comprehensive collection of Chinese NLP tasks, aimed at advancing Chinese NLP research. The goal of this dataset is to provide a rich set of resources

to researchers and developers, which they can use to improve the capabilities of language models in handling Chinese text. It offers a comprehensive suite of resources for researchers and developers, facilitating advancements in language model capabilities across various domains including text generation, information extraction, sentiment analysis, and machine translation, etc. Initially, we selected 1,413 tasks involving both Chinese and English languages from COIG-PC. Then, we manually select 250 tasks that meet our quality criteria, including information extraction, classification, summary, and others, primarily sourced from traditional NLP datasets. Through temperature sampling, we eventually sample 3,000 (instruction, response) pairs, which are further verified by human to ensure quality.

COIG Human Value is a subset of the COIG dataset(Zhang et al., 2023a) designed to provide instruction fine-tuning data aligned with human values. We selected the portion reflecting Chinese cultural values, constructed using the Self-Instruct(Wang et al., 2023) method from manually selected seed instructions. We manually filtered out data with formatting errors and incorrect answers, retaining those that include explanations of the answers to form (instruction, response) pairs.

Firefly Chinese Traditional comprises three tasks: Classical Chinese Translation, Ancient Poetry Writing, and Idiom Interpretation, which are the subset of the Firefly dataset(Yang, 2023) related to traditional Chinese culture. We filter the responses shorter than 300 characters, and sample 300 instances from each task. Then, we manually filtered out low-quality data such as instruction-response mismatch, response error, and unanswerable instructions.

100PoisonMpts addressing issues of anti-discrimination and empathy, spans various dimensions including jurisprudence, psychology, child education, obscure facts, intimate relationships, etc. It involves human-generated prompts that evoke bias and discrimination, followed by expert-crafted responses that align with human values. To enhance the harmlessness of the CQIA, we sample all the data from 100PoisonMpts.

⁴<https://mathpix.com/>



Figure 1: Most common root verbs (inner circle) and top direct noun objects (outer circle) in the CQIA dataset. Note that we only visualize when a certain verb-noun pair has more than 30 instances, and many instructions do not contain a verb-noun structure.




Figure 2: Overview of CQIA Task Types.

4 Data Analysis

4.1 Statistics

Table 1 describe the data statistics for all sources. We collected a total of 48,375 instances from 22 sources within the Chinese Internet and Community, covering domains ranging from general knowledge and STEM to humanities. Figure 2 illustrates the variety of task types, encompassing information extraction, question answering, code generation, etc. We demonstrated the distribution in the length of the instructions and responses in Figure3.

4.2 Diversity

To analyze the diversity of the COIG-CQIA dataset, we follow prior work(Wang et al., 2023; Lou et al., 2023) by employing the Hanlp tool(He and Choi,




Figure 3: Length distribution of instruction and responses. Note that the instruction is the concatenation of original instructions and inputs in our dataset.

2021) to parse the instructions and then extract the verb closest to the root along with its top direct noun object. We then plot the top 20 most common root verbs and their corresponding direct noun objects in Figure1. From this figure we can observe that CQIA features a diverse range of instructions and intentions.

5 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

In this section, we describe how we use COIG-CQIA to fine-tune models and elaborate our our evaluation methods.

5.1 Evaluation

C-Eval is a comprehensive Chinese evaluation suite for foundation models. It consists of 13948 multi-choice questions spanning 52 diverse disciplines and four difficulty levels. We choosing the answer option with the highest log-likelihood as the final prediction of the model.

CMMLU is a comprehensive evaluation benchmark specifically designed to evaluate the knowledge and reasoning abilities of LLMs within the context of Chinese language and culture. CMMLU covers a wide range of subjects, comprising 67 topics that span from elementary to advanced professional levels. It includes subjects that require computational expertise, such as physics and mathematics, as well as disciplines within humanities and social sciences.

BELLE-EVAL is an open-ended test set comprising 12 different instruction types across various domains, including open question answering, brainstorming, mathematics, coding, etc. It can be used to assess a model’s ability to follow instructions

	Source	Open QA	Brainstorming	Classification	Generation	Summarization	Rewrite	Closed QA	Extract	Math	Code	Average
Yi-6B	SegmentFault	26.3	33.6	8.9	23.5	29.9	20.1	25.0	22.6	14.5	32.1	23.7
	COIG PC	31.4	47.7	28.7	44.8	43.4	53.3	45.5	28.4	35.6	23.2	38.2
	Douban	45.2	64.5	23.3	64.4	38.6	37.1	34.2	25.4	32.9	42.1	40.8
	Zhihu	48.1	72.3	19.0	66.9	24.3	29.5	28.9	12.3	8.5	40.0	35.0
	Logi QA	45.0	65.4	32.9	55.3	37.1	49.5	47.1	38.9	17.9	40.0	42.9
	Ruozhiba	64.8	84.6	50.3	73.1	45.0	39.9	57.0	30.3	27.3	63.6	53.6
	Wiki	51.0	67.6	21.5	60.4	30.8	31.5	30.2	21.4	22.7	34.7	37.2
	Finance	43.2	65.7	30.0	57.3	36.4	30.2	34.6	31.4	15.7	27.5	37.2
	Exam	51.5	74.3	42.0	70.9	<u>54.1</u>	<u>60.5</u>	<u>56.2</u>	47.7	41.5	49.9	<u>54.9</u>
	Xhs	25.2	47.0	8.4	45.4	8.6	21.4	22.5	7.0	28.5	27.1	24.1
	WikiHow	0.5	1.2	1.5	7.7	18.1	3.1	23.1	0.5	0.0	2.1	5.8
	CQIA-Subset	<u>59.8</u>	86.4	<u>48.2</u>	79.4	60.9	69.9	50.6	<u>42.0</u>	<u>37.8</u>	<u>55.8</u>	64.2

Table 2: The performance of Yi-6B trained on various datasets evaluated on BELLE-EVAL using GPT4.

	Source	Open QA	Brainstorming	Classification	Generation	Summarization	Rewrite	Closed QA	Extract	Math	Code	Average
Yi-6B	SegmentFault	51.3	70.7	43.8	66.8	57.1	75.7	41.4	47.1	75.5	65.0	60.7
	COIG PC	19.1	38.0	27.8	43.9	37.8	63.7	40.6	25.8	43.6	19.1	37.2
	Douban	57.4	81.7	63.6	76.2	54.7	60.1	47.5	50.4	73.8	50.6	63.2
	Zhihu	66.5	90.4	52.6	82.6	71.2	78.2	46.4	39.6	76.0	62.2	69.1
	Logi QA	51.4	76.4	64.9	75.6	60.0	71.4	61.6	52.7	47.0	45.3	62.0
	Ruozhiba	75.9	92.3	76.5	92.1	77.3	70.9	67.2	68.5	72.6	65.2	76.9
	Wiki	63.0	75.7	44.0	80.6	47.9	66.6	47.9	50.0	56.8	55.6	60.5
	Finance	46.8	71.1	17.1	60.1	27.4	23.6	17.2	29.4	28.5	24.8	36.7
	Exam	49.4	79.7	64.7	79.9	61.5	79.8	66.2	61.0	52.8	56.3	66.2
	Xhs	51.3	76.1	38.5	68.0	25.8	46.0	28.4	32.1	74.6	36.3	50.3
	Wikihow	54.7	75.2	32.1	68.2	45.3	55.9	40.9	55.8	41.0	44.4	52.7
	CQIA-Subset	56.2	84.5	48.1	72.9	60.5	70.9	54.6	50.8	52.5	49.5	61.9

Table 3: The performance of Yi-34B trained on various datasets evaluated on BELLE-EVAL using GPT4.

across different types. We employ sampling generation for generating responses to instructions and use a model-based evaluation method.

SafetyBench comprises 11,435 diverse multiple choice questions spanning across 7 distinct categories of safety concerns. We evaluate models in few-shot setting.

5.2 Implementation Details

We fine-tuned bilingual LLMs(Chinese and English) on COIG-CQIA, including Yi(Young et al., 2024), Qwen(Bai et al., 2023), and InternLM(Team, 2023), which represent the forefront of Chinese models. We will provide implementation details in the next revision.

6 EXPERIMENT RESULTS

6.1 Ablating Instruction Data Sources

We finetune the Yi series model(Young et al., 2024) and the Qwen-72B(Bai et al., 2023) model on different data sources of our datasets. to analyze the impact of data source on model capabilities across different domain knowledge. Then, We evaluate each model performance on various type of assistant-style tasks using model-based(i.e. GPT-4) automatic evaluation on Belle-Eval.

To understand the correlation between training




Figure 4: Human evaluation of pair-wise comparison between CQIA-Subset and 5 strong baselines at similar parameter scale.

data sources and the downstream performance of different tasks, we evaluate the models on 10 tasks from BELLE-Eval. We employ GPT-4 as evaluator for scoring model responses, with scores ranging from 0 to 1.

Tabel 2 shows the results of different Yi-6B-based models fine-tuned on different subsets. From the table, we can see that models trained on our data excel in generative tasks such as brainstorming, generation, and summarization, but perform poorly in math and coding. The Exam subset achieves the best performance across all subsets with an average score of 54.9, particularly excelling in Extract and

Model	SafetyBench
COIG PC	81.2
Chinese Tradiational	76.6
Douban	76.2
Exam	77.6
Finance	75.1
Logi QA	79.1
Ruozhiba	81.3
Segmentfault	78.0
Wiki	75.8
Wikihow	76.4
Xhs	76.0
Zhihu	75.8
Human Value	79.1
CQIA-Sub-6B	81.7
GPT-4-0613	89.2
GPT-3.5-turbo-0613	80.4

Table 4: SafetyBench scores of Yi-6B trained on various data sources.

Model	Ceval (val 5-shot)	CMMLU (test 5-shot)
Qwen-1.8b	51.34	47.26
Yi-6B	73.40	74.85
Qwen-14b	68.20	67.96
InternLM2-20b	71.25	67.48
Yi-34b	77.04	78.18
Qwen-72b	78.68	76.79

Table 5: Performance of different base models after training on the COIG Subset data.

Math tasks. This is expected as Exam contains more math quizzes and exam types(e.g., reading comprehension), potentially boosting the model’s performance in most tasks. Interestingly, Ruozhiba ranks second on average across all subsets. We conjecture this is because it may enhance the model’s logical reasoning ability, thereby benefiting most of the instruct-following tasks. COIG-PC demonstrates proficiency in evaluations of the knowledge dimension, such as C-Eval, yet underperforms in Belle-Eval. We attribute this discrepancy to its origin in traditional NLP datasets and the short length of responses, which can impair reasoning tasks and are less favored by model-based evaluators. The substantial gap between C-Eval and Belle-Eval highlights the importance of developing assessments that can comprehensively and accurately evaluate Chinese LLMs. Moreover, WikiHow scores only 5.8, which we believe is due to

the lack of diversity in its "how-to" instructions.

6.2 Human Evaluation

In addition to automatic evaluation, we also evaluate Yi-6B fine-tuned on CQIA-subset by comparing it to state-of-the-art Chinese open-source chat models in similar parameter scale. As we focus on questions posed by real-world Chinese-speaking users. We sample 200 questions from OL-CC⁵ and Zhihu which are not present in the training set for human evaluation. We conduct pair-wise comparison, aiming to demonstrate how our model performs in comparison to others when facing real-world human prompt.

For each prompt, we generate one response from each model respectively⁶. Annotators are then presented with the prompt and two responses: one generated by CQIA model and one by another baseline model. Subsequently, we ask which response the annotator prefers, allowing for a "tie" selection when a better response is hard to judge.

Figure 4 shows human evaluation results with CQIA and other 5 baselines, namely Yi-6B-Chat, Baichuan2-7B-Chat, ChatGLM2-6B, Qwen-7B-Chat and InternLM-7B-Chat. The results demonstrate that, compared to strong baselines, CQIA-Subset achieved higher human preference, with at least over 60% of responses being better than or on par with the baseline models. This can be attributed to CQIA not solely in generating high-quality responses to human questions or instructions, but also in its responses being more aligned with real-world human communication patterns, leading to higher human preference.

6.3 Scaling Model Size

We investigate the performance of different base model with varying parameter sizes after fine-tuned on our CQIA-Subset. Notably, Yi-6B surpasses Qwen-14B and InternLM-20B, which have at least twice its parameter size. Further, Yi-34B achieved comparable results to Qwen-72B in both C-Eval and CMMLU benchmarks. This observation underscores the balance between model size, architectural optimizations, and training methodologies. While the scaling law might suggest that larger models inherently perform better due to their increased language understanding capacity, our results indicate that this is not always the case. Specif-

⁵<https://data.baai.ac.cn/details/OL-CC>

⁶We generate using nucleus sampling with $p=0.85$, $k=50$ and temperature=0.9.

ically, the Yi-6B model’s superior performance against models with significantly more parameters challenges the notion that parameter count alone is a sufficient predictor of model efficacy.

6.4 Safety

We explore the impact of data sources on model safety by evaluating our models on SafetyBench. Models trained on CQIA-Subset scores the highest within CQIA series, surpassing GPT-3.5-turbo-0613. Model trained on Social Media& Forums such as Douban, Zhihu, and Xhs perform moderate safety scores, we conjecture this is due to the diversity and openness of social media content, which also highlights the risks of harmful information. Additionally, models trained on Wiki-style data tend to perform lower safety scores, potentially reflecting the limited diversity within professional data sources, leading to poor performance on safety issues outside specialty domains.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce a a high-quality Chinese instruction fine-tuning dataset. COIG-CQIA focuses on creating a dataset from Chinese internet sources including Q&A and articles. These are deeply cleansed, restructured, and manually reviewed to ensure quality, diversity, and relevance. This dataset is designed to provide the Chinese NLP community with high-quality and human interaction-aligned instruction fine-tuning data.

References

- Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. 2023. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*.
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.
- Lichang Chen, Shiyang Li, Jun Yan, Hai Wang, Kalpa Gunaratna, Vikas Yadav, Zheng Tang, Vijay Srinivasan, Tianyi Zhou, Heng Huang, and Hongxia Jin. 2023. [Alpagasus: Training a better alpaca with fewer data](#).
- Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, et al. 2023. Palm: Scaling language modeling with pathways. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(240):1–113.
- Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, Barret Zoph, Yi Tay, William Fedus, Yunxuan Li, Xuezhi Wang, Mostafa Dehghani, Siddhartha Brahma, Albert Webson, Shixiang Shane Gu, Zhuyun Dai, Mirac Suzgun, Xinyun Chen, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Alex Castro-Ros, Marie Pellat, Kevin Robinson, Dasha Valter, Sharan Narang, Gaurav Mishra, Adams Yu, Vincent Zhao, Yanping Huang, Andrew Dai, Hongkun Yu, Slav Petrov, Ed H. Chi, Jeff Dean, Jacob Devlin, Adam Roberts, Denny Zhou, Quoc V. Le, and Jason Wei. 2022. [Scaling instruction-finetuned language models](#).
- CLUEbenchmark. 2022. [pclue: Large-scale prompt-based dataset for multi-task and zero-shot learning in chinese](#).
- Mike Conover, Matt Hayes, Ankit Mathur, Jianwei Xie, Jun Wan, Sam Shah, Ali Ghodsi, Patrick Wendell, Matei Zaharia, and Reynold Xin. 2023. [Free dolly: Introducing the world’s first truly open instruction-tuned llm](#).
- Biyang Guo, Xin Zhang, Ziyuan Wang, Minqi Jiang, Jinran Nie, Yuxuan Ding, Jianwei Yue, and Yupeng Wu. 2023. [How close is chatgpt to human experts? comparison corpus, evaluation, and detection](#).
- Han He and Jinho D Choi. 2021. The stem cell hypothesis: Dilemma behind multi-task learning with transformer encoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.06939*.
- Or Honovich, Thomas Scialom, Omer Levy, and Timo Schick. 2022. [Unnatural instructions: Tuning language models with \(almost\) no human labor](#).
- Hamish Ivison, Yizhong Wang, Valentina Pyatkin, Nathan Lambert, Matthew Peters, Pradeep Dasigi, Joel Jang, David Wadden, Noah A. Smith, Iz Beltagy, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2023. [Camels in a changing climate: Enhancing lm adaptation with tulu 2](#).
- Yunjie Ji, Yong Deng, Yan Gong, Yiping Peng, Qiang Niu, Lei Zhang, Baochang Ma, and Xiangang Li. 2023. [Exploring the impact of instruction data scaling on large language models: An empirical study on real-world use cases](#).
- R. A. Konchakov, A. S. Makarov, G. V. Afonin, J. C. Qiao, M. G. Vasin, N. P. Kobelev, and V. A. Khonik. 2023. [Critical behavior of the fluctuation heat capacity near the glass transition of metallic glasses](#).
- Xian Li, Ping Yu, Chunting Zhou, Timo Schick, Luke Zettlemoyer, Omer Levy, Jason Weston, and Mike Lewis. 2023. [Self-alignment with instruction back-translation](#).
- Renze Lou, Kai Zhang, Jian Xie, Yuxuan Sun, Janice Ahn, Hanzi Xu, Yu Su, and Wenpeng Yin. 2023. Mufin: Curating multi-faceted instructions for improving instruction following. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*.

- Swaroop Mishra, Daniel Khashabi, Chitta Baral, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2022. [Cross-task generalization via natural language crowdsourcing instructions](#).
- Baolin Peng, Chunyuan Li, Pengcheng He, Michel Galley, and Jianfeng Gao. 2023. Instruction tuning with gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03277*.
- Victor Sanh, Albert Webson, Colin Raffel, Stephen H. Bach, Lintang Sutawika, Zaid Alyafeai, Antoine Chaffin, Arnaud Stiegler, Teven Le Scao, Arun Raja, Manan Dey, M Saiful Bari, Canwen Xu, Urmish Thakker, Shanya Sharma Sharma, Eliza Szczechla, Taewoon Kim, Gunjan Chhablani, Nihal Nayak, Debajyoti Datta, Jonathan Chang, Mike Tian-Jian Jiang, Han Wang, Matteo Manica, Sheng Shen, Zheng Xin Yong, Harshit Pandey, Rachel Bawden, Thomas Wang, Trishala Neeraj, Jos Rozen, Abheesht Sharma, Andrea Santilli, Thibault Fevry, Jason Alan Fries, Ryan Teehan, Tali Bers, Stella Biderman, Leo Gao, Thomas Wolf, and Alexander M. Rush. 2022. [Multi-task prompted training enables zero-shot task generalization](#).
- Chiyu Song, Zhanchao Zhou, Jianhao Yan, Yuejiao Fei, Zhenzhong Lan, and Yue Zhang. 2023. [Dynamics of instruction tuning: Each ability of large language models has its own growth pace](#).
- Tianxiang Sun, Xiaotian Zhang, Zhengfu He, Peng Li, Qinyuan Cheng, Hang Yan, Xiangyang Liu, Yunfan Shao, Qiong Tang, Xingjian Zhao, Ke Chen, Yining Zheng, Zhejian Zhou, Ruixiao Li, Jun Zhan, Yunhua Zhou, Linyang Li, Xiaogui Yang, Lingling Wu, Zhangyue Yin, Xuanjing Huang, and Xipeng Qiu. 2023. Moss: Training conversational language models from synthetic data.
- InternLM Team. 2023. Internlm: A multilingual language model with progressively enhanced capabilities. <https://github.com/InternLM/InternLM>.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. 2023. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*.
- Yizhong Wang, Yeganeh Kordi, Swaroop Mishra, Alisa Liu, Noah A. Smith, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2023. [Self-instruct: Aligning language models with self-generated instructions](#).
- Can Xu, Qingfeng Sun, Kai Zheng, Xiubo Geng, Pu Zhao, Jiazhao Feng, Chongyang Tao, and Daxin Jiang. 2023a. [Wizardlm: Empowering large language models to follow complex instructions](#).
- Canwen Xu, Daya Guo, Nan Duan, and Julian McAuley. 2023b. [Baize: An open-source chat model with parameter-efficient tuning on self-chat data](#).
- Jianxin Yang. 2023. Firefly(流萤): 中文对话式大语言模型. <https://github.com/yangjianxin1/Firefly>.
- Alex Young, Bei Chen, Chao Li, Chengen Huang, Ge Zhang, Guanwei Zhang, Heng Li, Jiangcheng Zhu, Jianqun Chen, Jing Chang, et al. 2024. Yi: Open foundation models by 01. ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04652*.
- Ge Zhang, Yemin Shi, Ruibo Liu, Ruibin Yuan, Yizhi Li, Siwei Dong, Yu Shu, Zhaoqun Li, Zekun Wang, Chenghua Lin, Wenhao Huang, and Jie Fu. 2023a. [Chinese open instruction generalist: A preliminary release](#).
- Shengyu Zhang, Linfeng Dong, Xiaoya Li, Sen Zhang, Xiaofei Sun, Shuhe Wang, Jiwei Li, Runyi Hu, Tianwei Zhang, Fei Wu, et al. 2023b. Instruction tuning for large language models: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10792*.
- Chunting Zhou, Pengfei Liu, Puxin Xu, Srinu Iyer, Jiao Sun, Yuning Mao, Xuezhe Ma, Avia Efrat, Ping Yu, Lili Yu, Susan Zhang, Gargi Ghosh, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Omer Levy. 2023. [Lima: Less is more for alignment](#).