EC-Council Licensed Penetration Tester

Methodology: Web Application Penetration Testing

Penetration Tester:		
Organization:		
Date:	Location:	



Test 1.1: Manually browse the target website

Target Organization	
URL	
Fingerprinting the Web Application Environment	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Targeted Website	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 1.2: Check the HTTP and HTML processing by the browser

Target Organization	
URL	
Analyzed HTTP and	1.
HTTPS Request Headers	2.
ricaders	3.
	4.
	5.
HTML Source Code	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 1.3: Perform web spidering

Target Organization	
URL	
Generated Site map	1.
by Using Spidering Tools	2.
Tools	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 1.4: Perform search engine reconnaissance

Target Organization	
URL	
Techniques to Query Search Engines	
Information Collected	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Results Analysis:	

Results Analysis	S:			

Test 1.5: Perform server discovery

Target Organization			
URL			
Active Servers on the Internet			
		Information Gathered from	
Whois Lookup		DNS Interrogation	Port Scanning
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 1.6: Perform banner grabbing to identify the target server

Target Organization	
URL	
Identified Make, Model, and Version of the Web Server Software	
Vulnerability Databases Used to Attack the Web Server and Applications	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 1.7: Perform service discovery

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Web Server	
Common Ports Used	1.
by Web Servers for Different Services	2.
Different Services	3.
	4.
	5.
Identified Services	1.
that Acts as Exploit Paths for Web Application Testing	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:					

Test 1.8: Identify server-side technologies

Target Organization	
URL	
HTTP Headers and HTML Source Code	
Identified Server- Side Technologies	
Identification	1.
Information	2.
Gathered by Examining URLs and	3.
Error Page Messages	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 1.9: Identify server-side functionality

Target Organization	
URL	
Determined Internal Structure and Functionality of Web Applications	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:					

Test 1.10: Investigate the output from HEAD and OPTIONS HTTP requests

Target Organization	
URL	
Output from HEAD and OPTIONS HTTP Requests	
Web Server Software Version	
Scripting Environment or Operating System in Use	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 1.11: Investigate the format and wording of 404/other error pages

Target Organization	
URL	
Format and Wording of 404/Other Error Pages	
Software Versions of the Scripting Language in Use	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 1.12: Test for the recognized file types/extensions/directories

Target Organization	
URL	
Common File	1.
Extensions Requested	2.
nequested	3.
Test Results for the	1.
Recognized File	2.
Types/Extensions /Directories	3.
Directories	4.
	5.
Unusual Output or Error Codes	1.
	2.
	3.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:				

Test 1.13: Examine source of the available pages

Target Organization	
URL	
Examined Source of the Available Pages	
Information about Application Environment	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:				

Test 1.14: Manipulate inputs in order to elicit a scripting error

Target Organization	
URL	
Wildcard Strings or Long Strings Used to Manipulate User Inputs	
Scripting Error Generated with Input Manipulation	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 1.15: Test for hidden fields (Discover Hidden Content)

Target Organization	
URL	
Test Results for Hidden Fields	
Sensitive Data Collected from Hidden Fields	
Test Results for	1.
Input Parameters Manipulated to	2.
Exploit Logical Flow	3.
of Web Applications	
Non-Malicious Queries Sent to Manipulate Input	1.
	2.
Variables	3.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:				

Test 1.16: Test for discover default content

Target Organization	
URL	
Sensitive	1.
Information Gathered from	2.
Default Content and	3.
Sample Scripts	4.
	5.
SQL Queries Used to	1.
Access Exploitable Content and Scripts	2.
in the Client's Web	3.
Server	
Vulnerabilities Detected in Default Content And Scripts	1.
	2.
	3.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 1.17: Test for directory traversal

Target Organization			
URL			
Accessed Restricted Directories			
Arbitrary Content Sent To File Directories			
Overwritten/ Exploited Source Code and Sensitive Data in the Server			
Non-Malicious Scripts Sent to File APIs			
Request Parameters Co or Directory Names the		☐ YES	□ NO
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 1.18: Test for debug parameters

Target Organization			
URL			
Check for Hidden Debu Parameters in Applicat	_	☐ YES	□ NO
Test Results for Anomalies in Application's Responses with Parameters that Disrupt Application Processing	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Tested to Send Non-Ma Scripts to Overwrite or Code and Debug Paran	Manipulate	☐ YES	□ NO
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 2.1: Test for default credentials

Target Organization			
URL			
Located Overtly Accessible Web Servers with Administrative Interfaces that Run on Different Ports	1. 2. 3. 4.		•
	lanes	ervers and Network Dev Passw	
1.	varries	1.	oi us
2.		2.	
3.		3.	
4.		4.	
5.		5.	
Password Cracking Techniques	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Application Mapping Techniques Used			
Checked and Exploited Interfaces that are Vul		☐ YES	□ NO
Performed Port Scanni	ng of the Web Server	☐ YES	□ NO
Found Administrative Interfaces Running Applications On Different Ports And Targets	1. 2. 3.		

Tools/Services Used	1.	
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	
Results Analysis:		

Test 2.2: Test for dangerous HTTP methods

Target Organization			
URL			
List of Http Request	1.		
or Dangerous Http	2.		
Methods that Can Be Exploited	3.		
P 3 333	4.		
	5.		
	6.		
	7.		
Enabled Web-Based Di and Versioning (WebD	_	☐ YES	□ NO
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 2.3: Test for proxy functionality

Target Organization			
URL			
Target Host			
Checked HTTP Request Maneuver Web Server		□ NO	
Information Retrieved From the	1. 2.		
Servers	3.		
Common Port	1.		
Numbers to which HTTP Requests	2.		
Connect	3.		
	<u>4.</u> 5.		
	5.		
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 2.4: Test for virtual hosting misconfiguration

Target Organization	
URL	
Common	1.
Configuration Errors	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
HTTP Requests that	
Connect to the Root Directory	
Identified Results	1.
identified Results	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
	<u>3.</u>
Default Content and	1.
Directory Listings	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
	<u>3.</u>
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
	<u>5.</u>

Test 2.5: Test for web server software bugs

Target Organization	
URL	
Host Web Server	
Vulnerabilities Found in the Host Web Server	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 2.6: Test for server-side include injection attack

Target Organization			
URL			
Execute Non-malicious of a Web Application	Scripts	☐ Yes	□ No
Applications Properly Validating User Inputs		☐ True	☐ False
Special Characters Used in Data Fields to Verify Results	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 3.1: Test the inner workings of a web application

Target Organization	
URL	
Javascript and Other Client-Side Code Used to Gather Information on Inner Workings of a Web Application	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Maximum Value of a Tinyint Field in Database Systems	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analys	Results Analysis:					

Test 3.2: Test the database connectivity

Target Organization			
URL			
Database Used			
Target Application			
Checked Security Vulne Connections Between Applications		☐ YES	□ NO
Test Results for	1.		
Manipulated User	2.		
Input Variables	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Checked for Vulnerable	e Connection Strings	☐ YES	□ NO
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test	3.3:	Test	the	ap	plicat	ion	code

	T.			
Target Organization				
URL				
Test Results for Exception Handling				
Test for Login IDs and	Passwords	☐ YES	□ NO	
Information Gathered by Testing the Application Code	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.			
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 3.4: Test the use of GET and POST in the web application

Target Organization	
URL	
Use of GET Web Application	
Use of POST Web Application	
Information	1.
Gathered	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 3.5: Test for improper error handling

Target Organization	
URL	
Test Results for	1.
Improper Error Handling	2.
nanulling	3.
Vulnerabilities Identified from Error	1.
Messages	2.
essuges	3.
Information	1.
gathered about	2.
application condition	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 3.6: Identify functionality

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Examined the Core Fur	nctionality
List of Functions it is Designed to Perform	1. 2. 3.
Key Security Mechanisms in an Application	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 3.7: Identify entry points for user input

Target Organization	
URL	
Determined All User	1.
Input Fields	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Identified HTTP Header Parameters that can be Processed as User Inputs	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:				

Test 3.8: Test for parameter/form tampering

Target Organization	
URL	
Manipulated Parameters Exchanged Between Client and Server	
Exploited Vulnerabilities in Integrity and Logic Validation Mechanisms	
Manipulated URL Strings	
Sensitive Information Retrieved	1. 2. 3.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:					
-					

Test 3.9: Test for URL manipulation

Target Organization					
URL					
Host Web Server					
Modified a URL: Access to a Host Web Server Successful		☐ YES	□ NO		
Added Different Values and Manipulated Different Parts of the Website		☐ YES	□ NO		
Test Results for	1.				
modified URL Parameters of the	2.				
Website	3.				
	4.		_		
	5.				
Tested Directories and File Extensions		☐ YES	□ NO		
Identified	1.				
Information from the above Test	2.				
above rest	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Tested Using Different Strings or Characters		☐ YES	□ NO		
Access to Unauthorized Parts of a Site Successful		☐ YES	□ NO		
Scripts Sent to the URL	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				

Hidden Files and	1.		
Other Information Revealed on the Host	2.		
Server	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Used Path Traversal or Traversal Attacks	Directory	☐ YES	□ NO
Manipulated URL of	1.		
the Host Website	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 3.10: Test for hidden field manipulation attack

Target Organization	
URL	
Field Values Stored	1.
as Hidden Fields in HTML	2.
HIIVIL	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 3.11: Map the attack surface

Target Organization			
URL			
	Map the	Attack Surface	
Inform	nation	Attack	
1.		1.	
2.		2.	
3.		3.	
4.		4.	
5.		5.	
6.		6.	
7.		7.	
8.		8.	
9.		9.	
10.		10.	
Tools/Services Used 1.			
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 3.12: Test for known vulnerabilities

Target Organization					
URL					
Tested for Known Vuln Party Software Used in		☐ YES	□ NO		
List of Vulnerabilities Detected	1.				
	2. 3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Tools/Services Used	1.				
Toolsy services osed	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Results Analysis:					

Test 3.13: Perform denial-of-service attack

Target Organization					
URL					
Performed Denial-of-So	ervice Attack Successful	☐ YES	□ NO		
Various Techniques	1.				
Used for Performing DoS Attack	2.				
DOS Attack	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
	6.				
	7.				
	8.				
	9.				
	10.				
Tools/Services Used	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Results Analysis:					
			_		

Test 3.14: Check for insufficient transport layer protection

Target Organization					
URL					
Checked for Insufficier Transport Layer Protec		☐ YES	□ NO		
Checked for Underpriv	rileged	☐ YES	□ NO		
Phishing and MITM Attacks Successful		☐ True	☐ False		
Information	1.				
Gathered	2.			_	
	3.	3.			
	4.			_	
	5.			_	
Tools/Services Used	1.			_	
	2.				
	3.			_	
	4.			_	
<u>5.</u>				_	
Results Analysis:					

Test 3.15: Check for weak SSL ciphers

Target Organization				
URL				
Host Application				
Performed SSL Scans a	nd SSL Tests	☐ YES	□ NO	
Common Flaws Detected in SSL Service	1. 2.			
Service	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			_
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 3.16: Check for insecure cryptographic storage

Target Organization			
URL			
Target Application			
Check for Insecure Cry	ptographic Storage	☐ YES	□ NO
Information Gathered			
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 3.17: Check for unvalidated redirects and forwards

Target Organization	
URL	
Host Web Environment	
Social Engineering Techniques Used	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Results Analysis:	

Results Analysis:			

Test 4.1: Test for bad data

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Test Results for Bad Data	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 4.2: Test transmission of data via the client

Target Organization						
URL						
Target Application						
Various Tests	1.					
Performed for Transmission of Data via the Client	2.					
	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
Identified	1.					
Application's Logic Used for the Input	2.					
Fields	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
Areas where Fields	1.					
are Exploited	2.					
	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
			T			
Client Applications Tra	nsmit Opaque Data	☐ YES	□ NO			
Test Results for	1.					
Transmission of Data	2.					
	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
	6.					

Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Test 4.3: Test client-side controls over user input

Target Organization				
URL				
Target Application				
Type of Client-Side Controls Used by Applications				
Arbitrary Requests Ser Server Successful	nt to the	☐ YES	□ NO	
Target Server	1.			
Response	2.			
	3.			
Exploitable Inputs in	1.			
the Validation of	2.			
Client-Side Controls	3.			
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				
<u> </u>				

Test 4.4: Identify client-side scripting

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Technologies Used	1.
on the Client's Side	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Identified Client-side	1.
Scripting	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:					

50

Test 4.5: Test thick-client components					
Target Organization					
URL					
Java Applets Supporte Application	d by the	Client	☐ True		☐ False
Identified .	class and	d .jar file	types and applet tag	s Used	d in HTML Code
.class File Types			.jar File Types		Applet Tags
Validation Process of Thick-client Components				·	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.				
Results Analysis:					

Test 4.6: Test ActiveX controls

Target Organization	
URL	
Identified HTML Parameters for the ActiveX Controls	
Vulnerable ActiveX Controls	
Methods Executed by the Control and Test Validation Process with the Server	
Information Gathered about the Client Controls	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:						
•			•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Test 4.7: Test shockwave flash objects

Target Organization				
URL				
Flash Object Functions Employed by the Browser	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
Data Transmitted to th can be Exploited and N		☐ True	☐ False	
Test Results for	1.		,	
Shockwave Flash	2.			
Objects	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				
_				

Test 4.8:	Check	for	frame	injection
-----------	-------	-----	-------	-----------

Target Organization			
URL			
Host Applications Supp and Frameset Tags in H	_	☐ YES	□ NO
Frameset Code on the HTML Page			
Vulnerable Host Site Tampered with URL Parameters			
Forged Site that Controls Contents of the Host Site			
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 4.9: Test with user protection via browser settings

Target Organization	
URL	
Browser Settings	
Test Results for User Protection via	1.
Browser Settings	2.
browser settings	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						
-						

Test 5.1: Understand the mechanism

Target Organization	
URL	
Host Environment	
Common	1.
Authentication Mechanisms	2.
Implemented in the	3.
Host Environment	4.
	5.
Key Areas where	1.
Authentication Process is Executed	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 5.2: Test password quality

Target Organization			
URL			
Host Environment			
Password Quality Rule and Executed on the H		☐ YES	□ NO
Password Validation	1.		
Techniques	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		•
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 5.3: Test for username enumeration

Target Organization		
URL		
	Username l	Enumeration
Valid Us	ername	Corresponding Password
1.		1.
2.		2.
3.		3.
4.		4.
Test Results for Username Enumeration	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	

Results Analysis:			

Test 5.4: Test resilience to password guessing

Target Organization	
URL	
Techniques Used to	1.
Gather Password List	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
dictionary of all	1.
possible passwords	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 5.5: Test any account recovery function, and remember me function

Target Organization			
URL			
Password Changing Functionality within the Application			
vulnerabilities in Password Change Functionality	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Password Recovery Techniques Used	1. 2. 3.		
Bypass Authentication Successful	Mechanisms	☐ YES	□ NO
Juccessiai			
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
	2. 3. 4.		

Test 5.6: Perform password brute-forcing

Target Organization							
URL							
Cracking the log-in Pas	swords Successful	☐ YES	□ NO				
Password Brute-forcing							
Valid Us	sername		Password				
1.		1.					
2.		2.					
3.		3.					
4.		4.					
Tools/Services Used	1.	,					
	2.						
	3.						
	4.						
	5.						
				_			
Poculto Analysis							
Results Analysis:							

Test 5.7: Perform session ID prediction/brute-forcing

Target Organization					
URL					
Captured Valid Session ID Values	1.				
	2. 3.				
	$\frac{3}{4}$				
	5.				
	<u> </u>				
:	Session	ID Gener	ration Process in an A	pplica	tion
Structure of Session	ID	Informat	ion to Create the ID	End	cryption Algorithm Used
Technique Used to Generate and Test Different Values of Session ID					
Access to the Applicati	ion Suc	cessful	☐ YES		□ NO
Vulnerable Session Generation Mechanisms					
Tools/Services Used	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				

Test 5.8: Perform authorization attack				
Target Organization				
Target Application				
Modifying Input Fields to Manipulate the HTTP Requests				
	Techniques Used for F	Parameter Tampering		
☐ Cookies		☐ Query String		
☐ URL		☐ POST Data		
☐ Hidden Tags		☐ HTTP Headers		
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				
<u> </u>				

Test 5.9: Perform HTTP request tampering

Target Organization			
URL			
Query String Tampering			
Access to Protected Ap Functionalities Success		☐ YES	□ NO
Test Results for HTTP Request Tampering	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 5.10: Perform authorization attack - Cookie parameter tampering

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Cookie Generation Mechanism	
Test Results for	1.
Authorization Attack - Cookie Parameter	2.
Tampering	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

Results Allarysis.			

Test 6.1: Understand the mechanism

Target Organization			
URL			
Mechanism Used for Managing Sessions and State			
Application Uses Session Tokens to Handle Requests from Users		☐ YES	10
Other Methods Used	1.		
to Handle Requests	2.		
from Users	3.		
	4.		
Data Used To Re-	1.		
identify the Users	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
Session-dependent Page or Function	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		

Test 6.2: Test tokens for meaning

Target Organization	
URL	
Guessed Token Issued to the Application	
Recorded Tokens Received from the Server	
Tokens Related to the Username	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis:	

nesults Analysis.			

Test 6.3: Session token prediction (Test tokens for predictability)

Target Organization	
URL	
Guessed Token Issued to the Application	
Determined Valid	1.
Session Tokens from	2.
Requests Sent to Session-dependent	3.
Page	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 6.4: Check for insecure transmission of tokens

Target Organization			
URL			
Session Tokens are Tra Over an HTTP Connect		☐ YES	□ NO
Test Results for Insecure Transmission of Tokens	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 6.5: Check for disclosure of tokens in logs

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Instances where Session Tokens are Transmitted within the URL	
Valid Session Tokens Issued to Users	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Results Analysis:	

Results Analysis:							

Test 6.6: Check mappin	g of tokens	to sessions		
Target Organization				
URL				
Target Application				
Modified User-related Components of the Token				
Resulting Token Accept Application Successfull		☐ YES	□ NO	
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 6.7: Test session to	ermination					
Target Organization						
URL						
Target Application						
Session Expiration Imp	lemented on the Server	☐ True	☐ False			
Logout Function Exists		☐ True	☐ False			
Logout Function Invalid on the Server	dates the User's Session	☐ True	☐ False			
Tools/Services Used	1.					
	2.					
	3.					
	4.					
	5.					
Results Analysis:						

Test 6.8: Test for session fixation attack

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Website	
Techniques Used to "Fix" the Session ID Value	1. 2. 3.
Test Results for Session Fixation Attack	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 6.9: Test for session hijacking

Target Organization	
URL	
Target User	
Hijacked Session	
Test Results for	1.
Session Hijacking	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analys	is:			

Test 6.10: Check for XS	RF		
Target Organization			
URL			
Target Application			
Method Used for Transmitting Session Tokens			
Key Functionality of the Application			
Application Uses AJAX	☐ YES	□ NO	
Instances for JSON Hijacking Vulnerabilities	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 6.11: Check cookie scope

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Results from the Cookie Scope Test Performed	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 6.12: Test cookie attacks

Target Organization					
URL					
Target Application					
Unauthorized Access to Accounts Successful	O □ YES	□ NO			
Results from the Cookie Attacks Test Performed	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Tools/Services Used	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Results Analysis:					

Test 7.1: Understand the access control requirements

Taugat Ouganization				
Target Organization				
URL				
Target Application				
Checked the Areas of F and Data Resources	unctionality	□ Y	ES	□ NO
Targets for Privilege	1.			
Escalation Attacks	2.			
	3.			
Accou	unts with Differe	ent Vert	ical and Horizontal	Privileges
Accounts with Vo	ertical Privileges	5	Accounts with	h Horizontal Privileges
1.			1.	
2.			2.	
3.			3.	
4.			4.	
5.			5.	
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 7.2: Testing with r	multiple accou	nts		
Target Organization				
URL				
Is the Attempt to Use of to Access Data Belongion Other Account Success	ing to the	☐ YES	□ NO	
Results for Test with Multiple Accounts				
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 7.3: Testing with limited access

Target Organization	
URL	
Identifiers Associated with Other Users' Data	
Broken Access Controls Test	
Application Mapping that Uses a Low-Privileged Account	
URLs for Privileged Functions	
Results for Test with Limited Access	
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Results Analysis:	
•	

Test 7.4: Test for insecure access control methods

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Modified Parameters	1.
in Key Requests	2.
	3.
Application's Base	
Access Control Decisions	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysi	s:			

Test 7.5: Test segregation in shared infrastructures

Target Organization					
URL					
Hosting Environment					
Remote Access Facility Secure Protocol	Uses a	☐ YES	□ NO		
Customers are Able to Files, Data, and Other		☐ YES	□ NO		
Customers are Able to Information within the Environment		□ Yes	□ No		
Test Results for	1.				
Segregation in	2.				
Shared Infrastructures	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Tools/Services Used	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
	4.				
	5.				
Results Analysis:					

Test 7.6: Test segregation between asp-hosted applications

Target Organization			
URL			
Application Belongs to	an ASP-host Service	☐ YES	□ NO
Identify Shared	1.		
Components	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
Common Database Use Environment	es a Shared	☐ True	☐ False
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 8.1:	Test for	LDAP i	njection
-----------	-----------------	--------	----------

Target Organization				
URL				
Target Application				
Query Sent to the Server that Generates an Invalid Input				
Error Returned from LDAP Server				
Is the Application vulne LDAP Code Injection?	erable to	☐ YES	□ NO	
Information	1.			
Gathered	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Results Analysis:				

Test 9.1: Test for XML structure

Target Organization			
URL			
Malformed XML Message Sent to the Server			
	List of Pa	rameters being Validated	
1.		7.	
2.		8.	
3.		9.	
4.		10.	
5.		11.	
6.		12.	
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 9.2: Test for XML content-level

Target Organization			
URL			
Web Services			
Can the Web Services Escalated Privileges	be by	☐ YES	□ NO
Test Results for XML	1.		
Content-level	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 9.3: Test for WS HTTP GET parameters/REST attacks

Target Organization	
URL	
Query String Test for	1.
HTTP GET	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Result of Test Query	1.
String	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results An	aiysis:			

Target Organization			
URL			
WSDL which Accepts Attachment			
Bypassing Web Service Mechanisms Successfu		□ YES	□ NO
Tools/Services Used	1.		
	2.		
	3.		
	4.		
	5.		
Results Analysis:			

Test 9.5: Test for XPath injection attack

Target Organization	
URL	
Syntax of XPath	
Test Results for XPath Injection Attack	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 9.6: Test for WS replay

Target Organization	
URL	
Captured HTTP Traffic	
Determined Session ID Patterns	
Valid Session ID Used for the Replay Attack	
Determined Host Server	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Results Analysis	

Results Analysis:						

Test 10.1: Identify the key attack surface

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Results of Application Mapping	
Identified Key Attack Surface	
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 10.2: Test for logic flaws

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Identified Logic Flow	
Test Results for Logic	1.
Flaws	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 10.3: Test multistage processes

Target Organization				
URL				
Sequence of Stages	1.			
that can be Accessed via a Series of GET or	2.			
POST Requests for	3.			
Distinct URLs	4.			
	5.			
Multistage Process	1.			
that Involves Different Users	2.			
Performing	3.			
Operations on the	4.			
Same Set of Data	5.			
Are Multistage Functio Out of Sequence?	ns Accessed	☐ YES	□ NO	
Test Results for	1.			
Multistage Processes	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
Tools/Services Used	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			

Test 10.4: Test handling of incomplete input

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Application Response Behavior	
Test Results for	1.
Handling of Incomplete Input	2.
incomplete input	3.
	4.
	5.
Tools/Services Used	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.

Results Analysis:						

Test 10.5: Test trust boundaries

Target Organization	
URL	
Target Application	
Test Results for Trust Boundaries	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			

Test 10.6: Test transaction logic

Target Organization	
URL	
Checked Application Algorithms for Adjustments Made	
Methods of Manipulating the Application's Behavior	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Test Results for Transaction Logic	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Tools/Services Used	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.

Results Analysis:			