Since the set is compact with content zero, we have that there exists I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n such that

$$I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \ldots \cup I_n \subset U$$
 and $\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Consider the interval $I_i = (a_{i,1}, b_{i,1}) \times \ldots \times (a_{i,N}, b_{i,N})$. Since the set of rational number is dense, we can find b'_1 such that

$$\mathbb{Q} \ni b'_{i,1} - b_{i,1} < \frac{\epsilon(b_{i,1} - a_{i,1})}{2nN\mu(I_i)}$$

Therefore, $O_{i,1} = [a_{i,1}, b'_{i,1}] \times \ldots \times [a_{i,N}, b_{i,N}]$ satisfies

$$\mu(O_{i,1}) - \mu(I_i) = \mu(I_i) \frac{b'_{i_1} - a_{i,1}}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} - \mu(I_i) = \mu(I_i) \frac{b'_{i_1} - b_{i,1}}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} < \frac{\mu(I_i)}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon(b_{i,1} - a_{i,1})}{2nN\mu(I_i)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2nN} \frac{$$

Then using $O_{i,1}$, we can find $O_{i,2}$ such that $\mu(O_{i,2}) - \mu(O_{i,1}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2nN}$ using the same process.

Do this process for the rest n-1 subintervals, we have that $O_i = O_{i,n} = [a_{i,1}, b'_{i,1}] \times \ldots \times [a_{i,N}, b'_{i,N}]$ satisfies $I_i \subset O_i$ and

$$\mu(O_i) - \mu(I_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2nN} \cdot N = \frac{\epsilon}{2n}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(O_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2n} \cdot n = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(O_i) < \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

Since each intervals in O_i has a rational length, we can split it into cubes.

Suppose we have a interval $I = [a_1, b_1] \times \ldots \times [a_N, b_N]$ such that $b_i - a_i = \frac{x_i}{y_i}$ where x_i and y_i are integers. Then since for every subintervals $[a_i, b_i]$, we have that $\frac{\frac{x_i}{y_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} y_j} = x_i \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \ i \neq j}}^{N} y_j$ is also an integer and hence we can split

the interval I into cubes with sides of length $\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} y_j}$. Therefore, we get the results.

Let I be a compact interval such that $D \subset I_1 \cup I_2 \cup ... \cup I_n \subset I$, we have that

$$\mu(D) = \int_{I} \chi_{D} \le \int_{I} \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} I_{j}} \le \int_{I} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{I_{j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{I} \chi_{I_{j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_{j})$$

and hence $\mu(D) \leq \inf \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_j)$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ then there is a partition P of I such that

$$\left| \mu(D) - \sum_{v} \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v) \right| < \epsilon$$

Next, we choose I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n satisfy the condition $D \cap I_v \neq \emptyset$ and choose $x_v \in D \cap I_v$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_j) = \sum_{v} \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v)$$

$$\leq \mu(D) + \left| \mu(D) - \sum_{v} \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v) \right|$$

$$< \mu(D) + \epsilon$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(D) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu(I_j)$$

Since f and g are continuous and I is compact. f and g are Riemann integrable on I and hence fg is also Riemann integrable, which means that there exists a partition P such that for all refinement P_{ϵ} of P

$$\left| \int_{I} fg - \sum_{v} f(x_{v})g(x_{v})\mu(I_{v}) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for arbitary $x_v \in I_v$. We know that f(I) are compact since f is continuous and I is compact. Therefore, there exists $M = \sup_{x \in I} f(x)$. Then since g is uniformly continuous on I, we can find a refinement Q of P such that for all subdivision, $|g(x_v) - g(y_v)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M\mu(I)}$. Hence, for all refinement Q_{ϵ} of Q, we have

$$\left| \int_{I} fg - \sum_{v} f(x_{v})g(y_{v})\mu(I_{v}) \right| \leq \left| \int_{I} fg - \sum_{v} f(x_{v})g(x_{v})\mu(I_{v}) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \sum_{v} f(x_{v})g(x_{v})\mu(I_{v}) - \sum_{v} f(x_{v})g(y_{v})\mu(I_{v}) \right|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left| \sum_{v} f(x_{v})\mu(I_{v})(g(x_{v}) - g(y_{v})) \right|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{v} M\mu(I_{v}) \frac{\epsilon}{2M\mu(I)}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\mu(I)} \sum_{v} \mu(I_{v}) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

4.

a.

Since D has content, ∂D has content zero, and hence

$$\mu(\overline{D}) = \mu(D \cup \partial D) \leq \mu(D) + \mu(\partial D) = \mu(D)$$

Since $D\subseteq \overline{D}, \mu(D)\leq \mu(\overline{D})$ and hence $\mu(D)=\mu(\overline{D})$

b.

Z is a set of content zero, therefore Z is bounded and hence \overline{Z} is compact and has content zero. Therefore, $\phi(\overline{Z})$ has content zero, which means that $\phi(Z) \subset \phi(Z) \cup \phi(\partial Z) = \phi(\overline{Z})$ also has content zero.

5.

a.

Consider the function

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x,y) \to \left(\frac{zx}{h}, \frac{zy}{h}\right)$$

Then

$$\det \phi(x,y) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z}{h} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{z}{h} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^2}{h^2}$$

$$\mu_3 = \int_{0+}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_D\left(\frac{hx}{z}, \frac{hy}{z}\right) dx dy dz$$

$$= \int_{0+}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_D(x,y) \cdot \frac{z^2}{h^2} dx dy dz$$

$$= \mu_2(D) \int_{0+}^h \frac{z^2}{h^2} dz$$

$$= \mu_2(D) \left. \frac{z^3}{3h^2} \right|_{0+}^h$$

$$= \mu_2(D) \cdot \frac{h}{3}$$

which is the volume of a "cone" formed by projecting D to the origin of \mathbb{R}^3

b.

$$\mu_{n} = \int_{0+}^{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \chi_{D}(x, y) dx dy dz$$

$$= \mu_{n-1}(D) \int_{0+}^{h} 1 dz$$

$$= \mu_{n-1}(D) z \Big|_{0+}^{h}$$

$$= \mu_{n-1}(D) \cdot h$$

c.

When n=1, the object generated is a line with $\mu_1=h$ When n=2, the object generated is a rectangle with $\mu_2=h\cdot\mu_1$

Suppose $x_0 \in K$ such that $\phi(x_0) \in \partial \phi(K)$, then $x_0 \in K \setminus Z$ or $x_0 \in Z$. In the case where $x_0 \in K \setminus Z$. Suppose that $x_0 \notin \partial K$. Since Z has content zero, there exists an open neighborhood around x_0 : $B(x_0)$ such that $B(x_0) \subset K$ and $\det J_{\phi}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in B(x_0)$. Hence, $\phi(B(x_0)) \subset \phi(K)$ is open. However, we know that $\phi(x_0) \in \partial \phi(K)$, which means that for every open neighborhood around $\phi(x_0)$, there exists a point not in K. Therefore, this is a contradiction and $x_0 \in \partial K$.

Finally, since if $x_0 \in K$ satisfies $\phi(x_0) \in \partial \phi(K)$ then $x_0 \in \partial K$ or $x_0 \in Z$, we have that $\partial \phi(K) \subset \phi(\partial K) \cup \phi(Z)$.

Since ∂K has content zero because K has content, and Z has content zero, $\phi(\partial K)$ and $\phi(Z)$ has content zero and hence $\partial \phi(K)$ also has content zero. Therefore, $\phi(K)$ has content.