

# 1.

Since the set is compact with content zero, we have that there exists  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  such that

$$I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_n \subset U \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Consider the interval  $I_i = (a_{i,1}, b_{i,1}) \times \dots \times (a_{i,N}, b_{i,N})$ . Since the set of rational number is dense, we can find  $b'_{i,1}$  such that

$$\mathbb{Q} \ni b'_{i,1} - b_{i,1} < \frac{\epsilon(b_{i,1} - a_{i,1})}{2nN\mu(A_i)}$$

Therefore,  $O_{i,1} = [a_{i,1}, b'_{i,1}] \times \dots \times [a_{i,N}, b_{i,N}]$  satisfies

$$\mu(O_{i,1}) - \mu(I_i) = \mu(I_i) \frac{b'_{i,1} - a_{i,1}}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} - \mu(I_i) = \mu(I_i) \frac{b'_{i,1} - b_{i,1}}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} < \frac{\mu(I_i)}{b_{i,1} - a_{i,1}} \cdot \frac{\epsilon(b_{i,1} - a_{i,1})}{2nN\mu(A_i)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2nN}$$

Then using  $O_{i,1}$ , we can find  $O_{i,2}$  such that  $\mu(O_{i,2}) - \mu(O_{i,1}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2nN}$  using the same process.

Do this process for the rest  $n - 1$  subintervals, we have that  $O_i = O_{i,n} = [a_{i,1}, b'_{i,1}] \times \dots \times [a_{i,N}, b'_{i,N}]$  satisfies  $I_i \subset O_i$  and

$$\mu(O_i) - \mu(I_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2nN} \cdot N = \frac{\epsilon}{2n}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(O_i) - \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2n} \cdot n = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(O_i) < \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_i) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$

Since each intervals in  $O_i$  has a rational length, we can split it into cubes.

Suppose we have a interval  $I = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_N, b_N]$  such that  $b_i - a_i = \frac{x_i}{y_i}$  where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are integers. Then since for every subintervals  $[a_i, b_i]$ , we

have that  $\frac{\frac{x_i}{y_i}}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^N y_j} = x_i \cdot \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^N y_j$  is also an integer and hence we can split

the interval  $I$  into cubes with sides of length  $\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^N y_j}$ . Therefore, we get the results.

## 2.

Let  $I$  be a compact interval such that  $D \subset I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_n \subset I$ , we have that

$$\mu(D) = \int_I \chi_D \leq \int_I \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^n I_j} \leq \int_I \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{I_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_I \chi_{I_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j)$$

and hence  $\mu(D) \leq \inf \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j)$ .

For all  $\epsilon > 0$  then there is a partition  $P$  of  $I$  such that

$$\left| \mu(D) - \sum_v \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v) \right| < \epsilon$$

Next, we choose  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  satisfy the condition  $D \cap I_v \neq \emptyset$  and choose  $x_v \in D \cap I_v$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j) &= \sum_v \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v) \\ &\leq \mu(D) + \left| \mu(D) - \sum_v \chi_D(x_v) \mu(I_v) \right| \\ &< \mu(D) + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(D) = \inf \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(I_j)$$

### 3.

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous and  $I$  is compact.  $f$  and  $g$  are Riemann integrable on  $I$  and hence  $fg$  is also Riemann integrable, which means that there exists a partition  $P$  such that for all refinement  $P_\epsilon$  of  $P$

$$\left| \int_I fg - \sum_v f(x_v)g(x_v)\mu(I_v) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for arbitrary  $x_v \in I_v$ . We know that  $f(I)$  are compact since  $f$  is continuous and  $I$  is compact. Therefore, there exists  $M = \sup_{x \in I} f(x)$ . Then since  $g$  is uniformly continuous on  $I$ , we can find a refinement  $Q$  of  $P$  such that for all subdivision,  $|g(x_v) - g(y_v)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M\mu(I)}$ . Hence, for all refinement  $Q_\epsilon$  of  $Q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_I fg - \sum_v f(x_v)g(y_v)\mu(I_v) \right| &\leq \left| \int_I fg - \sum_v f(x_v)g(x_v)\mu(I_v) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_v f(x_v)g(x_v)\mu(I_v) - \sum_v f(x_v)g(y_v)\mu(I_v) \right| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left| \sum_v f(x_v)\mu(I_v)(g(x_v) - g(y_v)) \right| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_v M\mu(I_v) \frac{\epsilon}{2M\mu(I)} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\mu(I)} \sum_v \mu(I_v) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

**4.**

**a.**

Since  $D$  has content,  $\partial D$  has content zero, and hence

$$\mu(\overline{D}) = \mu(D \cup \partial D) \leq \mu(D) + \mu(\partial D) = \mu(D)$$

Since  $D \subseteq \overline{D}$ ,  $\mu(D) \leq \mu(\overline{D})$  and hence  $\mu(D) = \mu(\overline{D})$

**b.**

$Z$  is a set of content zero, therefore  $Z$  is bounded and hence  $\overline{Z}$  is compact and has content zero. Therefore,  $\phi(\overline{Z})$  has content zero, which means that  $\phi(Z) \subset \phi(Z) \cup \phi(\partial Z) = \phi(\overline{Z})$  also has content zero.

**5.**

**a.**

Consider the function

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow \left( \frac{zx}{h}, \frac{zy}{h} \right)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det \phi(x, y) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z}{h} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z}{h} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{z^2}{h^2} \\ \mu_3 &= \int_{0+}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_D \left( \frac{hx}{z}, \frac{hy}{z} \right) dx dy dz \\ &= \int_{0+}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_D(x, y) \cdot \frac{z^2}{h^2} dx dy dz \\ &= \mu_2(D) \int_{0+}^h \frac{z^2}{h^2} dz \\ &= \mu_2(D) \frac{z^3}{3h^2} \Big|_{0+}^h \\ &= \mu_2(D) \cdot \frac{h}{3} \end{aligned}$$

which is the volume of a “cone” formed by projecting  $D$  to the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^3$

**b.**

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \int_{0+}^h \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \chi_D(x, y) dx dy dz \\ &= \mu_{n-1}(D) \int_{0+}^h 1 dz \\ &= \mu_{n-1}(D) z \Big|_{0+}^h \\ &= \mu_{n-1}(D) \cdot h \end{aligned}$$

**c.**

When  $n = 1$ , the object generated is a line with  $\mu_1 = h$  When  $n = 2$ , the object generated is a rectangle with  $\mu_2 = h \cdot \mu_1$

## 6.

Suppose  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $\phi(x_0) \in \partial\phi(K)$ , then  $x_0 \in K \setminus Z$  or  $x_0 \in Z$ .

In the case where  $x_0 \in K \setminus Z$ . Suppose that  $x_0 \notin \partial K$ . Since  $Z$  has content zero, there exists an open neighborhood around  $x_0$ :  $B(x_0)$  such that  $B(x_0) \subset K$  and  $\det J_\phi(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in B(x_0)$ . Hence,  $\phi(B(x_0)) \subset \phi(K)$  is open.

However, we know that  $\phi(x_0) \in \partial\phi(K)$ , which means that for every open neighborhood around  $\phi(x_0)$ , there exists a point not in  $K$ . Therefore, this is a contradiction and  $x_0 \in \partial K$ .

Finally, since if  $x_0 \in K$  satisfies  $\phi(x_0) \in \partial\phi(K)$  then  $x_0 \in \partial K$  or  $x_0 \in Z$ , we have that  $\phi(\partial K) \subset \phi(\partial K) \cup \phi(Z)$ .