

1

Suppose $\exp(f(z))$ has a pole at 0, then for all $M > 0$, there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\exp(f(z))| = \exp(\operatorname{Re}(f(z))) > M$ for all $|z| < \epsilon$. But that means that 0 is not essential and hence is a pole. But if 0 is a pole at 0 for $\exp(f(z))$, 0 is removable for $\exp(-f(z))$ and hence 0 is removable for $-f$ and hence f . If 0 is a removable singularity for f then it is also a removable for $\exp(f)$.

2.

If $f(z)$ has an essential singularity at 0, then there is a closed neighborhood B_ϵ around 0 such that $f(B_\epsilon)$ is dense in C but then there is $z \in D$ such that $f(z) > 1$ which is a contradiction as f is 1-to-1

3.

If all of f_k are polynomials, then obviously $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \dots \circ f_n$ is a polynomial. Consider a non-constant polynomial $f \circ g$, then $(f \circ g)(1/z)$ does not have essential singularity at 0. Thus there is a $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > r\}$ such that $(f \circ g)(U)$ is not dense in \mathbb{C} . Hence, there is a disk $B_\epsilon(z_0) \not\subset (f \circ g)(U)$. If $g(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in \mathbb{C} , then $B_\epsilon(z_0) \not\subset f(\mathbb{C})$ which means that $\frac{1}{f(z)-z_0}$ is bounded and entire thus f and consequently $f \circ g$ are constant. Therefore, $g(\mathbb{C})$ is not dense in \mathbb{C} and hence $g(1/z)$ does not have a essential singularity at 0 and hence g is a non-constant polynomial. Thus, there is $g(U) = \mathbb{C} \setminus B_{r'}(0)$ and since $(f \circ g)(U)$ is not dense $f(1/z)$ has no essential singularity at 0 and thus is also a non-constant polynomial.

4.

Consider the family of closed smooth curve

$$\gamma_n : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \rightarrow \cos(t)/n + 1 - 1/n + i \sin(t)/n$$

which is $D_n := \partial B_{1/n}(1 - 1/n)$ when materialized. Then we can see that $D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \dots$

Note that $\gamma := \gamma_1 \oplus \gamma_2 \oplus \dots \gamma_{2022}$ is a piecewise smooth curve.

Then, as for all $z \in D_n$, if $i \leq n$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 1$$

and 0 if $i > n$. We have that for all $z \in D_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_1 \oplus \gamma_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma_{2022}} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^{2022} \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{1}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &= n \end{aligned}$$

If $z \notin B_1(0)$ then $\nu(\gamma, z) = 0$.

5.

a.

We have that $q(z) = z^2 + 2z + 2 = 0 \iff z = -1 \pm i$, and

$$\text{res} \left(\frac{e^{iz}}{z^2 + 2z + 2}, -1 + i \right) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -1+i} \frac{e^{iz}}{z + 1 + i} = \frac{e^{-1-i}}{2i}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(x)}{x^2 + 2x + 2} dx &= \text{Re} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{x^2 + 2x + 2} dx \right) \\ &= \text{Re} \left(2\pi i \text{res} \left(\frac{e^{iz}}{q}, -1 + i \right) \right) \\ &= \text{Re}(\pi e^{-1-i}) \\ &= \pi e^{-1} \cos(-1) \end{aligned}$$

b.

Let

$$\gamma_1 : [0, 2\pi/2023] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \rightarrow Re^{it}$$

and

$$\gamma_2 : [0, R] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad t \rightarrow te^{i2\pi/2023}$$

We have simple pole at $z_0 = e^{i2\pi/2023}$. Hence,

$$\int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz - \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz + \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{x^{2023} + 1} dx = 2\pi i \text{res}(f, z_0) = -\frac{2\pi i}{2023} e^{2i\pi/2023}$$

We have that

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{R}{R^{2023} + 1} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{2023} \rightarrow 0$$

as $R \rightarrow 0$, and by letting $z = xe^{i2\pi/2023}$

$$\int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz = e^{4\pi i/2023} I$$

Then

$$I - e^{4\pi i/2023} I = -\frac{2\pi i}{2023} e^{2i\pi/2023}$$

Hence,

$$I = \frac{2\pi i}{2023(e^{2\pi i/2023} + e^{-2\pi i/2023})} = \frac{\pi}{2023 \sin(2\pi/2023)}$$