1.

For any $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n) \neq \tilde{\varepsilon} = (\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)$, there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_n = \tilde{\varepsilon}_n$ for all n < N and $\varepsilon_N \neq \tilde{\varepsilon}_N$. WLOG, let $\varepsilon_N = 2$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_N = 0$. Thus we have

$$g(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{3^n} \ge \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{3^n} + \frac{2}{3^N}$$

and

$$g(\tilde{\varepsilon}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{3^n} \le \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n}{3^n} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{3^n} + \underbrace{\frac{2}{3^{N+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-1/3}}_{\frac{1}{2^N}}$$

Thus $g(\varepsilon) > g(\tilde{\varepsilon})$ and therefore g is injective.

2.

Consider the function

$$p: \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \to [0,1], \quad (\epsilon_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \to \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_n}{2^n}$$

Since there is a natural bijection $h:\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\to\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}, \, p=p\circ h^{-1}\circ h=g\circ h$ is injective. We claim that p is also surjective. For every $x\in[0,1]$, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $g(\varepsilon)=x$

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2^n} - x \right| < \epsilon$$

To construct the sequence ε , start from n=0,

- if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < x$, then let $\varepsilon_{n+1} = 1$
- if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} > x$, then let $\varepsilon_{n+1} = 0$
- if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = x$, then let $\varepsilon_{n+1} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for all i > n+1 then stop the process

Increase n by 1 and start the process again.

Since $\frac{1}{2^n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} \le x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for every $n > n_0$, $0 \le x - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} < \epsilon$, and therefore $p(\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^n} = x$. Thus, p is bijective. Now consider the function

$$k:(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}, \quad x\to\tan\left(2x\pi-\pi\right)$$

We have that k is bijective thus $(0,1) \sim \mathbb{R}$. $(0,1) \sim [0,1]$ as the map

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & \text{, if } x = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{, if } x = 0 \\ x & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is bijective and thus $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \sim \mathbb{R}$.

3.

$$\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \sim \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$
, thus $\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \sim \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R} \sim C$.

4.

Also, if N_x is finite, we set $a_{n,x} = 0$ for all $n > N_x$ so that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,x}}{3^n} = x$ regardless of N_x .

For any $x \neq y$, that is $\sum_{n=1}^{N_x} \frac{a_{n,x}}{3^n} \neq \sum_{n=1}^{N_y} \frac{a_{n,y}}{3^n}$, then as we know the function from subquestion 1 is injective, we have that $(a_{n,x}) \neq (a_{n,y})$, that is there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n < N, $a_{n,x} = a_{n,y}$ and $a_{N,x} \neq a_{N,y}$.

• In case $N_x > N, N_y > N, a_{N,x} > a_{N,y} \implies x > y$ as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,x}}{3^n} - \frac{a_{n,y}}{3^n} = \frac{2}{3^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,x} - a_{n,y}}{3^n} \ge \frac{2}{3^N} - \underbrace{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n}}_{1/3^N} > 0$$

and thus because of $a_{N,x} \neq a_{N,y}, x \neq y$ by assumption and WLOG, we have $a_{N,x} < a_{N,y} \iff x < y$.

• In case $N_y > N$, $N_x \le N$ which is $N_x = N$ then $a_{N,x} = 1$. If $a_{N,y} = 2$ then obviously x < y, if $a_{N,y} = 0$ then since $x \ne y$, there is n_0 such that $a_{n_0,y} - a_{n_0,x} < 2$ and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,y} - a_{n,x}}{3^n} = -\frac{1}{3^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,y} - a_{n,x}}{3^n}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3^N} + \sum_{\substack{n=N+1 \ n \neq n_0}}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,y} - a_{n,x}}{3^n} + \frac{a_{n_0,y} - a_{n_0,x}}{3^{n_0}}$$

$$< -\frac{1}{3^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n}$$

$$= 0$$

Finally, we can conclude that if x < y, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n < N, $a_{n,x} = a_{n,y}$ and there is three cases

• $a_{N,x} = 0, a_{N,y} = 2$, thus $b_{N,x} = 0, b_{N,y} = 1$

$$f(y) - f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y} - b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y} - b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{2^n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^N} - \frac{1}{2^N} = 0$$

• $a_{N,x} = 1, a_{N,y} = 2$, thus $b_{N,x} = b_{N,y} = 1$

$$f(y) - f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y} - b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y} - b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y}}{2^n} \ge 0$$

• $a_{N,x} = 0, a_{N,y} = 1$, thus $b_{N,x} = 0, b_{N,y} = 1$.

$$f(y) - f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n,y} - b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^N} + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{-b_{n,x}}{2^n}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2^N} - \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$$
$$= 0$$

5.

We have that f is continuous and f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1. Thus by the intermediate value theorem, we have that for all $y \in [0,1]$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{C}$ such that f(x) = y. Thus f(C) = [0,1].

For any pairwise disjoint $E_i \in \mathcal{M}$, let $A_n = \sqcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ then we have that

$$\mu(\sqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \mu(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\sqcup_{i=1}^n E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$$

as $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

a.

If $E_j \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ then $E_1 \setminus E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} (E_1 \setminus E_n) \in \mathcal{M}$, then $E_1 \setminus (\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} E_1 \setminus E_n) = E_1 \setminus (E_1 \setminus \bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} E_n) = E_1 \cap (\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{M}$

b.

We know that \mathcal{M} being a σ -algebra implies that $X \in \mathcal{M}$. If $X \in \mathcal{M}$, then for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $X \setminus A = A^c \in \mathcal{M}$.

c.

If $A \in \mathcal{S}$, then $A \in \mathcal{M}$ or $A^c \in \mathcal{M}$. In both case $A^c \in \mathcal{S}$ as $(A^c)^c \in \mathcal{M}$ or $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

If $A_j \in \mathcal{S}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ then $A_j \in \mathcal{M}$ or $A_j^c \in \mathcal{M}$. Let A_{j_1}, A_{j_2} be subsequence of A such that $A_{j_1} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $A_{j_2}^c \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we know that $P := \bigcap_{j_1=1}^{\infty} A_{j_1} \in \mathcal{M}$ and since $Q := \bigcup_{j_2=1}^{\infty} A_{j_2}^c = (\bigcap_{j_2=1}^{\infty} A_{j_2})^c \in \mathcal{M}$ and thus $P \setminus Q = \bigcap_{j_1=1}^{\infty} A_{j_1} \cap \bigcap_{j_2=1}^{\infty} A_{j_2} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

a.

If $E \in \mathcal{M}$, then $E \cap X_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $X_{\lambda} \setminus (E \cap X_{\lambda}) = X \setminus (E \cap X_{\lambda}) = (X \setminus E) \cap X_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ and thus $E^{c} \in \mathcal{M}$. If $E_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $E_{i} \cap X_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, and thus $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}) \cap X_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and thus $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i} \in \mathcal{M}$.

b.

$$\mu(\varnothing) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_{\lambda}(\varnothing \cap X_{\lambda}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \underbrace{\mu_{\lambda}(\varnothing)}_{0} = 0$$

For any $E_j \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that E_j are pairwise disjoint, we have that

$$\mu(\sqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} u_{\lambda}(\sqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j \cap X_{\lambda})$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{\lambda}(E_j \cap X_{\lambda})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu_{\lambda}(E_j \cap X_{\lambda})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$$

c.

If μ is σ -finite, then there exists $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = X$ and $\mu(X_n) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus if we let $X_{n,\lambda} = X_n \cap X_{\lambda}$, we have that $X_{n,\lambda} \subseteq X_{n+1,\lambda}, X_{n,\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$,

$$\mu(X_n \cap X_\lambda) = \mu(X_{n,\lambda}) < \infty$$

and

$$X_{\lambda} = X \cap X_{\lambda} = (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n) \cap X_{\lambda} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n \cap X_{\lambda}) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\lambda}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that all but a countable measure μ_{λ} have $\mu_{\lambda}(X_{\lambda}) = 0$ and the rest are σ -finite.

Now suppose all but a countable measure μ_{λ} have $\mu_{\lambda}(X_{\lambda}) = 0$ and the rest are σ -finite, then for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists $X_{n,\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ such that $X_{n,\lambda} \subseteq X_{n+1,\lambda}$, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\lambda} = X_{\lambda}$ and $\mu_{\lambda}(X_{n,\lambda}) < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Λ is a collection of measure, there is a bijection $\mathbb{N} \sim \Lambda$

•
$$X = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda} = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n,\lambda} = \cup_{n=1}$$

We have that the definition of the outer measure for both parts a and b

$$\mu^*(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(E_j) : A \subseteq \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j, E_j \in \mathcal{S} \right\}$$

a.

Then for any nonempty set $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, we have that if $\mu^*(A) = 0$ then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(E_j) = 0$ and thus $\rho(E_j) = 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $E_j = \emptyset$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j = \emptyset$. Therefore, $A = \emptyset$ and thus a contradiction. Therefore, $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mu^*(A) > 0$. But since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho(E_j)$ is either an integer or infinity, $A \subseteq X \cup (\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} \emptyset)$ and

$$\rho(X) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \rho(\varnothing) = 1$$

Then from Caratheodory, we know that S is the σ -algebra. we have that $\mu^*(A) = 1$ and $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$.

b.

From definition, we have that for any set A such that $\mu^*(A) \ge \rho(A)$. If $\rho(A) = N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ or $N = \infty$, then we can let

$$K = \{k \in A : k \text{ is an integer}\}\$$

Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i$ where

$$E_{j} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{j}{2}, \frac{j}{2} + 1\right), & \text{if } 2|j \text{ and } \frac{j}{2} \notin K \\ \left(-\frac{j-1}{2} - 1, -\frac{j-1}{2}/2\right), & \text{if } 2 \nmid j \text{ and } -\frac{j-1}{2} \notin K \\ \left[\frac{j}{2}, \frac{j}{2} + 1\right), & \text{if } 2|j \text{ and } \frac{j}{2} \in K \\ \left(-\frac{j-1}{2} - 1, -\frac{j-1}{2}/2\right], & \text{if } 2 \nmid j \text{ and } -\frac{j-1}{2} \in K \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\rho(E_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \frac{j}{2} \in K \text{ or } -\frac{j-1}{2} \in K \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and thus there is N interval E_j such that $\rho(E_j) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\mu^*(A) \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho(E_j)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j/2 \in K \text{ or } \\ -(j-1)/2 \in K}}^{\infty} \rho(E_j) + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j/2 \notin K \text{ and } \\ -(j-1)/2 \notin K}}^{\infty} \rho(E_j)$$

$$= N + 0 = N = \rho(A)$$

which concludes that $\mu^*(A)=\rho(A)$. Then from Caratheodory, we know that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the σ -algebra.