

1.

Consider the function

$$g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y) - 1$$

Then consider the set U satisfies $f(x, y) = 1 \iff g(x, y) = 0$.
Let $(x_0, y_0) = (0, 1)$. We have that

$$\det \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right] = 2 \neq 0$$

Therefore, there exists a neighborhood V and hence a $\epsilon > 0$ and a function ϕ such that $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \subset V \subset \mathbb{R}$ of x_0 , $\phi : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $f(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| < \epsilon$ and

$$\phi'(x) = - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, \phi(x)) \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, \phi(x)) = - (2\phi(x))^{-1} \cdot 2x = - \frac{x}{\phi(x)}$$

for $x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$

2.

a.

Consider the function

$$F : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \rightarrow (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2$$

and the

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1, y_1) \\ g(x_2, y_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

We know that

$$J_\phi(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} f_x(\alpha, \beta) & f_y(\alpha, \beta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_x(\xi, \eta) & g_y(\xi, \eta) \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\text{rank} J_f(x_0) = 0$, it is trivial that we get the desired results as

$$f_x(\alpha, \beta) = f_y(\alpha, \beta) = g_x(\xi, \eta) = g_y(\xi, \eta) = 0$$

If $\text{rank} J_f(x_0) = 1$, then WLOG assume $f_x(\alpha, \beta) = f_y(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ and $(\nabla g)(\xi, \eta) \neq 0$. Then

$$f_y(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha - \xi) = f_x(\alpha, \beta)(\beta - \eta)$$

and consider the functions

$$F' : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x_2, y_2) \rightarrow (x_2 - \alpha)^2 + (y_2 - \beta)^2$$

$$\phi_g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow g(x, y)$$

We know that F' attains an extremum at (ξ, η) under the constraint function $\phi_g(x) = 0$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\nabla F'(\xi, \eta) = \lambda(\nabla \phi_g)(\xi, \eta)$$

$$(2\xi - 2\alpha, 2\eta - 2\beta) = \lambda(g_x(\xi, \eta), g_y(\xi, \eta))$$

and therefore

$$g_y(\xi, \eta)(\alpha - \xi) = g_x(\xi, \eta)(\beta - \eta)$$

If $\text{rank} J_f(x_0) = 2$, then there exists $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(\nabla F)(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta) = \lambda_1(\nabla \phi_1)(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta) + \lambda_2(\nabla \phi_2)(\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta)$$

and hence

$$(2\alpha - 2\xi, 2\beta - 2\eta) = \lambda_1(f_x(\alpha, \beta), f_y(\alpha, \beta))$$

and

$$(2\xi - 2\alpha, 2\eta - 2\beta) = \lambda_2(g_x(\xi, \eta), g_y(\xi, \eta))$$

Therefore, we get the results

$$f_y(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha - \xi) = f_x(\alpha, \beta)(\beta - \eta)$$

and

$$g_y(\xi, \eta)(\alpha - \xi) = g_x(\xi, \eta)(\beta - \eta)$$

b.

Consider two smooth curves $f(x, y) = x + y - 2 = 0$ and $g(x, y) = x^2 + 2y^2 - 1 = 0$. We have that

$$f_x(x, y) = f_y(x, y) = 1, g_x(x, y) = 2x, g_y(x, y) = 4y$$

Then there is two points $(\alpha, \beta), (\xi, \eta)$ lying on the respective curves such that the distance between those two points is the minimum and hence is the distance between those curves.

Substitute what we know into the results obtained from part a, we have that

$$\alpha - \xi = \beta - \eta$$

and

$$4\eta(\alpha - \xi) = 2\xi(\beta - \eta) = 2\xi(\alpha - \xi)$$

Therefore, $\xi = 2\eta$. Substitute that into $g(x, y) = 0$, we have

$$(2\eta)^2 + 2\eta^2 - 1 = 0 \implies \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \text{ or } -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

We have that

$$\alpha - 2\eta = \beta - \eta \implies \alpha = \beta + \eta$$

Subtracting two equations together

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta = 2 \\ \alpha - \beta = \eta \end{cases}$$

We get that $\beta = \frac{2 - \eta}{2}$, and hence $\beta - \eta = \frac{2 - 3\eta}{2}$. The distance between (α, β) and (ξ, η) is

$$\sqrt{(\alpha - \xi)^2 + (\beta - \eta)^2} = \sqrt{2}|\beta - \eta| = \sqrt{2} \left| \frac{2 - 3\eta}{2} \right|$$

Substitute the two cases of η in, we get that the minimum distance is $\frac{2\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}}{6}$ when $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ and the maximum distance is $\frac{2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}}{6}$ when

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$$

3.

As K is compact and f is continuous, f attains both a minimum and a maximum on K . First we consider the interior of K . We have

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = (2x - 2, 2y, 2z + 2) = 0 \iff (x, y, z) = (1, 0, -1)$$

Since

$$(\text{Hess}f)(1, 0, -1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

the Hessian is positive definite and hence f attains its minimum at $(1, 0, -1)$ with the value of $f(1, 0, -1) = -1$. Therefore, f attains its maximum on ∂K . Let

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y, z) \rightarrow x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 9$$

so that $\partial K = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \phi(x, y, z) = 0\}$. We then have that

$$2y = 2\lambda y \implies \lambda = 1 \text{ or } y = 0$$

In case $\lambda = 1$, we have that

$$2x - 2 = 2\lambda x = 2x$$

and hence there is no solution.

In case $y = 0$, as $2x - 2 = 2\lambda x$ and $2z + 2 = 2\lambda z$, $x \neq 0$ and $z \neq 0$. Therefore, we have that

$$\frac{2x - 2}{2x} = \lambda = \frac{2z + 2}{2z} \implies x = -z$$

Therefore,

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 9 \implies (x, z) = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \text{ or } (x, z) = \left(-\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$$

To sum everything up

$$f(2, 1, -2) = 2, f\left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0, -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = 1.515, f\left(-\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0, \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = 18.485$$

and hence f attains its maximum at $\left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0, -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$ with the value of 18.485 and its minimum at $(1, 0, -1)$ with the value of -1.

4.

a.

Consider the function

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow 1 - xy$$

then at the point (x_0, y_0) where f attains its extremum, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \\ \implies x_0^{p-1} &= y_0^{q-1} \cdot \frac{1}{-x_0} \cdot (-y_0) \\ \implies x_0^p &= y_0^q \\ \implies x_0^{p+q} &= 1 \\ \implies x_0 &= 1 \quad (p+q \neq 0) \\ \implies y_0 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the minimum is

$$f(1, 1) = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

as it cannot be the maximum because $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, \frac{1}{x}) = \infty$

b.

Changing ϕ

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow ab - xy$$

If (x_0, y_0) is where f attains its extremum, then applying the same process, we have

$$x_0^p = y_0^q \implies (ab)^p = y_0^{p+q} = (y_0^q)^p \implies ab = y_0^q$$

Hence, f attains its minimum at (x_0, y_0) and

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \frac{x_0^p}{p} + \frac{y_0^q}{q} = y_0^q \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right) = ab$$

as it cannot be the maximim because $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, \frac{ab}{x}) = \infty$ and hence we have that

$$f(a, b) = \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \geq ab$$

c.

Let $x_k = \frac{a_k}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p\right)^{1/p}}$, $y_k = \frac{b_k}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q\right)^{1/q}}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k b_k}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q\right)^{1/q}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k^p}{p \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p\right)} + \frac{b_k^q}{q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q\right)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p}{p \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p\right)} + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^q}{q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^q\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of the inequality by $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^q\right)^{1/q}$, we get the results

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^q\right)^{1/q}$$

d.

Since $p \geq 1$, there exists a $q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k|^p &= \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k| \cdot |a_k + b_k|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (|a_k + b_k|^{p-1})^q\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (|a_k + b_k|^{p-1})^q\right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (|a_k + b_k|^{p-1})^q\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^p\right)^{1/p}\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of the inequality by $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/q}$, we get the

desired results as $1 - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p}$

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

5.

Proof. Consider the function F :

$$F : U \times f_1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y, t) \rightarrow f_1(x, y) - t$$

Then we have that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0, f_1(x_0, y_0)) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$$

and

$$F(x_0, y_0, f_1(x_0, y_0)) = 0$$

Therefore, there exists neighborhoods $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of $(y_0, f_1(x_0, y_0))$ and $W \subset \mathbb{R}$ of x_0 such that $W \times V \subset U \times \mathbb{R}$ and a unique $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(V, \mathbb{R})$ such that for all $(x, y, t) \in U \times f_1(U)$:

$$x = \phi(y, t) \iff f_1(x, y) = t$$

Thus, we have that

$$f_1(\phi(y, t), y) = t$$

and hence, taking the derivative with respect to y , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1x}(\phi(y, t), y) \cdot \phi_y(y, t) + f_{1y}(\phi(y, t), y) &= 0 \\ \implies \phi_y(y, t) &= -\frac{f_{1y}(\phi(y, t), y)}{f_{1x}(\phi(y, t), y)} = -\frac{f_{2y}(\phi(y, t), y)}{f_{2x}(\phi(y, t), y)} \end{aligned}$$

as $\text{rank } J_f(x, y) = 1$ for all $(x, y) \in U$. Now consider the function:

$$\psi : V \rightarrow f_2(U), \quad (y, t) \rightarrow f_2(\phi(y, t), y)$$

We can see that ψ is not dependent on y as

$$\psi_y = f_{2x}(\phi(y, t), y) \cdot \phi_y(y, t) + f_{2y}(\phi(y, t), y) = 0$$

It is possible then to rewrite ψ as

$$\psi : V_t \rightarrow f_2(U), \quad t \rightarrow f_2(\phi(y, t), y)$$

Let (x, y) be an arbitrary point in $W \times V_t$ then we can find a t such that $f_1(x, y) = t \iff x = \phi(y, t)$ and therefore

$$f_2(x, y) = f_2(\phi(y, t), y) = \psi(t) = \psi(f_1(x, y))$$

which finishes the proof. \square

6.

1.

If $f_x g_y - f_y g_x$ vanishes on a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0) which we denote U , then in the case where $f_x = g_y = f_y = g_x = 0$, it is trivial that the function

$$\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow f(x_0, y_0)$$

satisfies the condition. In the other case, if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ then define

$$F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow (f(x, y), g(x, y))$$

such that $\text{rank } J_f = 1$ as $f_x g_y - f_y g_x = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$. Hence, there is a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $g(x, y) = \phi(f(x, y))$.

If $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ or $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ or $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$, then applying the same process we have that there is a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x, y) = \phi(g(x, y))$ or $g(x, y) = \phi(f(x, y))$.

2.

If there is a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x, y) = \phi(g(x, y))$. Then we have that

$$J_f(x, y) = J_{\phi \circ g}(x, y) = J_\phi(g(x, y)) \cdot J_g(x, y)$$

and hence

$$f_x(x, y) = \frac{\phi(g(x, y))}{\partial x} = \phi'(g(x, y)) \cdot g_x(x, y)$$

$$f_y(x, y) = \frac{\phi(g(x, y))}{\partial y} = \phi'(g(x, y)) \cdot g_y(x, y)$$

As a result, we can get that $\frac{f_x}{g_x} = \frac{f_y}{g_y}$ and therefore

$$f_x g_y - f_y g_x = 0$$